

Daftar Pustaka

- Alnahdi, G. H. (2015). Aptitude tests and successful college students: The predictive validity of the general aptitude test (gat) in saudi arabia. *International Education Studies*, 8(4), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ies.v8n4p1>
- Arteaga, F., Folch-Fortuny, A., & Ferrer, A. (2020). Missing Data. Dalam *Comprehensive Chemometrics* (hlm. 615–639). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-409547-2.14718-3>
- Azwar, S. (2008). Kualitas tes potensi akademik versi 07A. *Jurnal Penelitian dan Evaluasi Pendidikan*, 12(2), 231–250. <https://doi.org/10.21831/pep.v12i2.1429>
- Azwar, S. (2017). *Reliabilitas dan validitas* (4 ed.). Pustaka Pelajar.
- Becker, J.-M., Klein, K., & Wetzels, M. (2012). Hierarchical latent variable models in PLS-SEM: Guidelines for using reflective-formative type models. *Long Range Planning*, 45(5–6), 359–394. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2012.10.001>
- Bollen, K. A. (2001). Indicator: Methodology. Dalam N. J. Smelser & P. B. Baltes (Ed.), *International encyclopedia of the social & behavioral sciences* (hlm. 7282–7287). Pergamon. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B0-08-043076-7/00709-9>
- Burgoyne, A. P., Mashburn, C. A., & Engle, R. W. (2021). Reducing adverse impact in high-stakes testing. *Intelligence*, 87(0), 101561. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intell.2021.101561>
- Cheung, G. W., Cooper-Thomas, H. D., Lau, R. S., & Wang, L. C. (2024). Reporting reliability, convergent and discriminant validity with structural equation modeling: A review and best-practice recommendations. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 41(2), 745–783. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10490-023-09871-y>
- Cho, G., & Choi, J. Y. (2019). An empirical comparison of generalized structured component analysis and partial least squares path modeling under variance-based structural equation models. *Behaviormetrika*, 47(1), 243–272. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41237-019-00098-0>
- Cho, G., Hwang, H., Sarstedt, M., & Ringle, C. M. (2020). Cutoff criteria for overall model fit indexes in generalized structured component analysis. *Journal of Marketing Analytics*, 8(4), 189–202. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41270-020-00089-1>

- Cho, G., Sarstedt, M., & Hwang, H. (2022). A comparative evaluation of factor- and component-based structural equation modelling approaches under (in)correct construct representations. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, 75(2), 220–251. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bmsp.12255>
- Coyle, T. R. (2015). Relations among general intelligence (g), aptitude tests, and GPA: Linear effects dominate. *Intelligence*, 53(0), 16–22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intell.2015.08.005>
- Everson, H. (2004). Innovation and change in the SAT: a design framework for future college admission tests. Dalam R. Zwick (Ed.), *Rethinking the SAT the future of standardized testing in university admissions* (1 ed.). Routledge.
- Fithri, P., Hasan, A., Syafrizal, S., & Games, D. (2024). Validation studies a questionnaire developed to measure incubator business technology performance using PLS-SEM approach. *Andalasian International Journal of Applied Science, Engineering and Technology*, 4(1), 64–78. <https://doi.org/10.25077/aijaset.v4i1.132>
- Gignac, G. E. (2006). Self-reported emotional intelligence and life satisfaction: Testing incremental predictive validity hypotheses via structural equation modeling (SEM) in a small sample. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 40(8), 1569–1577. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2006.01.001>
- Hair, J., & Alamer, A. (2022a). Partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM) in second language and education research: Guidelines using an applied example. *Research Methods in Applied Linguistics*, 1(3), 100027. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rmal.2022.100027>
- Hair, J., & Alamer, A. (2022b). Partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM) in second language and education research: Guidelines using an applied example. *Research Methods in Applied Linguistics*, 1(3), 100027. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rmal.2022.100027>
- Hair, J. F., Babin, B. J., Ringle, C. M., Sarstedt, M., & Becker, J.-M. (2025). Covariance-based structural equation modeling (CB-SEM): A SmartPLS 4 software tutorial. *Journal of Marketing Analytics*, 13(3), 709–724. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41270-025-00414-6>
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2010). *Multivariate data analysis* (7 ed.). Pearson Prentice Hall.

Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2017). *A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM)* (2 ed.). SAGE.

Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., Sarstedt, M., Danks, N. P., & Ray, S. (2021). Evaluation of Reflective Measurement Models. Dalam J. F. Hair, G. T. M. Hult, C. M. Ringle, M. Sarstedt, N. P. Danks, & S. Ray, *Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) Using R* (hlm. 75–90). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-80519-7_4

Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., Sarstedt, M., & Thiele, K. O. (2017). Mirror, mirror on the wall: A comparative evaluation of composite-based structural equation modeling methods. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 45(5), 616–632. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-017-0517-x>

Hall, C. W., Kauffmann, P. J., Wuensch, K. L., Swart, W. E., DeUrquidi, K. A., Griffin, O. H., & Duncan, C. S. (2015). Aptitude and personality traits in retention of engineering students: Aptitude and personality traits in student retention. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 104(2), 167–188. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jee.20072>

Hayashi, K., Bentler, P. M., & Yuan, K.-H. (2011). Structural equation modeling. Dalam C. R. Rao, J. P. Miller, & D. C. Rao (Ed.), *Essential statistical methods for medical statistics* (hlm. 202–234). North-Holland. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-53737-9.50010-4>

Henseler, J. (2012). Why generalized structured component analysis is not universally preferable to structural equation modeling. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 40(3), 402–413. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-011-0298-6>

Hu, L., & Bentler, P. M. (1999). Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 6(1), 1–55. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10705519909540118>

Hwang, H., Cho, G., & Choo, H. (2024). GSCA pro-free stand-alone software for structural equation modeling. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 31(4), 696–711. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2023.2272294>

Hwang, H., DeSarbo, W. S., & Takane, Y. (2007). Fuzzy clusterwise generalized structured component analysis. *Psychometrika*, 72(2), 181–198. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-005-1314-x>

- Hwang, H., Ho, M.-H. R., & Lee, J. (2010). Generalized structured component analysis with latent interactions. *Psychometrika*, 75(2), 228–242. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-010-9157-5>
- Hwang, H., & Takane, Y. (2004). Generalized structured component analysis. *Psychometrika*, 69(1), 81–99.
- Hwang, H., & Takane, Y. (2014). *Generalized structured component analysis: A component-based approach to structural equation modeling* (0 ed.). Chapman and Hall/CRC. <https://doi.org/10.1201/b17872>
- Hwang, H., Takane, Y., & Jung, K. (2017). Generalized structured component analysis with uniqueness terms for accommodating measurement error. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8, 2137. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.02137>
- Kadmon, G., & Kadmon, M. (2016). Academic performance of students with the highest and mediocre school-leaving grades: Does the aptitude test for medical studies (TMS) balance their prognoses? *GMS Journal for Medical Education*, 33(1), 1–29. <https://doi.org/10.3205/ZMA001006>
- Keller, S. D., Ware, J. E., Bentler, P. M., Aaronson, N. K., Alonso, J., Apolone, G., Bjorner, J. B., Brazier, J., Bullinger, M., Kaasa, S., Lepège, A., Sullivan, M., & Gandek, B. (1998). Use of structural equation modeling to test the construct validity of the SF-36 health survey in ten countries. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 51(11), 1179–1188. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0895-4356\(98\)00110-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0895-4356(98)00110-3)
- Knekta, E., Runyon, C., & Eddy, S. (2019). One size doesn't fit all: Using factor analysis to gather validity evidence when using surveys in your research. *CBE—Life Sciences Education*, 18(1), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.18-04-0064>
- Lestari, D. D. P., Budiarto, M. T., & Lukito, A. (2021). Analisis kemampuan spatial visualization siswa sekolah dasar dalam pemecahan masalah geometri: Ditinjau dari kemampuan matematika tingkat tinggi. *ELSE (Elementary School Education Journal) : Jurnal Pendidikan dan Pembelajaran Sekolah Dasar*, 5(1), 55. <https://doi.org/10.30651/else.v5i1.7371>

- McDonald, R. P., & Ho, M.-H. R. (2002). Principles and practice in reporting structural equation analyses. *Psychological Methods*, 7(1), 64–82. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989X.7.1.64>
- Mulaik, S. A., James, L. R., Van Alstine, J., Bennett, N., Lind, S., & Stilwell, C. D. (1989). Evaluation of goodness-of-fit indices for structural equation models. *Psychological Bulletin*, 105(3), 430–445. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.105.3.430>
- Rhemtulla, M., Van Bork, R., & Borsboom, D. (2020). Worse than measurement error: Consequences of inappropriate latent variable measurement models. *Psychological Methods*, 25(1), 30–45. <https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000220>
- Rohmah, Y. S., & Widhiarso, W. (2019). Pengujian validitas konstruk gadjah mada scholastic test (GMST) melalui analisis faktor eksploratori. *Jurnal Psikologi Universitas Gajah Mada*.
- Ryoo, J. H., & Hwang, H. (2017). Model evaluation in generalized structured component analysis using confirmatory tetrad analysis. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8(0), 916–926. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00916>
- Sabil, H., Simanjuntak, S. M. O. U., Iriani, D., & Junita, R. (2024). Analysis of students' spatial ability in geometry material. *JPI (Jurnal Pendidikan Indonesia)*, 13(3), 436–448. <https://doi.org/10.23887/jpiundiksha.v13i3.77083>
- Shen, Z., Cho, G., & Hwang, H. (2025). Comparison of component-based structural equation modeling methods in testing component interaction effects. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 32(5), 753–765. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2025.2497088>
- Souza, A. C. D., Alexandre, N. M. C., Guirardello, E. D. B., Souza, A. C. D., Alexandre, N. M. C., & Guirardello, E. D. B. (2017). Psychometric properties in instruments evaluation of reliability and validity. *Epidemiologia e Serviços de Saúde*, 26(3), 649–659. <https://doi.org/10.5123/S1679-49742017000300022>
- Thompson, P. A., Bishop, D. V. M., Eising, E., Fisher, S. E., & Newbury, D. F. (2020). Generalized structured component analysis in candidate gene association studies: Applications and limitations. *Wellcome Open Research*, 4(0), 142–170. <https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.15396.2>



Penerapan Generalized Structured Component Analysis (GSCA) untuk Mengevaluasi Struktur Tes Potensi Akademik: Studi Kasus Gajah Mada Scholastic Test (GMST)

Dyan Dhanandjaya Pambudi, Wahyu Widhiarso, S.Psi., M.A

Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2026 | Diunduh dari <http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/>

UNIVERSITAS
GADJAH MADA

Werts, C. E., Linn, R. L., & Jöreskog, K. G. (1974). Intraclass reliability estimates: Testing structural assumptions. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 34(1), 25–33.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/001316447403400104>

Widhiarso, W. (2019). Pembuktian validitas terkait struktur tes potensi akademik pascasarjana (PAPS) universitas gajah mada. *Jurnal Psikologi*, 46(2), 145–162.
<https://doi.org/10.22146/jpsi.38223>

Widhiarso, W., Kireynadiva, F. A. Z., Suryalunarjati, M. J. K., & Iftikhariza, M. N. (2025). *Manual teknis gajah mada scholastic test (GMST) seri 607*. Unit Pengembangan Alat Psikodiagnostika (UPAP) Fakultas Psikologi UGM.