

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- [1] Y. N. Nugroho, D. S. Kusumo, and M. J. Alibasa, “Clean architecture implementation impacts on maintainability aspect for backend system code base,” in *2022 10th International Conference on Information and Communication Technology, ICoICT 2022*. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc., 2022, pp. 134–139.
- [2] X. Gu, J. Liu, and Q. Wang, “A blackbox approach to profile runtime execution dependencies in microservices,” in *Proceedings - 2023 IEEE 9th International Conference on Collaboration and Internet Computing, CIC 2023*. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc., 2023, pp. 116–120.
- [3] J. Pybus and M. Coté, “Super sdks: Tracking personal data and platform monopolies in the mobile,” *Big Data and Society*, vol. 11, 1 2024.
- [4] L. Alwakeel, K. Lano, and H. Alfraihi, “Appcraft: Model-driven development framework for mobile applications,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 13, pp. 23 658–23 699, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2025.3536321>
- [5] A. Abdulhakeem, S. Saeed, N. Mustafa, M. A. Ibrahim, and B. Al-Tayar, “Enhancing frontend efficiency: Reusability and testability in component-driven development,” in *4th International Conference on Emerging Smart Technologies and Applications, eSmarTA 2024*. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc., 2024.
- [6] M. A. A. Alamin and G. Uddin, “How far are we with automated machine learning? characterization and challenges of automl toolkits,” *Empir. Softw. Eng.*, vol. 29, p. 91, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10664-024-10450-y>
- [7] A. Dahlberg, B. V. D. Vecht, D. Donne, M. Skrzypczyk, T. Raa, W. Kozlowski, and S. Wehner, “Netqasm—a low-level instruction set architecture for hybrid quantum–classical programs in a quantum internet,” *Quantum Science & Technology*, vol. 7, pp. –, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/2058-9565/ac753f>
- [8] J. M. Willenbring, S. S. Shende, and T. Gamblin, “Providing a flexible and comprehensive software stack via spack, an extreme-scale scientific software stack, and software development kits,” *Computing in Science and Engineering*, vol. 26, pp. 20–30, 2024.
- [9] N. Arshad, T. Butt, and M. Iqbal, “A comprehensive framework for intelligent, scalable, and performance-optimized software development,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 13, pp. 74 062–74 077, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2025.3564139>
- [10] M. A. A. Alamin, G. Uddin, S. Malakar, S. Afroz, T. Haider, and A. Iqbal, “Developer discussion topics on the adoption and barriers of low code software development platforms,” *Empirical Software Engineering*, vol. 28, 2 2023.

- [11] M. Ilyas, S. U. Khan, H. U. Khan, and N. Rashid, “Software integration model: An assessment tool for global software development vendors,” *Journal of Software: Evolution and Process*, vol. 35, no. 2, p. e2540, Feb. 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1002/smr.2540>
- [12] K. Milojković, M. Živković, and N. B. Džakula, “Agile multi-user android application development with firebase: Authentication, authorization, and profile management.” Singidunum University, 7 2024, pp. 405–412.
- [13] M. Staron, *Action Research in Software Engineering: Theory and Applications*. Springer International Publishing, 1 2020.
- [14] ———, “Guidelines for conducting action research studies in software engineering,” *E-Informatica Software Engineering Journal*, vol. 19, 2025.
- [15] J. M. Willenbring and G. Walia, “The utility of complexity metrics during code reviews for cse software projects,” *Future Generation Computer Systems*, vol. 160, pp. 65–75, 2024.
- [16] Microsoft, “Maintainability index range and meaning,” <https://learn.microsoft.com/en-us/visualstudio/code-quality/code-metrics-maintainability-index-range-and-meaning?view=vs-2022>, 2022, accessed: 30-August-2025.
- [17] L. Lavazza, S. Morasca, and M. Gatto, “An empirical study on software understandability and its dependence on code characteristics,” *Empirical Software Engineering*, vol. 28, pp. 1–24, 2023.
- [18] C. Wohlin and P. Runeson, “Guiding the selection of research methodology in industry–academia collaboration in software engineering,” *Information and Software Technology*, vol. 140, 12 2021.
- [19] L. Linde, J. Frishammar, and V. Parida, “Revenue models for digital servitization: A value capture framework for designing, developing, and scaling digital services,” *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, vol. PP, pp. 1–16, 2021.
- [20] M. Iqbal, M. Ijaz, T. Mazhar, T. Shahzad, Q. Abbas, Y. Ghadi, W. Ahmad, and H. Hamam, “Exploring issues of story-based effort estimation in agile software development (asd),” *Sci. Comput. Program.*, vol. 236, p. 103114, 2024.
- [21] TIOBE Software BV, “The python programming language,” <https://www.tiobe.com/tiobe-index/python/>, 2025, accessed July 22, 2025.
- [22] E. Yegalis, “Developers want more, more, more: the 2024 results from stack overflow’s annual developer survey,” <https://stackoverflow.blog/2025/01/01/developers-want-more-more-more-the-2024-results-from-stack-overflow-s-annual-developer-survey/>, Jan. 2025, accessed July 22, 2025.
- [23] Django Software Foundation, “The web framework for perfectionists with deadlines,” <https://www.djangoproject.com/>, 2025, accessed July 22, 2025.
- [24] M. Leonowicz, “12 pros & cons of using django for startups: 2025 guide,” <https://www.softkraft.co/django-for-startups/>, May 2025, softKraft, updated May 22, 2025; Accessed July 22, 2025.

- [25] MDN Web Docs, “Django introduction,” https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Learn_web_development/Extensions/Server-side/Django/Introduction, 2025, accessed July 22, 2025.
- [26] A. Ampatzoglou, A. Chatzigeorgiou, E.-M. Arvanitou, and S. Bibi, “Sdk4ed: A platform for technical debt management,” *Software: Practice and Experience*, vol. 52, pp. 1879–1902, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1002/spe.3093>
- [27] G. Hinkel, J. Kunert, and J. Meredith, “The tecan sila2 sdk: A royalty-free, open-source framework to develop sila2 servers and clients.” *SLAS technology*, pp. –, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.slast.2023.07.001>
- [28] D. Russo, “Navigating the complexity of generative ai adoption in software engineering,” *ACM Transactions on Software Engineering and Methodology*, vol. 33, pp. 1–50, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3652154>
- [29] X. Hou, Y. Zhao, Y. Liu, Z. Yang, K. Wang, L. Li, X. Luo, D. Lo, and J. Grundy, “Large language models for software engineering: A systematic literature review,” *ACM Transactions on Software Engineering and Methodology*, vol. 33, pp. 1–79, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2308.10620>
- [30] J. Pybus and M. Mir, “Tracking menopause: An sdk data audit for intimate infrastructures of datafication with chatgpt4o,” *New Media and Society*, vol. 27, pp. 1888–1908, 4 2025.
- [31] R. Alidoosti, “Ethics-driven software architecture decision making,” in *Proceedings - 2021 IEEE 18th International Conference on Software Architecture Companion, ICSA-C 2021*. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc., 3 2021, pp. 90–91.
- [32] J. Karolus, F. Kiss, C. Eckerth, N. Viot, F. Bachmann, A. Schmidt, and P. Woźniak, “Embody: A data-centric toolkit for emg-based interface prototyping and experimentation,” *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, vol. 5, pp. 1–29, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3457142>
- [33] B. Caasenbrood, A. Pogromsky, and H. Nijmeijer, “Sorotoki: A matlab toolkit for design, modeling, and control of soft robots,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 12, pp. 17 604–17 638, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3357351>
- [34] E. L. D. Conceição, A. Alonso, R. C. Oliveira, and J. Pereira, “Tada: A toolkit for approximate distributed agreement,” *Sci. Comput. Program.*, vol. 238, p. 103175, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scico.2024.103175>
- [35] P. Nation, A. A. Saki, S. Brandhofer, L. Bello, S. Garion, M. Treinish, and A. Javadi-Abhari, “Benchmarking the performance of quantum computing software for quantum circuit creation, manipulation and compilation,” *Nature Computational Science*, vol. 5, pp. 427–435, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43588-025-00792-y>

- [36] F. Cesal and D. Bork, “Establishing interoperability between emf and msdkvs: an m3-level-bridge to transform metamodels and models,” *Softw. Syst. Model.*, vol. 23, pp. 865–894, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10270-024-01169-x>
- [37] J. Zeng, D. Zhang, A. Peng, X. Zhang, S. He, Y. Wang, X. Liu, H. Bi, Y. Li, C. Cai, C. Zhang, Y. Du, J.-X. Zhu, P. Mo, Z. Huang, Q. Zeng, S. Shi, X.-T. Qin, Z. Yu, C. Luo, Y. Ding, Y. Liu, R. Shi, Z. Wang, S. Bore, J. Chang, Z. Deng, Z. Ding, S. Han, W. Jiang, G. Ke, Z. Liu, D. Lu, K. Muraoka, H. Oliaei, A. K. Singh, H. Que, W. Xu, Z. Xu, Y.-B. Zhuang, J. Dai, T. Giese, W. Jia, B. Xu, D. York, L. Zhang, and H. Wang, “Deepmd-kit v3: A multiple-backend framework for machine learning potentials.” *Journal of chemical theory and computation*, pp. –, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.5c00340>
- [38] M. Tuape, V. Hasheela-Mufeti, A. Kayanda, J. Porras, and J. Kasurinen, “Software engineering in small software companies: Consolidating and integrating empirical literature into a process tool adoption framework,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 130 366–130 388, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3113328>
- [39] M. Lamothe, Y.-G. Guéhéneuc, and W. Shang, “A systematic review of api evolution literature,” *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)*, vol. 54, pp. 1–36, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3470133>
- [40] J. Horcas, M. Pinto, and L. Fuentes, “Empirical analysis of the tool support for software product lines,” *Software and Systems Modeling*, vol. 22, pp. 377–414, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10270-022-01011-2>
- [41] J. Garcia, M. Mirakhorli, L. Xiao, S. Malek, R. Kazman, Y. Cai, and N. Medvidovic, “Sain: A community-wide software architecture infrastructure,” in *Proceedings - International Conference on Software Engineering*. IEEE Computer Society, 2023, pp. 336–337.
- [42] W. Mahmood, G. Çalıklı, D. Strüber, R. Lämmel, M. Mukelabai, and T. Berger, “Virtual platform: Effective and seamless variability management for software systems,” *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. 50, pp. 2753–2785, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1109/TSE.2024.3406224>
- [43] P. Ciancarini, R. Giancarlo, G. Grimaudo, M. Missiroli, and T. C. Xia, “The design and realization of a self-hosted and open-source agile internal development platform,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 13, pp. 79 516–79 533, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2025.3564141>
- [44] J. G. Süß, S. Swift, and E. Escott, “Using devops toolchains in agile model-driven engineering,” *Software and Systems Modeling*, vol. 21, pp. 1495–1510, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10270-022-01003-2>
- [45] M. A. A. Alamin, G. Uddin, S. Malakar, S. Afroz, T. Haider, and A. Iqbal, “Developer discussion topics on the adoption and barriers of low code software development platforms,” *Empirical Software Engineering*, vol. 28, pp. –, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10664-022-10244-0>

- [46] B. Wang, Y. Nong, Z. Zhong, Y. Wei, C. Sun, T. Lu, and Z. Chen, "Software technology development technology in computer application software," in *3rd IEEE International Conference on Distributed Computing and Electrical Circuits and Electronics, ICDCECE 2024*. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc., 2024.
- [47] C. Zhao, Y. Wang, and J. Jiang, "Application of software engineering technology in system software development," in *Proceedings - 2023 Asia-Europe Conference on Electronics, Data Processing and Informatics, ACEDPI 2023*. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc., 2023, pp. 502–506.
- [48] Y. Zhao, K. Damevski, and H. Chen, "A systematic survey of just-in-time software defect prediction," *ACM Computing Surveys*, vol. 55, pp. 1–35, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3567550>
- [49] Y. Qin, S. Hu, Y. Lin, W. Chen, N. Ding, G. Cui, Z. Zeng, Y. Huang, C. Xiao, C. Han, Y. Fung, Y. Su, H. Wang, C. Qian, R. Tian, K. Zhu, S. Liang, X. Shen, B. Xu, Z. Zhang, Y. Ye, B. Li, Z. Tang, J. Yi, Y. Zhu, Z. Dai, L. Yan, X. Cong, Y.-T. Lu, W. Zhao, Y. Huang, J. Yan, X. Han, X. Sun, D. Li, J. Phang, C. Yang, T. Wu, H. Ji, Z. Liu, and M. Sun, "Tool learning with foundation models," *ACM Computing Surveys*, vol. 57, pp. 1–40, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3704435>
- [50] U. Durrani, M. Akpınar, F. Adak, A. T. Kabakus, M. M. Öztürk, and M. Saleh, "A decade of progress: A systematic literature review on the integration of ai in software engineering phases and activities (2013-2023)," *IEEE Access*, vol. 12, pp. 171 185–171 204, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3488904>
- [51] T. Eisenreich, S. Speth, and S. Wagner, "From requirements to architecture: An ai-based journey to semi-automatically generate software architectures," in *Proceedings - 2024 IEEE/ACM International Workshop on Designing Software, Designing 2024*. Association for Computing Machinery, Inc, 4 2024, pp. 52–55.
- [52] A. B. Belle and Y. Zhao, "A checklist-based approach to assess the systematicity of the abstracts of reviews self-identifying as systematic reviews," in *Proceedings - Asia-Pacific Software Engineering Conference, APSEC*, vol. 2022-December. IEEE Computer Society, 2022, pp. 502–506.
- [53] Y. Dittrich, J. Bolmsten, and C. Seidelin, "Action research with industrial software engineering-an educational perspective," Tech. Rep., 2024.
- [54] R. A. Khan, S. Khan, H. U. Khan, and M. Ilyas, "Systematic literature review on security risks and its practices in secure software development," *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, pp. 5456–5481, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3140181>
- [55] M. Gillani, H. A. Niaz, and A. Ullah, "Integration of software architecture in requirements elicitation for rapid software development," *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, pp. 56 158–56 178, 2022.

- [56] H. Edison, X. Wang, and K. Conboy, “Comparing methods for large-scale agile software development: A systematic literature review,” *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. 48, pp. 2709–2731, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1109/TSE.2021.3069039>
- [57] A. Noor, “Improving bioinformatics software quality through incorporation of software engineering practices,” *PeerJ Computer Science*, vol. 8, pp. –, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj-cs.839>
- [58] Developer Experience, “Software development kit,” <https://developerexperience.io/articles/software-development-kit>, 2025, accessed July 21, 2025.
- [59] K. Yasar and L. Rosencrance, “What is a software development kit (sdk)?” <https://www.techtarget.com/whatis/definition/software-developers-kit-SDK>, 2022, techTarget, published Oct 18, 2022. Accessed July 21, 2025.
- [60] Amazon Web Services, “What is an sdk?” <https://aws.amazon.com/what-is/sdk/>, 2025, accessed July 21, 2025.
- [61] Android Developers, “Android mobile app developer tools,” <https://developer.android.com/>, 2025, accessed July 21, 2025.
- [62] Microsoft Learn, “What is the .net sdk?” <https://learn.microsoft.com/en-us/dotnet/core/sdk>, 2023, microsoft Learn, published Nov 02, 2023. Accessed July 21, 2025.
- [63] J. Fitzjohn, A. Winckles, G. Wilson, and D. Vicinanza, “A software development kit and translation layer for executing intel 8080 assembler on a quantum computer (august 2022),” *IEEE Transactions on Quantum Engineering*, vol. 3, pp. 1–12, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1109/TQE.2022.3204653>
- [64] GeeksforGeeks, “How many types of sdk are there?” <https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/software-engineering/how-many-types-of-sdk-are-there/>, 2024, last updated March 6, 2024. Accessed July 21, 2025.
- [65] IBM, “Sdk vs. api: What’s the difference?” <https://www.ibm.com/think/topics/api-vs-sdk>, 2021, published July 13, 2021. Accessed July 21, 2025.
- [66] GetStream.io, “Api vs. sdk: The difference explained (with examples),” <https://getstream.io/glossary/api-vs-sdk/>, 2025, accessed July 21, 2025.
- [67] Merge Society, “Api vs sdk: The only complete breakdown you’ll ever need for cloud app development,” <https://www.mergesociety.com/code-report/sdk-vs-api>, 2025, accessed July 21, 2025.
- [68] MDN Web Docs, “Introduction to the server side,” https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Learn_web_development/Extensions/Server-side/First_steps/Introduction, 2025, accessed July 22, 2025.
- [69] Amazon Web Services, “What’s the difference between frontend and backend in application development?” <https://aws.amazon.com/compare/the-difference-between-frontend-and-backend/>, 2025, accessed July 22, 2025.

- [70] Microsoft Learn, “Design the infrastructure persistence layer,” <https://learn.microsoft.com/en-us/dotnet/architecture/microservices/microservice-ddd-cqrs-patterns/infrastructure-persistence-layer-design>, 2023, published 2023-02-21; Accessed July 22, 2025.
- [71] —, “Integration architecture design,” <https://learn.microsoft.com/en-us/azure/architecture/integration/integration-start-here>, 2025, accessed July 22, 2025.
- [72] Python Software Foundation, “Python 3.12.3,” <https://www.python.org/downloads/release/python-3123/>, 2024, release date: April 9, 2024; Accessed July 22, 2025.
- [73] GitHub, Inc., “About github,” GitHub Docs, 2024, [Online; accessed 26-July-2025]. [Online]. Available: <https://docs.github.com/en/get-started/learning-about-github/about-github>
- [74] —, “The state of the octoverse 2023,” GitHub Blog, 2023, [Online; accessed 26-July-2025]. [Online]. Available: <https://github.blog/2023-11-08-the-state-of-the-octoverse-2023-introduction/>
- [75] —, “About pull requests,” GitHub Docs, 2024, [Online; accessed 26-July-2025]. [Online]. Available: <https://docs.github.com/en/pull-requests/collaborating-with-pull-requests/proposing-changes-to-your-work-with-pull-requests/about-pull-requests>
- [76] —, “Understanding github actions,” GitHub Docs, 2024, [Online; accessed 26-July-2025]. [Online]. Available: <https://docs.github.com/en/actions/learn-github-actions/understanding-github-actions>
- [77] —, “About forks,” GitHub Docs, 2024, [Online; accessed 26-July-2025]. [Online]. Available: <https://docs.github.com/en/pull-requests/collaborating-with-pull-requests/working-with-forks/about-forks>
- [78] —, “About pull request reviews,” GitHub Docs, 2024, [Online; accessed 26-July-2025]. [Online]. Available: <https://docs.github.com/en/pull-requests/collaborating-with-pull-requests/reviewing-changes-in-pull-requests/about-pull-request-reviews>
- [79] R. Zafar, “What is google forms and how to use it (complete guide for beginners),” <https://www.involve.me/blog/what-is-google-forms>, 2025, accessed: September 1, 2025.
- [80] F. Inc., “Google forms,” <https://www.formassembly.com/glossary/google-forms/>, 2025, accessed: September 1, 2025.
- [81] Google, “Extending google forms with add-ons,” <https://developers.google.com/workspace/add-ons/editors/forms>, 2025, accessed: September 1, 2025.
- [82] —, “Google forms,” <https://developers.google.com/workspace/forms>, 2025, accessed: September 1, 2025.

- [83] Y. Kataieva and M. Nemeč, “Determining software quality using code analysis metrics,” in *Proceedings of the 29th International Conference on Information Technology (IT)*, Feb. 2025, pp. 1–4. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1109/IT64745.2025.10930266>
- [84] D. Kafura, “Reflections on mccabe’s cyclomatic complexity,” *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. 51, pp. 700–705, 2025.
- [85] U. Iftikhar, N. Ali, J. Börstler, and M. Usman, “A tertiary study on links between source code metrics and external quality attributes,” *Information and Software Technology*, vol. 165, p. 107348, 2023.
- [86] B. Alsaadi and K. Saeedi, “Data-driven effort estimation techniques of agile user stories: a systematic literature review,” *Artificial Intelligence Review*, vol. 55, pp. 5485–5516, 2022.
- [87] J. A. Nuh, T. W. Koh, S. Baharom, M. H. Osman, and S. N. Kew, “Performance evaluation metrics for multi-objective evolutionary algorithms in search-based software engineering: Systematic literature review,” *Applied Sciences*, 2021.
- [88] Y. Mahmood, N. Kama, A. Azmi, A. S. Khan, and M. Ali, “Software effort estimation accuracy prediction of machine learning techniques: A systematic performance evaluation,” *Software: Practice and Experience*, vol. 52, pp. 39–65, 2021.
- [89] G. Hu, J. Zhong, B. Du, and G. Wei, “An enhanced hybrid arithmetic optimization algorithm for engineering applications,” *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 2022.
- [90] K. H. Kumar and K. Srinivas, “An accurate analogy based software effort estimation using hybrid optimization and machine learning techniques,” *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, pp. 1–28, 2023.
- [91] C. H. Rashid, I. Shafi, J. Ahmad, E. B. Thompson, M. M. Vergara, I. de la Torre Díez, and I. Ashraf, “Software cost and effort estimation: Current approaches and future trends,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 11, pp. 99 268–99 288, 2023.
- [92] M. Rahman, H. Sarwar, M. Kader, T. Gonçalves, and T. Tin, “Review and empirical analysis of machine learning-based software effort estimation,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 12, pp. 85 661–85 680, 2024.
- [93] M. Lacchia, “radon — code metrics in python,” <https://pypi.org/project/radon/>, 2023, accessed: 01-September-2025.
- [94] S. S. Sebrek, V. Semenova, and Z. T. Kosztyán, “Advancing the software development process through the development of technology-enabled dynamic capabilities in a project-based firm: insights from action design research,” *Business Process Management Journal*, vol. 30, pp. 313–342, 2024.
- [95] Microsoft, “Surface laptop 3 specs and features,” <https://support.microsoft.com/en-us/surface/surface-laptop-3-specs-and-features-75315c06-5d74-07fe-55d5-a8c5cb626849>, 2025, accessed: 01-September-2025.

- [96] Stack Overflow, “2024 stack overflow developer survey,” <https://survey.stackoverflow.co/2024/>, 2024, accessed July 21, 2025.
- [97] S. Sharma and D. Kumar, “Product backlog optimization technique in agile software development using clustering algorithm,” *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, pp. 1–21, 2023.
- [98] B. Yang, X. Ma, C. Wang, H. Guo, H. Liu, and Z. Jin, “User story clustering in agile development: a framework and an empirical study,” *Frontiers of Computer Science*, vol. 17, pp. 1–17, 2023.
- [99] M. Snoeck and Y. Wautelet, “Agile merode: a model-driven software engineering method for user-centric and value-based development,” *Software and Systems Modeling*, vol. 21, pp. 1469–1494, 2022.
- [100] I. K. Raharjana, D. Siahaan, and C. Fatichah, “User stories and natural language processing: A systematic literature review,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 53 811–53 826, 2021.
- [101] C. A. dos Santos, K. Bouchard, and B. M. Napoleão, “Automatic user story generation: a comprehensive systematic literature review,” *International Journal of Data Science and Analytics*, 2024.
- [102] D. Siahaan, I. K. Raharjana, and C. Fatichah, “User story extraction from natural language for requirements elicitation: Identify software-related information from online news,” *Inf. Softw. Technol.*, vol. 158, p. 107195, 2023.
- [103] B. Kumar, U. Tiwari, and D. C. Dobhal, “Machine learning based approach for user story clustering in agile engineering,” *SN Computer Science*, vol. 4, 2023.
- [104] S. N. Haider and H. Wu, “The real effect of accounting for software development costs on corporate innovation,” *European Accounting Review*, 2025.
- [105] T. Natarajan and P. Shanmugavadivu, “Behaviour-driven development and metrics framework for enhanced agile practices in scrum teams,” *Information and Software Technology*, vol. 170, p. 107435, 2024.
- [106] A. Putta, M. Paasivaara, and C. Lassenius, “Safe transformation in a large financial corporation,” *Empirical Software Engineering*, vol. 29, pp. 1–45, 2023.