



Table of Contents

CHAPTER I.....	1
INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Research Background.....	1
1.2 Research Problem.....	2
1.3 Research Scope.....	3
1.4 Research Objective.....	3
1.5 Research Advantage.....	4
CHAPTER II.....	5
LITERATURE REVIEW.....	5
2.1 Literature Review.....	5
2.2 Addressing Research Gaps.....	10
CHAPTER III.....	12
THEORETICAL BASIS.....	12
3.1 Domain Shift.....	12
3.2 Test Time Adaptation in Solving Domain Shift.....	13
3.3 Characteristic of TTA Methodologies.....	13
3.4 TENT (Fully Test Time Adaptation by Entropy Minimization).....	15
3.5 Source Hypothesis Transfer for Unsupervised Domain Adaptation (SHOT).....	16
3.6 Source Hypothesis Transfer for Unsupervised Domain Adaptation (SHOT).....	19
CHAPTER IV.....	21
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY.....	21
4.1 Research Description.....	21
4.2 Research Step.....	22
4.3 Datasets.....	24
4.3.1 Source Domain : MNIST.....	24
4.3.2 Target Domain : 2024 Indonesian Presidential Election Dataset.....	24
4.4 Reverse Engineering.....	27
4.4.1 Findings: Reverse-Engineered SIREKAP Application.....	27
4.4.2 Evaluation Findings: Reverse-Engineered SIREKAP Models.....	31
4.5 Baselines.....	34
4.5.1 Configurations.....	34
4.5.1.1 Tent Configurations.....	35
4.5.1.2 SHOT Configurations:.....	38
4.6 Performance Evaluation.....	39
CHAPTER V.....	43
RESEARCH TIMELINE.....	43



CHAPTER VI	44
EXPERIMENTS	44
6.1 TENT.....	44
6.1.1 Performance Evaluation (Pre-Adaptation)	44
6.1.2 Adaptation Performance Evaluation	45
6.1.2.1 Hyperparameter Sensitivity.....	45
6.1.2.2 Dataset Size Variation and Overfitting Evaluation.....	49
6.2 SHOT.....	50
6.2.1 Performance Evaluation (Pre-Adaptation)	50
6.2.2 SHOT Adaptation Results.....	51
6.2.2.1 Adaptation Data Size.....	51
6.2.2.2 Investigating Fluctuating Trends.....	51
CHAPTER VII	55
CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORKS	55
7.1 Findings	56
7.1.1 Overall Findings	56
7.1.2 TENT-Specific Findings.....	56
7.1.3 SHOT-Specific Findings.....	56
7.2 Limitations	57
APPENDIX	63



List of Figures

Figure 3.1 SHOT Framework.....	19
Figure 4.1 Research Flow.....	25
Figure 4.2 C1 Form Example gained publicly from Komisi Pemilihan Umum Republik Indonesia (2025).....	26
Figure 4.3 C1 Form Extraction Example.....	26
Figure 4.4 Class Distribution of the Collected Data.....	27
Figure 4.5 Reverse Engineered Folder Naming Indicating Ensembled Approach.....	28
Figure 4.6 SIREKAP Application Ensembled Code.....	28
Figure 4.7 SIREKAP Models Ensembled Pseudocode Approach from Figure 4.6.....	29
Figure 4.8 Inspection on Netron (2025) Showing Proof of No Quantization.....	29
Figure 4.9 Pre-processing Pipeline Code.....	32
Figure 4.10 SIREKAP Post-Processing Pseudo Code Ensembled Pseudocode Approach.....	35
Figure 4.11 Custom Pre-processing Method.....	38
Figure 4.12 TENT LeNet-5 Base Architecture.....	38
Figure 4.13 SHOT Base Architecture.....	38
Figure 4.14 TENT Evaluation Research Flow.....	39
Figure 4.15 SHOT Evaluation Research Flow.....	40
Figure 5.1 Research Timeline.....	43
Figure 6.1 Metric Difference Comparison of Base Variation found in Table 6.2 and Variations 1-7 Based on Table 4.10 (To Compare Performance on Source Domain Before and After TENT adaptation).....	45
Figure 6.2 Metric Difference Comparison of Variation 1-7 found in Table 6.2 in comparison to Variations 1-7 found in Table 5.10 (To Compare Performance on Source Domain Before and After TENT adaptation).....	46
Figure 6.3 Pearson Correlation of Evaluation Metrics in Comparison to Step Size for TENT.....	46
Figure 6.4 Trends of Step Size in Comparison with Evaluation metrics.....	47
Figure 6.5 Pearson Correlation of Evaluation Metrics in Comparison to Batch Size for.....	48
Figure 6.6 Trends of Batch Size in Comparison with Evaluation metrics.....	48
Figure 6.7 Trends of Increasing Adaptation Dataset Size.....	49
Figure 6.8 Trends of of all Evaluation Metrics for Model Variations (1-4) Before and After TENT.....	49
Figure 6.9 Trends of all Evaluation Metrics for Model Variations (Model 5-7) Before and.....	50
Figure 6.10 Evaluation Metrics for SHOT with Differing Dataset Size.....	51
Figure 6.11 Per Class Accuracy on MNIST Dataset Size 0.2-0.5.....	52
Figure 6.12 3D T-SNE Visualization for Train and Test Split in Fixed Seed 2020 from.....	52



Figure 6.13 Per Class Accuracy on MNIST Dataset Size 0.5-0.9.....	53
Figure 6.14 Per Class Accuracy on MNIST Dataset Size 0.5-0.9.....	53