



DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Acosta-Enriquez, B. G., Arbulu Ballesteros, M., Vilcapoma Pérez, C. R., Huamaní Jordan, O., Martin Vergara, J. A., Martel Acosta, R., Arbulu Perez Vargas, C. G., & Arbulú Castillo, J. C. (2025). AI in academia: How do social influence, self-efficacy, and integrity influence researchers' use of AI models? *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, 11, 101274. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2025.101274>
- Addula, S. R. (2025). Mobile banking adoption: A multi-factorial study on social influence, compatibility, digital self-efficacy, and perceived cost among Generation Z consumers in the United States. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research*, 20, 192. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jtaer20030192>
- Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50(2), 179–211. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978\(91\)90020-T](https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T)
- Ajzen, I. (2002). Perceived behavioral control, self-efficacy, locus of control, and the theory of planned behavior. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 32(4), 665–683. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.2002.tb00236.x>
- Alfansuri, A. F., & Soetjipto, B. W. (2020). Integrating dimension of trust into the theory of planned behavior: A case study of electronic wallet amidst the coronavirus outbreak [Preprint]. <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3750767>
- Bruno, P., Jeenah, U., Gandhi, A., & Gancho, I. (2024, October 18). Global payments in 2024: Simpler interfaces, complex reality. McKinsey & Company. <https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/financial-services/our-insights/global-payments-in-2024-simpler-interfaces-complex-reality>
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Dahlberg, T., Guo, J., & Ondrus, J. (2015). A critical review of mobile payment research. *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elerap.2015.07.006>
- Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), 319–340. <https://doi.org/10.2307/249008>



- Fahad, & Shahid, M. (2022). Exploring the determinants of adoption of Unified Payment Interface (UPI) in India: A study based on diffusion of innovation theory. *Digital Business*, 2, 100040. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.digbus.2022.100040>
- Fahad, & Shahid, M. (2022). Exploring the determinants of adoption of Unified Payment Interface (UPI) in India: A study based on diffusion of innovation theory. *Digital Business*, 2, 100040. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.digbus.2022.100040>
- Flavian, C., Guinaliu, M., & Lu, Y. (2020). Mobile payments adoption – introducing mindfulness to better understand consumer behavior. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJBM-01-2020-0039>
- Gong, X., Zheng, X., & Li, Q. (2022). How does personality trait affect online financial service use of college students in China? *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 847335. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.847335>
- Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2022). *A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM)* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Hair, J. F., Risher, J. J., Sarstedt, M., & Ringle, C. M. (2019). When to use and how to report the results of PLS-SEM. *European Business Review*, 31(1), 2–24. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EBR-11-2018-0203>
- Haqi, U. D., Marezeki, R., & Tarigan, L. Z. B. (2024). Effectiveness of using QRIS in payment transactions to encourage economic development among students. *Internasional Journal of Economic, Agribisnis and Development Studies*, 1(3), 105–114.
- Hasiana, D. (2024, November 20). BI: Transaksi QRIS Oktober 2024 melonjak 183%, ATM makin tak laku. *Bloomberg Technoz*. <https://www.bloombergtechnoz.com/detail-news/55481/bi-transaksi-qrisk-oktober-2024-melonjak-183-atm-makin-tak-laku>
- Indarini, I., Permatasari, A. P., & Anandya, D. (2024). How intention to use mobile payment in Indonesia formed? The role of innovativeness, usefulness, risk, and user stress. *Revista de Gestão Social e Ambiental*, 18(7), e05552. <https://doi.org/10.24857/rgsa.v18n7-031>
- Islam, M., Tamanna, A. K., & Islam, S. (2024). The path to cashless transaction: A study of user intention and attitudes towards quick response mobile



- payments. *Heliyon*, 10, e35302.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e35302>
- Ju, J., Teo, P.-C., Ho, T. C. F., & Ling, C. H. (2023). The behavioral intention of young Malaysians towards cashless society: Value-based adoption model. *Cogent Business & Management*, 10(2), 2244756.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2023.2244756>
- Khando, K., Islam, M. S., & Gao, S. (2023). The emerging technologies of digital payments and associated challenges: A systematic literature review. *Future Internet*, 15, 21. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fi15010021>
- Linh, T. T., & Huyen, N. T. T. (2025). An extension of trust and TAM model with TPB in the adoption of digital payment: An empirical study in Vietnam. *F1000Research*, 14, 127. <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.157763.3>
- Liu, Z., Ben, S., & Zhang, R. (2019). Factors affecting consumers' mobile payment behavior: A meta-analysis. *Electronic Commerce Research*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10660-019-09349-4>
- Long, S., Al Mamun, A., Yang, Q., Gao, J., Wan Hussain, W. M. H., & Al Shami, S. S. A. (2023). Modelling the mass adoption of mobile payment for e-hailing services using SEM-MGA. *PLOS ONE*, 18(10), e0287300.
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0287300>
- Marheni, D. K., Candy, Putri, J. R., Marcelino, & Widyasari, D. A. (2022). Factors determining college students' intention to use e-wallets. *Almana: Jurnal Manajemen dan Bisnis*, 6(2), 265–274.
<https://doi.org/10.36555/almana.v6i2.1847>
- Muchtar, E. H., Trianto, B., Maulana, I., Alim, M. N., Marasabessy, R. H., Hidayat, W., Junaedi, E., & Masrizal. (2024). Quick response code Indonesia standard (QRIS) e-payment adoption: Customers perspective. *Cogent Business & Management*, 11(1), 2316044.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2024.2316044>
- Nugroho, A., Fahrudi, L. I., Zata, N. K., & Tualeka, S. H. (2024). Analysis of the effect of perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, perceived security, and trust on intention to use e-wallet Gopay (Survey of Gopay users in DKI Jakarta). In I. P. Nuralam et al. (Eds.), *Proceedings of BICBATT 2022 (Advances in Economics, Business and Management Research, Vol. 257, pp. 3–7)*. https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-240-8_2



- Nursafitri, A., & Chaidir, T. (2024). Determinants of E-wallet DANA usage in Generation Z: Proving the UTAUT-2 model. *International Journal of Scientific Research and Management*, 12(4), 6055–6067. <https://doi.org/10.18535/ijstrm/v12i04.em01>
- Pangastuti, T. U., & Riza, A. F. (2023). Memprediksi minat m-banking bank syariah dengan pendekatan TAM dan TPB: Studi generasi milenial di Bantul. *Velocity: Journal of Sharia Finance and Banking*, 3(1), 60–74. <https://doi.org/10.28918/velocity.v3i1.874>
- Payment Systems Regulator & Financial Conduct Authority. (2024, July). Big tech and digital wallets: Call for information (CP24/9). Payment Systems Regulator.
- Pousttchi, K. (2008). A modeling approach and reference models for the analysis of mobile payment use cases. *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, 7, 182–201. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elerap.2007.07.001>
- Pratiwi, A., & Lawita, N. F. (2025). Analysis of factors affecting intention sustainability of e-wallet fund adoption in post Batam City COVID-19 era using the UTAUT2 model. In F. A. Rahman et al. (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on Applied Economics and Social Science (ICAESS 2024) (Advances in Economics, Business and Management Research, Vol. 312)*. https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-640-6_40
- Purwanta, S. S. T., & Setiawan, C. (2024). Factors influencing e-wallet usage intentions among Gen Z and Millennials in Jabodetabek. *International Journal of Scientific Research and Management*, 12(5), 6386–6401. <https://doi.org/10.18535/ijstrm/v12i05.em10>
- Rahmatika, U., & Fajar, M. A. (2019). Faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi minat penggunaan electronic money: Integrasi model TAM–TPB dengan perceived risk. *Nominal: Barometer Riset Akuntansi dan Manajemen*, 8(2), 274–284. <https://doi.org/10.21831/nominal.v8i2.26557>
- Rogers, E. M. (2003). *Diffusion of innovations* (5th ed.). Free Press.
- Sari, A. N., Mintarti, S. U., & Utomo, S. H. (2020). Penggunaan e-wallet dalam membentuk perilaku konsumsi mahasiswa. *Jurnal Pendidikan: Teori, Penelitian, dan Pengembangan*, 5(12), 1860–1866.
- Satrio, D. (2023). *Perilaku penggunaan dompet digital mahasiswa Yogyakarta* [Skripsi Sarjana, Universitas Islam Indonesia]. Program Studi Akuntansi,



Fakultas Bisnis dan Ekonomika, Universitas Islam Indonesia, Yogyakarta, Indonesia.

- Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2016). *Research methods for business: A skill-building approach* (7th ed.). John Wiley & Sons.
- Slade, E. L., Dwivedi, Y. K., Piercy, N. C., & Williams, M. D. (2015). Modeling consumers' adoption intentions of remote mobile payments in the United Kingdom: Extending UTAUT with innovativeness, risk, and trust. *Psychology & Marketing*, 32(8), 860–873. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.20823>
- Suh, B., & Han, I. (2002). Effect of trust on customer acceptance of Internet banking. *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, 1, 247–263. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1567-4223\(02\)00017-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1567-4223(02)00017-0)
- Suryati, & Yoga, I. (2021). The influence of perceived ease of use, trust and security on intention to use e-wallet. *Journal of Management and Islamic Finance*, 1(2), 294–308. <https://doi.org/10.22515/jmif.v1i2.4692>
- Suyunchaliyeva, M. M., Nautiyal, R., Shaikh, A. A., & Sharma, R. (2021). The use of mobile payment systems in post-COVID-19 economic recovery: Primary research on an emerging market for experience goods. *Sustainability*, 13, 13511. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su132413511>
- Taylor, E. (2016). Mobile payment technologies in retail: A review of potential benefits and risks. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 44(2). <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJRDM-05-2015-0065>
- Taylor, S., & Todd, P. A. (1995). Understanding information technology usage: A test of competing models. *Information Systems Research*, 6(2), 144–176. <https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.6.2.144>
- Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. *MIS Quarterly*, 27(3), 425–478. <https://doi.org/10.2307/30036540>
- Vidal-Silva, C., Sánchez-Ortiz, A., Serrano-Malebrán, J., Arriagada, V., Flores, M., Godoy, M., & Vargas, C. (2024). Social influence, performance expectancy, and price value as determinants of telemedicine services acceptance in Chile. *Heliyon*, 10, e27067. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e27067>
- Visa. (2023). Consumer adoption and the future of wallets: Decoding the European mobile wallet evolution. Visa.



- World Bank. (2023, November 13). Inclusion through innovation in financial services: Winning over businesspeople and consumers in Indonesia. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/news/feature/2023/11/13/inclusion-through-innovation-in-financial-services-winning-over-businesspeople-and-consumers-in-indonesia>
- World Bank. (2025). Digital financial inclusion. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/financialinclusion/publication/digital-financial-inclusion>
- Yang, M., Al Mamun, A., Mohiuddin, M., Che Nawawi, N., & Zainol, N. R. (2021). Cashless transactions: A study on intention and adoption of e-wallets. *Sustainability*, 13(2), 831. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13020831>
- Yoza, M. F., Syafrizal, & Verinita. (2023). Determinants of behavioral intentions to use e-wallet services in the city of Padang. *Journal of Economics, Finance and Management Studies*, 6(7), 3163–3172. <https://doi.org/10.47191/jefms/v6-i7-18>
- Zhong, Y., & Moon, H.-C. (2022). Investigating customer behavior of using contactless payment in China: A comparative study of facial recognition payment and mobile QR-code payment. *Sustainability*, 14, 7150. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14127150>