

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Ackrill, R., & Kay, A. (2011). Multiple streams in EU policy-making: The case of the 2005 sugar reform. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 18(1), 72–89. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2011.520879>
- Ackrill, R., Kay, A., & Zahariadis, N. (2013). Ambiguity, multiple streams, and EU policy. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 20(6), 871–887. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2013.781824>
- Aladejare, S. A., & Bobbo, S. (2024). Brown vs green energy sources and resource productivity: The role of human capital and technology transfer in developing economies. *Sustainable Economies*, 2(4), 416. <https://doi.org/10.62617/se416>
- Allison, G. T. (1971). *Essence of decision: Explaining the Cuban Missile Crisis*. Little, Brown.
- Allison, G. T., & Zelikow, P. (1999). *Essence of decision: Explaining the Cuban Missile Crisis (2nd ed.)*. Longman.
- Asante, W. (2023). The multiple streams framework and forest policy change process in Ghana. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 9(1), 2228062. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2023.2228062>
- Aviram, N. F., Cohen, N., & Beeri, I. (2020). Wind(ow) of change: A systematic review of policy entrepreneurship characteristics and strategies. *Policy Studies Journal*, 48(3), 612–644. <https://doi.org/10.1111/psj.12339>
- Bache, I., & Reardon, L. (2013). An idea whose time has come? Explaining the rise of well-being in British politics. *Political Studies*, 61(4), 898–914. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9248.12001>
- Bai, X., Hou, Y., & Yang, D. (2021). Choose clean energy or green technology? Empirical evidence from global ships. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 151, 102364. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tre.2021.102364>
- Battaglia, F. (2025). Kingdon at 40: Multiple streams, multiple flaws. *Journal of Policy Studies*, 40(3), 25–42. <https://doi.org/10.52372/jps.250204>
- Baumgartner, F. R., & Jones, B. D. (1993). *Agendas and instability in American politics*. University of Chicago Press.
- Baz, K., Xu, D., Ali, H., Ali, I., Khan, I., Khan, M. M., & Shuai, C. (2020). Asymmetric impact of energy consumption and economic growth on ecological footprint: Using an asymmetric and nonlinear approach. *Science of the Total Environment*, 718, 137364. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137364>
- Béland, D. (2005). Ideas and social policy: An institutional perspective. *Social Policy & Administration*, 39(1), 1–18.
- Béland, D., & Howlett, M. (2016). The role and impact of the multiple-streams approach in comparative policy analysis. *Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis: Research and Practice*, 18(3), 221–227. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13876988.2016.1174410>

- Bevir, M. (2007). Bureaucratic politics approach. In M. Bevir (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of governance* (Vol. 2, pp. 61–61). SAGE Publications, Inc. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781412952613.n372>
- Birkland, T. A. (1997). *After disaster: Agenda setting, public policy, and focusing events*. Georgetown University Press.
- Blakelock, E., & Turnpenny, J. (2022). The impact of participatory policy formulation on regulatory legitimacy: The case of Great Britain's Office of Gas and Electricity Markets (Ofgem). *Policy & Politics*, 50(4), 507–525. <https://doi.org/10.1332/030557321X16510710879298>
- Blum, S. (2019). The multiple-streams framework and knowledge utilization: Argumentative couplings of problem, policy, and politics issues. *Policy and Society*, 38(3), 389–407. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14494035.2019.1621261>
- Boswell, C., & Rodrigues, E. (2016). Policies, politics and organisational problems: Multiple streams and the implementation of targets in UK government. *Policy & Politics*, 44(4), 507–524. <https://doi.org/10.1332/030557315X14477577990650>
- Brouwer, S., & Biermann, F. (2011). Towards adaptive management: Examining the strategies of policy entrepreneurs in Dutch water management. *Ecology and Society*, 16(4), Article 5. <https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-04315-160405>
- Cairney, P. (2018). Three habits of successful policy entrepreneurs. *Policy & Politics*, 46(2), 199–215. <https://doi.org/10.1332/030557318X15230061022899>
- Cairney, P., & Jones, M. D. (2016). Kingdon's multiple streams approach: What is the empirical impact of this universal theory? *Policy Studies Journal*, 44(1), 37–58. <https://doi.org/10.1111/psj.12111>
- Cairney, P., & Zahariadis, N. (2016). Multiple streams approach: A flexible metaphor presents an opportunity to operationalize agenda-setting processes. Dalam N. Zahariadis (Ed.), *Handbook of public policy agenda setting* (hlm. 23–51). Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Candel, J. J. L., Princen, S., & Biesbroek, R. (2023). Patterns of coordination in the European Commission: An analysis of interservice consultations around climate change adaptation policy (2007–2018). *Journal of European Public Policy*, 30(1), 104–127. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2021.1983008>
- Castillo, E., & Hancock, K. J. (2022). Multiple streams framework and mineral royalties: The 2005 mining tax reform in Chile. *Resources Policy*, 77, 102722. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2022.102722>
- Choi, T.-H., & Wong, Y.-L. (2024). Does public consultation affect policy formulation? Negotiation strategies between the administration and citizens. *Journal of Education Policy*, 39(3), 455–479. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02680939.2023.2269373>
- Clasing-Manquian, P. (2024). How free tuition became a policy in Chile: The importance of policy actors and their beliefs. *Higher Education*, 88(5), 1829–1849. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-024-01188-z>

- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (4th ed.). Sage.
- Dai, S., & Taube, M. (2021). Strategic ambiguity in policy formulation: Exploring the function of the term “township and village enterprises” in China’s industrial ownership reforms. *Journal of Chinese Governance*, 6(2), 232–256. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23812346.2020.1809272>
- Datzer, V., & Lonardo, L. (2023). Genesis and evolution of EU anti disinformation policy: Entrepreneurship and political opportunism in the regulation of digital technology. *Journal of European Integration*, 45(5), 751–766. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07036337.2022.2150842>
- DeLeo, R. A., Zohlnhöfer, R., & Zahariadis, N. (2024). *Multiple streams and policy ambiguity*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009397926>
- Denzin, N. K. (2012). Triangulation 2.0. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 6(2), 80–88. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689812437186>
- Eising, R. (2013). Theories of policy formulation. ZEI, Center for European Integration Studies.
- Entman, R. M. (1993). Framing: Toward clarification of a fractured paradigm. *Journal of Communication*, 43(4), 51–58. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01304.x>
- Fowler, L. (2022). Using the Multiple Streams Framework to connect policy adoption to implementation. *Policy Studies Journal*, 50(3), 615–639. doi:10.1111/psj.12381
- Giese, K. K. (2020). Coronavirus disease 2019’s shake-up of telehealth policy: Application of Kingdon’s multiple streams framework. *The Journal for Nurse Practitioners*, 16(10), 768–770. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nurpra.2020.08.017>
- Glaser, B. G., & Strauss, A. L. (1967). *The discovery of grounded theory: Strategies for qualitative research*. Aldine.
- Haas, P. M. (1992). Introduction: Epistemic communities and international policy coordination. *International Organization*, 46(1), 1–35. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300001442>
- Hadna, A. H. (2021). Policy formulation during pandemic COVID-19: A new evidence of multiple streams theory from Yogyakarta, Indonesia. *Journal of Public Administration and Governance*, 11(3). <https://doi.org/10.5296/jpag.v11i3.18741>
- Herweg, N., Huß, C., & Zohlnhöfer, R. (2015). Straightening the three streams: Theorising extensions of the multiple streams framework. *European Journal of Political Research*, 54(3), 435–449. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6765.12089>
- Hofer, R. (2022). The multiple streams framework: Understanding and applying the problems, policies, and politics approach. *Journal of Policy Practice and Research*, 3, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42972-022-00049-2>

- Hossain, J., & Jamil, I. (2022). Equality in contention: Exploring the debates of gender-equal inheritance rights in Bangladesh. *Public Administration and Policy*, 25(3), 323–335. <https://doi.org/10.1108/PAP-08-2021-0049>
- Howlett, M. (2019). Comparing policy advisory systems beyond the OECD: Models, dynamics and the second-generation research agenda. *Policy Studies*, 40(3–4), 241–259. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01442872.2019.1574724>
- Howlett, M. (2020). Challenges in applying design thinking to public policy: Dealing with the varieties of policy formulation and their vicissitudes. *Policy & Politics*, 48(1), 49–65. <https://doi.org/10.1332/030557319X15613699681219>
- Howlett, M., McConnell, A., & Perl, A. (2015). Streams and stages: Reconciling Kingdon's model with the policy process. *European Journal of Political Research*, 54(3). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6765.12076>
- Hurka, S. (2023). The institutional and political roots of complex policies: Evidence from the European Union. *European Journal of Political Research*, 62(4), 1168–1190. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6765.12611>
- Hurka, S., Haag, M., & Kaplaner, C. (2022). Policy complexity in the European Union, 1993–today: Introducing the EUPLEX dataset. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 29(9), 1512–1527. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2021.1986962>
- Huwylar, O. (2020). Interest groups in the European Union and their hiring of political consultancies. *European Union Politics*, 21(2), 333–354. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1465116519897820>
- Irwin, D., & Scott, J. M. (2023). Five decades of small business policy in England: Policy as a value proposition or window dressing? *British Politics*, 18(2), 300–319. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41293-021-00179-3>
- Jiang, Z., & Xu, C. (2023). Policy incentives, government subsidies, and technological innovation in new energy vehicle enterprises: Evidence from China. *Energy Policy*, 177, 113527. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2023.113527>
- Jones, M. D., Peterson, H. L., Pierce, J. J., Herweg, N., Bernal, A., Raney, H. L., & Zahariadis, N. (2015). A river runs through it: A multiple streams meta-review. *Policy Studies Journal*, 44(1), 13–36. <https://doi.org/10.1111/psj.12115>
- Kennedy, S. F. (2018). Indonesia's energy transition and its contradictions: Emerging geographies of energy and finance. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 41, 230–237. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2018.04.023>
- Khan Babar, A. H., & Ali, Y. (2022). Framework construction for augmentation of resilience in critical infrastructure: Developing countries a case in point. *Technology in Society*, 68, 101809. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2021.101809>
- Kingdon, J. W. (1984). *Agendas, alternatives, and public policies*. Little, Brown.
- Kingdon, J. W. (2011). *Agendas, alternatives, and public policies* (Updated 2nd ed.). Longman.
- Knaggård, Å. (2015). The multiple streams framework and the problem broker. *European Journal of Political Research*, 54(3), 450–465. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6765.12097>

- Kong, F., Sun, S., & Wang, Y. (2021). Comprehensive understanding the disaster-causing mechanism, governance dilemma and targeted countermeasures of urban pluvial flooding in China. *Water (Switzerland)*, *13*(13), 1762. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w13131762>
- Kunyenje, G., & Chigona, W. (2022). How influences of external actors affect Information and Communication Technology policy formation in developing countries: Case of Malawi. *Journal of Information Technology & Politics*, *19*(1), 34–49. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19331681.2021.1911907>
- Kyriazi, A., & Miró, J. (2023). Towards a socially fair green transition in the EU? An analysis of the Just Transition Fund using the multiple streams framework. *Comparative European Politics*, *21*(1), 112–132. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41295-022-00304-6>
- Leppänen, T., & Liefferink, D. (2022). Agenda-setting, policy formulation, and the EU institutional context: The case of the Just Transition Fund. *European Policy Analysis*, *8*(1), 51–67. <https://doi.org/10.1002/epa2.1136>
- Liu, D., Wang, D., & Mao, J. (2023). Study on policy synergy strategy of the central government and local governments in the process of coal de-capacity: Based on a two-stage evolutionary game method. *Resources Policy*, *80*, 103132. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2022.103132>
- Liu, Z., Tang, Y., Wilson, J., Zhang, Y., & Zhao, W. (2023). Influence of government attention on environmental quality: An analysis of 30 provinces in China. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, *100*, 107084. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2023.107084>
- Lovrić, M., Lovrić, N., & Schraml, U. (2019). Modeling policy networks: The case of Natura 2000 in Croatian forestry. *Forest Policy and Economics*, *103*, 90–102. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2018.03.002>
- Marciano, R. (2023). More than just experts for hire: A conceptualization of the roles of consultants in public policy formulation. *Policy Studies Journal*, *51*(3), 573–585. <https://doi.org/10.1111/psj.12495>
- Meijerink, S., & Huitema, D. (2010). Policy entrepreneurs and change strategies: Lessons from sixteen case studies of water transitions around the globe. *Ecology and Society*, *15*(2), Article 21. <https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-03509-150221>
- Migone, A., & Howlett, M. (2025). A theory of policy advisory system quality: Hirschman 2.0 or what makes for good policy advice? *Policy & Politics*, *53*(1), 44–64. <https://doi.org/10.1332/03055736Y2024D000000035>
- Mintrom, M., & Norman, P. (2009). Policy entrepreneurship and policy change. *Policy Studies Journal*, *37*(4), 649–667. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1541-0072.2009.00329.x>
- Moynihan, D. P., Herd, P., & Harvey, H. (2015). Administrative burden: Learning, psychological, and compliance costs in citizen–state interactions. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, *25*(1), 43–69.

- Mucciaroni, G. (1992). The garbage can model & the study of policy making: A critique. *Polity*, 24(3), 459–482. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3235165>
- Mukherjee, I., & Howlett, M. (2015). Who is a stream? Epistemic communities, instrument constituencies and advocacy coalitions in public policy-making. *Politics and Governance*, 3(2), 65–75. <https://doi.org/10.17645/pag.v3i2.290>
- Mustamu, J., & Bakarbesy, A. D. (2020). Optimizing health protocol enforcement during the Covid-19 pandemic. *Law Reform: Jurnal Pembaharuan Hukum*, 16(2), 243–263. <https://doi.org/10.14710/lr.v16i2.33775>
- Noor, I., Danar, O. R., & Wahyudi, L. E. (2023). Local government collaborative innovation policy. *Public Policy and Administration*, 22(3), 332–343. <https://doi.org/10.5755/j01.ppaa.22.3.33347>
- Øvald, C. B. (2024). Advancing the multiple streams framework for decision-making: The case of integrating ethics into the Norwegian oil fund strategy. *Policy Sciences*, 57(1), 125–144. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11077-023-09518-1>
- Patton, M. Q. (2015). *Qualitative research & evaluation methods: Integrating theory and practice*. Sage.
- Peng, H., Shen, N., Ying, H., & Wang, Q. (2021). Factor analysis and policy simulation of domestic waste classification behavior based on a multiagent study—Taking Shanghai's garbage classification as an example. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 89, 106598. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2021.106598>
- Rawat, P., & Morris, J. C. (2016). Kingdon's "streams" model at thirty: Still relevant in the 21st century? *Politics & Policy*, 44(4), 608–638. <https://doi.org/10.1111/polp.12168>
- Rittel, H. W. J., & Webber, M. M. (1973). Dilemmas in a general theory of planning. *Policy Sciences*, 4(2), 155–169. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01405730>
- Roberts, N. C., & King, P. J. (1991). Policy entrepreneurs: Their activity structure and function in the policy process. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 1(2), 147–175. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.jpart.a037081>
- Rose, D. C., Mukherjee, N., Simmons, B. I., Tew, E. R., Robertson, R. J., Vadrot, A. B. M., & Sutherland, W. J. (2020). Policy windows for the environment: Tips for improving the uptake of scientific knowledge. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 113, 47–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2017.07.013>
- Sætren, H. (2016). From controversial policy idea to successful program implementation: The role of the policy entrepreneur, manipulation strategy, program design, institutions, and the media. *Policy Sciences*, 49(1), 71–88. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11077-016-9242-4>
- Shen, X., & Lin, B. (2020). Policy incentives, R&D investment, and the energy intensity of China's manufacturing sector. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 255, 120208. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.120208>
- Shi, T. Y., & He, A. J. (2025). Subnational policy entrepreneurs in action: A systematic quantitative review. *Journal of Public Policy*, 45(2), 372–398. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143814X25000108>

- Skerritt, C. (2023). Towards a mechanism for expert policy advice in education. *British Educational Research Journal*, 49(4), 749–765. <https://doi.org/10.1002/berj.3867>
- Stark, A., Thompson, N. K., & Marston, G. (2021). Public deliberation and policy design. *Policy Design and Practice*, 4(4), 452–464. <https://doi.org/10.1080/25741292.2021.1912906>
- Stake, R. E. (1995). *The art of case study research*. Sage.
- Stone, D. A. (1989). Causal stories and the formation of policy agendas. *Political Science Quarterly*, 104(2), 281–300. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2151585>
- Sun, C., Zhan, Y., & Du, G. (2020). Can value-added tax incentives of new energy industry increase firm's profitability? Evidence from financial data of China's listed companies. *Energy Economics*, 86, 104654. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2019.104654>
- Sweidan, O. D. (2021). Is the geopolitical risk an incentive or obstacle to renewable energy deployment? Evidence from a panel analysis. *Renewable Energy*, 178, 377–384. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2021.06.089>
- Squevin, P., & Aubin, D. (2023). Policy advice utilization in Belgian ministerial cabinets: The contingent importance of internal and external sources of advice. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 89(4), 1012–1029. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00208523221097540>
- Squevin, P., Carlier, N., Brans, M., & Aubin, D. (2025). The multifaceted nature of trust in the uptake of advice: Policy advice utilization in ministerial cabinets. *Policy Studies*, 46(5), 697–717. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01442872.2024.2381529>
- Tang, N., Cheng, L., & Cai, C. (2020). Making collective policy entrepreneurship work: The case of China's post-disaster reconstruction. *Journal of Asian Public Policy*, 13(1), 60–78. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17516234.2019.1701388>
- Valin, N., & Huitema, D. (2023). Experts as policy entrepreneurs: How knowledge can lead to radical environmental change. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 142, 21–28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2023.01.013>
- Vesely, A. (2020). Policy formulation redesigned: A new understanding of policy design and its implications for instruction. *Teaching Public Administration*, 38(3), 213–232. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0144739420901729>
- Wanckel, C. (2023). Introducing a digital tool for sustainability impact assessments within the German Federal Government: A neo-institutional perspective. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 89(2), 433–449. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00208523211047093>
- Wang, X., Huang, L., Daim, T., Li, X., & Li, Z. (2021). Evaluation of China's new energy vehicle policy texts with quantitative and qualitative analysis. *Technology in Society*, 67, 101770. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2021.101770>
- Weible, C. M. (Ed.). (2023). *Theories of the policy process* (5th ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003308201>

- Weible, C. M., & Workman, S. (Eds.). (2022). *Methods of the policy process*. Routledge.
- Yin, R. K. (2014). *Case study research: Design and methods* (5th ed.). Sage.
- Zachrisson, A., & Beland Lindahl, K. (2019). Political opportunity and mobilization: The evolution of a Swedish mining-sceptical movement. *Resources Policy*, *64*, 101477. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2019.101477>
- Zahariadis, N. (2003). *Ambiguity and choice in public policy: Political decision making in modern democracies*. Georgetown University Press.
- Zahariadis, N. (2007). The multiple streams framework: Structure, limitations, prospects. Dalam P. A. Sabatier (Ed.), *Theories of the policy process* (2nd ed.). Westview Press.
- Zahariadis, N. (2014). Ambiguity and multiple streams. Dalam P. A. Sabatier & C. M. Weible (Eds.), *Theories of the policy process* (3rd ed.). Westview Press.
- Zhang, Z.-X., Chen, W.-N., Shi, W., Jeon, S.-W., & Zhang, J. (2024). An individual evolutionary game model guided by global evolutionary optimization for vehicle energy station distribution. *IEEE Transactions on Computational Social Systems*, *11*(1), 1289–1301. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TCSS.2023.3237085>
- Zohlnhöfer, R., Herweg, N., & Zahariadis, N. (2022). How to conduct a multiple streams study. Dalam C. M. Weible & S. Workman (Eds.), *Methods of the policy process* (hlm. 23–50). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003269083-2>