

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ENDORSEMENT	ii
APPROVAL	iii
FOREWORD	iv
STATEMENT OF ANTI-PLAGIARISM	viii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	1
ABSTRACT	3
CHAPTER I	5
INTRODUCTION	5
A. Background	5
B. Research Questions	17
C. Objective Of This Research	17
D. Guarantee Of Authenticity	18
E. Benefit Of The Research	22
CHAPTER II	24
THEORETICAL REVIEW	24
A. General Overview Of Bankruptcy Law	24
B. Law On Bankruptcy And Postponement Of Debt Payment Obligation	29
1) Basic Concepts and Principles	30
2) Phase of Bankruptcy	34
3) Parties	36
C. Simple Proofing Process Within Commercial Courts Jurisdiction	36
CHAPTER III	46
RESEARCH METHOD	46
A. Overview of Research Method	46
B. Type Of Research	46
C. Source Of Data	47
D. Data Collection Method	50
E. Data Analysis	51
CHAPTER IV	54

RESEARCH RESULT AND ANALYSIS	54
A. Interpretation of “Simple Proof” in Article 8(4) of Law No. 37 of 2004 in Maintaining Legal Certainty	54
A.1 Cases Granting Bankruptcy Petitions	60
A.2 Cases Rejecting Bankruptcy Petitions	63
A.2.1 “The Impact of Receivable Amount Discrepancies on the Simple Proofing Process in PKPU Proceedings.”	70
B. Factors Contributing to Inconsistency	73
B.1 Implications of Inconsistent Interpretations on Legal Certainty	73
B.2 Solutions to Address the Inconsistency	78
CHAPTER V	81
CLOSURE.....	81
A. Conclusion	81
B. Recommendation.....	84
BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	87