

APPROVAL SHEET.....	iv
VALIDATION SHEET	v
DECLARATION OF ACADEMIC INTEGRITY.....	vi
DEDICATION	vii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	viii
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	ix
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xiii
GLOSSARY.....	xix
INTISARI	xxi
ABSTRACT.....	xxii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Research Questions.....	2
1.3 Research Objectives.....	3
1.4 Scope and Limitations	3
1.5 Research Benefits	4
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW.....	5
2.1 Conceptual Basis and Scope	5
2.1.1 Definition of Passenger Ride Comfort in Railway Transportation.....	5
2.1.2 Importance of Ride Comfort in Passenger Satisfaction, Safety, and Operational Quality	6
2.2 Overview of International Standards for Ride Comfort Assessment	7
2.3 The European Norm (EN) 12299 in Literature	8
2.3.1 Historical Development and Relevance	8
2.3.2 Applications in Research and Practice	8
2.4 Measurement Approaches for Onboard Vibration	9
2.4.1 Conventional and Laboratory-Based Vibration Measurement Systems	9
2.4.2 Smartphone-Based Vibration Measurement in Railway Applications	9
2.4.3 Validation and Comparison Between Conventional and Smartphone- Based Vibration Measurements	10



2.5	Determinants of Passenger Ride Comfort.....	11
2.5.1	Rolling Stock Factors	11
2.5.2	Infrastructure Factors	12
2.5.3	Operational Factors	12
2.6	Previous Research	12
CHAPTER 3 THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK		16
3.1	Conceptual Basis of Railway Ride Comfort	16
3.2	The EN 12299 Standard as Theoretical Basis for Ride Comfort	16
3.2.1	Scope, Measurement Levels, and Reference Axes	16
3.2.2	Frequency Weighting Functions and Passband	18
3.2.3	Data Acquisition and Processing Requirements	19
CHAPTER 4 RESEARCH METHOD		23
4.1	Scope and Overall Approach	23
4.2	Data Collection.....	23
4.2.1	Survey Locations and Train Types	23
4.2.2	Recording Procedure	26
4.3	Research Procedure	28
4.4	Instruments dan Data	31
4.5	Parameters	32
4.6	EN 12299 Processing Model.....	32
4.7	Data Analysis	33
CHAPTER 5 RESULT AND DISCUSSION.....		34
5.1	Field Measurement Protocol and Dataset Overview	34
5.1.1	Measurement Objective and Services	34
5.1.2	Instrumentation, Mounting, and Sampling.....	36
5.2	Continuous Comfort Results	38
5.2.1	Comfort Profiles of Electric vs Diesel Services in Birmingham	38
5.2.2	Comfort Profiles of Electric and Diesel in Indonesia	42
5.2.3	Discussion – Summary of Continuous Comfort Analysis.....	46
5.3	Event-Based Comfort Results (Pct and Pde)	47
5.3.1	Ride Comfort in Curve Transition (Pct).....	47
5.3.2	Ride Comfort During Discrete Disturbances (Pde Index).....	51
5.4	Comparative Analysis between UK and Indonesia: Scatter and Boxplot Visualisation.....	55



5.4.1	Electric Train Services: Comparison of CCz Results between KRL Commuter Line (Indonesia) and West Midlands Railway (UK).....	55
5.4.2	Diesel Train Services: Indonesian Long-Distance Operations vs UK Intercity.....	58
5.4.3	Infrastructure and Operational Determinants of Cross-Country Ride Comfort Differences.....	64
5.5	Evidence-Based Recommendations for Indonesia	65
5.5.1	Key Observations from Track–Time Analysis	66
5.5.2	Comparative Performance of EMU Services on Straight and Curved Track.....	75
5.5.3	Summary of Key Diagnostic Findings	80
5.5.4	Recommendations for Improving Ride Comfort	81
CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS		84
6.1	Conclusions	84
6.2	Recommendations	86
REFERENCES.....		89
APPENDIX.....		93

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Summary of previous studies on vibration-based railway ride comfort.	14
Table 3.1 Parameters and transfer characteristics of EN 12299 comfort frequency weightings (EN 12299, 2009)	19
Table 5.1 Summary Table of Data Collection Analysis	34
Table 5.2 Scale for the CCy (t) and CCz (t) Comfort Indexes EN 12299:2009 (EN 12299, 2009)	35
Table 5.3 Summary of Country-specific Insight.....	46
Table 5.4 Differences in Pct Sensitivity with Their Impact on Driving Comfort.....	51
Table 5.5 Comparison of Pde between Birmingham and Indonesia	54
Table 5.6 Summary of Track Gauge Influence on Ride Comfort Indicators	65
Table 5.7 Evidence-based Recommendation for Enhancing Passenger Ride Comfort in Indonesian Railway	82
Table 6.1 Summary of Comparative Ride Comfort Findings and Implications for Recommendations	86

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1 Magnitude of the alternative frequency weighting W_b for vertical vibration along the z-axis on the floor and seat pan	20
Figure 4.1 West Midlands Railway Class 730 EMU Fleet (West Midlands Railway, 2025).....	24
Figure 4.2 CrossCountry Class 170 Turbostar DMU Fleet	24
Figure 4.3 Chiltern Railways Class 165 DMU Fleet.....	25
Figure 4.4 Commuter Line JR205-47 EMU Fleet (Wikipedia, 2025a).....	25
Figure 4.5 Argo Parahyangan CC206 Loco-hauled Fleet (Wikipedia, 2025b)	26
Figure 4.6 Feeder Whoosh DEMU Fleet (Wikimedia, 2025).....	26
Figure 4.7 Position of the sensor on floor near door (a) and near window (b)	28
Figure 4.8 Research methodology flowchart.....	30
Figure 4.9 Research methodology flowchart (cont.)	31
Figure 5.1 MATLAB Mobile interface used for onboard vibration data acquisition: (a) application home menu, (b) sensor activation and real-time preview, (c) sampling-rate configuration for motion sensors (100 Hz) (d) live preview of accelerometer, magnetic field, and orientation data, and (e) orientation, angular velocity, and GNSS position data streams prior to recording.	37
Figure 5.2 Smartphone mounting configuration showing axis orientation (left) and placement inside the passenger compartment during data collection (right).	37
Figure 5.3 CC_y and CC_z for West Midlands Railway EMU, comparing front and rear carriages. Peaks are observed approaching Birmingham New Street, with most values remaining below the Comfortable Ride threshold.	38
Figure 5.4 Geospatial Mapping of CC_z exceedances ($>0.2 \text{ m/s}^2$) along the University – Birmingham New Street route. Exceedances occur near the station, consistent with track geometry and turnout locations.	39
Figure 5.5 CC_y and CC_z for CrossCountry DMU between University and Birmingham New Street. Both indices remain well within the comfort thresholds.....	40
Figure 5.6 Geospatial Mapping of CC_z for CrossCountry DMU, showing no threshold exceedances along the route, indicating stable vertical across both carriages.....	40
Figure 5.7 CC_y and CC_z for Chiltern Railways DMU between Moor Street and Leamington Spa. Both indices remain within comfort thresholds, with occasional peaks linked to curves, crossings, and intermediate stops.	41
Figure 5.8 Geospatial Mapping of CC_z exceedances ($>0.2 \text{ m/s}^2$) for Chiltern Railways DMU. Exceedances are concentrated near departure from Birmingham, with rare distribution along the remainder of the route.	41
Figure 5.9 CC_y and CC_z for KRL Commuter Line between Jatinegara and Bekasi. Frequent lateral and vertical vibration peaks occur near station approaches and track transition approaches and track transitions, with the rear carriage showing slightly higher CC_z levels.....	42



Figure 5.10 Geospatial Mapping of CCz exceedances ($CCz > 0.2$ m/s²) for the KRL Commuter Line. Exceedances are concentrated near turnouts, rail joints, and station approaches, reflecting the combined effects of infrastructure and operational complexity..... 43

Figure 5.11 CCy and CCz for for Argo Parahyangan loco-hauled service. Both axes show moderate oscillations with a pronounced spike near 200 seconds linked to irregularities track..... 44

Figure 5.12 Geospatial Mapping of CCz exceedances (>0.2 m/s²) for Argo Parahyangan. Clusters are concentrated near switches and ballast irregularities, consistent with infrastructure transitions amplifying vertical vibration..... 44

Figure 5.13 CCy and CCz for Feeder Whoosh DEMU on Padalarang-Bandung route. Most values remain within comfort thresholds, with a few extreme spikes caused by temporary sensor disturbances..... 45

Figure 5.14 Geospatial Mapping of CCz CCz exceedances above 0.2 m/s² for Feeder Whoosh DEMU, concentrated near Cimahi and the approach to Bandung, consistent with turnout transitions and higher speeds. 46

Figure 5.15 Pct for West Midlands Railway EMU between University and Birmingham New Street. 47

Figure 5.16 Pct for CrossCountry DMU between University and Birmingham New Street. A strong peak is observed, when through mid-section rear-carriage spikes during turnout and approach transitions. 48

Figure 5.17 Pct for Chiltern Railways DMU between Moor Street and Leamington Spa. Multiple peaks occur along the route, particularly in curved section, with the rear carriage occasionally recording slightly higher values. 48

Figure 5.18 Pct for KRL Commuter Line. The rear carriage exhibits more pronounced peaks, with a major spike exceeding 25% during initial departure curve. 49

Figure 5.19 Pct for Argo Parahyangan Loco-hauled service. A major spike above 25% occurs at 200 seconds due to aerodynamic interaction with another train and shifting sensor, while other peaks reflect curve transitions and turnout effects. 50

Figure 5.20 Pct for Feeder Whoosh DEMU. Several extreme spikes result from sensor disturbance, while moderate peaks reflect genuine curve transitions and turnout effects. 50

Figure 5.21 Pde for West Midlands Railway EMU: values 0% throughout..... 52

Figure 5.22 Pde for CrossCountry DMU: values 0% throughout..... 52

Figure 5.23 Pde for Chiltern DMU: values consistently 0% over the full run, indicating absence of discrete shock events..... 52

Figure 5.24 Pde results for the Indonesian Commuter Line service, showing limited spikes concentrated at departure and mid-journey..... 53

Figure 5.25 Pde results for the Argo Parahyangan diesel loco-hauled service, with frequent, spikes including one extreme event (>30 %). 53



Figure 5.26 Pde results for Feeder Whoosh DEMU service, showing recurring spikes, some affected by sensor disturbance but also reflecting turnout and track transits. 53

Figure 5.27 Scatter of CCz against speed for Indonesia and the UK (full dataset) EMU. the Indonesian service shows higher CCz values with distinct peaks above 0.5 m/s² at speed around 90 km/h, while the UK data remain stable within lower speed ranges, indicating that poorer ride comfort in Indonesia is largely linked to higher-speed operation. 56

Figure 5.28 Scatter of CCz against speed for Indonesia and the UK restricted to the maximum operating speed of the UK service (80.6 km/h). Both services show similar central value at 0.1 m/s², but the Indonesian dataset exhibits greater variability and more frequent peaks up to 0.35 m/s² whereas the UK data remain comparatively consistent. 56

Figure 5.29 Boxplots of CCz for Indonesia and the UK restricted to the maximum UK operating speed (<80.6 km/h). Both datasets show similar medians, but Indonesia exhibits a wider spread and more outliers, indicating variability in vertical ride comfort. 57

Figure 5.30 Boxplot Comparison of CCz for Indonesian Commuter Line and UK West Midlands Railway (full dataset). Inclusion of higher speeds in the Indonesian service results in more frequent and larger outliers, highlighting reduced comfort at higher operating speeds, while UK values remain stable. 58

Figure 5.31 Scatter of CCz against Speed for Indonesian loco-hauled service and UK DMU service. The Indonesian dataset shows higher variability and distinct extreme spike linked to a train-passing event, while the UK data remain stable within lower magnitudes. 59

Figure 5.32 Scatter of CCz against speed for Indonesian loco-hauled service and UK DMU service, restricted to the maximum UK operating speed (115.6 km/h). 59

Figure 5.33 Boxplot of CCz for the Indonesian loco-hauled service and the UK DMU, restricted to the maximum UK operating speed (115.6 km/h). 60

Figure 5.34 Boxplot of CCz for the Indonesian loco-hauled service and the UK DMU service. Both medians are similar, but Indonesia exhibits a wider spread and numerous extreme outliers, contrasting with the narrower and more consistent UK distribution. 60

Figure 5.35 Scatter of CCz against speed (full dataset) for between Indonesian DEMU and UK DMU. The Indonesian data show higher variability and an extreme spike from sensor disturbance, while the UK data remain more stable. 61

Figure 5.36 Scatter of CCz against speed for Indonesian DEMU and UK DMU, restricted to the maximum UK operating speed (102.3 km/h). Both services cluster around similar central values, but the Indonesian DEMU shows greater fluctuations and intermittent peaks compared to the UK DMU. 62



Figure 5.37 Boxplots of CCz for Indonesian DMEU and UK DMU restricted to <102.3 km/h. The Indonesian DEMU displays a wider spread and more outliers, while the UK DMU shows a narrower, steadier distribution. 62

Figure 5.38 Boxplot of CCz for full dataset, Indonesian shows substantially more and larger outliers, while the UK distribution remains largely unchanged, highlighting the stronger influence of speed on Indonesian comfort. 63

Figure 5.39 Route Map of Jatinegara-Bekasi (Google maps, 2025). 66

Figure 5.40 Track–time visualisation of continuous comfort indices (CCz and CCy) for the front and rear cars of the KRL service between Jatinegara and Klender. A pronounced front-car vibration peak at approximately 80 s (CCz \approx 0.50 m/s²) corresponds to a local geometric transition between stations, where slight horizontal curvature and track irregularities contribute to increased vertical response. 67

Figure 5.41 Continuous comfort indices (CCz and CCy) for the KRL service near Cakung station, showing a notable rear-car peak at around 924 s during departure from the station. Sustained elevated vibrations between 900–1040 s coincide with the approach to Kranji. Station, where turnout zones and mixed-traffic operational dynamics amplify rear-car responses. 68

Figure 5.42 Time mapping of trajectories for CCz and CCy on KRL services departing Kranji Station. Significant rear carriage vibrations peaking at around 1106 seconds coincide with the exit onto the station track, where rail crossings and changes in track direction typically cause increased dynamic excitation. 68

Figure 5.43 Geospatial position of front and rear cars with corresponding *Pct* and *Pde* for the Jatinegara–Bekasi service, showing an early *Pct* spike at 22.24 s only in the rear car as it departs the station and passes localized track irregularities. 69

Figure 5.44 Geospatial position of front and rear cars with corresponding *Pct* and *Pde* for the Jatinegara–Bekasi service, highlighting the largest *Pct* peak at 92.51 s and concurrent *Pde* spikes in the rear car while traversing a complex geometric transition at the station exit. 70

Figure 5.45 Track–time synchronised visualisation for the rear car of the Argo Parahyangan service, highlighting a significant CCz and CCy peak at ~198 seconds, corresponding to the train’s passage through station-area track features. 71

Figure 5.46 Track–time synchronised analysis of the front car of the Argo Parahyangan service, showing a CCz/CCy peak at ~227 seconds associated with high-speed traversal through Klender Station’s turnout zone. 72

Figure 5.47 Track–time synchronised visualisation of curve-transition discomfort (*Pct*) for the front and rear cars on the Jatinegara–Bekasi route is observed at approximately 198 s, coinciding with the train entering the turnout and crossing zone at Klender Station. 73



Figure 5.48 Track–time synchronised visualisation of Pct showing a major peak at approximately 225 s for the front car, occurring as the locomotive-hauled train exits the Klender Station turnout area. The spatial projection indicates that the disturbance corresponds to geometric transitions and discontinuities within the station throat, which typically generate transient lateral discomfort..... 73

Figure 5.49 Discrete-event discomfort index (Pde) plotted against track position, highlighting a significant peak at around 198 s for the rear car as it enters the Klender Station throat. The mapped location shows that the event corresponds to short-duration lateral shocks induced by switch blades, rail joints, and crossing noses. 74

Figure 5.50 Pde visualisation for the front and rear cars, with a pronounced front-car peak at approximately 225 s, located at the exit of the Klender Station turnout zone. The time-mapped spatial overlay confirms that this discrete event originates from localised track discontinuities typically encountered near station approaches..... 75

Figure 5.51 Straight-track vibration profile for the Birmingham EMU service (West Midlands Railway), showing consistently low CCz and CCy values between 15–60 seconds. All vertical accelerations remain below 0.20 m/s², indicating stable vehicle–track interaction and high ride comfort performance. 76

Figure 5.52 Straight-track vibration profile for the Jakarta KRL service, illustrating higher variability in CCz and CCy between 250–600 seconds. Several peaks exceed 0.20 m/s², reflecting the influence of local track irregularities and infrastructure conditions on ride comfort..... 77

Figure 5.53 Continuous comfort responses (CCz, CCy) for the Birmingham EMU during curved-track running (65–140 s), with front- and rear-car positions visualised on the route map. Results show stable vibration levels and low variability across the transition zone. 78

Figure 5.54 Event-based comfort indices (Pct, Pde) for the Birmingham EMU along the same curve segment. Both indices remain near zero, indicating smooth geometric transitions and absence of discrete shocks. 79

Figure 5.55 Continuous comfort responses (CCz, CCy) for the Jakarta KRL during curved-track operation (930–1030 s), with front- and rear-car positions mapped to the alignment. Vertical and lateral vibration levels are noticeably higher than in the Birmingham EMU case. 79

Figure 5.56 Event-based comfort indices (Pct, Pde) for the Jakarta KRL along the same curved segment. Elevated Pct and localised Pde spikes indicate sharper transitions and discrete track irregularities compared with the Birmingham EMU. 80

Figure B-1 Continuous Comfort Profile of West Midlands Railway Front Carriage 93

Figure B-2 Continuous Comfort Profile of West Midlands Railway Rear Carriage 94

Figure B-3 Continuous Comfort Profile of CrossCountry Trains Service Front Carriage 94



Figure B-4 Continuous Comfort Profile of CrossCountry Trains Service Rear Carriage	95
Figure B-5 Continuous Comfort Profile of Chiltern Railways Front Carriage	96
Figure B-6 Continuous Comfort Profile of Chiltern Railways Rear Carriage.....	96
Figure B-7 Continuous Comfort Profile of Commuter Line Front Carriage	97
Figure B-8 Continuous Comfort Profile of Commuter Line Rear Carriage	97
Figure B-9 Continuous Comfort Profile of Argo Parahyangan Service Front Carriage	98
Figure B-10 Continuous Comfort Profile of Argo Parahyangan Service Rear Carriage	98
Figure B-11 Continuous Comfort Profile of Feeder Whoosh Front Carriage	99
Figure B-12 Continuous Comfort Profile of Feeder Whoosh Rear Carriage	99