

Daftar Pustaka

- Ahammed, A. K. M. R., & Nixon, B. M. (2006). Environmental impact monitoring in the EIA process of South Australia. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 26(5), 426–447. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2005.09.002>
- Alberts, R. C., Retief, F. P., Roos, C., Cilliers, D. P., Fischer, T. B., & Arts, J. (2022). EIA decision-making and administrative justice: an empirical analysis. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 65(10), 1914–1931. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09640568.2021.1952857>
- Aspinall, E. (2003). *Local power & politics in Indonesia*. Flipside Digital Content Company Inc.
- Aung, T. S. (2017). Evaluation of the environmental impact assessment system and implementation in Myanmar: Its significance in oil and gas industry. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 66, 24–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2017.05.005>
- Aung, T. S., Fischer, T. B., & Shengji, L. (2020). Evaluating environmental impact assessment (EIA) in the countries along the belt and road initiatives: System effectiveness and the compatibility with the Chinese EIA. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2019.106361>
- Azechi, K., & Nishikizawa, S. (2014). The EIA system and hazard management of sediment-related disaster in Japan-A case study in wind farm projects. *Journal of Environmental Assessment Policy and Management*, 16(3). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1464333214500239>
- Barandiaran, J., & Rubiano-Galvis, S. (2019). An empirical study of EIA litigation involving energy facilities in Chile and Colombia. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 79, 106311. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EIAR.2019.106311>
- Bebbington, A., Bebbington, D. H., Valencia, L. H., & de Estudios Sociales, C. P. (2009). Extraction, inequalities and territories in Bolivia. *International Congress of the Latin American Studies Association, Rio de Janeiro*.
- Bednarek-Szczepańska, M. (2022). The power of protest in the EIA proceedings. Influence of local community on environmental decisions regarding intensive livestock operations - Example of Poland. *Environmental Impact Assessment*

Review, 97. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2022.106868>

Bernauer, W., Hostetler, G., Greene, E., Tester, F., Harris, R., & Tanguay, L. (2023). Undermining Assessment: EIA follow-up, stake-holder advisory groups, and extractive industries in Nunavut, Canada. *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*, 41(2), 87–101. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14615517.2022.2139469>

Bernstein, H. (2010). *Class dynamics of agrarian change* (Vol. 1). Kumarian Press.

Bhattacharya, T. (2017). *Social reproduction theory: Remapping class, recentering oppression*. Pluto Books.

Birley, M. (2013). *Health impact assessment: Principles and practice*. Routledge.

Birley, M. H. (1995). *The health impact assessment of development projects*. HMSO Publications Centre.

Bond, A., Palerm, J., & Haigh, P. (2004). Public participation in EIA of nuclear power plant decommissioning projects: A case study analysis. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 24(6). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2004.02.002>

Bond, A., Pope, J., Fundingsland, M., Morrison-Saunders, A., Retief, F., & Hauptfleisch, M. (2020). Explaining the political nature of environmental impact assessment (EIA): A neo-Gramscian perspective. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 244, 118694.

Borioni, R., Gallardo, A. L. C. F., & Sánchez, L. E. (2017). Advancing scoping practice in environmental impact assessment: an examination of the Brazilian federal system. *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*, 35(3), 200–213. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14615517.2016.1271535>

Borras Jr, S. M., & Franco, J. C. (2012). Global land grabbing and trajectories of agrarian change: A preliminary analysis. *Journal of Agrarian Change*, 12(1), 34–59.

Bourdieu, P. (2011). The forms of capital.(1986). *Cultural Theory: An Anthology*, 1(81–93), 949.

Bravante, M. A., & Holden, W. N. (2021). Going through the motions: The environmental impact assessment of nonferrous metals mining projects in the Philippines. *Pacific Review*, 22(4).

<https://doi.org/10.1080/09512740903128034>

- Byres, T. J. (2009). The landlord class, peasant differentiation, class struggle and the transition to capitalism: England, France and Prussia compared. *The Journal of Peasant Studies*, 36(1), 33–54.
- Cares, R. A., Franco, A. M. A., & Bond, A. (2023). Investigating the implementation of the mitigation hierarchy approach in environmental impact assessment in relation to biodiversity impacts. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 102. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2023.107214>
- Cashmore, M. (2004). The role of science in environmental impact assessment: Process and procedure versus purpose in the development of theory. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 24(4), 403–426. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2003.12.002>
- Castillo, S. C., & Silva, C. P. (2020). How do environmental impact assessments fail to prevent social conflict? Government technologies in a dam project in Colombia. *Journal of Political Ecology*, 27(1), 1072–1091.
- Çelik, C. (2024). The social reproduction of natural resource extraction and gendered labour regimes in rural Turkey. *Journal of Agrarian Change*, 24(3).
- Chanchitpricha, C., & Fischer, T. B. (2022). The role of impact assessment in the development of urban green infrastructure: a review of EIA and SEA practices in Thailand. *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*, 40(3), 191–201. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14615517.2022.2027075>
- Cooke, B., & Kothari, U. (2001). *Participation: The new tyranny?* Zed books.
- Cornwall, A. (2008). Unpacking ‘Participation’: models, meanings and practices. *Community Development Journal*, 43(3), 269–283.
- Costagliola-Ray, M. M., Lieber, L., Nimmo-Smith, W. A. M., Masden, E. A., Caplat, P., Wilson, J., & O’Hanlon, N. J. (2022). To fly or not to fly? Comparing vantage point and uncrewed aerial vehicle surveys for assessments of seabird abundance and fine-scale distribution. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 97. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2022.106906>
- Creswell, J. W. (2018). Reserch Design Quslitative , Quantitative and Mixed methods Approaches. In *Sage* (Issue 9).

<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>

Darier, É. (1996). Environmental governmentality: The case of Canada's green plan. *Environmental Politics*, 5(4).

<https://doi.org/10.1080/09644019608414294>

de Oliveira, A. R., Bento, S., Partidário, M., & Morrison-Saunders, A. (2023). Understanding stakeholder experiences with visual communication in environmental impact assessment. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 102, 107210. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EIAR.2023.107210>

Dias, A. M. S., Cook, C., Massara, R. L., & Paglia, A. P. (2022). Are Environmental Impact Assessments effectively addressing the biodiversity issues in Brazil? *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2022.106801>

Dilay, A., Diduck, A. P., & Patel, K. (2020). Environmental justice in India: a case study of environmental impact assessment, community engagement and public interest litigation. *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*, 38(1), 16–27. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14615517.2019.1611035>

Dodd, N., Habermas, J., & White, S. K. (1997). Between Facts and Norms: Contributions to a Discourse Theory of Law and Democracy. *The British Journal of Sociology*, 48(2). <https://doi.org/10.2307/591763>

Elling, B. (2009). Rationality and effectiveness: Does EIA/SEA treat them as synonyms? *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*, 27(2). <https://doi.org/10.3152/146155109X454294>

Emerson, K., Baldwin, E., Scott, T. A., Pidot, J. R., Lien, A. M., Currim, F., Bethard, S., Ram, S., Miller, M. L., & López-Hoffman, L. (2022). Toward NEPA performance: A framework for assessing EIAs. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 97, 106879.

Ferguson, J., & Lohmann, L. (2022). 18. The Anti-Politics Machine. In *The Environment in Anthropology (Second Edition)*. <https://doi.org/10.18574/nyu/9781479862689.003.0022>

Ferrante, L., Andrade, M. B. T., & Fearnside, P. M. (2021). Land grabbing on Brazil's Highway BR-319 as a spearhead for Amazonian deforestation. *Land*

- Use Policy*, 108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2021.105559>
- Fischer, T. B. (2010). *The theory and practice of strategic environmental assessment: towards a more systematic approach*. Routledge.
- Fischer, T. B., Fonseca, A., Geißler, G., Jha-Thakur, U., Retief, F., Alberts, R., & Jiricka-Pürner, A. (2023). Simplification of environmental and other impact assessments—results from an international online survey. *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*, 41(3), 181–189. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14615517.2023.2198839>
- Flyvbjerg, B. (2006). Five misunderstandings about case-study research. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 12(2), 219–245.
- Gangoellis, M., Casals, M., Gassó, S., Forcada, N., Roca, X., & Fuertes, A. (2011). Assessing concerns of interested parties when predicting the significance of environmental impacts related to the construction process of residential buildings. *Building and Environment*, 46(5), 1023–1037.
- Geertz, C. (1973). Chapter 1/Thick Description: Toward an interpretive theory of culture. *The Interpretation of Cultures: Selected Essays*, 3–30.
- Glasson, J., & Therivel, R. (2012). *Introduction To Environmental Impact Assessment* (4th Editio). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315881218>
- Glucker, A. N., Driessen, P. P. J., Kolhoff, A., & Runhaar, H. A. C. (2013). Public participation in environmental impact assessment: Why, who and how? *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 43, 104–111. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2013.06.003>
- Goldman, M. (2001). Constructing an environmental state: eco-governmentality and other transnational practices of a ‘green’ World Bank. *Social Problems*, 48(4), 499–523.
- Goldman, M. (2022). V. Eco-Governmentality and the Making of an Environmental State. In *Imperial Nature*. <https://doi.org/10.12987/9780300132090-007>
- Goldman, M., & Turner, M. (2018). The politics of green transformations: Structural inequalities and state interventions. *Geoforum*, 92, 97–108. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2018.04.010>
- Gontier, M., Balfors, B., & Mörtberg, U. (2006). Biodiversity in environmental

- assessment—current practice and tools for prediction. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 26(3), 268–286.
- Gramsci, A. (1971). The intellectuals. *Selections from the Prison Notebooks*, 5.
- Guilhon, M., Singh, P., Christiansen, S., & Turra, A. (2022). Revisiting procedural requirements for the assessment of environmental impacts arising from the different stages of deep seabed mining: Current practices at the International Seabed Authority and recommendations for improvement. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 96. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2022.106846>
- Gulakov, I., & Vanclay, F. (2018). Social impact assessment in the Russian federation: Does it meet the key values of democracy and civil society? *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*, 36(6), 494–505. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14615517.2018.1507111>
- Habibi, M. (2021). Masters of the countryside and their enemies: Class dynamics of agrarian change in rural Java. *Journal of Agrarian Change*, 21(4), 720–746.
- Habibi, M. (2023). Extracting labour from the neighbour: class dynamics of agrarian change in Sumatran oil palm. *The Journal of Peasant Studies*, 50(4), 1317–1346.
- Hadiz, V., Hadiz, V. R., & Robison, R. (2004). *Reorganising power in Indonesia: The politics of oligarchy in an age of markets*. Routledge.
- Hall, D., Hirsch, P., & Li, T. M. (2011). *Introduction to powers of exclusion: land dilemmas in Southeast Asia*.
- Hall, S., & O'shea, A. (2013). Common-sense neoliberalism. *Soundings*, 55(55), 9–25.
- Hallatt, T. W., Retief, F. P., & Sandham, L. A. (2015). The Quality of Biodiversity Inputs to EIA in Areas with High Biodiversity Value-Experience from the Cape Floristic Region, South Africa. *Journal of Environmental Assessment Policy and Management*, 17(3). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1464333215500258>
- Hardjono, J. M. (1977). *Transmigration in Indonesia*.
- Harrison, J. L., & Contreras, M. G.-A. (2023). The subtle production of quiescence: Tracing the neoliberalization of environmental justice policy implementation. *Environmental Justice*, 16(1), 10–18.

- Hart, G. P. (1986). *Power, labor, and livelihood: Processes of change in rural Java*. Univ of California Press.
- Hartley, N., & Wood, C. (2005). Public participation in environmental impact assessment - Implementing the Aarhus Convention. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 25(4), 319–340. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2004.12.002>
- Harvey, D. (2003). Accumulation by Dispossession. In *The New Imperialism*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780199264315.003.0007>
- Harvey, D. (2017). The 'new' imperialism: accumulation by dispossession. In *Karl marx* (pp. 213–237). Routledge.
- Henderson, T. P. (2018). The class dynamics of food sovereignty in Mexico and Ecuador. *Journal of Agrarian Change*, 18(1), 3–21.
- Huang, Y.-C., & Mabon, L. (2022). Making sense of how proponents conspire to thwart environmental impact assessment processes: insights from the Miramar Resort controversy in Taiwan. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 65(9), 1685–1707. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09640568.2021.1944846>
- Hundloe, T. (2021). *EIA Laws and Policies: From NEPA to the World Bank - Environmental Impact Assessment: Incorporating Sustainability Principles* (T. Hundloe (ed.); pp. 81–98). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-80942-3_4
- Ilhan, B., & Yobas, B. (2019). Measuring construction for social, economic and environmental assessment. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, 26(5), 746–765.
- JATAM. (2014). *Krisis tambang di Indonesia: Catatan kritis JATAM 2004–2014*.
- Jay, S., Jones, C., Slinn, P., & Wood, C. (2007). Environmental impact assessment: Retrospect and prospect. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 27(4), 287–300. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2006.12.001>
- Johnson, T. (2020). Public participation in China's EIA process and the regulation of environmental disputes. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2019.106359>

- Kamijo, T. (2022). How to enhance EIA systems in developing countries: a quantitative literature review. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 24(12), 13476–13492. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-021-02029-0>
- Kemm, J., Parry, J., & Palmer, S. (2004). *Health impact assessment*. OUP Oxford.
- Khan, M., Chaudhry, M. N., Ahmad, S. R., Saif, S., & Mehmood, A. (2020). Performance of EIA authority and effectiveness of EIA system in Pakistan. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2019.106357>
- King, T. F. (2000). What should be the “cultural resources” element of an EIA? *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 20(1), 5–30. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0195-9255\(99\)00003-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0195-9255(99)00003-7)
- Kumayza, T. (2021). EIA in developing countries: How does environmental impact assessment (EIA)/(AMDAL) support neoliberal mining?(a case study in Indonesia). *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 905(1), 12043.
- Kumayza, T., & Hariyadi, S. (2022). EIA/Amdal in risk society: A study in developing country Indonesia. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 1114(1), 12024.
- Kuncoro, M., & Idris, A. N. (2015). MENGAPA TERJADI GROWTH WITHOUT DEVELOPMENT DI PROVINSI KALIMANTAN TIMUR? *Jurnal Ekonomi Pembangunan: Kajian Masalah Ekonomi Dan Pembangunan*, 11(2). <https://doi.org/10.23917/jep.v11i2.323>
- Laksito, F. X. H. B., Bawono, A., & Ikrimah, A. (2024). Reducing Community Participation in the Preparation of Environmental Impact Assessments (EIA): Evidence from Indonesia. *Journal of Law, Environmental and Justice*, 2(2), 137–161.
- Levien, M. (2018). Dispossession without development: Land grabs in neoliberal India. In *Dispossession without Development: Land Grabs in Neoliberal India*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780190859152.001.0001>
- Li, T. M. (2007). *The will to improve: Governmentality, development, and the practice of politics*. duke university Press.

- Li, T. M. (2014). Land's end: Capitalist relations on an indigenous frontier. In *Land's End*. Duke University Press.
- Li, T. M. (2015). Social impacts of oil palm in Indonesia. *A Gendered Perspective from West Kalimantan*. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Li, T. M. (2021). Commons, co-ops, and corporations: assembling Indonesia's twenty-first century land reform. *The Journal of Peasant Studies*, 48(3), 613–639.
- Li, W., & Zhao, Y. (2015). Bibliometric analysis of global environmental assessment research in a 20-year period. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 50, 158–166. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EIAR.2014.09.012>
- Lisdiyono, E. (2017). The cancellation of environmental license of pt. Semen indonesia: A strategic environmental assessment. *Hasanuddin Law Review*, 322–333.
- Loomis, J. J., de Oliveira, C. M. R., & Dziedzic, M. (2021). Environmental federalism in EIA policy: A comparative case study of Paraná, Brazil and California, US. *Environmental Science and Policy*, 122, 75–82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2021.03.015>
- Loomis, J. J., & Dziedzic, M. (2018). Evaluating EIA systems' effectiveness: A state of the art. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 68, 29–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2017.10.005>
- Lwesya Sibale, J. J., & Fischer, T. B. (2023). Who is involved in environmental and social impact assessment public participation? Observations on urban and rural practices in Malawi. *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*, 41(4), 301–313. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14615517.2023.2220152>
- Lyhne, I., van Laerhoven, F., Cashmore, M., & Runhaar, H. (2017). Theorising EIA effectiveness: A contribution based on the Danish system. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 62, 240–249. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2015.12.002>
- Martin, T. (2007). Muting the Voice of the Local in the Age of the Global: How Communication Practices Compromised Public Participation in India's Allain

- Dunhangan Environmental Impact Assessment. *Environmental Communication*, 1(2). <https://doi.org/10.1080/17524030701642595>
- McDermott, C., Vira, B., Walcott, J., Brockhaus, M., Harris, M., Kumeh, E. M., & de Mendonça Gueiros, C. (2022). The evolving governance of REDD+. In *Forests, Climate, Biodiversity and People: Assessing a Decade of REDD+* (pp. 21–60). IUFRO, Vienna.
- Menini, E., Chakraborty, A., & Roady, S. E. (2022). Public participation in seabed mining in areas beyond national jurisdiction: Lessons learned from national regulators in the terrestrial mining sector. *Marine Policy*, 146, 105308.
- Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. (1994). Miles, Matthew B., and A. Michael Huberman. In *Qualitative Data Analysis. Thousand*.
- Milonakis, D., Drakaki, E., Manioudis, M., & Tzotzes, S. (2021). Accumulation by dispossession and hegemony in place: The Greek experience. *Capital & Class*, 45(4), 495–521.
- Mol, A. P. J., & Carter, N. T. (2006). China's environmental governance in transition. *Environmental Politics*, 15(2), 149–170. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09644010600562765>
- Moore, J. W. (2003). Capitalism as world-ecology: Braudel and Marx on environmental history. *Organization & Environment*, 16(4), 514–517.
- Moore, J. W. (2025). *Capitalism in the Web of Life: Ecology and the Accumulation of Capital*. Verso Books.
- Morgan, R. K. (1998). *Environmental impact assessment: a methodological perspective*. Dordrecht : Kluwer Academic.
- Morgan, R. K. (2012). Environmental impact assessment: The state of the art. *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*, 30(1), 5–14. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14615517.2012.661557>
- Neuman, W. L. (2011). Social Research Methods: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches. In *Pearson Education*.
- Nightingale, A. (2003). Nature–society and development: social, cultural and ecological change in Nepal. *Geoforum*, 34(4), 525–540.
- Nita, A. (2019). Empowering impact assessments knowledge and international

- research collaboration - A bibliometric analysis of Environmental Impact Assessment Review journal. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 78, 106283. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EIAR.2019.106283>
- O’laughlin, B. (2016). Bernstein’s puzzle: Peasants, accumulation and class alliances in Africa. *Journal of Agrarian Change*, 16(3), 390–409.
- Patterson, C., Casasanta Mostaço, F., & Jaeger, J. A. G. (2022). Lack of consideration of ecological connectivity in Canadian environmental impact assessment: Current practice and need for improvement. *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*, 40(6), 481–494. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14615517.2022.2135232>
- Patterson, C., Torres, A., Coroi, M., Cumming, K., Hanson, M., Noble, B., Tabor, G., Treweek, J., & Jaeger, J. A. G. (2022). Treatment of ecological connectivity in environmental assessment: A global survey of current practices and common issues. *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*, 40(6), 460–474. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14615517.2022.2099728>
- Peluso, N. L., & Lund, C. (2011). New frontiers of land control: Introduction. *Journal of Peasant Studies*, 38(4), 667–681.
- Petts, J. (2003). Barriers to deliberative participation in EIA: Learning from waste policies, plans and projects. *Journal of Environmental Assessment Policy and Management*, 5(3). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1464333203001358>
- Platteau, J. (2004). Monitoring elite capture in community-driven development. *Development and Change*, 35(2), 223–246.
- Prasetyo. (2020). Analisis kebijakan AMDAL dalam sektor pertambangan di Indonesia: Tantangan dan peluang. *Jurnal Lingkungan Dan Pembangunan Berkelanjutan*, 15(4), 247–259.
- Pye, O. (2017). A plantation precariat: Fragmentation and organizing potential in the palm oil global production network. *Development and Change*, 48(5), 942–964.
- Ramjeawon, T., & Beedassy, R. (2004). Evaluation of the EIA system on the Island of Mauritius and development of an environmental monitoring plan framework. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 24(5), 537–549.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2004.01.001>

- Rumpia, J. R. (2024). Marginalisation of Adat Communities: Intersectionality of Land Grabbing, Human Rights, Climate Adaptation, and Human Mobility in Indonesia. In *Climate-Related Human Mobility in Asia and the Pacific: Interdisciplinary Rights-Based Approaches* (pp. 221–239). Springer Nature Singapore Singapore.
- Sadler, B. (1996a). *Environmental Assessment in a Changing World. Evaluating practice to improve performance-final report*.
- Sadler, B. (1996b). *Strategic environmental assessment: status, challenges and future directions*. Ministry of Housing, Spatial Planning and the Environment of the Netherlands.
- Sánchez, L. E., & Croal, P. (2012). Environmental impact assessment, from Rio-92 to Rio+ 20 and beyond. *Ambiente & Sociedade*, 15, 41–54.
- Schlosberg, D., & Carruthers, D. (2010). Indigenous struggles, environmental justice, and community capabilities. *Global Environmental Politics*, 10(4), 12–35.
- Schumacher, K. (2017). Large-scale renewable energy project barriers: Environmental impact assessment streamlining efforts in Japan and the EU. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 65, 100–110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2017.05.001>
- Scott, J. C. (1977). *The moral economy of the peasant: Rebellion and subsistence in Southeast Asia*. Yale University Press.
- Scott, J. C. (2020). *Seeing like a state: How certain schemes to improve the human condition have failed*. Yale University Press.
- Sinclair, A. J., & Diduck, A. P. (2000). Public involvement in environmental impact assessment: A case study of hydro development in kullu district, Himachal Pradesh, India. *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*, 18(1), 63–75. <https://doi.org/10.3152/147154600781767637>
- Soria-Lara, J. A., Batista, L., Le Pira, M., Arranz-López, A., Arce-Ruiz, R. M., Inturri, G., & Pinho, P. (2020). Revealing EIA process-related barriers in transport projects: The cases of Italy, Portugal, and Spain. *Environmental*

- Impact Assessment Review*, 83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2020.106402>
- Spiegel, S. J. (2017). EIAs, power and political ecology: Situating resource struggles and the techno-politics of small-scale mining. *Geoforum*, 87, 95–107.
- Spivak, G. C. (2023). Can the subaltern speak? In *Imperialism* (pp. 171–219). Routledge.
- Steinemann, A. (2001). Improving alternatives for environmental impact assessment. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 21(1), 3–21. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0195-9255\(00\)00075-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0195-9255(00)00075-5)
- Therivel, R. (2012). *Strategic environmental assessment in action*. Routledge.
- Van Gool, E. (2022). Searching for ‘environmental justice’ in EU environmental law. *European Energy and Environmental Law Review*, 31(5).
- Vanclay, F. (2002). Conceptualising social impacts. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 22(3), 183–211. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0195-9255\(01\)00105-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0195-9255(01)00105-6)
- Vanclay, F. (2003). International Principles For Social Impact Assessment. *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*, 21(1), 5–12. <https://doi.org/10.3152/147154603781766491>
- Vanclay, F. (2020). Reflections on Social Impact Assessment in the 21st century. *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*, 38(2), 126–131. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14615517.2019.1685807>
- Wang, J., Ulibarri, N., Scott, T. A., & Davis, S. J. (2023a). Environmental justice, infrastructure provisioning, and environmental impact assessment: Evidence from the California Environmental Quality Act. *Environmental Science and Policy*, 146, 66–75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2023.05.003>
- Wang, J., Ulibarri, N., Scott, T. A., & Davis, S. J. (2023b). Environmental justice, infrastructure provisioning, and environmental impact assessment: Evidence from the California Environmental Quality Act. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 146, 66–75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENVSCI.2023.05.003>
- Wang, Y., Morgan, R. K., & Cashmore, M. (2003). Environmental impact assessment of projects in the People’s Republic of China: new law, old

- problems. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 23(5), 543–579.
[https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0195-9255\(03\)00071-4](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0195-9255(03)00071-4)
- Wentzel, T., Retief, F. P., Alberts, R. C., Moolman, H. J., Roos, C., & Cilliers, D. P. (2023). The Quality of Biodiversity Inputs to Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) in the Succulent Karoo Biodiversity Hotspot, South Africa. *Https://Doi.Org/10.1142/S1464333223500199*, 25(4).
<https://doi.org/10.1142/S1464333223500199>
- Weston, J. (2000). EIA, decision-making theory and screening and scoping in UK practice. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 43(2), 185–203. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09640560010667>
- White, B., & Wiradi, G. (1989). *Agrarian and nonagrarian bases of inequality in nine Javanese villages*.
- Wijaya, R. (2019). Konflik tambang di Sulawesi Selatan: AMDAL dan partisipasi masyarakat. *Jurnal Dinamika Sosial Dan Politik*, 10(3), 191–205.
- Wiklund, H. (2011). Why high participatory ideals fail in practice: A bottom-up approach to public nonparticipation in EIA. *Journal of Environmental Assessment Policy and Management*, 13(2).
<https://doi.org/10.1142/S1464333211003833>
- Wilkins, H. (2003). The need for subjectivity in EIA: Discourse as a tool for sustainable development. In *Environmental Impact Assessment Review* (Vol. 23, Issue 4). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0195-9255\(03\)00044-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0195-9255(03)00044-1)
- Wolf, C. P. (1983). *Social Impact Assessment: The State Of the Art BT - Environmental Impact Assessment* (pp. 391–401). Springer Netherlands.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-6795-3_21
- Wood, C. (2014). *Environmental impact assessment: a comparative review*. Routledge.
- Wood, C., & Djeddour, M. (1989). Environmental assessment of policies, plans and programmes. *Interim Report to the Commission of European Communities. Manchester: EIA Centre, University of Manchester*.
- Yang, Y., Xu, H., Zhang, Y., & Guo, X. (2023). The evolution of China's environmental impact assessment system: Retrospect and prospect from the

- perspective of effectiveness evaluation. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 101. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2023.107122>
- Ye, K., Liang, Y., & Shi, J. (2023). Evaluation and classification of public participation in EIA for transportation infrastructure megaprojects in China. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 101. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2023.107138>
- Yigzaw, G. S. (2020). Ethiopia: History of the Implementation of Environmental Impact Assessment Proclamation No. 299/2002. *Environmental Policy and Law*, 50(1–2), 81–87.
- Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case study research and applications* (Vol. 6). Sage Thousand Oaks, CA.
- Yuan, T., Shu, B., Li, H., & Xiong, L. (2023). Mapping risk scenarios of environmental impact assessment accidents in construction projects. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 102. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2023.107190>
- Zeleňáková, M., Labant, S., Zvijáková, L., Weiss, E., Čepelová, H., Weiss, R., Fialová, J., & Mind'áš, J. (2020). Methodology for environmental assessment of proposed activity using risk analysis. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 80. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2019.106333>
- Zhang, Q. F. (2015). Class Differentiation in Rural China: Dynamics of Accumulation, Commodification and State Intervention. *Journal of Agrarian Change*, 15(3), 338–365.
- Zhu, D., & Ru, J. (2008). Strategic environmental assessment in China: Motivations, politics, and effectiveness. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 88(4), 615–626. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2007.03.040>

Dokumen:

1. Analisis dampak lingkungan Hidup (ANDAL) PT Kayan Putra Utama Coal tanggal 06 Juni 2017 Nomor: KAKK/09/Kompedal-Kukar/VI/2017

2. Surat Menteri tenaga kerja dan transmigrasi RI Nomor B.67 MEN/P2KTrans-PT2/IV/2014 tanggal 08 April 2024 Tentang permohonan izin melakukan pertambangan Batu Bara di HPL transmigrasi Jonggon dan Separi Kabupaten Kutai Kartanegara Provinsi Kalimantan timur
3. Surat Badan Koordinasi penataan ruang Daerah (BKPRD) nomor 050.13/16/BAPP-V.11/I/2025 tanggal 12 Januari 2016 Perihal Klarifikasi Kawasan

Peraturan Perundang-undangan

1. Undang-Undang Republik Indonesia Nomor 32 Tahun 2009 Tentang Perlindungan Dan Pengelolaan Lingkungan Hidup
2. Peraturan Pemerintah Republik Indonesia Nomor 27 Tahun 2012 Tentang Izin Lingkungan
3. Peraturan Menteri Negara Lingkungan Hidup Republik Indonesia Nomor 17 Tahun 2012 Tentang Pedoman Keterlibatan Masyarakat Dalam Proses Analisis Dampak Lingkungan Hidup Dan Izin Lingkungan
4. Peraturan Menteri Negara Lingkungan Hidup Republik Indonesia Nomor 16 Tahun 2012 Tentang Pedoman Penyusunan Dokumen Lingkungan Hidup
5. Peraturan Daerah Kabupaten Kutai Kartanegara Nomor 3 Tahun 2012 Tentang Perlindungan Lahan Pertanian Pangan Berkelanjutan