

TABLE OF CONTENTS

COVER.....	i
ENDORSEMENT	ii
APPROVAL.....	iii
STATEMENT OF AUTHENTICITY.....	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
ABSTRACT	x
<i>INTISARI</i>	xi
CHAPTER I.....	1
INTRODUCTION.....	1
A. UNDERLYING BACKGROUND.....	1
B. RESEARCH QUESTIONS	7
C. PURPOSE OF THE STUDY	8
D. ORIGINALITY OF RESEARCH.....	9
E. RESEARCH BENEFITS	11
F. DELIMITATION	12
CHAPTER II	13
THEORETICAL REVIEW	13
A. COMPETITION IN GENERAL.....	13
1. Definition	13
2. Indonesian Competition Law	14
3. U.S. Antitrust Law.....	17
B. RATIONALE BEHIND THE APPROACH	20
C. CLASSIFICATION OF APPROACH IN ANTI-MONOPOLY LAW	21
D. EXCLUSIONARY CONDUCT	25
1. Exclusionary Conduct in General.....	25
2. Exclusive Agreement in Indonesia	29
3. Exclusive Agreement in the United States of America	32
4. Boycott in Indonesia	34
5. Boycott in United States of America	35
CHAPTER III.....	39
RESEACH METHOD	39
A. RESEARCH FORMAT	39
B. SOURCE OF DATA	41

C.	DATA COLLECTIVE METHOD	43
D.	DATA ANALYSIS	43
CHAPTER IV		44
ANALYSIS.....		44
A.	INDONESIA: ANALYSIS ON KPPU APPROACH TO SETTLE THE CASE CONCERNING EXCLUSIONARY CONDUCT.....	44
1.	Indonesian Regulatory Analysis in Cases of Exclusive Agreement.....	44
2.	Indonesian Regulatory Analysis in Cases of Boycott	78
B.	U.S: AN ANALYSIS OF THE U.S. APPROACH IN SOLVING CASES OF EXCLUSIONARY CONDUCT.....	83
1.	U.S' Approach in Solving the Case of Exclusive Agreement	83
2.	Regulation on Boycott Under Antitrust Law and its Related Jurisprudence .	89
C.	LESSONS LEARNED FROM THE U.S ANTITRUST'S APPROACH FOR THE IMPROVEMENT OF INDONESIA'S REGULATORY FRAMEWORK	97
1.	Exclusive agreement: A Consistent Threshold of the Approach to Determine the Violation.....	97
2.	Boycott: A Flexible Threshold for Each Type of the Conduct	99
CHAPTER V.....		102
CLOSING		102
A.	CONCLUSION	102
B.	RECOMMENDATION	102
1.	For Future Studies.....	102
2.	For the Government.....	103
BIBLIOGRAPHY		104