

Daftar Pustaka

- Akaike, H. (1974). A new look at the statistical model identification. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 19(6), 716-723. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TAC.1974.1100705>
- Anwar, K., Suryanto, S., & Arifin, Z. (2019). Pengembangan tes potensi akademik berbasis komputer untuk seleksi mahasiswa baru. *Jurnal Psikologi Pendidikan dan Konseling*, 5(2), 112-120.
- Azwar, S. (2019). *Penyusunan skala psikologi* (3rd ed.). Pustaka Pelajar.
- Bentler, P. M. (1990). Comparative fit indexes in structural models. *Psychological Bulletin*, 107(2), 238-246. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.107.2.238>
- Bentler, P. M., & Bonett, D. G. (1980). Significance tests and goodness of fit in the analysis of covariance structures. *Psychological Bulletin*, 88(3), 588-606. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.88.3.588>
- Bollen, K. A. (1989). *Structural equations with latent variables*. Wiley.
- Bollen, K. A., & Lennox, R. (1991). Conventional wisdom on measurement: A structural equation perspective. *Psychological Bulletin*, 110(2), 305-314. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.110.2.305>
- Bollen, K. A. (2011). Evaluating effect, composite, and causal indicators in structural equation models. *MIS Quarterly*, 35(2), 359-372. <https://doi.org/10.2307/23044047>
- Borsboom, D., Mellenbergh, G. J., & Van Heerden, J. (2003). The theoretical status of latent variables. *Psychological Review*, 110(2), 203-219. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.110.2.203>
- Borsboom, D. (2006). The attack of the psychometricians. *Psychometrika*, 71(3), 425-440. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-006-1447-6>
- Borsboom, D., van der Maas, H. L. J., Dalege, J., Kievit, R. A., & Haig, B. D. (2021). Theory construction methodology: A practical framework for building theories in psychology. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 16(4), 756-766. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691620969647>

- Brown, T. A. (2015). *Confirmatory factor analysis for applied research* (2nd ed.). Guilford Press.
- Browne, M. W., & Cudeck, R. (1993). Alternative ways of assessing model fit. In K. A. Bollen & J. S. Long (Eds.), *Testing structural equation models* (pp. 136-162). Sage.
- Byrne, B. M. (2016). *Structural equation modeling with AMOS: Basic concepts, applications, and programming* (3rd ed.). Routledge.
- Chalmers, R. P., Counsell, A., & Flora, D. B. (2016). It might not make a big DIF: Improved differential test functioning statistics that account for sampling variability. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 76(1), 114-140. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013164415584576>
- Chalmers, R. P. (2018). Model-based measures for detecting and quantifying response bias. *Psychometrika*, 83(3), 696-732. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-018-9626-9>
- Chen, F., Bollen, K. A., Paxton, P., Curran, P. J., & Kirby, J. B. (2001). Improper solutions in structural equation models: Causes, consequences, and strategies. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 29(4), 468-508. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0049124101029004003>
- Cho, G., Hwang, H., Sarstedt, M., & Ringle, C. M. (2019). Cutoff criteria for overall model fit indexes in generalized structured component analysis. *Journal of Marketing Analytics*, 8(4), 189-202. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41270-019-00064-5>
- Christensen, A. P., Garrido, L. E., Guerra-Peña, K., & Golino, H. (2023). Comparing community detection algorithms in psychometric networks: A Monte Carlo simulation. *Behavior Research Methods*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-023-02106-4>
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (2nd ed.). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Coyle, T. R., Purcell, J. M., Snyder, A. C., & Richmond, M. C. (2018). Ability tilt for whites and blacks: Support for differentiation and investment theories. *Intelligence*, 69, 169-177. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intell.2018.06.007>

- Deary, I. J., Strand, S., Smith, P., & Fernandes, C. (2007). Intelligence and educational achievement. *Intelligence*, 35(1), 13-21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intell.2006.02.001>
- DeMars, C. E. (2018). Classical test theory and butir soal response theory. In P. Irwing, T. Booth, & D. J. Hughes (Eds.), *The Wiley handbook of psychometric testing: A multidisciplinary reference on survey, scale and test development* (pp. 49-73). Wiley.
- Demetriou, A., Spanoudis, G., Shayer, M., van der Ven, S., Brydges, C. R., Kroesbergen, E., Podjarny, G., & Swanson, H. L. (2018). Relations between speed, working memory, and intelligence from preschool to adulthood: Structural equation modeling of 14 studies. *Intelligence*, 67, 51-72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intell.2017.12.001>
- Diamantopoulos, A., & Winklhofer, H. M. (2001). Index construction with formative indicators: An alternative to scale development. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 38(2), 269-277. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkr.38.2.269.18845>
- Duncan, T. E., Duncan, S. C., & Strycker, L. A. (2006). *An introduction to latent variable growth curve modeling: Concepts, issues, and application* (2nd ed.). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Efron, B., & Tibshirani, R. J. (1993). *An introduction to the bootstrap*. Chapman and Hall/CRC.
- Embretson, S. E., & Reise, S. P. (2000). *Butir soal response theory for psychologists*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Epskamp, S., Rhemtulla, M., & Borsboom, D. (2018). Generalized network psychometrics: Combining network and latent variable models. *Psychometrika*, 82(4), 904-927. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-017-9557-x>
- Finney, S. J., & DiStefano, C. (2013). Nonnormal and categorical data in structural equation modeling. In G. R. Hancock & R. O. Mueller (Eds.), *Structural equation modeling: A second course* (2nd ed., pp. 439-492). Information Age Publishing.

- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 18(1), 39-50. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002224378101800104>
- Fried, E. I. (2020). Lack of theory building and testing impedes progress in the factor and network literature. *Psychological Inquiry*, 31(4), 271-288. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1047840X.2020.1853461>
- Ghozali, I., & Latan, H. (2015). Partial Least Squares: Konsep, Teknik dan Aplikasi menggunakan Program SmartPLS 3.0. Semarang: Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.
- Grigorenko, E. L. (2019). Anywhere, anytime, in any language: Dynamic assessment in the twenty-first century. In D. Tzuriel & K. Resing (Eds.), *Dynamic assessment: Current perspectives* (pp. 403-422). Springer.
- Gustafsson, J. E., & Åberg-Bengtsson, L. (2010). Unidimensionality and interpretability of psychological instruments. In S. E. Embretson (Ed.), *Measuring psychological constructs: Advances in model-based approaches* (pp. 97-121). American Psychological Association.
- Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2017). *A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM)* (2nd ed.). Sage.
- Hair, J. F., Risher, J. J., Sarstedt, M., & Ringle, C. M. (2019). When to use and how to report the results of PLS-SEM. *European Business Review*, 31(1), 2-24. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EBR-11-2018-0203>
- Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., Sarstedt, M., Danks, N. P., & Ray, S. (2021). *Partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM) using R: A workbook*. Springer.
- Hambleton, R. K., Swaminathan, H., & Rogers, H. J. (2016). *Fundamentals of butir soal response theory*. Sage Publications.
- Hayes, A. F. (2018). *Introduction to mediation, moderation, and conditional process analysis: A regression-based approach* (2nd ed.). Guilford Press.
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2015). A new criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modeling.

Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 43(1), 115-135.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-014-0403-8>

Hox, J. J., Moerbeek, M., & Van de Schoot, R. (2017). *Multilevel analysis: Techniques and applications* (3rd ed.). Routledge.

Hu, L. T., & Bentler, P. M. (1999). Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural*

Equation Modeling, 6(1), 1-55.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/10705519909540118>

Hwang, H., & Takane, Y. (2004). Generalized structured component analysis.

Psychometrika, 69(1), 81-99. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02295841>

Hwang, H., DeSarbo, W. S., & Takane, Y. (2007). Fuzzy clusterwise generalized structured component analysis. *Psychometrika*, 72(2), 181-198.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-005-1314-x>

Hwang, H., Takane, Y., & Malhotra, N. (2010). Multilevel generalized structured component analysis. *Behaviormetrika*, 37(1), 1-21.

<https://doi.org/10.2333/bhmk.37.1>

Hwang, H., & Takane, Y. (2015). *Generalized structured component analysis: A component-based approach to structural equation modeling*. Chapman and Hall/CRC.

Hwang, H., Sarstedt, M., Cheah, J. H., & Ringle, C. M. (2020). A concept analysis of methodological research on composite-based structural equation modeling: Bridging PLSPM and GSCA. *Behaviormetrika*, 47(1), 219-241.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s41237-019-00085-5>

Hwang, H., Cho, G., Jung, K., Falk, C. F., Flake, J. K., Jin, M. J., & Lee, S. H. (2021). An approach to structural equation modeling with both factors and components: Integrated generalized structured component analysis.

Psychological Methods, 26(3), 273-294.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000336>

Hwang, H., Cho, G., & Choo, H. (2023). *GSCA Pro for Windows User's Manual*. McGill University & Kwangwoon University.

- James, L. R., Mulaik, S. A., & Brett, J. M. (1982). *Causal analysis: Assumptions, models, and data*. Sage.
- Jarvis, C. B., MacKenzie, S. B., & Podsakoff, P. M. (2003). A critical review of construct indicators and measurement model misspecification in marketing and consumer research. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 30(2), 199-218. <https://doi.org/10.1086/376806>
- Jöreskog, K. G. (1969). A general approach to confirmatory maximum likelihood factor analysis. *Psychometrika*, 34(2), 183-202. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02289343>
- Jöreskog, K. G. (1973). A general method for estimating a linear structural equation system. In A. S. Goldberger & O. D. Duncan (Eds.), *Structural equation models in the social sciences* (pp. 85-112). Academic Press.
- Jöreskog, K. G., & Goldberger, A. S. (1975). Estimation of a model with multiple indicators and multiple causes of a single latent variable. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 70(351), 631-639. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.1975.10482485>
- Jung, K., Takane, Y., Hwang, H., & Woodward, T. S. (2009). Dynamic GSCA (Generalized Structured Component Analysis) with applications to the analysis of effective connectivity in functional neuroimaging data. *Psychometrika*, 74(1), 137-154. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-008-9095-5>
- Jung, K., Takane, Y., Hwang, H., & Woodward, T. S. (2018). Regularized generalized structured component analysis. *Psychometrika*, 83(1), 21-42. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-017-9598-1>
- Kenny, D. A., & Milan, S. (2012). Identification: A non-technical discussion of a technical issue. In R. H. Hoyle (Ed.), *Handbook of structural equation modeling* (pp. 145-163). Guilford Press.
- Kim, H. Y. (2013). Statistical notes for clinical researchers: assessing normal distribution (2) using skewness and kurtosis. *Restorative Dentistry & Endodontics*, 38(1), 52-54. <https://doi.org/10.5395/rde.2013.38.1.52>

- Kline, R. B. (2023). *Principles and practice of structural equation modeling* (5th ed.). Guilford Press.
- Korkmaz, S., Goksuluk, D., & Zararsiz, G. (2014). MVN: An R package for assessing multivariate normality. *The R Journal*, 6(2), 151-162. <https://doi.org/10.32614/RJ-2014-031>
- Kovacs, K., & Conway, A. R. A. (2016). Process overlap theory: A unified account of the general factor of intelligence. *Psychological Inquiry*, 27(3), 151-177. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1047840X.2016.1153946>
- Kuncel, N. R., Hezlett, S. A., & Ones, D. S. (2004). Academic performance, career potential, creativity, and job performance: Can one construct predict them all? *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 86(1), 148-161. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.86.1.148>
- Li, C. H. (2016). Confirmatory factor analysis with ordinal data: Comparing robust maximum likelihood and diagonally weighted least squares. *Behavior Research Methods*, 48(3), 936-949. <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-015-0619-7>
- Lubke, G. H., & Muthén, B. (2005). Investigating population heterogeneity with factor mixture models. *Psychological Methods*, 10(1), 21-39. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989X.10.1.21>
- Makransky, G., & Glas, C. A. W. (2017). Computerized adaptive testing for applied psychological measurement. In B. S. Wiese & A. Freund (Eds.), *Psychology of career adaptability, employability and resilience* (pp. 229-251). Springer.
- McGrew, K. S. (2023). Carroll's three-stratum (3S) cognitive ability theory at 30 years: Impact, 3S-CHC theory clarification, structural replication, and cognitive-achievement psychometric network analysis extension. *Journal of Intelligence*, 11(2), 32. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jintelligence11020032>
- McGrew, K. S., Schneider, W. J., & Flanagan, D. P. (2023). Unique contributions of Cattell-Horn-Carroll broad and narrow cognitive abilities to the prediction of academic achievement. *Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment*, 41(2), 162-180. <https://doi.org/10.1177/07342829221141446>

- McNeish, D., & Wolf, M. G. (2020). Thinking twice about sum scores. *Behavior Research Methods*, 52(6), 2287-2305. <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-020-01398-0>
- Penfield, R. D., & Camilli, G. (2007). Differential butir soal functioning and butir soal bias. In C. R. Rao & S. Sinharay (Eds.), *Handbook of statistics: Vol. 26. Psychometrics* (pp. 125-167). Elsevier.
- Primi, R., Ferrão, M. E., & Almeida, L. S. (2016). Fluid intelligence as a predictor of learning: A longitudinal multilevel approach applied to math. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 45, 4-15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2015.11.012>
- Reynolds, M. R., & Niileksela, C. R. (2022). Test review: Naglieri, J. A., Das, J. P., & Goldstein, S. (2014). Cognitive Assessment System–Second Edition. *Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment*, 40(1), 144-151. <https://doi.org/10.1177/07342829211054448>
- Rhemtulla, M., Brosseau-Liard, P. É., & Savalei, V. (2012). When can categorical variables be treated as continuous? A comparison of robust continuous and categorical SEM estimation methods under suboptimal conditions. *Psychological Methods*, 17(3), 354-373. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0029315>
- Salgado, J. F., Anderson, N., & Hülsheger, U. R. (2017). Employee selection in Europe: Psychotechnics, legal regulations, and validity. In H. C. Jansen, G. Melchers, & M. Kleinmann (Eds.), *Personalauswahl: Die wichtigsten Testverfahren und deren Anwendung* (pp. 9-32). Hogrefe.
- Sarstedt, M., Hair, J. F., Ringle, C. M., Thiele, K. O., & Gudergan, S. P. (2016). Estimation issues with PLS and CBSEM: Where the bias lies! *Journal of Business Research*, 69(10), 3998-4010. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2016.06.007>
- Sarstedt, M., & Cheah, J. H. (2019). Partial least squares structural equation modeling using SmartPLS: A software review. *Journal of Marketing Analytics*, 7(3), 196-202. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41270-019-00058-3>

- Savalei, V., & Kolenikov, S. (2008). Constrained versus unconstrained estimation in structural equation modeling. *Psychological Methods*, 13(2), 150-170. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989X.13.2.150>
- Schmidt, F. L., Oh, I. S., & Shaffer, J. A. (2016). The validity and utility of selection methods in personnel psychology: Practical and theoretical implications of 100 years of research findings. *Working Paper*, 10(1), 1-73. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.18843.26400>
- Schneider, W. J., & McGrew, K. S. (2018). The Cattell-Horn-Carroll theory of cognitive abilities. In D. P. Flanagan & E. M. McDonough (Eds.), *Contemporary intellectual assessment: Theories, tests, and issues* (4th ed., pp. 73-163). Guilford Press.
- Schneider, W. J. (2022). Principles of assessment of aptitude and achievement. In D. P. Flanagan, V. C. Alfonso, & D. H. Saklofske (Eds.), *Essentials of specific learning disability identification* (2nd ed., pp. 63-108). Wiley.
- Schumacker, R. E., & Lomax, R. G. (2016). *A beginner's guide to structural equation modeling* (4th ed.). Routledge.
- Widhiarso, W. (2012). Dampak Kesalahan Penetapan Indikator Efek dan Kausal terhadap Indeks Ketepatan dalam Pemodelan Persamaan Struktural. *Jurnal Ilmiah Psikologi Mindset*, 3(02), 96-105.
- Watkins, M. W. (2017). The reliability of multidimensional neuropsychological measures: From alpha to omega. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist*, 31(6-7), 1113-1126. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13854046.2017.1317364>
- Zwitser, R. J., Glaser, S. S. F., & Maris, G. (2017). Monitoring countries in a changing world: A new look at DIF in international surveys. *Psychometrika*, 82(1), 210-232. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-016-9543-8>