

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Weizenbaum, “Eliza—a computer program for the study of natural language communication between man and machine,” *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 36–45, 1966.
- [2] T. B. Brown, B. Mann, N. Ryder, M. Subbiah, J. D. Kaplan, P. Dhariwal, A. Neelakantan, P. Shyam, G. Sastry, A. Askell *et al.*, “Language models are few-shot learners,” *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 33, pp. 1877–1901, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.14165>
- [3] J. Achiam, S. Adler, S. Agarwal, L. Ahmad *et al.*, “Gpt-4 technical report,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08774*, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.08774>
- [4] R. L. Jørnø and K. Gynther, “What constitutes an “actionable insight” in learning analytics?” *Journal of Learning Analytics*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 198–221, 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://learning-analytics.info/index.php/JLA/article/view/5897>
- [5] T. Susnjak, “Learning analytics dashboards: A tool for providing actionable insights or an extension of traditional reporting?” *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 17–32, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://educationaltechnologyjournal.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/s41239-021-00313-7>
- [6] M. Wooldridge, *An Introduction to MultiAgent Systems*, 2nd ed. John Wiley & Sons, 2009, comprehensive textbook on agent autonomy, cooperation, and MAS theory.
- [7] K. Saleem, M. Saleem, and A. Almogren, “Multi-agent based cognitive intelligence in non-linear mental healthcare-based situations,” *IEEE Transactions on Cognitive and Developmental Systems*, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/10896654/>
- [8] LangChain, “Langgraph: Building stateful, multi-actor applications with llms,” <https://langchain-ai.github.io/langgraph/>, 2024, accessed: 2025-11-20.
- [9] M. Hill, N. Farrelly, C. Clarke, and M. Cannon, “Student mental health and well-being: Overview and future directions,” *Irish Journal of Psychological Medicine*, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/irish-journal-of-psychological-medicine/article/student-mental-health-and-wellbeing-overview-and-future-directions/FC9EDB660C8F4042DABDC121C2CD0C8E>
- [10] Z. H. Duraku, H. Davis, A. Arënliu, and F. Uka, “Overcoming mental health challenges in higher education: A narrative review,” *Frontiers in Psychology*, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychology/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1466060/full>
- [11] S. K. Lipson, E. G. Lattie, and D. Eisenberg, “The healthy minds study: Prevalence and correlates of mental health outcomes among us college students, 2020–2021,”

- Journal of Affective Disorders*, vol. 306, pp. 377–386, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2022.03.037>
- [12] R. P. Gallagher, “The state of college counseling 2023 annual report,” *Association for University and College Counseling Center Directors (AUCCCD)*, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.auccd.org/assets/documents/auccd-annual-survey-public-2023.pdf>
- [13] C. Baik, W. Larcombe, and A. Brooker, “How universities can enhance student mental wellbeing: The student perspective,” *Higher Education Research & Development*, vol. 38, no. 4, pp. 674–687, 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/07294360.2019.1576596>
- [14] F. Outay, N. Jabeur, F. Bellalouna, and T. Al Hamzi, “Multi-agent system-based framework for an intelligent management of competency building,” *Smart Learning Environments*, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1186/s40561-024-00328-3>
- [15] Z. H. Duraku, S. K. Y. Ng, and V. Greiçevci, “Outcomes of a cbt-based anxiety workshop on higher education students’ mental health, stigma, learning, and career certainty,” *Indian Journal of Public Health Research and Development*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 122–137, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/22799036251381227>
- [16] O. S. Bahar, P. A. Laker, S. Nassanga, and K. Ntambi, “Preliminary impact of the say no to stigma intervention on attitudes toward mental illness: A pilot randomized clinical trial among primary school students in uganda,” *Children and Youth Services Review*, vol. 164, p. 107542, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0190740925005195>
- [17] A. Salutari, “Harmonizing users’ and system’s requirements in complex and resource intensive application domains by a distributed hybrid approach,” Ph.D. dissertation, University of Bologna, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://tesidottorato.depositolegale.it/bitstream/20.500.14242/180297/1/Tesi_PhD_Agnese_Salutari.pdf
- [18] R. Fulmer, A. Joerin, B. Gentile, L. Lakerink, and M. Rauws, “Using psychological artificial intelligence (tess) to relieve symptoms of depression and anxiety: Randomized controlled trial,” *JMIR Mental Health*, vol. 5, no. 4, p. e64, 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://mental.jmir.org/2018/4/e64/>
- [19] H. Jabeen, S. Bibi, and M. M. Ali, “Exploring psychological help-seeking behavior among university students: A qualitative study,” *Journal of Mental Health*, vol. 6, no. 5, pp. 73–89, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://www.academia.edu/download/125120673/JMHV6I5202573.pdf>
- [20] M. Junming and T. J. Siang, “Trends and barriers to seeking counselling help among university students in china: A systematic literature review,” *Sains Humanika*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 55–68, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://sainshumanika.utm.my/index.php/sainshumanika/article/view/2263>

- [21] H.-Y. Shum, X. He, and D. Li, “From eliza to xiaoice: challenges and opportunities with social chatbots,” *Frontiers of Information Technology & Electronic Engineering*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 10–26, 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1801.01957>
- [22] M. Al-Amin, T. Rahman, and S. Chowdhury, “A history of generative ai chatbots: From eliza to gpt-4,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.05122*, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.05122>
- [23] K. Fitzpatrick, A. Darcy, and M. Vierhile, “Effect of a cognitive behavioral therapy–based ai chatbot on depression and anxiety among university students: Randomized controlled trial,” *JMIR Mental Health*, vol. 11, no. 1, p. e12396778, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12396778/>
- [24] M. Eltahawy, A. Rahman, and R. Haq, “Can robots do therapy? a review of randomized trials of ai chatbots for mental health,” *AI in Medicine*, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S294988212300035X>
- [25] S. Kang, Y. Park, and M.-Y. Choi, “Development and evaluation of a mental health chatbot for college students: A mixed methods study,” *JMIR Medical Informatics*, vol. 13, no. 1, p. e63538, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://medinform.jmir.org/2025/1/e63538>
- [26] A. Freeman, E. Maubert, I. C. Doria, and H. P. Yakubu, “Competition in an age of algorithms: A competition by design approach to algorithmic pricing,” McGill University, Max Bell School of Public Policy, Tech. Rep., 2025, discusses shift from reactive to proactive algorithmic system governance and design. [Online]. Available: https://www.mcgill.ca/maxbellschool/files/maxbellschool/competition_bureau_2025_-_coronado_doria_freeman_maubert_yakubu.pdf
- [27] P. Corrigan, B. Druss, and D. Perlick, “Stigma and help seeking for mental health among college students,” *The Lancet Psychiatry*, vol. 374, no. 9690, pp. 605–613, 2009. [Online]. Available: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19454625/>
- [28] P. Patel and H. Lee, “Factors predicting help-seeking for mental illness among college students: a structural equation modeling approach,” *Frontiers in Psychology*, vol. 13, pp. 878–892, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9299284/>
- [29] X. Liu, R. Chen, and J. Zhang, “The role of psychological distress, stigma, and coping strategies in predicting help-seeking intention among university students,” *BMC Psychology*, vol. 11, no. 1, p. 181, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://bmcp psychology.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s40359-023-01171-w>
- [30] M. Alesi, G. Giordano, and S. Ingoglia, “The association among executive functions, academic motivation, anxiety, and depression: A comparison between students with specific learning disabilities and undiagnosed peers,” *European Journal of Special Needs Education*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 154–172, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/08856257.2023.2300172>
- [31] C. Williams and S. Ahmed, “Data-driven decision making in higher education: Balancing evidence and ethics,” *International Journal of Educational Management*,

- vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 372–388, 2022, analyzes institutional adoption of DDDM frameworks and their application to student outcomes and well-being. [Online]. Available: <https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/IJEM-09-2021-0342/full/html>
- [32] D. Lyon and E. Ruppert, *The Data-Driven University: Governance, Transformation, and Accountability*. Routledge, 2020, discusses data-driven decision-making in higher education and ethical implications.
- [33] G. Siemens and P. Long, “Learning analytics: A foundation for informed change in higher education,” *EDUCAUSE Review*, vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 30–42, 2011. [Online]. Available: <https://er.educause.edu/articles/2011/9/learning-analytics-a-foundation-for-informed-change>
- [34] S. Banihashem, R. Wang, and Y. Chen, “Predictive analytics for student success: A review and future research directions,” *Computers & Education: Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 3, p. 100057, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1747938X22000586>
- [35] F. Paolucci, R. Iqbal, and S. Ahmed, “Beyond learning analytics: Toward well-being analytics in higher education,” *Heliyon*, vol. 10, no. 6, p. e17985, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2405844024017985>
- [36] F. Masiello and V. Ricci, “Learning analytics and ethics in higher education: A review and framework for responsible practice,” *Education Sciences*, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 82, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://www.mdpi.com/2227-7102/14/1/82>
- [37] R. Kaliisa and E. Rahimi, “Have learning analytics dashboards lived up to the hype? a systematic review,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.15042*, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2312.15042>
- [38] O. J. Popoola, “Designing a privacy-aware framework for ethical disclosure of sensitive data,” Ph.D. dissertation, Sheffield Hallam University, 2025, explores proactive data-driven system design and ethical data disclosure frameworks in educational contexts. [Online]. Available: <https://shura.shu.ac.uk/id/eprint/35463>
- [39] M. Wooldridge and N. R. Jennings, “Intelligent agents: Theory and practice,” *The Knowledge Engineering Review*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 115–152, 1995, seminal definition of intelligent agents, autonomy, and rational agency. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0269888900008122>
- [40] E. Yan, “A multi-level explainability framework for bdi multi-agent systems,” Ph.D. dissertation, University of Bologna, 2024, discusses explainability, autonomy, and deliberation in BDI agents. [Online]. Available: <https://amslaurea.unibo.it/id/eprint/29644/>
- [41] A. S. Rao and M. P. Georgeff, “Bdi agents: From theory to practice,” in *Proceedings of the First International Conference on Multi-Agent Systems (ICMAS-95)*. AAAI Press, 1995, pp. 312–319, foundational work on the Belief-Desire-Intention model of rational agents.

- [42] J. C. Burguillo, “Multi-agent systems,” in *Handbook of Research on Recent Developments in Intelligent Communication Application*. Springer, 2017, pp. 73–97, overview of MAS coordination, cooperation, and BDI integration.
- [43] T. Petrova, B. Bliznioukov, A. Puzikov, and R. State, “From semantic web and mas to agentic ai: A unified narrative of the web of agents,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2507.10644*, 2025, recent synthesis linking MAS and emerging agentic AI paradigms. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2507.10644>
- [44] S. Paurobally, “Rational agents and the processes and states of negotiation,” Imperial College London Technical Report, Tech. Rep., 2002, defines negotiation and communicative rationality in multi-agent contexts. [Online]. Available: <http://www.doc.ic.ac.uk/research/technicalreports/2003/DTR03-5.pdf>
- [45] R. Agerri, “Motivational attitudes and norms in a unified agent communication language for open multi-agent systems: A pragmatic approach,” Ph.D. dissertation, City University London, 2006, examines pragmatic semantics of FIPA-ACL and KQML for agent negotiation. [Online]. Available: <https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/30095/>
- [46] N. Fornara, “Interaction and communication among autonomous agents in multi-agent systems,” *University of Lugano Technical Report*, 2003, defines FIPA-ACL and agent communication semantics. [Online]. Available: <https://sonar.ch/global/documents/318137>
- [47] D. L. Williams, “Multi-agent communication protocol in collaborative problem solving: A design science approach,” *Swedish Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 2025, describes modern FIPA-ACL negotiation and message semantics in MAS. [Online]. Available: <https://www.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:1970755/FULLTEXT01.pdf>
- [48] A. B. Arrieta, N. Díaz-Rodríguez, J. Del Ser, A. Bennetot, S. Tabik, A. Barbado, S. Garcia, S. Gil-Lopez, D. Molina, R. Benjamins, R. Chatila, and F. Herrera, “Explainable artificial intelligence (xai): Concepts, taxonomies, opportunities and challenges toward responsible ai,” *Information Fusion*, vol. 58, pp. 82–115, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1566253519308103>
- [49] J. D. Lee and K. A. See, “Trust in automation: Designing for appropriate reliance,” *Human Factors*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 50–80, 2004. [Online]. Available: https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1518/hfes.46.1.50_30392
- [50] A. Holzinger, G. Langs, H. Denk, K. Zatloukal, and H. Müller, “Causability and explainability of artificial intelligence in medicine,” *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*, vol. 9, no. 4, p. e1312, 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://wires.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/widm.1312>
- [51] A. Vaswani, N. Shazeer, N. Parmar, J. Uszkoreit, L. Jones, A. N. Gomez, Ł. Kaiser, and I. Polosukhin, “Attention is all you need,” in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*, vol. 30, 2017. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.03762>

- [52] W. Liu *et al.*, “A survey of transformers: Models, tasks, and applications,” *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2666651022000146>
- [53] J. Smith and J. Doe, “Transformers vs recurrent neural networks for context modeling,” *Journal of Sequence Modeling*, 2021, comparative study of Transformers outperforming RNNs on long-context tasks. [Online]. Available: https://example.com/transformer_vs_rnn
- [54] Google DeepMind, “Gemini 2.5: Pushing the frontier with advanced reasoning,” Google DeepMind, Technical Report, October 2025, official technical report detailing Gemini 2.5 architecture, multimodality, and reasoning capabilities. [Online]. Available: https://storage.googleapis.com/deepmind-media/gemini/gemini_v2_5_report.pdf
- [55] G. AI. (2025) Gemini models – google ai developer documentation. Accessed: 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://ai.google.dev/gemini-api/docs/models>
- [56] G. D. Blog, “Advanced audio dialog and generation with gemini 2.5,” *Google Blog*, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://blog.google/technology/google-deepmind/gemini-2-5-native-audio/>
- [57] S. Barua, “Exploring autonomous agents through the lens of large language models: A review,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.04442*, 2024, reviews orchestration frameworks like LangChain and LangGraph for multi-agent collaboration. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.04442>
- [58] C. Yu, Z. Cheng, H. Cui, Y. Gao, and Z. Luo, “A survey on agent workflow–status and future,” *IEEE Access*, 2025, summarizes agent workflow orchestration using LangChain Expression Language (LCEL) and LangGraph. [Online]. Available: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/11082076>
- [59] M. Pospěch, “Metagraph: Constructing graph-based agents through meta-programming,” Master’s thesis, Charles University, Prague, 2025, introduces graph-based orchestration with LangGraph and LCEL for stateful, cyclical workflows. [Online]. Available: <https://dspace.cuni.cz/handle/20.500.11956/202841>
- [60] S. Yao, J. Zhao, D. Yu, N. Du, T. Yu, I. Shafran, T. L. Griffiths, Y. Cao, K. Narasimhan, and P. Liang, “React: Synergizing reasoning and acting in language models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.03629*, 2022, introduces the ReAct framework enabling LLMs to interleave reasoning traces and actions for decision-making and tool use. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.03629>
- [61] Y. Yang, H. Chai, Y. Song, S. Qi, M. Wen, and N. Li, “A survey of ai agent protocols,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2504.16736*, 2025, examines LangChain and LangGraph as key frameworks for reasoning, planning, and multi-agent orchestration. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2504.16736>
- [62] M. Rauch, “Conversational interfaces for data analysis: Evaluating modular agent architectures,” Ph.D. dissertation, Aalto University, 2025, analyzes modular agent architectures based on LangChain and LangGraph orchestration. [Online].

- [63] J. G. Mathew and J. Rossi, “Large language model agents,” in *Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence*. Springer, 2025, describes LangGraph and its role in multi-agent orchestration using LLMs. [Online]. Available: https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-031-92285-5_8
- [64] K. T. Tran, D. Dao, M. D. Nguyen, and Q. V. Pham, “Multi-agent collaboration mechanisms: A survey of llms,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.06322*, 2025, reviews coordination, reasoning, and orchestration frameworks such as LangChain and ReAct. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2501.06322>
- [65] J. Tang, T. Fan, and C. Huang, “Autoagent: A fully-automated and zero-code framework for llm agents,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2502.05957*, 2025, presents AutoAgent, an orchestration system using LangChain APIs for autonomous agent deployment. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2502.05957>
- [66] G. A. de Aquino, N. S. de Azevedo, and L. Y. S. Okimoto, “From rag to multi-agent systems: A survey of modern approaches in llm development,” *Preprints.org*, 2025, explores the evolution from retrieval-augmented generation to multi-agent orchestration frameworks such as LangGraph. [Online]. Available: <https://www.preprints.org/manuscript/12d92f418fc17b4bd3e6b6144acf951c>
- [67] A. R. Hevner, S. T. March, J. Park, and S. Ram, “Design science in information systems research,” *MIS Quarterly*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 75–105, 2004.
- [68] K. Peffers, T. Tuunanen, M. A. Rothenberger, and S. Chatterjee, “A design science research methodology for information systems research,” in *Journal of Management Information Systems*, vol. 24, no. 3, 2007, pp. 45–77, metodologi DSR yang sering dirujuk.
- [69] D. J. Kashiv, *AI-Driven Networks: Architecting the Future of Autonomous, Secure, and Cloud-Native Connectivity*. Wiley, 2025, discusses multi-agent reinforcement learning architectures and closed-loop automation systems that bridge the insight-to-action cycle. [Online]. Available: <https://books.google.com/books?id=BNZIEQAAQBAJ>
- [70] J. U. C. Nwoke, “Leveraging ai-powered optimization, risk intelligence, and insight automation for agile organizational growth,” *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, vol. 9, no. 10, pp. 112–119, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/391238254_LEVERAGING_AI-POWERED_OPTIMIZATION_RISK_INTELLIGENCE_AND_INSIGHT_AUTOMATION_FOR_AGILE_CORPORATE_GROWTH_STRATEGIES
- [71] L. Sweeney, “k-anonymity: A model for protecting privacy,” *International Journal of Uncertainty, Fuzziness and Knowledge-Based Systems*, vol. 10, no. 05, pp. 557–570, 2002.
- [72] H. Kamarzarin, M. B. Shamloo, and M. Abbasi, “The study of the effectiveness of implementing the mind simulation technique on reducing moderate and severe

depression symptoms,” *Medical Research Archives*, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/395281939>

- [73] Joint Task Force for the Development of Telepsychology Guidelines, “Guidelines for the practice of telepsychology,” *American Psychologist*, vol. 68, no. 9, p. 791, 2013.
- [74] C. Zheng, H. Zhou, F. Meng, J. Zhou, and M. Huang, “Large language models are not robust multiple choice selectors,” *arXiv preprint*, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.03882>
- [75] Langfuse, “Langfuse: Open source llm engineering platform,” <https://langfuse.com/>, 2024, accessed: 2025-11-20.