

Daftar Pustaka

- Abilleira, M. P., & Rodicio-García, M. L. (2018). Psychometric properties of the abbreviated version of personality assessment inventory (PAI-R) in a sample of inmates in spanish prisons. *Journal of Forensic Psychology Research and Practice*, 19(1), 9–23. <https://doi.org/10.1080/24732850.2018.1537711>
- Alzeer, J., & Benmerabet, H. (2023). The development of human personality: a comprehensive overview. *Psychological Disorders and Research*, 6, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.31487/j.pdr.2023.01.01>
- Azwar, S. (2025). *Dasar-dasar Psikometrika edisi ke-3*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.
- Benitez, J., Henseler, J., Castillo, A., & Schuberth, F. (2020). How to perform and report an impactful analysis using partial least squares: Guidelines for confirmatory and explanatory IS research. *Information and Management*, 57(103168), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2019.05.003>
- Bäckström, M. and Björklund, F. (2023). Why forced-choice and likert items provide the same information on personality, including social desirability. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 84(3), 549–576. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00131644231178721>
- Böckenholt, U. (2017). Measuring response styles in likert items. *Psychological Methods*, 22(1), 69–83. <https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000106>
- Brown, A., Inceoglu, I., & Lin, Y. (2016). Preventing rater biases in 360-degree feedback by forcing choice. *Organizational Research Methods*, 20(1), 121–148. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1094428116668036>
- Cai, L., Yang, J. S., & Hansen, M. (2011). Generalized full-information item bifactor analysis. *Psychological Methods*, 16(3), 221–248. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0023350>
- Campbell, D. T., & Fiske, D. W. (1959). Convergent and discriminant validation by the multitrait-multimethod matrix. *Psychological Bulletin*, 56(2), 81–105. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0046016>
- Cattell, H.E.P. (2001). The sixteen personality factor (16pf) questionnaire. In: Dorfman, W.I., Hersen, M. (eds) *Understanding Psychological Assessment. Perspectives on Individual Differences*. Springer, Boston, MA. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-1185-4_10
- Costa, P. T., & McCrae, R. R. (2008). The revised NEO personality inventory (NEO-PI-R). In *The SAGE Handbook of Personality Theory and*

- Assessment: Volume 2—Personality Measurement and Testing.
<https://doi.org/10.4135/9781849200479.n9>
- Digman, J. M. (1990). Personality structure: Emergence of the five-factor model. *In Annual Review of Psychology, 41*, 417–440. Annual Reviews.
<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ps.41.020190.002221>
- Dueber, D. M. (2017). Bifactor Indices Calculator: A Microsoft Excel-based tool to calculate various indices relevant to bifactor CFA models.
<https://dx.doi.org/10.13023/edp.tool.01> [Available at <http://sites.education.uky.edu/apslab/resources/>]
- Dunn, K. J., & McCray, G. (2020). The place of the bifactor model in confirmatory factor analysis investigations into construct dimensionality in language testing. *Frontiers in Psychology, 11*(July), 1–16.
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01357>
- Edmonds, G. W., Goldberg, L. R., Hampson, S. E., & Barckley, M. (2013). Personality stability from childhood to midlife: Relating teachers' assessments in elementary school to observer- and self-ratings 40 years later. *Journal of Research in Personality, 47*(5), 505–513.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2013.05.003>
- Eysenck, H. J., & Eysenck, S. B. G. (1993). Eysenck personality questionnaire-revised (EPQ-R) [Database record]. APA PsycTests.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/t05461-000>
- Fiske, D. W. (1982). Convergent-discriminant validation in measurements and research strategies. *New Directions for Methodology of Social & Behavioral Science, 12*, 77–92. <https://psycnet.apa.org/record/1982-31614-001>
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of Marketing Research, 18*(1), 39-50. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002224378101800104>
- Hammer, J. H., & Brenner, R. E. (2017). Disentangling gratitude: a theoretical and psychometric examination of the gratitude resentment and appreciation test-revised short (GRAT-RS). *Journal of Personality Assessment, 101*(1), 96–105. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00223891.2017.1344986>
- Hammer, J. H., & McDermott, R. C., Levant, R. F., & McKelvey, D. K. (2018). Dimensionality, reliability, and validity of the Gender Role Conflict Scale – Short Form (GRCS-SF). *Psychology of Men & Masculinity, 19*, 570-583.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/men000131gJoseph>
- Hathaway, S. R., & Mckinley, J. C. (1940). A Multiphasic Personality Schedule

- (Minnesota): I. Construction of the Schedule. *The Journal of Psychology*, 10(2), 249–254. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00223980.1940.9917000>
- Holzinger, K. J., & Swineford, F. (1937). The bi-factor method. *Psychometrika*, 2(1), 41–54. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02287965>
- Hopwood, C. J., & Donnellan, M. B. (2010). How should the internal structure of personality inventories be evaluated?. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 14(3), 332–346. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1088868310361240>
- Hu, L., & Bentler, P. M. (1999). Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 6(1), 1–55. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10705519909540118>
- John, O. P. (2021). History, measurement, and conceptual elaboration of the Big-Five trait taxonomy: The paradigm matures. In *Handbook of personality: Theory and research* (4th ed., pp. 35–82). The Guilford Press. <https://psycnet.apa.org/record/2021-06060-002>
- Jones, D. N., & Paulhus, D. L. (2014). Introducing the Short Dark Triad (SD3): A brief measure of dark personality traits. *Assessment*, 21(1), 28–41. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1073191113514105>
- Joubert, T., Inceoglu, I., Bartram, D., Dowdeswell, K., & Lin, Y. (2015). A comparison of the psychometric properties of the *Forced Choice* and Likert scale versions of a personality instrument. *International Journal of Selection and Assessment*, 23(1), 92–97. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijsa.12098>
- Krammer, G., Schermer, J. A., Koschmieder, C., Goffin, R. D., Hendy, N. T., & Biderman, M. D. (2024). Scoring the big five for longitudinally assessed academic achievement predictiveness: manifest, correlated-factors model, and bifactor modeling across multiple contexts. *International Journal of Selection and Assessment*, 33(1). <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijsa.12500>
- Kreitchmann, R. S., Abad, F. J., Ponsoda, V., Nieto, M. D., & Morillo, D. (2019). Controlling for response biases in self-report scales: *forced-choice* vs. psychometric modeling of likert items. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10(October), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02309>
- Kyriazos, T., & Poga-Kyriazou, M. (2023). Applied psychometrics: estimator considerations in commonly encountered conditions in CFA, SEM, and EFA Practice. *Psychology*, 14, 799–828. <https://doi.org/10.4236/psych.2023.145043>

- Li, C. H. (2016b). The performance of ML, DWLS, and ULS estimation with robust corrections in structural equation models with ordinal variables. *Psychological Methods*, 21, 369–387. <https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000093>
- Li, C. H. (2021). Statistical estimation of structural equation models with a mixture of continuous and categorical observed variables. *Behavior research methods*, 53(5), 2191–2213. <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-021-01547-z>
- Marastuti, A., Anggoro, W. J., Marvianto, R. D., & Al Afghani, A. A. (2020). Perbandingan properti psikometri antara tes paps berbentuk computer-based dan paper and pencil test. *Gajah Mada Journal of Psychology (GamaJoP)*, 6(1), 12. <https://doi.org/10.22146/gamajop.51852>
- Marsh, H. W. and Bailey, M. (1991). Confirmatory factor analyses of multitrait-multimethod data: a comparison of alternative models. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 15(1), 47–70. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014662169101500106>
- Maul, A. (2013). Method effects and the meaning of measurement. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 4. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00169>
- Mayer, J. D. (2007). Asserting the definition of personality. P: The Online Newsletter for Personality Science. 45. https://scholars.unh.edu/personality_lab/45
- McCrae, R.R. and John, O.P. (1992), An introduction to the five-factor model and its applications. *Journal of Personality*, 60, 175–215. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6494.1992.tb00970.x>
- Metz, A., Hu, Q., Kelly, A., Fox, A., Shirley, D., & Shirley, L. (2019). Non-cognitive assessment in higher education. *Journal of College Orientation Transition and Retention*, 22(2). <https://doi.org/10.24926/jcotr.v22i2.2888>
- Millon, T., & Davis, R. (1987). Inventaris multiaksial klinis Millon II. Sistem penilaian interpretatif NCS. <https://millonpersonality.com/diagnostic-taxonomy/>
- Milojev, P., Osborne, D., Greaves, L. M., Barlow, F. K., & Sibley, C. G. (2013). The Mini-IPIP6: Tiny yet highly stable markers of Big Six personality. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 47(6), 936–944. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2013.09.004>
- Osgood, C. E. (1952). The nature and measurement of meaning. *Psychological Bulletin*, 49(3), 197–237. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0055737>
- Pelt, D. H. M., Linden, D. v. d., Dunkel, C. S., & Born, M. P. (2019). The motivation

- and opportunity for socially desirable responding does not alter the general factor of personality. *Assessment*, 28(5), 1376-1396. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1073191119880960>
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Lee, J. Y., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2003). Common method biases in behavioral research: A critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88(5), 879-903. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.88.5.879>
- Podsakoff, P. M., Podsakoff, N. P., Williams, L. J., Huang, C., & Yang, J. (2024). Common method bias: It's bad, it's complex, it's widespread, and it's not easy to fix. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 11(1), 17-61. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-110721-040030>
- R Core Team. (2025). R: *A language and environment for statistical computing* (Version 4.5.1) [Computer software]. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. <https://www.r-project.org>
- Reise, S. P. (2012). The rediscovery of bifactor measurement models. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 47(5), 667-696. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2012.715555>
- Reise, S. P., Moore, T. M., & Haviland, M. G. (2010). Bifactor models and rotations: Exploring the extent to which multidimensional data yield univocal scale scores. *Journal of personality assessment*, 92(6), 544-559. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00223891.2010.496477>
- Rodriguez, A., Reise, S. P., & Haviland, M. G. (2015). Applying bifactor statistical indices in the evaluation of psychological measures. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 98(3), 223-237. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00223891.2015.1089249>
- Rodriguez, A., Reise, S. P., & Haviland, M. G. (2016). Evaluating bifactor models: Calculating and interpreting statistical indices. *Psychological Methods*, 21(2), 137-150. <https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000045>
- Rosseel, Y. (2012). lavaan: An R Package for structural equation modeling. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 48(2), 1-36. <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v048.i02>
- Schulte, N., Holling, H., & Bürkner, P.-C. (2021). Can high-dimensional questionnaires resolve the ipsativity issue of forced-choice response formats?. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 81(2), 262-289. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013164420934861>
- SHL. (2006). OPQ32 technical manual. Surrey, UK. SHL Group. <https://www.yumpu.com/en/document/view/6485292/opq32-user->

[manual-shl-solutions-partners](#)

- Sireci, S., & Benítez, I. (2023). Evidence for test validation: A guide for practitioners. *Psicothema*, 35(3), 217–226. <https://doi.org/10.7334/psicothema2022.477>
- Stucky, B. D. & Edelen, M. O. (2015). Using heierarchical IRT models to create unidimensional measures from multidimensional data. In S. P. Reise & D. A. Revicki (Eds.), *Handbook of item response theory modeling: Applications to typical performance assessment*, 183-206. New York: Routledge. <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9781315736013-11/using-hierarchical-irt-models-create-unidimensional-measures-multidimensional-data-brian-stucky-maria-orlando-edelen>
- Umar, J., & Nisa, Y. F. (2020). Uji validitas konstruk dengan cfa dan pelaporannya. *Jurnal Pengukuran Psikologi dan Pendidikan Indonesia (JP3I)*, 9(2), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.15408/jp3i.v9i2.16964>
- Vallevand, A., Manthey, D. E., Askew, K., Hartman, N. D., Burns, C., Strowd, L. C., Violato, C. (2024). Assessing clinical competence: a multitrait-multimethod matrix construct validity study. *Advances in Health Sciences Education* 29, 567–585. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10459-023-10269-0>
- Wang, Y., Kim, E. S., Dedrick, R. F., Ferron, J. M., & Tan, T. (2017). A multilevel bifactor approach to construct validation of mixed-format scales. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 78(2), 253-271. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013164417690858> (Original work published 2018)
- Wang, J., & Wang, X. (2020). *Structural equation modeling: Applications using Mplus*. John Wiley & Sons. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119422730>
- Watrín, L., Geiger, M., Spengler, M., & Wilhelm, O. (2019). Forced-choice versus likert responses on an occupational big five questionnaire. *Journal of Individual Differences*, 40(3), 134-148. <https://doi.org/10.1027/1614-0001/a000285>
- Walton, K., Radunzel, J., Moore, R., Burrus, J., Anguiano-Carrasco, C., & Murano, D. (2021). Adjectives vs. statements in *Forced Choice* and likert item types: which is more resistant to impression management in personality assessment?. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 103(6), 842-853. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00223891.2021.1878523>
- Weston, R., & Gore, P. A. (2006). A brief guide to structural equation modeling. *The Counseling Psychologist*, 34(5), 719-751.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0011000006286345>

- Widhiarso, W. (2016). Mengakomodasi efek metode dalam pengujian validitas konstruk melalui analisis faktor konfirmatori. *Psikologia: Jurnal Psikologi*, 1(1), 37. <https://doi.org/10.21070/psikologia.v1i1.478>
- Widhiarso, W. (2019). Pembuktian validitas terkait struktur tes potensi akademik pascasarjana (PAPS) Universitas Gadjah Mada. *Jurnal Psikologi*, 46(2), 145-162. <https://doi.org/10.22146/jpsi.38223>
- Widhiarso, W., & Khairunisa, A. R. (2024). Eksplorasi kemunculan efek metode dalam tes potensi kemampuan kognitif. *Gadjah Mada Journal of Psychology (GamaJoP)*, 10(1), 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.22146/gamajop.75080>
- Widhiarso, W., Anggoro, W. J., & Ampuni, S. (2024). Manual teknis gadjah mada personality inventory. Fakultas Psikologi Universitas Gadjah Mada.
- Widhiarso, W. & Haryanta. (2015). Examining method effect of synonym and antonym test in verbal abilities measure. *Europe's Journal of Psychology*, 11(3), 419–431. <https://doi.org/10.5964/ejop.v11i3.865>
- Xu, M., & Leung, S.O. (2016). Bifactor structure for the categorical chinese rosenberg self-esteem scale. *The Spanish Journal of Psychology*, 19, E67. <https://doi.org/10.1017/sjp.2016.66>
- Zhang, X., & Savalei, V. (2024). An overview of alternative formats to the Likert format: A comment on Wilson et al. (2022). *Psychological Methods*, 29(3), 606–612. <https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000631>