

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Alexander, L.K., Lopes, B., Ricchetti-masterson, K., & Yeatts, K.B., 2015. Confounding bias, part II and effect measure modification. *ERIC Noteb*.
- Alruwaili, A.A., & Jesus, O. De, 2023. Meningioma. *StatPearls* 1–18.
- Aydin, O., Buyukkaya, R., & Hakyemez, B., 2017. Susceptibility imaging in glial tumor grading; using 3 tesla magnetic resonance (MR) system and 32 channel head coil. *Polish J. Radiol.* 82: 179–187. doi:10.12659/PJR.900374
- Baltran, J., Simon, D.C., Katz, W., & Weis, L.D., 1987. Increased MR signal intensity in skeletal muscle adjacent to malignant tumors: Pathologic correlation and clinical relevance. *Radiology* 162: 251–255. doi:10.1148/radiology.162.1.3786772
- Balzer, T., 2006. Contrast Agents for Magnetic Resonance Imaging, in: Clinical MR Imaging. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 53–63. doi:10.1007/3-540-31555-1_2
- Baskan, O., Silav, G., Bolukbasi, F.H., Canoz, O., Geyik, S., & Elmaci, I., 2016. Relation of apparent diffusion coefficient with Ki-67 proliferation index in meningiomas. *Br. J. Radiol.* 89: 20140842. doi:10.1259/bjr.20140842
- Bozdog, M., & Er, A., 2021. Relation of susceptibility-weighted imaging findings with histological grade in intracranial meningiomas. *Ann. Med. Res.* 28: 806. doi:10.5455/annalsmedres.2020.06.593
- Buerki, R.A., Horbinski, C.M., Kruser, T., Horowitz, P.M., James, C.D., & Lukas, R. V, 2018. An Overview of Meningiomas. *Futur. Oncol.* 14: 2161–2177. doi:10.2217/fon-2018-0006
- Dahlan, M.S., 2019. Besar sampel dalam penelitian kedokteran dan kesehatan (5th ed.). Epidemiologi Indonesia, Jakarta.
- Delfaut, E.M., Beltran, J., Johnson, G., Rousseau, J., Marchandise, X., & Cotten, A., 1999. Fat suppression in MR imaging: Techniques and pitfalls. *Radiographics* 19: 373–382. doi:10.1148/radiographics.19.2.g99mr03373
- Duan, C., Zhou, X., Wang, J., Li, N., Liu, F., Gao, S., et al., 2022. A radiomics nomogram for predicting the meningioma grade based on enhanced T1WI images. *Br. J. Radiol.* 95: 1–8. doi:10.1259/bjr.20220141
- Fathi, A.-R., & Roelcke, U., 2013. Meningioma. *Curr. Neurol. Neurosci. Rep.* 13: 337. doi:10.1007/s11910-013-0337-4
- Fatmawati, H., Adji, N.K., Bumi, C., Yunita, K., & Putri, W., 2024. Characterization of Classic Meningioma with Use of Conventional Magnetic Resonance and Diffusion Tensor Imaging 341–344. doi:10.33371/ijoc.v18i3.1133
- García-Sebastián, M., Isabel González, A., & Graña, M., 2009. An adaptive field rule for non-parametric MRI intensity inhomogeneity estimation algorithm. *Neurocomputing* 72: 3556–3569. doi:10.1016/j.neucom.2008.12.034
- Gielen, J.L.M.A., De Schepper, A.M.A., Parizel, P.M., Wang, X.L., & Vanhoenacker, F., 2003. Additional value of magnetic resonance with spin echo T1-weighted imaging with fat suppression in characterization of soft tissue tumors. *J. Comput. Assist. Tomogr.* 27: 434–441. doi:10.1097/00004728-200305000-00024
- Giordano, M., Samii, A., Samii, M., & Nabavi, A., 2019. Magnetic Resonance Imaging-Apparent Diffusion Coefficient Assessment of Vestibular Schwannomas: Systematic Approach, Methodology, and Pitfalls. *World Neurosurg.* 125: e820–e823. doi:10.1016/j.wneu.2019.01.176
- Hale, A.T., Wang, L., Strother, M.K., & Chambless, L.B., 2018. Differentiating meningioma grade by imaging features on magnetic resonance imaging. *J. Clin. Neurosci.* 48: 71–75.

doi:10.1016/j.jocn.2017.11.013

- Hung, N.D., Dung, L.T., Huyen, D.K., Duy, N.Q., He, D. Van, & Duc, N.M., 2022. The value of quantitative magnetic resonance imaging signal intensity in distinguishing between spinal meningiomas and schwannomas. *Int. J. Med. Sci.* 19: 1110–1117. doi:10.7150/ijms.73319
- Huntoon, K., Toland, A.M.S., & Dahiya, S., 2020. Meningioma: A Review of Clinicopathological and Molecular Aspects. *Front. Oncol.* 10: 1–14. doi:10.3389/fonc.2020.579599
- Karabacak, M., Patil, S., Feng, R., Shrivastava, R.K., & Margetis, K., 2024. A large scale multi institutional study for radiomics driven machine learning for meningioma grading. *Sci. Rep.* 14: 1–8. doi:10.1038/s41598-024-78311-8
- Komite Penanggulangan Kanker Nasional, 2019. Pedoman Nasional Pelayanan Kedokteran Tumor Otak. *Kemeteri. Kesehat. RI* 1: 146–153.
- Lin, B.J., Chou, K.N., Kao, H.W., Lin, C., Tsai, W.C., Feng, S.W., et al., 2014. Correlation between magnetic resonance imaging grading and pathological grading in meningioma. *J. Neurosurg.* 121: 1201–1208. doi:10.3171/2014.7.JNS132359
- Maiuri, F., de Divitiis, O., Cirillo, S., Di Salle, F., & Laura De Caro, M., 1997. Intracranial meningiomas: correlations between MR imaging and histology. *Eur. J. Radiol.* 31: 69–75. doi:10.1016/s0720-048x(98)00083-7
- Marosi, C., Hassler, M., Roessler, K., Reni, M., Sant, M., Mazza, E., et al., 2008. Meningioma. *Crit. Rev. Oncol. Hematol.* 67: 153–171. doi:10.1016/j.critrevonc.2008.01.010
- Nakamae, T., Kamei, N., Tamura, T., Maruyama, T., Nakao, K., Farid, F., et al., 2024. Differentiation of the Intradural Extramedullary Spinal Tumors, Schwannomas, and Meningiomas Utilizing the Contrast Ratio as a Quantitative Magnetic Resonance Imaging Method. *World Neurosurg.* 188: e320–e325. doi:10.1016/j.wneu.2024.05.106
- Ogasawara, C., Philbrick, B.D., & Adamson, D.C., 2021. Meningioma: A Review of Epidemiology, Pathology, Diagnosis, Treatment, and Future Directions. *Biomedicines* 9: 319. doi:10.3390/biomedicines9030319
- Salles, D., Santino, S.F., Malinverni, A.C.M., & Stávale, J.N., 2021. Meningiomas: A review of general, histopathological, clinical and molecular characteristics. *Pathol. - Res. Pract.* 223: 153476. doi:10.1016/j.prp.2021.153476
- Schob, S., Frydrychowicz, C., Gawlitzka, M., Bure, L., Preuß, M., Hoffmann, K.T., et al., 2016. Signal intensities in preoperative mri do not reflect proliferative activity in Meningioma. *Transl. Oncol.* 9: 274–279. doi:10.1016/j.tranon.2016.05.003
- Smith, K.A., Leever, J.D., & Chamoun, R.B., 2015. Predicting Consistency of Meningioma by Magnetic Resonance Imaging. doi:10.1055/s-0034-1543965
- Soyama, N., Kuratsu, J. ichi, & Ushio, Y., 1995. Correlation Between Magnetic Resonance Images and Histology in Meningiomas: T2-weighted Images Indicate Collagen Contents in Tissues. *Neurol. Med. Chir. (Tokyo)*. 35: 438–441. doi:10.2176/nmc.35.438
- Tsai, Y.T., Hung, K.C., Shih, Y.J., Lim, S.W., Yang, C.C., Kuo, Y.T., et al., 2022. Preoperative Apparent Diffusion Coefficient Values for Differentiation between Low and High Grade Meningiomas: An Updated Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Diagnostics* 12: 1–11. doi:10.3390/diagnostics12030630
- Uhlenbrock, D., Fischer, C., & Beyer, H.K., 1988. Angiomyolipoma of the kidney: Comparison between magnetic resonance imaging, computed tomography, and ultrasonography for diagnosis. *Acta radiol.* 29: 523–526. doi:10.1080/02841858809171929
- Wang, J., Qiu, M., Papademetris, X., & Todd Constable, R., 2005. Brain tissue segmentation based on corrected gray-scale analysis. *Annu. Int. Conf. IEEE Eng. Med. Biol. - Proc.* 7 VOLS: 3027–3030. doi:10.1109/iembs.2005.1617112

- Wang, J.Z., Landry, A.P., Raleigh, D.R., Sahm, F., Walsh, K.M., Goldbrunner, R., et al., 2024. Meningioma: International Consortium on Meningiomas consensus review on scientific advances and treatment paradigms for clinicians, researchers, and patients. *Neuro. Oncol.* 26: 1742–1780. doi:10.1093/neuonc/noae082
- Watanabe, K., Kakeda, S., Yamamoto, J., Ide, S., Ohnari, N., & Nishizawa, S., 2015. Prediction of hard meningiomas : quantitative evaluation based on the magnetic resonance signal intensity 0: 1–8. doi:10.1177/0284185115578323
- Wei, P., Shao, C., Tian, M., Wu, M., Wang, H., Han, Z., et al., 2021. Quantitative analysis and pathological basis of signal intensity on T2-weighted MR images in benign and malignant parotid tumors. *Cancer Manag. Res.* 13: 5423–5431. doi:10.2147/CMAR.S319466
- Winter, F., Furtner, J., Dunst, A., Kasprian, G., Weber, M., Woehrer, A., et al., 2025. Predicting critical surgical characteristics of intracranial meningiomas on MRI - A prospective study on 100 consecutive patients. *Neuro-Oncology Pract.* 12: 458–466. doi:10.1093/nop/npaf004
- Yamada, H., Kai, N., Hiratsuka, Y., Mitani, S., Suehiro, S., Shiraishi, Y., et al., 2022. Comparison of the Signal Intensity of Vestibular Schwannoma Between Growing and Nongrowing Tumors. *Laryngoscope* 132: 198–203. doi:10.1002/lary.29834
- Yao, A., Pain, M., Balchandani, P., & Shrivastava, R.K., 2018. Can MRI predict meningioma consistency?: a correlation with tumor pathology and systematic review. *Neurosurg. Rev.* 41: 745–753. doi:10.1007/s10143-016-0801-0
- Zhang, G., Chen, X., Zhang, S., Ruan, X., Gao, C., Liu, Z., et al., 2019. Discrimination Between Solitary Brain Metastasis and Glioblastoma Multiforme by Using ADC-Based Texture Analysis: A Comparison of Two Different ROI Placements. *Acad. Radiol.* 26: 1466–1472. doi:10.1016/j.acra.2019.01.010
- Zhang, S., Chiang, G.C., Knapp, J.M., Zecca, C.M., He, D., Ramakrishna, R., et al., 2020. Grading meningiomas utilizing multiparametric MRI with inclusion of susceptibility weighted imaging and quantitative susceptibility mapping. *J. Neuroradiol.* 47: 272–277. doi:10.1016/j.neurad.2019.05.002
- Zhao, L., & Wang, Z., 2022. Enhanced MRI tumor-subcutaneous fat signal intensity ratio for differentiation of intraspinal schwannoma and meningioma. *Chinese J. Med. Imaging Technol.* 38: 49–52. doi:10.13929/j.issn.1003-3289.2022.01.012