

TABLE OF CONTENTS

UNDERGRADUATE THESIS	i
RATIFICATION PAGE UNDERGRADUATE THESIS	ii
FREE OF PLAGIARISM STATEMENT	iii
PREFACE	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
LIST OF APPENDICES	x
ABSTRACT	xi
INTISARI	xii
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
I.1 Background	1
I.2 Research Objectives	2
I.3 Research Benefit	3
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESIS	5
II.1 Literature Review	5
II.1.1 Hexane isomer separation	5
II.1.2 Isomers of hexane separation using zeolite	8
II.1.3 Selective adsorption using MOF	12
II.1.4 Metal-organic framework as tunable adsorbents for hexane separation	14
II.2 Hypothesis Formulation	19
II.2.1 Hypothesis formulation 1	19
II.2.2 Hypothesis formulation 2	19
II.2.3 Hypothesis formulation 3	20
II.2.4 Research Design	20
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHOD	22
III.1 Research Material	22
III.2 Research Tools	22
III.3 Research Procedure	23
III.2.1 PCP frameworks structure optimization	23
III.2.2 Grand Canonical Monte Carlo simulation	23
III.2.3 Binding energy calculation of hexane isomers and MOF	24
III.2.4 Electrostatic potential analysis	24
CHAPTER IV RESULT AND DISCUSSION	25
IV.1 Structural Optimization of PCP Frameworks	25
IV.1.1 Optimization of lattice parameters of PCP-CH ₃	25
IV.1.2 Optimization of lattice parameters of PCP-CF ₃	26
IV.1.3 Grand canonical Monte Carlo simulations of hexane isomers adsorption in PCP-CH ₃ and PCP-CF ₃	27
IV.2 Binding energy calculation of adsorbed isomer hexane in PCP-CH ₃ and PCP-CF ₃	32
IV.3 Electronic potential analysis of PCP-CH ₃ and PCP-CF ₃	40

CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS	46
V.1 Conclusion	46
V.2 Suggestions	46
DAFTAR PUSTAKA	47

LIST OF TABLES

Tabel II.1 Physical properties of hexane isomers	6
Tabel IV.1 DFT-calculated total energies and binding energies (E_{bind}) of <i>n</i> HEX, 2-methylpentane (2MP), and 3-methylpentane (3MP) on PCP-CH ₃ and PCP-CF ₃ frameworks	33

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure II.1 Adsorption mechanism of hexane isomers in zeolite	9
Figure II.2 Schematic representation of the three sorption sites of the zeolite BETA framework and the channel diameters (Bárcia et al., 2007).	10
Figure II.3 Schematic illustration of separation mechanisms in metal-organic frameworks (Zhang et al., 2021)	13
Figure II.4 Illustration of size-exclusion-based separation in metal-organic frameworks (Wang et al., 2020)	15
Figure II.5 Illustration of Fe ₂ (BDP) ₃ (BDP ²⁻ = 1,4-benzenedipyrazolate) triangular channel and the its separation of hexane isomer equimolar mixture (Herm et al., 2013)	16
Figure II.6 Illustration of hexane isomer diffusion in PCP-CH ₃ vs PCP-CF ₃ environments (Huang et al., 2025)	18
Figure II.7 Adsorption behavior and kinetic separation performance of hexane isomers in PCP-CH ₃ and PCP-CF ₃ at 303 K. Panels (a) and (b) show single-component adsorption isotherms, indicating non-selective uptake in PCP-CH ₃ and preferential adsorption of n-hexane in PCP-CF ₃ . Panels (c) and (d) present fractional uptake versus time, highlighting faster diffusion of n-hexane and suppressed uptake of branched isomers in PCP-CF ₃ . Panels (e) and (f) summarize the superior selectivity and recyclability of PCP-CF ₃ compared to benchmark adsorbents (Huang et al., 2025)	18
Figure IV.1 Optimized crystal structure of PCP-CH ₃ obtained from density functional theory calculations, showing the cubic framework after geometry optimization.	26
Figure IV.2 Optimized crystal structure PCP-CF ₃ after geometry optimization, illustrating the cubic framework with minimal lattice distortion.	27
Figure IV.3 Representative adsorption configurations of hexane isomers inside PCP-CH ₃ obtained from Grand Canonical Monte Carlo (GCMC) simulations at a pressure of 7.614 Pa, showing the packing of (a) n-hexane, (b) 2-methylpentane, and (c) 3-methylpentane within the pore channels.	28
Figure IV.4 Representative adsorption configurations of hexane isomers inside PCP-CF ₃ obtained from Grand Canonical Monte Carlo (GCMC) simulations at a pressure of 7.614 Pa, showing the packing of (a) n-hexane, (b) 2-methylpentane, and (c) 3-methylpentane within the pore channels.	29
Figure IV.5 DFT-optimized adsorption geometry of n-hexane on PCP-CH ₃ showing short host-guest contacts (≈ 2.98 and 3.25 Å) near the pore region.	34
Figure IV.6 DFT-optimized adsorption geometry of 2-methylpentane (2MP) on PCP-CH ₃ showing multiple short host-guest contacts (≈ 2.80 and 2.94 Å) near the pore region.	35

- Figure IV.7 DFT-optimized adsorption geometry of 3-methylpentane (3MP) on PCP-CH₃ showing a single short host–guest contact (≈ 2.82 Å) near the pore region. 36
- Figure IV.8 DFT-optimized adsorption geometry of n-hexane on PCP-CF₃ showing several short host–guest contacts (≈ 2.73 – 3.18 Å) near the pore region 37
- Figure IV.9 DFT-optimized adsorption geometry of 2-methylpentane (2MP) on PCP-CF₃ showing a short host–guest contact (≈ 2.82 Å) near the pore region. 38
- Figure IV.10 DFT-optimized adsorption geometry of 3-methylpentane (3MP) on PCP-CF₃ showing limited host–guest contacts (≈ 2.9 – 3.5 Å) near the pore region. 39
- Figure IV.11 Electrostatic potential (ESP) maps of (a) PCP-CH₃ and (b) PCP-CF₃ frameworks, mapped on the electron density isosurface. The color scale ranges from negative (red) to positive (blue), highlighting the more heterogeneous, polarized pore surface induced by CF₃ functionalization, compared to the relatively uniform potential distribution in PCP-CH₃. 40
- Figure IV.12 The interaction of hexane isomers adsorbed in PCP-CH₃ is depicted in electron density difference ($\Delta\rho$) maps: (a) n-hexane, (b) 2-methylpentane, and (c) 3-methylpentane. Weak, dispersion-dominated interactions within the methyl-functionalized pores are indicated by the isosurfaces, which highlight electron density redistribution localized near the host–guest contact regions. The framework is presented explicitly. 42
- Figure IV.13 Electron density difference maps ($\Delta\rho$) for hexane isomers adsorbed in PCP-CF₃: (a) n-hexane, (b) 2-methylpentane, and (c) 3-methylpentane. Localized electron density redistribution near the CF₃-functionalized pore regions reflects more substantial confinement effects and steric modulation, which become more pronounced for branched isomers. 44

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1 Input for Structure optimization and adsorption GCMC simulation on RASPA	52
Appendix 2 Geometry optimization input summary on CP2K	53
Appendix 3 Cell Parameters of MOF and hexane isomers-loaded MOF structures	54
Appendix 4 Input summary for electron density simulation	56
Appendix 5 Input summary for ESP analysis	57