UNIVERSITAS
GADJAH MADA
**The Impact of Content Source on Application Intention: A Comparative Study of FGC and EGC**
Marie Noor Utomo, Prof. Drs. Gugup Kismono, M.B.A., Ph.D.
**Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2025 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/**

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE i

AUTHORIZATION ii

STATEMENT OF AUTHENTICITY OF WRITTEN THESIS iv

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT v

TABLE OF CONTENTS vi

ABSTRACT x

1. **Introduction** 1

1.1. Background ..... 1

1.2 Problem Statement ..... 3

1.2.1 Research Question ..... 3

1.3 Research Objective ..... 4

1.4 Significance of the Study ..... 4

1.5 Scopes and Limitations ..... 5

1.5.1 Scope ..... 5

1.5.2 Limitation ..... 5

2. **Literature Review** 6

2.1. Employer Branding and Applicant Attraction ..... 8

2.1.1 The Influence of Employer Branding on Applicant Attraction ..... 8

2.2. Employee-Generated vs. Firm-Generated Content ..... 10

2.2.1 Employee-Generated Content ..... 10

2.2.2. Firm-Generated Content (FGC) ..... 11

2.3 Authenticity as a Predictor of Employer Image ..... 12

2.3.1 Authenticity as the Basis of a Positive Image ..... 12

2.3.2 Employee Advocacy as Credibility Mechanism for Authenticity ..... 13

The Impact of Content Source on Application Intention: A Comparative Study of FGC and EGC
Marie Noor Utomo, Prof. Drs. Gugup Kismono, M.B.A., Ph.D.
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2025 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

2.4 The Role of Person-Organization (P–O) Fit ..... 13
2.4.1 Employer Image and Perceived Person-Organization Fit (P–O Fit) ..... 15
2.4.2 Perceived Person–Organization Fit (P–O Fit) and Application Intention ..... 15
2.5 Hypotheses Development ..... 16
3. **Methodology** 18
3.1 Research Design ..... 18
3.2 Variables and Operational Definitions & Measurement Instruments ..... 19
3.3 Population and Sampling ..... 22
3.3.1 Sampling Techniques ..... 23
3.3.2 Sample Size and Methodology ..... 23
3.4 Data Collection ..... 23
3.4.1 Experiment Design ..... 24
3.4.2 Questionnaire Design ..... 24
3.5 Data Analysis ..... 25
3.5.1 Data Screening ..... 25
3.5.2 Reliability and Validity Testing ..... 25
3.5.3 Hypothesis Testing ..... 26
3.6 Ethical Considerations ..... 26
4. **Result** 28
4.1 Demographic Result ..... 28
4.2 Hypothesis Testing ..... 33
4.3 Mediation Model ..... 36
4.3 Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) ..... 39
5. **Discussion** 40
5.1 Summary of Findings ..... 40
5.2 Theoretical Implication ..... 41

The Impact of Content Source on Application Intention: A Comparative Study of FGC and EGC
Marie Noor Utomo, Prof. Drs. Gugup Kismono, M.B.A., Ph.D.
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2025 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

5.3 Managerial Implication ..... 42

5.4 Limitations and Future Research Recommendations ..... 43

6. **Conclusion** 45

7. **Self Assessment** 47

8. **Ethical & Responsible Usage of Generative AI** 49

9. **References** 53

**Appendix** **57**

1. Questionnaire Design ..... 57

2. AI Prompt Used ..... 66

3. Questionnaire Result ..... 68

4. JAMOVI Computation Results ..... 69

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1. Theoretical Research Model 17

Figure 4.1. Mediation Conceptual Model 37

UNIVERSITAS
GADJAH MADA
**The Impact of Content Source on Application Intention: A Comparative Study of FGC and EGC**
Marie Noor Utomo, Prof. Drs. Gugup Kismono, M.B.A., Ph.D.
**Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2025 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/**

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1. Variables and Operational Definitions & Measurement Instruments 20

Table 3.2. EGC and FGC Description 25

Table 3.3. CFA Model Fit Threshold 27

Table 4.1. Demographic Profile 29

Table 4.2. Descriptive Statistics 32

Table 4.3. Reliability Testing Result 32

Table 4.4. Validity Testing Result 33

Table 4.5. Paired T-Test Result 35

Table 4.6. Normality Test (Shapiro-Wilk) 35

Table 4.7. Correlation Matrix Result 36

Table 4.8 Mediation Model Result 38

Table 4.9. Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) Result 40