

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abd Rahman, A. R. (2009). ICT's and public sphere. *Journal of Media and Information Warfare (JMIW)*, 2, 23-36.
- Agamben, G., & Heron, N. (2010). Introductory note on the concept of democracy. *Theory & Event*, 13(1).
- Alexander Smit, Joëlle Swart & Marcel Broersma (2025): Understanding digital citizenship in everyday life: tensions between digital inclusion policies and disadvantaged citizens' experiences. *Information, Communication & Society*, DOI: 10.1080/1369118X.2025.2571396
- Allcott H, Gentzkow M (2017) Social media and fake news in the 2016 election. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*. 31(2):211–236
- Amelin R, Channov S, Polyakova T (2016) *Direct democracy: Prospects for the use of information technology* dalam *Digital transformation and global society* diedit oleh Chugunov A, Bolgov R, Kabanov Y, Kampis G, Wimmer M. Communications in Computer and Information Science, vol 674. Springer, Cham. Hal. 258-268
- Anderson, J. W. (2021). *Rethinking New Media in the Public Sphere: Beyond the Freedom Paradox* dalam *Knowledge, Authority and Change in Islamic Societies*. Brill. Hal.101-124
- Appadurai, A. (2012). *Commodities and the politics of value* dalam *Interpreting objects and collections*, diedit oleh Susan Pearce, London: Routledge. Hal. 16
- Arterton, F. C. (1988). Political participation and “teledemocracy”. *PS: Political Science & Politics*, 21(3), 620-627.
- Arterton, C. (1987). *Teledemocracy: Can technology protect democracy?*. Sage Publications.
- Aspinall, E., & Mietzner, M. (2019). Indonesia's democratic paradox: competitive elections amidst rising illiberalism. *Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies*, 55(3), 295-317.
- Astrid Mager (2012). Algorithmic Ideology. *Information, Communication & Society*, 15:5, 769-787, DOI: 10.1080/1369118X.2012.676056
- Bakker, A., & Zubair, A. C. (2007). *Metodologi Penelitian Filsafat*. Kanisius

- Baehr, P. (2010). *Hannah Arendt, Totalitarianism, and the Social Sciences*. Stanford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9780804774215>
- Behrens, J. Kistner, A., Nitsche, A. and Swierczek B. (2014). *The Principles of LiquidFeedback*. Berlin: Interaktive Demokratie eV. Hal. 81
- Behrens, Jan/Swierczek, Björn/Kistner, Axel u. a. (2014). "Editorial", dalam *The Liquid Democracy Journal* 1, hal. 3–4.
- Beierle, T. C. (2004). *Digital deliberation: Engaging the public through online policy dialogues*. Dalam *Democracy online*. Routledge. Hal.175-186.
- Benkler, Y., Faris, R., & Roberts, H. (2018). *Network propaganda: Manipulation, disinformation, and radicalization in american politics*. Oxford University Press.
- Bertelsmann Foundation, E-Government - Connecting Efficient Administration and Responsive Democracy. diakses pada website: https://www.bertelsmann-stiftung.de/fileadmin/files/BSt/Publikationen/GrauePublikationen/GP_Balanced_E-Government.pdf
- Bloembergen, D., Grossi, D., & Lackner, M. (2019). *On rational delegations in liquid democracy* dalam Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (Vol. 33, No. 01, pp. 1796-1803).
- Blum, C., & Zuber, C. I. (2016). Liquid democracy: Potentials, problems, and perspectives. *Journal of political philosophy*, 24(2), 162-182.
- Bohman, J. (2004). Expanding Dialogue: The Internet, the Public Sphere and Prospects for Transnational Democracy. *The Sociological Review*, 52(1_suppl), 131-155. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-954X.2004.00477.x>
- Bryan, C., Tsagarousianou, R., & Tambini, D. (2002). *Electronic democracy and the civic networking movement in context* dalam *Cyberdemocracy*. Routledge. Hal. 11-27.
- Bullwinkel, B., & Probst, L. (2014). *Innerparteiliche Willensbildung und Entscheidungsprozesse durch digitale Partizipation*. Ein Praxistest des Konzepts der Liquid Democracy. *Zeitschrift für Parlamentsfragen*, 382-401.
- Cannon, B. (2001). *Rethinking the Normative Content of Critical Theory: Marx, Habermas and Beyond*, New York: Palgrave.
- Carey, J. W. (1995). Abolishing the old spirit world. *Critical Studies in Mass Communication*, 12(1), 82–89. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15295039509366921>

- Castells, M. (1989). *The Informational Society*. New York.
- Castells, M. (2009). *Communication Power*. Oxford University Press, New York.
- Chadwick, A. (2008). *Web 2.0: New challenges for the study of e-democracy in an era of informational exuberance*. I/S: A Journal of Law and Policy for the Information Society, 5 (1) : 9 – 41 .
- Chambers, S. (2018). *Reasonable democracy: Jürgen Habermas and the politics of discourse*. Cornell University Press.
- Cunningham, S. (2014). Joseph A. Schumpeter. *Capitalism, socialism, and democracy* dalam *Cultural Policy Review of Books*. Routledge. Hal. 21-23.
- Coeckelbergh, M. (2022). *The political philosophy of AI: an introduction*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Creswell, J. W., Hanson, W. E., Clark Plano, V. L., & Morales, A. (2007). Qualitative research designs: Selection and implementation. *The counseling psychologist*, 35(2), 236-264.
- Crosato, C. Discourse Ethics to the Test of ICTs. Guest Editor's Preface. *Etica & Politica/Ethics & Politics*, 26(1), 7-12.
- Crossley, N., & Roberts, J. M. (2004). *After Habermas: New perspectives on the public sphere*. Blackwell Publishing.
- Cukier, W., Middleton, C. A., and Bauer, R. (2003). *A Critical Analysis of Technology Enabled Learning Discourse in Canada and Its Implications for Policy and Organizational Decision Making*. E. H. Wynn, E. A. Whitley, M. D. Myers and J. I. DeGross (Eds.) dalam *Global and Organizational Discourse About Information Technology*, Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers. Hal. 197-221.
- Dahl, R. A. (2020). *On democracy*. Yale University Press.
- Dahlberg, L. (2011). Re-constructing digital democracy: An outline of four 'positions'. *New media & society*, 13(6), 855-872.
- Dahlgren, P. (2001). The transformation of democracy. *New media and politics*, 64-88.
- Dahlgren, P., Olsson, T. (2007). *From Public Sphere to Civic Culture: Young Citizens' Internet Use*. Butsch, R. (eds) dalam *Media and Public Spheres*. Palgrave Macmillan, London. https://doi.org/10.1057/9780230206359_16

- Dekker, R., & Bekkers, V. (2015). The contingency of governments' responsiveness to the virtual public sphere: A systematic literature review and meta-synthesis. *Government Information Quarterly*, 32(4), 496-505. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2015.09.007>
- Deseriis, M. (2022). Is Liquid Democracy Compatible with Representative Democracy? Insights from the Experience of the Pirate Party Germany. *Partecipazione E Conflitto*, 15(2), 466-481.
- Dewey, J. (1940). *Creative democracy: The task before us*. American Education Press, Incorporated. Hal. 220-228
- Diamond, L. J., Linz, J. J., & Lipset, S. M. (Eds.). (1989). *Democracy in developing countries: Latin America* (Vol. 4). London: Rienner.
- DiMaggio, P. (2004). Digital inequality: From unequal access to differentiated use. *Social Inequality*. Sage Foundation. Hal. 355-400
- Dingeldey, P. (2019). A fourth transformation of democracy? Liquid democracy, supra-national democracy and the fate of participation. *Law, Democracy & Development*, 23(1), 181-201.
- Dryzek, J. S. (2000). *Deliberative Democracy and Beyond: Liberals, Critics, Contestations*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ella Taylor-Smith & Colin F. Smith. (2018). Investigating the online and offline contexts of day-to-day democracy as participation spaces. *Information, Communication & Society*, DOI: 10.1080/1369118X.2018.1469656
- Emlyn-Jones, C. J., & Preddy, W. (Eds.). (2013). *Plato, Republic* (Vol. 1). Harvard University Press.
- Feenberg, A. (1991). *Critical theory of technology* (Vol. 5). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Feenberg, Andrew (2002). *Transforming Technology. A Critical Theory Revisited*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Feldman, M. S., and March, J. G. (1981). Information in Organizations as Signal and Symbol. *Administrative Science Quarterly* (26:2). Hal. 171-186.
- Fitzpatrick, J. (2018). *Digital civil society*. Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden.
- Ford, B. (2002). *Delegative democracy*. Manuscript. Hal. 1-18 www.brynosaurus.com/deleg/deleg.pdf, diakses pada 28 February 2023.

- Ford, B. (2021). Technologizing democracy or democratizing technology? A Layered-Architecture perspective on potentials and challenges. *Digital technology and democratic theory*, 274-321.
- Forester, J. (1983). "Critical Theory and Organizational Analysis," in G. Morgan (Ed.), *Beyond Method*. Beverley Hills, CA: Sage Publications. Hal. 234-346.
- Foucault, M. (1991). edited by: Burchell, G., Gordon, C. and Miller. *The Foucault effect: Studies in governmentality*. University of Chicago Press.
- Froomkin, A. M. (2003). Habermas @ Discourse . Net : Toward a Critical Theory of Cyberspace. *The Harvard Law Review Association*, 116(3), 749–873.
- Gangadharan, S. P. (2021). *Digital exclusion: A politics of refusal* (Vol. 4). Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press. Hal. 113-140
- Garnham, N. (1990). *Capitalism and Communication: Global Culture and Economics of Information*. Sage.
- Giddens, A. (1993). Modernity, history, democracy. *Theory and Society*, p. 289-292. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/657774>
- Goldkuhl, G. (2000). The validity of validity claims: An inquiry into communication rationality. Univ., Centrum för studier av människa, teknik och organisation. *The Language-Action Perspective on Communication Modelling*. 1-21
- Gölz, P., Kahng, A., Mackenzie, S., & Procaccia, A. D. (2021). The fluid mechanics of liquid democracy. *ACM Transactions on Economics and Computation*, 9(4), 1-39.
- Green-Armytage, J. (2015). Direct voting and proxy voting. *Constitutional Political Economy*, 26(2), 190-220.
- Grossman, Lawrence K. (1995). *The Electronic Republic. Reshaping Democracy in the Information Age*. New York: Viking Press.
- Grossman, W. (2001). *From anarchy to power: The net comes of age*. NYU Press.
- Habermas, J. (1973). What does a crisis mean today? Legitimation problems in late capitalism. *Social research*, 643-667.
- Habermas, J. (1979). What is universal pragmatics. *Communication and the Evolution of Society*, 1, 2-4.

- Habermas, J. (1981). *Theorie des kommunikativen Handelns* [Theory of communicative action]. Frankfurt: Suhrkamp.
- Habermas, J. (1987). *The Theory of Communicative Action*, volume 2: Lifeworld and System: A Critique of Functionalist Reason. Translated by Thomas McCarthy. Boston: Beacon Press.
- Habermas, J. (1988). *Morality and ethical life: Does Hegel's critique of Kant apply to discourse ethics*. *Nw. UL Rev.*, 83, 38.
- Habermas, J. (1990). *The philosophical discourse of modernity: Twelve lectures*. MIT Press.
- Habermas, J. (1990). *Moral Consciousness and Communicative Action*, trans. C. Lenhardt and S. Nicholsen. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.
- Habermas, J. (1991). *The structural transformation of the public sphere: An inquiry into a category of bourgeois society*. MIT Press.
- Habermas, J. (1994). *Justification and application : remarks on discourse ethics*. Translated by Ciaran Cronin. MIT Press.
- Habermas, J. (1996). Paradigms of law. *Cardozo Law Review*, 17, 771-784.
- Habermas, J. (1998). *Between Facts and Norms* (Vol. 26, Issue 81). <https://doi.org/10.5840/saap1998268125>
- Habermas, J. (2010). Technical progress and the social life-world. *Technology and values: Essential readings*. Hal.169-175.
- Habermas, J. (2014). *Truth and justification*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Habermas, J. (2016). Toward a rational society dalam *Social Theory Re-Wired* (pp. 312-318). Routledge.
- Habermas, J., (2017). Three normative models of democracy dalam *Constitutionalism and democracy* (pp. 277-286). Routledge.
- Habermas, J. (2018). *Between Facts and Norms: Contributions to a Discourse Theory of Law and Democracy*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Habermas, J. (2022). Reflections and hypotheses on a further structural transformation of the political public sphere. *Theory, Culture & Society*, 39(4), 145-171.

- Habermas, J. (2023). *A new structural transformation of the public sphere and deliberative politics*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Hardiman, F. B. (2009). *Demokrasi deliberatif: menimbang negara hukum dan ruang publik dalam teori diskursus Jurgen Habermas* (Vol. 4). PT. Kanisius.
- Hardiman, F. B. (2010). *Etika Politik Habermas*. Makalah Seri Kuliah Umum Salihara, 1-17.
- Hardiman, F. B. (2012). *Menuju Masyarakat Komunikatif*. PT Kanisius.
- Hardiman, F. B. (2015). *Seni memahami, Hermeneutik dari Schleiermacher sampai Derrida*. Kanisius.
- Hardiman, F. B. (2021). *Aku Klik maka Aku Ada*. Yogyakarta. Kanisius.
- Hardiman, F. B. (2023). *Kebenaran dan Para Kritikusnya*. Yogyakarta. Kanisius.
- Hardt, M. (2010). Michael Hardt and Antonio Negri. *Cultural Theory: An Anthology*, 143.
- Hardt, S., & Lopes, L. C. (2015). Google votes: A liquid democracy experiment on a corporate social network. Technical Disclosure Commons. https://www.tdcommons.org/dpubs_series/79. Hal. 1-16
- Harefa, D., & Fatolosa Hulu, M. M. (2020). *Demokrasi Pancasila di era kemajemukan*. Pm Publisher.
- Hart, R. P., & Jarvis, S. E. (1997). Political debate: Forms, styles, and media. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 40(8), 1095-1122.
- Hedrick, T. (2010). *Rawls and Habermas: Reason, pluralism, and the claims of political philosophy*. Stanford University Press.
- Hirschheim, R., & Klein, H. K. (1994). Realizing emancipatory principles in information systems development: the case for ETHICS. *MIS quarterly*, 83-109.
- Hirst, D. (2018). A culture of voting in seventeenth-century England. In *Cultures of Voting in Pre-modern Europe* (pp. 129-140). Routledge.
- Hobbes, T. (1987). *Leviathan* [1651]. Diakses melalui website <https://xn--glcksmann-r9a.de/media/files/Hobbes-Leviathan.pdf>

- Honneth, A. (2018). *The other of justice: Habermas and the ethical challenge of postmodernism*. In Jürgen Habermas, Volumes I and II (pp. 133-167). Routledge.
- Huberman, M., & Miles, M. B. (2002). *The qualitative researcher's companion*. Sage.
- Isakhan, B. (2015). *Edinburgh companion to the history of democracy*. Edinburgh University Press.
- Jacobson, T. (2018). The Relevance of Habermasian Theory for Development and Participatory Communication. In: Servaes, J. (eds) *Handbook of Communication for Development and Social Change*. Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-7035-8_13-1
- Jääsaari, J., & Hildén, J. (2015). Piracy & social change, from file sharing to free culture: The evolving agenda of European pirate parties. *International Journal of Communication*, 9, 20.
- Johnson, J. (1991). Habermas on strategic and communicative action. *Political theory*, 19(2), 181-201.
- Katsanevas, A. K. (2020). *Visions of democracy in the information society: The theories of Daniel Bell, Manuel Castells, and Yochai Benkler*. Stanford University.
- Keane, J. (1991). Democracy and the media. *International Social Science Journal*, 43(128).
- Khan, M. H. (2005). Markets, states and democracy: Patron–client networks and the case for democracy in developing countries. *Democratisation*, 12(5), 704-724.
- Kittler, J. (2009). *Historical metamorphosis of the Athenian Agora: Changing communication technologies and the enduring quest for an ideal public sphere*. The Pennsylvania State University.
- Kramer, L. D. (2006). The interest of the man: James Madison, popular constitutionalism, and the theory of deliberative democracy. *Val. UL Rev.*, 41, 697.
- Krell, C. (2012). *Demokratie in Deutschland: Zustand-Herausforderungen-Perspektiven*. VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften.

- Kuru, O., & Neyazi, T. A. (2024). Perceived political incivility and trust in government: the moderating roles of election news exposure and favorability of election outcomes. *Information, Communication & Society*, 1-18.
- Lafont, C. (2003). Procedural justice? Implications of the Rawls-Habermas debate for discourse ethics. *Philosophy & social criticism*, 29(2), 163-181.
- Latour, B. (2018). Outline of a parliament of things. *Ecologie politique*, 56(1), 47-64.
- Lessig, L. (1999). *Cyberspace and Privacy: A New Legal Paradigm: Foreword*. Stan. L. Rev., 52, 987.
- Lefebvre, H. (1991). *Foundations for a Sociology of the Everyday*, Verso.
- Lefort, C., & Macey, D. (1988). *Democracy and political theory*. Polity Press.
- Magnis-Suseno, Franz, 2006, *12 Teks Kunci Etika Abad Kedua Puluh*, Yogyakarta: Kanisius
- Malina, A. (1999). 'Third Way' Transitions: Building 'Benevolent Capitalism' for the Information Society. *Communications*, 24(2), 167-188. <https://doi.org/10.1515/comm.1999.24.2.167>
- Marshall, T.H. (1964). *Citizenship and Social Class*. in Marshall, Class, Citizenship, and Social Development. Garden City, N.Y.: Doubleday. Hal. 71.
- McNiff, J. (2013). *Action research: Principles and practice*. Routledge.
- McCarthy, T. (1978). *The Critical Theory of Jürgen Habermas*. Cambridge : MIT Press, chap. 4
- McCarthy, S. (2013). Demystifying complexity: Why worse is better in voting. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2342701>. pp. 1-10
- Merkel, W. (Ed.). (2014). *Demokratie und Krise: zum schwierigen Verhältnis von Theorie und Empirie*. Springer-Verlag.7-42.
- Miler, J. C., III (1969). A program for direct and proxy voting in the legislative process. *Public Choice*, 7(1), pp. 107-113.
- Mitcham, C., & Briggie, A. (2009). *The interaction of ethics and technology in historical perspective*. In Philosophy of technology and engineering sciences (pp. 1147-1191). North-Holland.
- Mouffe, C. (2000). *The democratic paradox*. Verso.

- Mouffe, C. (2013). *Radical democracy or liberal democracy?*. In *Radical democracy* (pp. 19-26). Routledge.
- Müller-Doohm, S. (2016). *Habermas: A biography*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Muhtadi, B. (2019). *Populisme, Politik Identitas, Dan Dinamika Elektoral: Mengurai Jalan Panjang Demokrasi Prosedural*. Intrans Publishing.
- Murphy, T. F. (1994). Discourse ethics: Moral theory or political ethic?. *New German Critique*, (62), 111-135. <https://doi.org/10.2307/488511>
- Muttaqien, M. E. (2023). Konsep Komunikasi Jurgén Habermas Dalam Ide Demokrasi Deliberatif Dan Tindakan Komunikatif. *Linimasa: Jurnal Ilmu Komunikasi*, 6(I), 51-64.
- Nanuru, R. F. (2019). *Konstruksi Ruang Publik Rumah Adat Sasadu Masyarakat Suku Sahu Ditinjau Dari Filsafat Jürgen Habermas* (Doctoral dissertation, Universitas Gadjah Mada).
- Nielsen, T. H. (1990). Jürgen Habermas: Morality, Society and Ethics: An interview with Torben Hviid Nielsen. *Acta Sociologica*, 33(2), 93–114. <https://doi.org/10.1177/000169939003300201>
- Niemi, J. I. (2005). Habermas and validity claims. *International Journal of Philosophical Studies*, 13(2), 227-244.
- Nurasih, W., & Witro, D. (2021). Demokrasi Hibrid: pemikiran Yasraf Amir Piliang tentang demokrasi Indonesia di era digital. *Jurnal Ilmu Sosial dan Ilmu Politik*. UIN Sunan Gunung Djati Bandung, 11(1), 175-194.
- Noveck, B. S. (2003). Designing deliberative democracy in cyberspace: The role of the cyber-lawyer. *BUJ Sci. & Tech. L.*, 9, 1.
- Oblak, T. (2002). Dialogue and representation: Communication in the electronic public sphere. *Javnost-The Public*, 9(2), 7-21.
- O'Hara, I. (2022). Automated Epistemology: Bots, Computational Propaganda & Information Literacy Instruction. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 48(4), 102540. 1-7
- Origgi, Gloria. (2012). "Designing wisdom through the web," Collective Wisdom, eds. H. Landemore and J. Elster (New York: Cambridge University Press, 2012), pp. 38–55

- Paech J & Reichert D. (2014). *Potenziale nutzen mit Liquid Democracy* in Friedrichse, M & Kohn R A (eds) *Digitale Politikvermittlung. Chancen und Risiken interaktiver Medien* Wiesbaden: VS Springer. Hal. 499-515. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-06571-3_32
- Pateman, C. (2012). Participatory democracy revisited. *Perspectives on politics*, 10(1), 7-19.
- Paulin, A. A. (2019). *Smart city governance*. Elsevier. pp. 143-158
- Paul Götz, Anson Kahng, Simon Mackenzie, and Ariel D. Procaccia. (2021). The Fluid Mechanics of Liquid Democracy. *ACM Trans. Econ. Comput.* 9, 4, Article 23. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3485012>
- Piliang, Yasraf Amir. 2017. *Dunia yang Berlari: Dromologi, Implosi dan Fantasmagoria*. Yogyakarta: Cantrik Pustaka.
- Powell, Alison, Amelia Bryne, and Dharma Dailey (2010). The Essential Internet: Digital Exclusion in Low-Income American Communities. *Policy & Internet* 2 (7). <https://doi.org/10.2202/1944-2866.1058>
- Power, T., & Warburton, E. (Eds.). (2020). *Democracy in Indonesia: From stagnation to regression?* ISEAS-Yusof Ishak Institute.
- Putnam, R. D. (1971). Studying elite political culture: The case of “ideology”. *American Political Science Review*, 65(3), 651-681.
- Ramos, J. (2015). *Liquid democracy and the futures of governance*. The future internet: Alternative visions, 173-191.
- Rash, W. (1997). *Politics on the Nets: Wiring the Political Process*. W. H. Freeman & Co., United States
- Rasmussen, David. (1996). *Critical Theory and Philosophy*. In *The Handbook of Critical Theory*, ed. David M. Rasmussen. Cambridge: Blackwell Publishers.
- Razmerita, L., Kirchner, K., & Nabeth, T. (2014). Social media in organizations: leveraging personal and collective knowledge processes. *Journal of Organizational Computing and Electronic Commerce*, 24(1), 74-93.
- Rehg, W. (2023). *Insight and solidarity: The discourse ethics of Jürgen Habermas* (Vol. 1). Univ of California Press.
- Robbins, J. W. (1993). Pragmatism, democracy, and God: A reply to Rockefeller. *American Journal of Theology & Philosophy*, 14(3), 279-285.
- Sen, A. (2003). Democracy and its global roots. *New Republic*, 28-35.

- Simamora, J. (2011). Eksistensi pemilukada dalam rangka mewujudkan pemerintahan daerah yang demokratis. *Mimbar Hukum-Fakultas Hukum Universitas Gadjah Mada*, 23(1), pp. 221-236.
- Simons, M. (2017). The parliament of things and the Anthropocene: How to listen to 'quasi-objects'. *Techné: Research in Philosophy and Technology*. 21:2–3 (2017): 1–25.
- Specter, M. G. (2010). *Habermas: An intellectual biography*. Cambridge University Press.
- Supartiningsih, S. (2007). Etika diskursus bagi masyarakat multikultural: Sebuah analisis dalam perspektif pemikiran Jürgen Habermas. *Jurnal Filsafat*, 17(1), 32-59.
- Susen, S. (2018). *Jürgen Habermas: Between Democratic Deliberation and Deliberative Democracy*. In: Wodak, R. & Forchtner, B. (Eds.), *The Routledge Handbook of Language and Politics*. (pp. 43-66). Abingdon, UK: Routledge.
- Staab, P., & Thiel, T. (2022). Social media and the digital structural transformation of the public sphere. *Theory, Culture & Society*, 39(4), 129-143.
- Stephen Coleman & John Gotze. (2001). Bowling Together: Online Public Engagement in Policy Deliberation. diakses di <http://bowlingtogether.net/intro>.
- Taylor, S. J., Bogdan, R., & DeVault, M. (2015). *Introduction to qualitative research methods: A guidebook and resource*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Thornton, A. L. (2001). Does the Internet create democracy?. *Ecquid Novi*, 22(2), 126-147.
- Tjahyadi, S. (2003). Teori Kritis Jurgen Habermas: Asumsi-Asumsi Dasar Menuju Metodologi Kritik Sosial. Gadjah Mada University.
- Uslaner, E. M. (2004). Trust, civic engagement, and the Internet. *Political Communication*, 21(2), 223-242.
- Unver, H. A. (2017). Digital Challenges To Democracy: Politics Of Automation, Attention, And Engagement. *Journal of International Affairs*, 71(1), 127–146. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/26494368>
- V. Shah, Nojin Kwak, R. Lance Holbert, D. (2001). “Connecting” and “Disconnecting” With Civic Life: Patterns of Internet Use and the Production of Social Capital. *Political Communication*, 18(2), 141–162. <https://doi.org/10.1080/105846001750322952>

- Valsangiacomo, C. (2022). Clarifying and Defining the Concept of Liquid Democracy. *Swiss Political Science Review*, 28(1), 61-80.
- Wade, I. O. (1976). Rousseau and Democracy. *The French Review*, 49(6), 926–937. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/389301>
- Wheeler, G. R., & Pereira, L. M. (2004). Epistemology and artificial intelligence. *Journal of Applied Logic*, 2(4), 469-493.
- Wöbken, H. (2012). *Liquid Democracy und Partizipation*. KAS. <http://www.kas.de/wf/de/33.31090/>, diakses pada 16 September, 2018.
- Wolin, R. (1990). Carl Schmitt, political existentialism, and the total state. *Theory and Society*, 389-416.
- Woolley, J. T. (1991). Institutions, the election cycle, and the presidential veto. *American Journal of Political Science*, pp. 279-304.
- Woolley, S., & Howard, P. (2016). Automation, algorithms, and politics| political communication, computational propaganda, and autonomous agents – introduction. *International Journal of Communication*, 10, 4882–4890
- Woolley, S., & Guilbeault, D. (2019). United States: Manufacturing consensus online. In S. Woolley, & P. Howard (Eds.), *Computational propaganda: Political parties, politicians, and political manipulation on social media*. Oxford University Press. Hal.3–18
- Young, Iris Marion. 2000. *Inclusion and Democracy*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Zuhro, S. (2012). Memahami Demokrasi Lokal: Pilkada Tantangan dan Prospeknya. *Jurnal Pemilu dan Demokrasi*, 4, 30-31.