

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

1. Ali, M., Harbieh, I., Haider, K.H., 2025. Bytes versus brains: A comparative study of AI-generated feedback and human tutor feedback in medical education. *Medical Teacher* 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159X.2025.2519639>
2. Alqahtani, T., Badreldin, H.A., Alrashed, M., Alshaya, A.I., Alghamdi, S.S., Bin Saleh, K., Alowais, S.A., Alshaya, O.A., Rahman, I., Al Yami, M.S., Albekairy, A.M., 2023. The emergent role of artificial intelligence, natural learning processing, and large language models in higher education and research. *Research in Social and Administrative Pharmacy* 19, 1236–1242. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sapharm.2023.05.016>
3. Bauermann, M., Rotthoff, T., Hallmen, T., Kunz, M., André, E., Schindler, A.-K., 2025. Medical students' perceptions of AI-based feedback and feedforward on communication skills in doctor–patient consultation - an acceptance study in a video-based simulation. *Medical Education Online* 30, 2592414. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10872981.2025.2592414>
4. Beninger, P., Salem, D.N., Boumil, M.M., Jotwani, R., 2016. Medical Schools' Competition for Clinical Training Sites. *Academic Medicine* 91, 1588–1588. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0000000000001428>
5. Bisdas, S., Topriceanu, C.-C., Zakrzewska, Z., Irimia, A.-V., Shakallis, L., Subhash, J., Casapu, M.-M., Leon-Rojas, J., Pinto Dos Santos, D., Andrews, D.M., Zeicu, C., Bouhuwaish, A.M., Lestari, A.N., Abu-Ismael, L., Sadiq, A.S., Khamees, A., Mohammed, K.M.G., Williams, E., Omran, A.I., Ismail, D.Y.A., Ebrahim, E.H., 2021. Artificial Intelligence in Medicine: A Multinational Multi-Center Survey on the Medical and Dental Students' Perception. *Front. Public Health* 9, 795284. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2021.795284>
6. Bowen, L., Marshall, M., Murdoch-Eaton, D., 2017. Medical Student Perceptions of Feedback and Feedback Behaviors Within the Context of the “Educational Alliance.” *Academic Medicine* 92, 1303–1312. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0000000000001632>
7. Bui, N.M., Barrot, J.S., 2025. ChatGPT as an automated essay scoring tool in the writing classrooms: how it compares with human scoring. *Educ Inf Technol* 30, 2041–2058. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-12891-w>
8. Burgess, A., Van Diggele, C., Roberts, C., Mellis, C., 2020. Feedback in the clinical setting. *BMC Med Educ* 20, 460. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-020-02280-5>
9. Carney, P.A., Bonura, E.M., Kraakevik, J.A., Juve, A.M., Kahl, L.E., Deiorio, N.M., 2019. Measuring Coaching in Undergraduate Medical Education: the Development and Psychometric Validation of New Instruments. *J GEN INTERN MED* 34, 677–683. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-019-04888-w>
10. Castro, M.A.B.E., De Almeida, R.L.M., Lucchetti, A.L.G., Tibiriçá, S.H.C., Da Silva Ezequiel, O., Lucchetti, G., 2021. The Use of Feedback in Improving the Knowledge, Attitudes and Skills of Medical Students: a

- Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Med.Sci.Educ.* 31, 2093–2104. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40670-021-01443-3>
11. Chan, K.S., Zary, N., 2019. Applications and Challenges of Implementing Artificial Intelligence in Medical Education: Integrative Review. *JMIR Med Educ* 5, e13930. <https://doi.org/10.2196/13930>
  12. Civaner, M.M., Uncu, Y., Bulut, F., Chalil, E.G., Tatli, A., 2022. Artificial intelligence in medical education: a cross-sectional needs assessment. *BMC Med Educ* 22, 772. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-022-03852-3>
  13. Collins, G.S., Moons, K.G.M., Dhiman, P., Riley, R.D., Beam, A.L., Van Calster, B., Ghassemi, M., Liu, X., Reitsma, J.B., Van Smeden, M., Boulesteix, A.-L., Camaradou, J.C., Celi, L.A., Denaxas, S., Denniston, A.K., Glocker, B., Golub, R.M., Harvey, H., Heinze, G., Hoffman, M.M., Kengne, A.P., Lam, E., Lee, N., Loder, E.W., Maier-Hein, L., Mateen, B.A., McCradden, M.D., Oakden-Rayner, L., Ordish, J., Parnell, R., Rose, S., Singh, K., Wynants, L., Logullo, P., 2024. TRIPOD+AI statement: updated guidance for reporting clinical prediction models that use regression or machine learning methods. *BMJ* e078378. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj-2023-078378>
  14. Engerer, C., Berberat, P.O., Dinkel, A., Rudolph, B., Sattel, H., Wuensch, A., 2019. Specific feedback makes medical students better communicators. *BMC Med Educ* 19, 51. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-019-1470-9>
  15. Esmailzadeh, P., 2024. Challenges and strategies for wide-scale artificial intelligence (AI) deployment in healthcare practices: A perspective for healthcare organizations. *Artificial Intelligence in Medicine* 151, 102861. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.artmed.2024.102861>
  16. Eysenbach, G., 2023. The Role of ChatGPT, Generative Language Models, and Artificial Intelligence in Medical Education: A Conversation With ChatGPT and a Call for Papers. *JMIR Med Educ* 9, e46885. <https://doi.org/10.2196/46885>
  17. Fatteh, R., Phayo, Y., Raza, M., Naing, T.T., Phyo, Z., Arja, S.B., 2024. A Multi-Institutional Study Regarding the Perceptions of Students and Faculty Members About Constructive Feedback for Medical Students in Medical Education. *AMEP* Volume 15, 1361–1371. <https://doi.org/10.2147/AMEP.S488620>
  18. Fiel Peres, F., 2025. Effect sizes for nonparametric tests. *Biochemia Medica* 36, 010101. <https://doi.org/10.11613/BM.2026.010101>
  19. García-Varela, F., Nussbaum, M., Mendoza, M., Martínez-Troncoso, C., Bekerman, Z., 2025. ChatGPT as a Stable and Fair Tool for Automated Essay Scoring. *Education Sciences* 15, 946. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15080946>
  20. Gignac, G.E., Szodorai, E.T., 2016. Effect size guidelines for individual differences researchers. *Personality and Individual Differences* 102, 74–78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2016.06.069>
  21. Gordon, M., Daniel, M., Ajiboye, A., Uraiby, H., Xu, N.Y., Bartlett, R., Hanson, J., Haas, M., Spadafore, M., Grafton-Clarke, C., Gasiea, R.Y.,

- Michie, C., Corral, J., Kwan, B., Dolmans, D., Thammasitboon, S., 2024. A scoping review of artificial intelligence in medical education: BEME Guide No. 84. *Medical Teacher* 46, 446–470. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159X.2024.2314198>
22. Grzybowski, A., Jin, K., Wu, H., 2024a. Challenges of artificial intelligence in medicine and dermatology. *Clinics in Dermatology* 42, 210–215. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clindermatol.2023.12.013>
  23. Grzybowski, A., Pawlikowska–Łagód, K., Lambert, W.C., 2024b. A History of Artificial Intelligence. *Clinics in Dermatology* 42, 221–229. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clindermatol.2023.12.016>
  24. Haughney, K., Wakeman, S., Hart, L., 2020. Quality of Feedback in Higher Education: A Review of Literature. *Education Sciences* 10, 60. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci10030060>
  25. Hicke, Y., Geathers, J., Rajashekar, N., Chan, C., Jack, A.G., Sewell, J., Preston, M., Cornes, S., Shung, D., Kizilcec, R., 2025. MedSimAI: Simulation and Formative Feedback Generation to Enhance Deliberate Practice in Medical Education. <https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2503.05793>
  26. Holmes, W., Porayska-Pomsta, K., Holstein, K., Sutherland, E., Baker, T., Shum, S.B., Santos, O.C., Rodrigo, M.T., Cukurova, M., Bittencourt, I.I., Koedinger, K.R., 2022. Ethics of AI in Education: Towards a Community-Wide Framework. *Int J Artif Intell Educ* 32, 504–526. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40593-021-00239-1>
  27. Jacobs, M.M.J., Van Son, P.M., Scharstuhl, A., Van Gurp, P.J., Tanck, E., 2023. An innovative assessment tool for evaluating narrative feedback quality among Medicine and Biomedical Sciences students. *Int J Med Educ* 14, 147–154. <https://doi.org/10.5116/ijme.64f6.df43>
  28. Jayawardena, C.K., Gunathilake, Y., Ihalagedara, D., 2025. Dental Students’ Learning Experience: Artificial Intelligence vs Human Feedback on Assignments. *International Dental Journal* 75, 100–108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.identj.2024.12.022>
  29. Kinder, A., Briese, F.J., Jacobs, M., Dern, N., Glodny, N., Jacobs, S., Leßmann, S., 2025. Effects of adaptive feedback generated by a large language model: A case study in teacher education. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence* 8, 100349. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2024.100349>
  30. Kirkwood, B.R., Sterne, J.A.C., 2009. *Essential medical statistics*, 2. edition, [Nachdruck]. ed. Blackwell Science, Oxford.
  31. Kolb, D.A., 1984. *Experimental learning: experience as the source of learning and development*. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J.
  32. Koo, M., 2025. ChatGPT Research: A Bibliometric Analysis Based on the Web of Science from 2023 to June 2024. *Knowledge* 5, 4. <https://doi.org/10.3390/knowledge5010004>
  33. Koo, T.K., Li, M.Y., 2016. A Guideline of Selecting and Reporting Intraclass Correlation Coefficients for Reliability Research. *Journal of*

- Chiropractic Medicine 15, 155–163.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcm.2016.02.012>
34. Kung, T.H., Cheatham, M., Medenilla, A., Sillos, C., De Leon, L., Elepaño, C., Madriaga, M., Aggabao, R., Diaz-Candido, G., Maningo, J., Tseng, V., 2023. Performance of ChatGPT on USMLE: Potential for AI-assisted medical education using large language models. *PLOS Digit Health* 2, e0000198. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pdig.0000198>
  35. Kusumawardani, S.S., Wulandari, D., Pannen, P., Ekadiyanto, F.A., Wiryana, I.M., Purwarianti, A., Alfarozi, S.A.I., 2024. Panduan Penggunaan Generative Artificial Intelligence pada Pembelajaran di Perguruan Tinggi, Pertama. ed. Direktorat Pembelajaran dan Kemahasiswaan, Jakarta.
  36. Lambert, S.I., Madi, M., Sopka, S., Lenes, A., Stange, H., Buszello, C.-P., Stephan, A., 2023. An integrative review on the acceptance of artificial intelligence among healthcare professionals in hospitals. *npj Digit. Med.* 6, 111. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-023-00852-5>
  37. Lee, G.B., Chiu, A.M., 2022. Assessment and feedback methods in competency-based medical education. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology* 128, 256–262. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anai.2021.12.010>
  38. Lee, H., 2024. The rise of ChatGPT: Exploring its potential in medical education. *Anatomical Sciences Ed* 17, 926–931. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ase.2270>
  39. Lip, A., Watling, C.J., Ginsburg, S., 2023. What does “Timely” Mean to Residents? Challenging Feedback Assumptions in Postgraduate Education. *Perspect Med Educ* 12. <https://doi.org/10.5334/pme.1052>
  40. Maheshwari, A., Sarkar, A., Misra, S.M., 2025. Comparative analysis of human-generated versus Artificial Intelligence-drafted summary paragraphs for medical student performance evaluations. *Medical Teacher* 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159X.2025.2574382>
  41. Masters, K., 2019. Artificial intelligence in medical education. *Medical Teacher* 41, 976–980. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159X.2019.1595557>
  42. McHugh, M.L., 2012. Interrater reliability: the kappa statistic. *Biochem Med* 276–282. <https://doi.org/10.11613/BM.2012.031>
  43. Mir, M., Mir, G., Raina, N., Mir, S., Mir, S., MISKEEN, E., ALHARTHI, M., ALAMRI, M., 2023. Application of Artificial Intelligence in Medical Education: Current Scenario and Future Perspectives. *Journal of Advances in Medical Education and Professionalism* 11. <https://doi.org/10.30476/jamp.2023.98655.1803>
  44. Moritz, S., Romeike, B., Stosch, C., Tolks, D., 2023. Generative AI (gAI) in medical education: Chat-GPT and co 40.
  45. Nazaretsky, T., Mejia-Domenzain, P., Swamy, V., Frej, J., Käser, T., 2026. Who Gives Feedback Matters: Student Biases Towards Human and AI - Generated Formative Feedback. *Computer Assisted Learning* 42, e70153. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.70153>

46. Nazaretsky, T., Mejia-Domenzain, P., Swamy, V., Frej, J., Käser, T., 2024. AI or Human? Evaluating Student Feedback Perceptions in Higher Education. <https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/6zm83>
47. Paranjape, K., Schinkel, M., Nannan Panday, R., Car, J., Nanayakkara, P., 2019. Introducing Artificial Intelligence Training in Medical Education. *JMIR Med Educ* 5, e16048. <https://doi.org/10.2196/16048>
48. Parker, J.L., Becker, K., Carroca, C., 2023. ChatGPT for Automated Writing Evaluation in Scholarly Writing Instruction. *J Nurs Educ* 62, 721–727. <https://doi.org/10.3928/01484834-20231006-02>
49. Pusdekar, Y., Pusdekar, V., Dani, A., Saoji, A., Gupta, M., 2024. “The feedback dilemma”—provider and learner perspectives regarding the barriers and facilitators for giving and receiving feedback in medical education: A parallel mixed methods approach. *Journal of Education and Health Promotion* 13. [https://doi.org/10.4103/jehp.jehp\\_1594\\_23](https://doi.org/10.4103/jehp.jehp_1594_23)
50. Ramani, S., Könings, K.D., Ginsburg, S., Van Der Vleuten, C.Pm., 2019. Feedback Redefined: Principles and Practice. *J GEN INTERN MED* 34, 744–749. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-019-04874-2>
51. Ramani, S., Krackov, S.K., 2012. Twelve tips for giving feedback effectively in the clinical environment. *Medical Teacher* 34, 787–791. <https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2012.684916>
52. Sarkany, D., Deitte, L., 2017. Providing Feedback. *Academic Radiology* 24, 740–746. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acra.2016.11.023>
53. Schüttpelz-Brauns, K., 2022. Does assessment drive learning or should learning for professional life drive assessment? *GMS Journal for Medical Education* 39. <https://doi.org/10.3205/ZMA001582>
54. Schuwirth, L.W.T., Van Der Vleuten, C.P.M., 2019. How ‘Testing’ Has Become ‘Programmatic Assessment for Learning.’ *Health Professions Education* 5, 177–184. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hpe.2018.06.005>
55. Shaw, K., Henning, M., Webster, C., 2024. Artificial Intelligence in Medical Education: A Scoping Review of the Evidence for Efficacy and Future Directions. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4673012>
56. Shinde, S.A., Joshi, U.U., Karanje, N.C., Ingale, S.S., Munjappa, H.B., Pagar, A.B., 2025. Effectiveness of Structured Feedback Training on Student’s Performance in Formative Assessment of Physiology Practicals: A Comparative Study. *Cureus*. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.80176>
57. Shrout, P.E., Fleiss, J.L., 1979. Intraclass correlations: Uses in assessing rater reliability. *Psychological Bulletin* 86, 420–428. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.86.2.420>
58. Soemantri, D., Pinasthika, A., Department of Medical Education, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Indonesia, Jakarta, INDONESIA, Greviana, N., 2024. Is There Feedback Fatigue? Medical Teachers’ Views on Cognitive and Affective Factors in Providing Written Feedback. *EIMJ* 16, 101–117. <https://doi.org/10.21315/eimj2024.16.2.7>
59. Solis Trujillo, B.P., Velarde-Camaqui, D., Gonzales Nuñez, C.A., Castillo Silva, E.V., Gonzalez Said De La Oliva, M.D.P., 2025. The current landscape of formative assessment and feedback in graduate studies: a

- systematic literature review. *Front. Educ.* 10, 1509983. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2025.1509983>
60. Sreedhar, R., Chang, L., Gangopadhyaya, A., Shiels, P.W., Loza, J., Chi, E., Gabel, E., Park, Y.S., 2025. Comparing Scoring Consistency of Large Language Models with Faculty for Formative Assessments in Medical Education. *J GEN INTERN MED* 40, 127–134. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-024-09050-9>
61. Steiss, J., Tate, T., Graham, S., Cruz, J., Hebert, M., Wang, J., Moon, Y., Tseng, W., Warschauer, M., Olson, C.B., 2024. Comparing the quality of human and ChatGPT feedback of students' writing. *Learning and Instruction* 91, 101894. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2024.101894>
62. Swanwick, T., Forrest, K., O'Brien, B.C., Association for the Study of Medical Education (Eds.), 2019. *Understanding medical education: evidence, theory and practice*, 3rd edition. ed. Wiley-Blackwell, Hoboken, NJ. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119373780>
63. Tarsono, Anisa, H., Khomah, I., Fahrurozi, A., Mutmainnah Binti Md Noor, N., 2025. The Effect of Formative Assessment on Self-Regulated Learning Among Grade XI Students at Riyadlul Ulum Wadda'wah Condong. *Tadris* 10, 91–103. <https://doi.org/10.24042/tadris.v10i1.26008>
64. Tate, T.P., Steiss, J., Bailey, D., Graham, S., Moon, Y., Ritchie, D., Tseng, W., Warschauer, M., 2024. Can AI provide useful holistic essay scoring? *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence* 7, 100255. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2024.100255>
65. Telio, S., Ajjawi, R., Regehr, G., 2015. The “Educational Alliance” as a Framework for Reconceptualizing Feedback in Medical Education: *Academic Medicine* 90, 609–614. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0000000000000560>
66. Teunissen, P.W., Bok, H.G.J., 2013. Believing is seeing: how people's beliefs influence goals, emotions and behaviour. *Med Educ* 47, 1064–1072. <https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.12228>
67. Topol, E.J., 2019. High-performance medicine: the convergence of human and artificial intelligence. *Nat Med* 25, 44–56. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0300-7>
68. Tran, T.T.T., 2025. Enhancing EFL Writing Revision Practices: The Impact of AI- and Teacher-Generated Feedback and Their Sequences. *Education Sciences* 15, 232. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15020232>
69. Van Der Kleij, F.M., Lipnevich, A.A., 2021. Student perceptions of assessment feedback: a critical scoping review and call for research. *Educ Asses Eval Acc* 33, 345–373. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11092-020-09331-x>
70. Watling, C.J., Ginsburg, S., 2019. Assessment, feedback and the alchemy of learning. *Medical Education* 53, 76–85. <https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.13645>
71. Wisniewski, B., Zierer, K., Hattie, J., 2020. The Power of Feedback Revisited: A Meta-Analysis of Educational Feedback Research. *Front. Psychol.* 10, 3087. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.03087>