

APPROVAL SHEET .....	i
VALIDATION SHEET.....	ii
DECLARATION OF ACADEMIC INTEGRITY.....	iii
PREFACE .....	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	v
LIST OF TABLES .....	ix
LIST OF FIGURES.....	x
ABSTRACT .....	xi
INTISARI.....	xii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1 Background.....	1
1.1.1 The Condition and Growth of Railways in Indonesia.....	1
1.1.2 Human Error as a Manifestation of System Risk.....	1
1.1.3 Signalling Related Safety Risks in Indonesian Railway Operations .....	2
1.1.4 Research Focus and Contribution .....	2
1.2 Research Questions .....	2
1.3 Aims and Objectives .....	3
1.4 Scope .....	3
1.5 Research Significance .....	4
1.6 Thesis Structure .....	4
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW .....	5
2.1 Models of Railway Safety Risk and System Failure.....	5
2.2 Signalling as a Safety Barrier in Indonesian Railway Operations.....	5
2.3 Signalling Protection in Indonesian Railway Operations .....	6
2.4 Human and Organisational Factors Analysis Using HFACS .....	7
2.5 Causal Relationship and Prioritisation Analysis Using DEMATEL and ANP .....	7
2.6 Previous Research and Literature Gap.....	8
2.7 Research novelty and contribution.....	11
CHAPTER 3 THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK .....	13
3.1 Transportation Accident Causation Models.....	13
3.2 The Human Factors Analysis and Classification System (HFACS).....	14
a. Unsafe Acts .....	14

b.	Preconditions for Unsafe Acts .....	14
c.	Unsafe Supervision .....	14
d.	Organisational Influences.....	14
3.3	Decision-Making Trial and Evaluation Laboratory (DEMATEL) .....	17
3.4	Analytic Network Process (ANP) .....	17
3.5	Translating HFACS into a practical prioritisation framework.....	18
CHAPTER 4	METHODOLOGY .....	19
4.1	Research Approach and Design .....	19
4.2	Data Source .....	20
4.2.1	KNKT report set.....	20
4.2.2	Juanda Collision case for causal factor ranking.....	20
4.3	HFACS-based identification of signalling related safety risks.....	21
4.3.1	Data extraction and unit of analysis .....	21
4.3.2	Frequency calculation of HFACS categories across accidents .....	22
4.4	Respondent Selection Process.....	22
4.5	Development of the Questionnaire .....	23
4.5.1	Questionnaire Design .....	23
4.5.2	Number of Questions .....	23
4.5.3	Questionnaire Format.....	24
4.6	Analysing main categories relationship using DEMATEL .....	24
4.7	Analysing sub categories ranking using DEMATEL-ANP .....	25
4.7.1	Outer Dependency Analysis for Sub-categories using ANP.....	25
4.7.2	Inner Dependency Analysis for Sub-categories using DEMATEL .....	26
4.7.3	Determining relative weights .....	27
4.7.4	Ranking of Contributing Factors for Prevention Strategies.....	28
CHAPTER 5	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....	29
5.1	Identification of signalling related safety risks based on KNKT accident investigation evidence .....	29
5.1.1	Evidence base and reporting approach.....	29
5.1.2	Frequency count across accidents .....	29
5.1.3	Synthesis of recurring signalling related safety risks.....	31
5.2	Translating the HFACS-based factor structure into a practical prioritisation framework	
	31	
5.2.1	Why HFACS needs a prioritisation step .....	31

5.2.2	Why frequency counts are not enough for prioritisation .....	32
5.2.3	Practical HFACS-to-prioritisation workflow used in this study.....	32
5.2.4	Outputs of the prioritisation framework.....	33
5.3	2015 Juanda Collision Illustrative Case.....	33
5.3.1	HFACS Classification in the 2015 Juanda Collision .....	36
5.3.2	Respondents Data.....	37
5.3.3	Causal Relationships among Main Categories Based on DEMATEL.....	38
5.3.4	Priority Ranking on ANP-DEMATEL .....	40
5.3.5	Determining final priorities.....	41
5.4	Discussion of Prioritisation Results .....	43
5.4.1	Interpretation of ANP Prioritisation.....	43
5.4.2	Relationship with DEMATEL Causal Structure.....	43
5.4.3	Comparison with Previous Studies .....	44
5.5	System Level Safety Interpretation.....	44
5.5.1	Integration of HFACS, DEMATEL, and ANP Findings .....	44
5.5.2	Systemic Pathway from Organizational Factors to Unsafe Acts .....	44
5.5.3	Implications for System-Level Railway Safety .....	45
CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION.....		46
6.1	Conclusion .....	46
6.1.1	HFACS-based identification of signalling-related safety risks.....	46
6.1.2	Translating HFACS factors into a practical prioritisation framework.....	46
6.1.3	Priority factors for prevention.....	46
6.2	Recommendations.....	47
REFERENCES.....		48
APPENDIX 1. HFACS extraction tables for all 13 reports.....		55
KNKT.15.05.02.02A (Waruduwur) .....		55
KNKT.15.06.03.02 (Kotabaru-Metur) .....		55
KNKT.15.09.04.02 (KRL 1156 vs KRL 1154, St. Juanda) .....		56
KNKT.15.10.05.02 (KA 3026 vs KA 3024, St. Negeriagung) .....		57
KNKT.17.06.02.02 (Tabrakan KA 3029B vs KLB Lori, St. Ketapang, 20 Juni 2017) .....		58
KNKT.17.09.03.02 (Anjlok KRL KA 1340, St. Jakarta Kota, 14 September 2017)		58
KNKT.17.10.04.02 - Anjlokan KA 1507 (Emplasemen St. Manggarai) .....		59

KNKT.18.01.01.02 - Anjlokkan KA 20 Argo Parahyangan (Emplasemen St. Bandung, Wesel 39AB).....	59
KNKT.19.11.06.02 (Anjlokkan KA PLB 7091C, Wesel IIA, St. Barat) .....	60
KNKT.21.02.01.02 (Anjlokkan Ex. KA 3077, Emplasemen St. Tanjungenim Baru) .....	60
KNKT.21.10.03.02 (Tabrakan TS 29 vs TS 20 LRT Jabodebek, 25 Okt 2021).....	61
KNKT.24.01.01.02 (Tabrakan KA 350 CL Bandung Raya vs KA 65A Turangga, Cicalengka-Haurpugur, 5 Jan 2024) .....	61
KNKT.24.01.02.02 (Anjlokkan KA 75A, St. Tanggulangin, 14 Jan 2024).....	62
APPENDIX 2 HFACS-RAs coding codebook and decision rules .....	64
Purpose and unit of analysis .....	64
General coding rules.....	64
Category definitions with inclusion and exclusion criteria (HFACS-RAs) .....	64
APPENDIX 3. Response Data .....	69
APPENDIX 4. Outer dependency matrix and calculated eigenvectors (W).....	73
APPENDIX 5. Inner dependency matrix and calculated local weight matrix.....	75