

CONTENTS	ii
LIST OF TABLES.....	iv
LIST OF FIGURES	v
List of Algorithms	vi
ABSTRACT	vii
CHAPTER I Introduction	1
1.1 Research Background.....	1
1.2 Problem Formulation	3
1.3 Research Objectives	3
1.4 Research Scope	4
1.5 Research Significance	4
1.6 Proposal Structure	4
CHAPTER II Literature Review	6
2.1 Three-dimensional Container Loading Problem (3D-CLP).....	6
2.2 Large Neighborhood Search (LNS) and Adaptive Large Neighborhood Search (ALNS) for 3D-CLP	9
CHAPTER III Theoretical Framework	11
3.1 General Formulation of 3D-HMCLP	11
3.2 Adaptive Large Neighborhood Search (ALNS) Framework for 3D-CLP ...	14
3.3 Extreme Point (EP) Heuristic	17
3.4 Optimized Item-to-Carton Recursive Packing.....	19
CHAPTER IV Methodology	20
4.1 General Research Description	20
4.2 Model and Constraint Formulation of 2L-3D-HMCLP	21
4.3 Integrated (2-Level) Global Optimization Algorithm	26
4.3.1 Initial Solution Generation : Greedy Packing	27
4.3.2 ALNS Mechanism	27
4.3.3 Solution Acceptance	29
4.4 Sequential (1-Level) Local Optimization Algorithm.....	30
4.4.1 Initial Solution Generation : Optimized Packing	30
4.4.2 ALNS Mechanism	30
4.5 Testing Dataset	30
4.5.1 MedRoPax Dataset for Problem Instance	30
4.5.2 Supplemental Dataset	32
4.6 Experiment and Evaluation Methods	33
CHAPTER V Implementation	35
5.1 Environment Setup.....	35
5.2 Testing and Analysis Code Development.....	35
5.2.1 Hyperparameters and Experimental Settings	35
5.2.2 Code Files for Optimization Testing	36
5.2.3 Code Files for Results Analysis	37
5.3 Solution Visual Representation.....	40
CHAPTER VI Results and Discussion	41
6.1 Average Rate of Improvement (ARI)	44
6.2 Average Execution Time.....	48



UNIVERSITAS
GADJAH MADA

**A 2L-3D-HMCLP FRAMEWORK USING ADAPTIVE LARGE NEIGHBORHOOD SEARCH (ALNS)
HEURISTIC AND DYNAMIC**

TWO-LEVEL OPTIMIZATION FOR SOLVING SPACE EFFICIENCY IN FIRST-MILE DELIVERY

Sasha Annabel, Muhammad Alfian Amrizal, B.Eng., M.I.S., Ph.D.

Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2026 | Diunduh dari <http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/>

CHAPTER VII Conclusion	51
References	53

Table 2.1	Common constraints in Container Loading Problems.	6
Table 2.2	Summary of literature review on Container Loading Algorithms (with constraint mapping).	8
Table 2.3	Comparison of LNS-Based Methods for Container Loading Problems	10
Table 3.1	Key similarities between Erbayrak and Yavuz (2021)'s model and this study's proposed 2L-3D-HMCLP framework.	11
Table 3.2	Binary Decision Variables for Erbayrak and Yavuz (2021)'s Model..	12
Table 3.3	Continuous Decision Variables for Erbayrak and Yavuz (2021)'s Model	12
Table 3.4	ALNS destroy operators for 3D-CLP from Li, Chen, and Huo (2022).	15
Table 3.5	ALNS repair operators for 3D-CLP Li et al. (2022).	16
Table 3.6	Variables in Li et al. (2022)'s ALNS Adaptive Operator Mechanism	16
Table 4.1	Sets in 2L-3D-HMCLP Framework	21
Table 4.2	Parameters in 2L-3D-HMCLP Framework	21
Table 4.3	Orientation Variables in 2L-3D-HMCLP Framework	22
Table 4.4	Notations in 2L-3D-HMCLP Framework	22
Table 4.5	Decision Variables in 2L-3D-HMCLP Framework	23
Table 4.6	Summary of all ALNS operators available for Global Optimization in solving 2L-3D-HMCLP.....	28
Table 4.7	Anchor grouping of problem instances.	34
Table 4.8	Second grouping for every anchor quantile.	34
Table 5.1	Software tools and platforms used for experimentation.	35
Table 5.2	Specifications of Acer Nitro used for experimentation.	35
Table 5.3	Current experimental settings applied.	35
Table 5.4	Current hyperparameter settings related to ALNS for experimenta- tion.	36
Table 6.1	Comparison of Global and Local Optimization Performance Across All Instances.	42

Figure 1.1	Illustration of item-to-carton and carton-to-container stages.	2
Figure 1.2	Fundamental optimization difference between Global and Local Optimization for 2L-3D-HMCLP.	3
Figure 3.1	Two-orientation constraint example.	11
Figure 3.2	Extreme Point projection in every axis, as described by Crainic, Perboli, and Tadei (2008).	18
Figure 3.3	Extreme Point projection example with multiple items.	18
Figure 3.4	Pseudocode for item-to-carton packing (first step of Local Optimization) by Prietno et al. (2025).	19
Figure 4.1	Concept of family unity constraint in this study.	25
Figure 4.2	Example of optimization combining operators Ω_1^- , Ω_{c5}^+ , and Ω_{t2}^+	29
Figure 4.3	JSON structure for list of orders for one day, sorted per customer ID.	30
Figure 4.4	Total orders for every branch per date.	31
Figure 4.5	Minimum, maximum, and average branch orders per date.	31
Figure 4.6	Ordered products per branch per date.	32
Figure 4.7	Example of JSON structure for list of container types.	32
Figure 5.1	Diagram of connectivity between code files.	36
Figure 5.2	Header file with structs representing Item, Carton, and Container objects for experimentation.	37
Figure 5.3	Output files of initial and final solution for first run of problem instance 0.	38
Figure 5.4	Performance results for five runs per optimization method, for every instance.	39
Figure 5.5	Performance results averaged for all runs per method, for every instance.	39
Figure 5.6	Example illustration of cartons in containers by reading JSON of final solution.	40
Figure 6.1	Histogram representing frequency of finding global minimum solution costs for each optimization method.	44
Figure 6.2	Boxplot visualization of Average Rate of Improvement (ARI) from initial to final solution for all instances.	46
Figure 6.3	Comparison of initial and final solution for first run of Instance 0 using Global/Local Optimization.	47
Figure 6.4	Boxplot visualization of Average Execution Time (in seconds) for all instances.	50

LIST OF ALGORITHMS

3.1	Pseudocode interpretation of ALNS algorithm by Li et al. (2022).	15
4.1	Pseudocode of this study's newly proposed Global Optimization.	26