

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	vi
LIST OF TABLES	x
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF ACRONYMS	xiv
ABSTRACT.....	xv
INTISARI	xvi
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1. Background	1
1.2. Problem formulation	4
1.3. Research Questions and Objectives	6
1.4. Outputs of the Research	7
1.5. Significance of the study	8
1.6. Scope of the study	8
1.7. Novelty of the Research	9
1.8. The position of this research in the field of Geography	12
1.8 Operational Definitions	15
CHAPTER II: LITERATURE REVIEW	18
2.1. Landslides.....	18
2.1.1. Landslide types	21
2.1.2. Key Terminologies used in landslide studies	22
2.2. Remote sensing theory	23
2.2.1. Characteristics of the Remote Sensing Image used in this research.....	23
2.2.2. Image interpretation.....	24
2.2.3. Digital image processing	25
2.2.4. Image transformation.....	25
2.3. Landslide inventory	26
2.3.2 Landslide Inventory Methods.....	27
2.3.3. Interpretation of Landslides from Remote Sensing Imagery using Visual/Manual Methods.	28



2.3.4. Automatic and Semi-automatic recognition of Landslides (Digital image Analysis).	29
2.4. Geographic Information Systems (Integration of Remote Sensing with GIS).....	30
2.5. Artificial Neural Networks.....	31
2.6. Convolutional Neural Networks	33
2.7. Attention Mechanisms in Neural Networks.....	35
2.8. Transfer learning	37
2.8. Landslide Conditioning Factors	39
2.8.1. Geology	40
2.8.2. Geomorphology.....	41
2.8.3. Hydrology and Climate	44
2.8.4. Land use and Land cover.....	44
2.8.5. Human activities	45
2.9. Landslide Susceptibility Mapping.....	45
2.10. Interpretability of Deep Learning Models.....	48
2.11 Previous research.....	48
2.12. Summary of the Literature Review	50
2.12. Conceptual Framework	56
CHAPTER III: RESEARCH METHODS	60
3.1. Introduction and Type of Research	60
3.2.1 Tools and Data	61
3.2.2. Data Collection.....	62
3.3. Landslide Mapping Using Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN).....	63
3.3.1 Research Variables	64
3.3.2. Image Processing and Data Preparation	64
3.3.3. Model Training	67
3.3.4. Model Validation and Accuracy Assessment	67
3.3.5. Model Interpretation	68
3.3.6. Model Predictions on the Inference Image	69
3.4 Landslide Susceptibility Modelling using Neural Networks	70
3.4.1. Landslide Conditioning Factors.....	70
3.4.2. Landslide Inventory (landslide and Non-landslide Samples).....	74
3.4.3. Multicollinearity Assessment.....	75



3.4.4. Model training and testing	75
3.4.5. Landslide Susceptibility Classification	77
3.4.6 Accuracy Assessment and Model Validation	78
3.4.7. Landslide Susceptibility Model Interpretation	78
3.4.8. Fieldwork	79
3.5. Landslide Susceptibility Modelling Based on Transfer Learning.....	80
3.6. Geomorphic Plausibility Test of the Model Results	82
3.6.2. Sampling Procedure for the Field Interviews	83
CHAPTER IV: DESCRIPTION OF THE STUDY AREA	86
4.1. Location and Condition of the Study Area.....	86
4.1.2. Topography.....	87
4.1.3 Soils	91
4.1.4 Geology	93
4.1.5 Climate.....	97
4.1.6 Land use and Land cover	99
4.1.7 Population and Settlement	101
4.1.8. Disaster profile of Pacitan Regency	103
CHAPTER V: MAPPING LANDSLIDES USING CONVOLUTIONAL NEURAL NETWORKS	104
5.1. Input data: Landslide masks and Training patch samples	104
5.2. Model Training.....	107
5.2.1 Model Results.....	107
5.2.2. Model Training and Performance Metrics.....	109
5.2.3. Model Prediction on the Test Data	111
5.2.4. Application of the CNN models to the inference area.....	113
5.2.5. Model Interpretation using Saliency Maps.....	118
5.3. Comparison of the Models	120
CHAPTER VI: LANDSLIDE SUSCEPTIBILITY MAPPING/MODELING USING THE DEEP NEURAL NETWORKS	125
6.1. Model input Data.....	125
6.2. Exploratory Data Analysis	128
6.3. Model Architecture and Hyperparameters	129
6.4. Model Performance Evaluation.....	130



6.5. Landslide Susceptibility Index and Map	131
6.6. Model Interpretation using SHAP, PDP, and Feature Interactions	134
6.7. Qualitative Model Evaluation using Geomorphic Plausibility Test	139
6.8. Discussion of the results of the ANN model for landslide susceptibility mapping	142
CHAPTER VII: LANDSLIDE SUSCEPTIBILITY MODELING USING THE TRANSFER LEARNING	146
7.1. Model input Data.....	146
7.2. Exploratory Data Analysis	148
7.3. Model Architecture and Hyperparameters	150
7.4. Model performance evaluation.....	150
7.5. Landslide Susceptibility Index and Map.....	151
7.6. Model Interpretation.....	155
7.7. Qualitative Model Evaluation and Geomorphic Plausibility	159
7.7.1. Zonal Statistics	159
7.7.2. Model Evaluation using Field Interviews/Community Perceptions.....	160
7.8. Discussion of the Results	161
CHAPTER VIII: RESEARCH FINDINGS, CONTRIBUTIONS, AND LIMITATIONS OF THE RESEARCH.....	165
8.1. Research Findings	165
8.1.1. Attention-based CNN and model performance	165
8.1.2. Artificial neural network model for spatial risk assessment and the role of key predictive factors	167
8.1.3. Model validation and plausibility assessment.	169
8.2. Limitations of the Research.....	170
8.3. Theoretical implications of the research findings	172
CHAPTER IX: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	175
9.1. Conclusions	175
9.2. Recommendations	176
REFERENCES.....	180
Appendix 1: Codes.....	198

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Research objectives and questions.....	6
Table 2.1: Classification of movement and material	19
Table 2.2: The Pleiades sensor's band breakdown.....	24
Table 2.3: Landslide conditioning Factors grouped under categories	40
Table 2. 4: List of related studies.....	53
Table 3. 1: Datasets used in the study.....	62
Table 3.2: Model Training Parameters for Landslide detection and mapping	67
Table 3.3: Variables for LSS modelling using DNN	70
Table 3.4: Number of samples collected for field interviews.....	83
Table 4.1: Major watersheds in Pacitan Regency	89
Table 4.2: Population of Pacitan Regency according to the 2020 census	101
Table 5.1: Comparison of validation metrics for the four models.....	108
Table 5.2: Comparison of Training and Testing Performance Metrics	110
Table 5.3: Model performance on the inference image	113
Table 6.1: Results of Multicollinearity test using VIF and Tolerance values	128
Table 6.2: Model Hyperparameters (Suggested vs Best).....	130
Table 6.3: Model performance on the training and test data	130
Table 6.4: Zonal statistics across various LSS classes for selected topographic variables	140
Table 6.5: Relationship between the model predictions and the Field responses/ground truth..	141
Table 7.1: VIF and Tolerance Values	149
Table 7.2: Transfer learning model parameters	150
Table 7.3: A confusion Matrix of the relationship between the model predictions and the Field responses/ground truth.....	161

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: The position of the Research in Geography and Geospatial Modelling.....	13
Figure 2.1: Landslide types.....	19
Figure 2.2: Morphological features of a landslide	20
Figure 2.3: Structure of the Artificial Neural Network	32
Figure 2. 4: Structure of a CNN.....	34
Figure 2.5: Transfer Learning Process.....	37
Figure 2.7: The conceptual framework of the research.	59
Figure 3.1: Workflow for automatic landslide detection and mapping using CNN models.....	69
Figure 3.2: Landslide polygon subdivided into 15 m grids using the Fishnet tool.....	75
Figure 3.3: The Network Architecture of the DNN Model	76
Figure 3.4: Framework for landslide susceptibility assessment using transfer learning	81
Figure 3.5: Flow diagram of the methodology	85
Figure 4.1: Landslide appearance	87
Figure 4.2: Map of Pacitan Regency.....	88
Figure 4.3: Slope of the Study Area.....	90
Figure 4.4: Soil types in the study areas	92
Figure 4.5: The soils with loose structure.....	93
Figure 4. 6: Field photographs displaying some of the lithology found in the study area.....	95
Figure 4.7: Geology map of the Study Area	96
Figure 4.8: Average Annual Rainfall based on two stations	98
Figure 4.9: Monthly rainfall for the year 2017 when the Cyclone happened	98
Figure 4.10: Land Cover Map of Pacitan Regency	100
Figure 4.11: Population distribution in Pacitan Regency	102
Figure 4.12: Disaster occurrences in Pacitan Regency since 2017.....	103
Figure 5.1: Image composite (Image + BI + Slope)	105
Figure 5.2: Landslide inventory for Arjosari	105
Figure 5.3: Training site Landslide inventory	106
Figure 5.4: Sample Image and Mask patches	106
Figure 5.5: Training Loss for the four models.....	108
Figure 5.6: Validation loss curves for the models	109



Figure 5.7: Predictions by the models on a sample input image.	112
Figure 5.8: Comparison of the four models' ability to differentiate between features.....	112
Figure 5.9: Model performance on the inference image.....	113
Figure 5.10a: Inference prediction results using the SE U-Net.	114
Figure 5.10b: Inference prediction results using the Spatial Attention U-Net	115
Figure 5.10c: Inference prediction results using the ordinary U-Net.	116
Figure 5.10d: Inference prediction results using the channel-wise attention U-Net.....	117
Figure 5.11: Saliency maps for the four models	119
Figure 5.12: Correlation between saliency maps for the four models	120
Figure 5.13: Vegetation regrowth after the landslide	124
Figure 6.1a: Landslide conditioning factors thematic maps	126
Figure 6.1b: Landslide conditioning factors thematic maps	127
Figure 6.2: VIF and Tolerance values	129
Figure 6.3: Model performance indicated	131
Figure 6.4: Distribution of Landslide susceptibility levels in the study area.....	132
Figure 6.5: Landslide susceptibility map of source area.....	133
Figure 6.6: MSE-based Permutation Feature Importance Plot	134
Figure 6.7: Global Feature Interaction for the model parameters.....	136
Figure 6.8: Partial Dependence Plots for selected variables.....	138
Figure 6.9: SHAP summary Plot for a single instance prediction.	139
Figure 6.10: Clustered bar graph showing the mean values of various terrain properties and indices within the susceptibility classes for the source domain model output.	140
Figure 6.11: Field photographs displaying some recent landslide events	141
Figure 7.1: Landslide conditioning factors Maps for the target area.....	147
Figure 7.2: Landslide Inventory of the target area.....	148
Figure 7.3: VIF and Tolerance Plots for the Target Area Data.	149
Figure 7. 4: ROC AUC curve showing the performance of the models.	151
Figure 7.5: Distribution of landslide susceptibility levels in the target area	152
Figure 7. 6: The landslide susceptibility Map of the Target area	153
Figure 7.7: The landslide susceptibility Map Using ANN	154
Figure 7.8: MSE-based Permutation Feature Importance plot for the Transfer learning model	155



Figure 7. 9: Global Feature Interaction for the model parameters.....	156
Figure 7.10: PDP plots for landslide conditioning variables in the target region.....	158
Figure 7.11: SHAP summary plots for a single instance prediction.....	159
Figure 7.12: Mean values of various terrain indices in the susceptibility classes for the target domain model output.	160
Figure 8.1: Contributions and findings of research	170
Figure 8.2: Theoretical contributions of the research	174