UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
Reforming Indonesiaâ€™s Insolvency Framework: Adopting the Undertaking Mechanism under Article 36 of the 2015 EU Insolvency Regulation to Optimize Cross-Border Asset Execution in Indonesia
Vincent Barukh Ethan, Prof. Dr. Drs. Paripurna, S.H., M.Hum., LL.M.
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2026 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPROVAL PAGE ..... **ii**
ENDORSEMENT PAGE ..... **iii**
STATEMENT OF ANTI-PLAGIARISM ..... **iv**
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS ..... **v**
TABLE OF CONTENTS ..... **xi**
ABSTRACT ..... **xiii**
INTISARI ..... **xiv**
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION **1**
A. Background 1
B. Research Questions 7
C. Research Objectives 7
1. Subjective Purpose 8
2. Objective Purposes 8
D. Benefits of Research 8
1. Academic Benefits 9
2. Practical Benefits 9
E. Guarantee of Authenticity 10
CHAPTER II: THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK **13**
A. General Theories and Principles of Cross-Border Insolvency 13
1. Theory of Territorialism 13
2. Theory of Universalism 15
3. Theory of Modified Universalism 17
4. Principles in Cross-Border Insolvency 18
B. Insolvency Proceedings under the 2015 EU Insolvency Regulation 20
C. Undertaking under the EIR 2015 Recast 24
1. Introduction to the Undertaking Mechanism 24
2. The Undertaking Process 27

Reforming Indonesiaâ€™s Insolvency Framework: Adopting the Undertaking Mechanism under Article 36 of
the 2015 EU Insolvency Regulation to Optimize Cross-Border Asset Execution in Indonesia
Vincent Barukh Ethan, Prof. Dr. Drs. Paripurna, S.H., M.Hum., LL.M.
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2026 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

3. The Effectiveness and Practical Advantages of the Undertaking Mechanism....30
4. The Disadvantages of the Undertaking Mechanism....31
D. The Indonesian Legal Framework on Cross-Border Insolvency....32
CHAPTER III: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY....39
A. Type of Research....39
B. Type of Data....40
1. Primary Legal Data....40
2. Secondary Data....40
3. Tertiary Data....40
C. Data Collection Method....41
D. Data Analysis....41
CHAPTER IV: RESEARCH RESULTS AND ANALYSIS....43
A. The Current State of Cross-Border Asset Execution in Indonesia....43
1. Legislative Barriers and the Legal Vacuum of the Indonesian Bankruptcy Law....44
2. Indonesian Case Law Analysis....48
B. The Undertaking Mechanism as a Legal Model for Reforming the Indonesian Bankruptcy Law....63
1. Functional Equivalency of the Undertaking Mechanism....64
2. Execution of the Undertaking Mechanism in Landmark Cases....66
a. MG Rover Belux Case....67
b. Collins & Aikman Case....69
c. Nortel Networks Case....70
3. The Synthetic Advantage of the Undertaking Mechanism....74
4. Policy Reform in Indonesia....76
CHAPTER V: CLOSING....80
A. Conclusion....80
B. Recommendations....83
BIBLIOGRAPHY....86