

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Adelmant, V., & Raso, J. (2025). Data Entry and Decision Chains: Distributed Responsibility and Bureaucratic Disempowerment in the UK's Universal Credit Programme. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, 45(2). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ojls/gqaf006>
- Afzal, M., & Panagiotopoulos, P. (2024). Data in Policing: An Integrative Review. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 48(7), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01900692.2024.2360586>
- Agostino, D., Arnaboldi, M., & Lema, M. D. (2020). New development: COVID-19 as an accelerator of digital transformation in public service delivery. *Public Money & Management*, 41(1), 1–4. Tandfonline. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09540962.2020.1764206>
- Ahmed, S. K., Mohammed, R. A., Nashwan, A. J., Ibrahim, R. H., Abdalla, A. Q., Ameen, B. M. M., & Khidhir, R. M. (2025). Using Thematic Analysis in Qualitative Research. *Journal of Medicine, Surgery, and Public Health*, 6(6), 100198. ScienceDirect. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.glmedi.2025.100198>
- Akosa, F., & Asare, B. E. (2017). Street-Level Bureaucrats and the Exercise of Discretion. *Global Encyclopedia of Public Administration, Public Policy, and Governance*, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-31816-5_3353-1
- Al-Kasasbeh, H. H., Albalawee, N., Al-Khawaja, H. A., & Qtaishat, A. K. (2025). Legal Challenges of Using AI and Big Data in Public Administration: Administrative Liability, Data Protection, and Public Services Efficiency. *International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning*, 20(6), 2639–2650. <https://doi.org/10.18280/ijstdp.200631>
- Baas, J., Schotten, M., Plume, A., Côté, G., & Karimi, R. (2020). Scopus as a curated, high-quality bibliometric data source for academic research in quantitative science studies. *Quantitative Science Studies*, 1(1), 377–386. https://doi.org/10.1162/qss_a_00019
- Bauer, J. M., & Herder, P. M. (2009). Designing Socio-Technical Systems. *Philosophy of Technology and Engineering Sciences*, 601–630. <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-444-51667-1.50026-4>
- Bello, J.-M. (2023). A Tale of Two Automated States. *Cambridge University Press EBooks*, 136–151. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009334297.011>

- Bencsik, A. (2024). The Opportunities of Digitalisation in Public Administration with a Special Focus on the Use of Artificial Intelligence. *Studia Iuridica Lublinensia*, 33(2), 11–23. <https://doi.org/10.17951/sil.2024.33.2.11-23>
- Bodó, B., & Janssen, H. (2022). Maintaining trust in a technologized public sector. *Policy and Society*, 41(3), 414–429. <https://doi.org/10.1093/polsoc/puac019>
- Boos, A. K. (2024). Conceptualizing Automated Decision-Making in Organizational Contexts. *Philosophy & Technology*, 37(3). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13347-024-00773-5>
- Bovens, M., & Zouridis, S. (2002). From Street-Level to System-Level Bureaucracies: How Information and Communication Technology is Transforming Administrative Discretion and Constitutional Control. *Public Administration Review*, 62(2), 174–184. <https://doi.org/10.1111/0033-3352.00168>
- Buffat, A. (2013). Street-Level Bureaucracy and E-Government. *Public Management Review*, 17(1), 149–161. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2013.771699>
- Bullock, J. B. (2019). Artificial Intelligence, Discretion, and Bureaucracy. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 49(7), 751–761. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0275074019856123>
- Busch, P. A., & Henriksen, H. Z. (2018). Digital discretion: A systematic literature review of ICT and street-level discretion. *Information Polity*, 23(1), 3–28. <https://doi.org/10.3233/ip-170050>
- Busroh, F. F., Khairo, F., Niravita, A., & Nunna, B. P. (2025). Digital Transformation With the Impact of AI in Government Decision Making. *Journal of Law and Legal Reform*, 6(4), 1839–1874. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jllr.v6i4.30339>
- Butler, O. (2025). Algorithmic Decision-Making, Delegation and the Modern Machinery of Government. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, 45(3). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ojls/gqaf018>
- Carlsson, V. (2023). Legal certainty in automated decision-making in welfare services. *Public Policy and Administration*, 40(2). <https://doi.org/10.1177/09520767231202334>
- Carney, T. (2023). The Automated Welfare State. *Cambridge University Press EBooks*, 95–115. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009334297.009>
- Chiusi, F. (2020). *Automating Society Report 2020* (pp. 1–34). <https://algorithmwatch.org/en/automating-society-2020-covid19/>

- Christensen, T., & Lægreid, P. (2025). Future Challenges of Public Administration. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15309576.2025.2549297>
- de Boer, N., & Raaphorst, N. (2021). Automation and discretion: Explaining the effect of automation on how street-level bureaucrats enforce. *Public Management Review*, 25(1), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2021.1937684>
- Döring, M., Mikkelsen, K. S., Madsen, J. K., & Haug, K. B. (2024). Creating a workforce of fatigued cynics? A randomized controlled trial of implementing an algorithmic decision-making support tool. *Government Information Quarterly*, 41(1), 101911–101911. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2024.101911>
- Faber, B. (2021). Platforms as distinctive realms and the role of policy discretion: a cross-platform assessment of citizen engagement with Dutch municipalities through Twitter, Facebook, LinkedIn, and Instagram. *Local Government Studies*, 48(5), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03003930.2021.2007081>
- Galdames, M. (2025). Bureaucratic accountability: enabler or barrier for algorithm use? *Policy Design and Practice*, 9(1), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1080/25741292.2025.2578064>
- Goossens, J., Keymolen, E., & Stanojević, A. (Eds.). (2025). *Public Governance and Emerging Technologies: Values, Trust, and Regulatory Compliance*. Springer Nature.
- Grant, M. J., & Booth, A. (2009). A Typology of reviews: an Analysis of 14 Review Types and Associated Methodologies. *Health Information & Libraries Journal*, 26(2), 91–108. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-1842.2009.00848.x>
- Grimmelikhuijsen, S. (2022). Explaining why the computer says no: algorithmic transparency affects the perceived trustworthiness of automated decision-making. *Public Administration Review*, 83(2). <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13483>
- Gusenbauer, M., & Haddaway, N. R. (2020). Which Academic Search Systems Are Suitable for Systematic Reviews or meta-analyses? Evaluating Retrieval Qualities of Google Scholar, PubMed, and 26 Other Resources. *Research Synthesis Methods*, 11(2), 181–217. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jrsm.1378>
- Johansson, J., Thomsen, M., & Åkesson, M. (2022). Public value creation and robotic process automation: normative, descriptive and prescriptive issues in municipal administration. *Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy*, 17(2). <https://doi.org/10.1108/tg-11-2021-0193>

- Kaun, A. (2021). Suing the algorithm: the mundanization of automated decision-making in public services through litigation. *Information, Communication & Society*, 25(14), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118x.2021.1924827>
- Kaun, A. (2025). Temporalities of welfare automation: On timing, belatedness, and perpetual emergence. *Time & Society*, 34(3). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0961463x251313572>
- Kaun, A., & Liminga, A. (2023). Welfare service centers: Maintenance, repair, and care at the analog interfaces of the digital welfare state. *New Media & Society*, 27(5). <https://doi.org/10.1177/14614448231220362>
- Kaun, A., & Männiste, M. (2025). Public sector chatbots: AI frictions and data infrastructures at the interface of the digital welfare state. *New Media & Society*, 27(4), 1962–1985. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14614448251314394>
- Keymolen, E. (2023). New Public Analytics: Bringing in the Human. *Tilburg Law Review*, 27(2), 69–74. <https://doi.org/10.5334/tilr.316>
- Kolkman, D., Bex, F., Narayan, N., & van der Put, M. (2024). Justitia ex machina: The impact of an AI system on legal decision-making and discretionary authority. *Big Data & Society*, 11(2). <https://doi.org/10.1177/20539517241255101>
- Kristiansen, B. L. (2023). Welcome to McDenmark. *Oñati Socio-Legal Series*, 13(4). <https://doi.org/10.35295/osls.iisl/0000-0000-0000-1353>
- Lipsky, M. (1980). *Street-Level Bureaucracy : the Dilemmas of the Individual in Public Service*. Russell Sage Foundation.
- Long, Y., & Gil-Garcia, J. R. (2023). Understanding the Extent of Automation and Process Transparency Appropriate for Public Services. *International Journal of Electronic Government Research*, 19(1), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.4018/ijegr.322550>
- Mahroof, K., Weerakkody, V., Hussain, Z., & Sivarajah, U. (2025). Navigating power dynamics in the public sector through AI-driven algorithmic decision-making. *Government Information Quarterly*, 42(3), 102053. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2025.102053>
- Marienfeldt, J. (2024). Does digital government hollow out the essence of street-level bureaucracy? A systematic literature review of how digital tools’ foster curtailment, enablement and continuation of street-level decision-making. *Social Policy & Administration*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/spol.12991>
- Marienfeldt, J. (2025). Serving a greater purpose: public servants’ views on meaningful digitalization and automation in street-level bureaucracies. *Public Management Review*, 1–27. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2025.2577384>

- McCann, S. (2023). Discretion in the Automated Administrative State. *Canadian Journal of Law & Jurisprudence*, 36(1), 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.1017/cjlj.2022.25>
- Mochalov, A. N. (2024). Digital Profiling and the Legal Regime of Derived Personal Data. *Kutafin Law Review*, 11(3), 491–513. <https://doi.org/10.17803/2713-0533.2024.3.29.491-513>
- Moser-Plautz, B., & Schmidhuber, L. (2023). Digital government transformation as an organizational response to the COVID-19 pandemic. *Government Information Quarterly*, 40(3), 101815. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2023.101815>
- Nagtegaal, R. (2021). The impact of using algorithms for managerial decisions on public employees' procedural justice. *Government Information Quarterly*, 38(1), 101536. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2020.101536>
- Nascimento, P. V. M., de Siqueira, P. B. B., Chripim, N., Chaves, R. M., Barbosa, C. E., & de Souza, J. M. (2025). The future of AI in government services and global risks: insights from design fictions. *European Journal of Futures Research*, 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40309-025-00253-9>
- Nordesjö, K., Ulmestig, R., & Scaramuzzino, G. (2023). Saving time for activation or relationships? The legitimation and performance of automated decision-making for time efficiency in two street-level bureaucracies serving poor and unemployed clients. *Nordic Social Work Research*, 14(2), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2156857x.2023.2218385>
- Palukka, H., Koski, A., Parviainen, J., & Eilola, L. (2025). Experiencing Social Exclusion and Distrust: Mental Health Rehabilitates Struggling With Digital Administrative Burdens. *Social Inclusion*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.17645/si.9950>
- Petroons, J., Brotcorne, P., Wagener, M., Hermans, K., & Van Lancker, W. (2025). Algorithmic Decision-Making and Harmonization in Multi-Level Governance Welfare Practices: Empirical Evidence From Belgium. *Social Inclusion*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.17645/si.10289>
- Pranckutė, R. (2021). Web of Science (WoS) and Scopus: The Titans of Bibliographic Information in Today's Academic World. *Publications*, 9(1), 12. <https://doi.org/10.3390/publications9010012>
- Ranerup, A., & Henriksen, H. Z. (2020). Digital Discretion: Unpacking Human and Technological Agency in Automated Decision Making in Sweden's Social Services. *Social Science Computer Review*, 40(2), 089443932098043. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0894439320980434>

- Ranerup, A., & Svensson, L. (2022). Value positions in the implementation of automated decision-making in social assistance. *Nordic Social Work Research*, 14(4), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2156857x.2022.2062040>
- Ranerup, A., & Svensson, L. (2023). Automated decision-making, discretion and public values: a case study of two municipalities and their case management of social assistance. *European Journal of Social Work*, 26(5), 948–962. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13691457.2023.2185875>
- Richardson, R. (2022). Defining and Demystifying Automated Decision Systems. *Maryland Law Review*, 81(3), 785. <https://digitalcommons.law.umaryland.edu/mlr/vol81/iss3/2>
- Rinta-Kahila, T., Someh, I., Gillespie, N., Indulska, M., & Gregor, S. (2023). Managing unintended consequences of algorithmic decision-making: The case of Robodebt. *Journal of Information Technology Teaching Cases*, 0(0), 204388692311655. <https://doi.org/10.1177/20438869231165538>
- Rizk, A., & Lindgren, I. (2025). Automated decision-making in public administration: Changing the decision space between public officials and citizens. *Government Information Quarterly*, 42(3), 102061. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2025.102061>
- Roehl, U. B. U. (2023). Automated decision-making and good administration: Views from inside the government machinery. *Government Information Quarterly*, 40(4), 101864. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2023.101864>
- Saxena, D., Badillo-Urquiola, K., Wisniewski, P. J., & Guha, S. (2021). A Framework of High-Stakes Algorithmic Decision-Making for the Public Sector Developed through a Case Study of Child-Welfare. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, 5(CSCW2), 1–41. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3476089>
- Snow, T. (2021). From satisficing to artificing: The evolution of administrative decision-making in the age of the algorithm. *Data & Policy*, 3. <https://doi.org/10.1017/dap.2020.25>
- Stone, D. (2012). *Policy Paradox: The Art of Political Decision Making* (3rd ed.). W.W. Norton & Co.
- Sztandar-Sztanderska, K., & Mazur, J. (2025). Politics and algorithmic articulation of law: tracing the discrepancies and backstage decision-making in the development of the profiling algorithm in Polish labour market policies. *International Journal of Law in Context*, 21(4), 749–768. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1744552325100141>

- Tarkkala, H., Koulu, R., Snell, K., & Soininvaara, H. (2025). “Complete the Test First” Prescreening Tests at the Margins of Digital Public Administration. *Social Inclusion*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.17645/si.9971>
- Tedja, B., Al Musadieq, M., Kusumawati, A., & Yulianto, E. (2024). Systematic Literature Review Using PRISMA: Exploring the Influence of Service Quality and Perceived Value on Satisfaction and Intention to Continue Relationship. *Future Business Journal*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s43093-024-00326-4>
- VESOLOVSKA, M., KARKOVSKA, V., & DUMA, O. (2025). Artificial intelligence in public administration: How the emotional intelligence of civil servants influences its effective implementation. *ADMINISTRATIE SI MANAGEMENT PUBLIC*, 45, 27–45. <https://doi.org/10.24818/amp/2025.45-02>
- Volckmar-Eeg, M. G., & Andresen, S. (2025). Detective Work and Invisible Assessments: Unpacking Discretionary Processes in Welfare Decision-Making. *Social Policy & Administration*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/spol.70036>
- Weerheijm, B., Giest, S., & Klievink, B. (2025). Complexity, understandability, and compatibility: A comparative study of AI advisory systems for National Security. *Government Information Quarterly*, 42(4), 102088. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2025.102088>
- Wenander, H., Mäntylä, N., & Bønsing, S. (2023). Status and Criminal Liability of Civil Servants in Modern Public Administration: A Comparative Study of Denmark, Finland, and Sweden. *European Public Law*, 29(Issue 1), 115–134. <https://doi.org/10.54648/euro2023006>
- Wessels, J. S. K., & Zongozzi, J. N. (2024). Case study research in public administration: analytical framework and systematic review. *Edward Elgar Publishing EBooks*, 272–293. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781803920320.00026>
- Wieringa, M. (2023). “Hey SyRI, tell me about algorithmic accountability”: Lessons from a landmark case. *Data & Policy*, 5, e2. <https://doi.org/10.1017/dap.2022.39>
- Young, M. M., Bullock, J. B., & Lecy, J. D. (2019). Artificial discretion as a tool of governance: A framework for understanding the impact of artificial intelligence on public administration. *Perspectives on Public Management and Governance*, 2(4), 301–313. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ppmgov/gvz014>
- Yurrita, M., Verma, H., Balayn, A., Alfrink, K., Gadiraju, U., & Bozzon, A. (2025). Identifying Algorithmic Decision Subjects’ Needs for Meaningful

Contestability. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, 9(7), 1–29.

<https://doi.org/10.1145/3757415>

Zejnilić, L., Lavado, S., de Troya, Í. M. de R., Sim, S., & Bell, A. (2020). Algorithmic Long-Term Unemployment Risk Assessment in Use: Counselors' Perceptions and Use Practices. *Global Perspectives*, 1(1). <https://doi.org/10.1525/gp.2020.12908>

Zolkin, V., Chochia, A., & Hoffmann, T. (2023). Automated Decision-Making in The EU Member State's Public Administration: The Compliance of Automated Decisions of the Estonian Unemployment Insurance Fund with Estonian Administrative Procedure Law. *European Studies*, 10(2), 178–202. <https://doi.org/10.2478/eustu-2023-0017>

Zuiderwijk, A., Chen, Y.-C., & Salem, F. (2021). Implications of the Use of Artificial Intelligence in Public governance: a Systematic Literature Review and a Research Agenda. *Government Information Quarterly*, 38(3), 101577. Sciencedirect. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2021.101577>