

Table of Contents

Chapter I: Introduction.....	1
1.1 Background:.....	1
1.2 Research Gap.....	5
1.3 Problem Statement.....	7
1.4 Why is Gojek chosen as an empirical case study?.....	7
1.5 Research Question.....	9
1.6 Research Purposes.....	9
1.7 Research Benefits.....	9
Chapter II: Literature Review.....	11
2.1 Theoretical Review.....	11
2.2 Conceptual Framework.....	15
Chapter III: Research Method.....	18
3.1 Research approach.....	18
3.2 Research strategies.....	18
3.3 Data collection techniques.....	18
3.4 Data Analysis Procedure.....	30
Chapter IV.....	33
4.1 Institutional Pressures.....	33
4.2 Perception Management Process.....	45
4.4 How Public Perception Strategies Influence Perception of Users.....	58
CHAPTER V: Discussion.....	67
5.1 Institutional Pressures to Institutional Complexity.....	67
5.2 Organisational Response to Institutional Complexity.....	67
5.3 Perception Management.....	68
5.4 Perception Management to Influence Public Perception.....	69
CHAPTER VI: Conclusion, Limitation, and Suggestions.....	71
6.1 Conclusion.....	71
6.2 Limitation.....	72
6.3 Suggestion.....	72
References List.....	77

List of Figures

Figure 1. Conceptual Framework	16
Figure 2. Measurement for Public's Value and Current Image.	20
Figure 3. Age Demographic of the Respondents	22
Figure 4. Distribution of Respondents' Formal Education Levels in Percentages	23
Figure 5. Gender Distribution of Respondents in Percentages.	23
Figure 6. Distribution of Respondents as User and Non-User of GoGreener Tree Collective in percentages	24
Figure 7. How Gen Y and Gen Z 'GoGreener Tree Collective' Users Know About 'GoGreener Tree Collective' Initiative in Percentages.	25
Figure 8. Comparison between Gen Y and Gen Z Respondents and Which Gojek Services They Use While Activating Their 'GoGreener Tree Collective' Initiative.	26
Figure 9. Comparison between Gen Y and Gen Z 'GoGreener Tree Collective' Users and Their Reason on Why They Use 'GoGreener Tree Collective'.	28
Figure 10. Comparison between Gen Y and Gen Z 'GoGreener Tree Collective' Non-Users and Their Reason on Why They Have Not Used 'GoGreener Tree Collective'.	30
Figure 11. Data Categorisation on Trust Level of Respondent Towards Gojek in Using Their Resources to Reduce Carbon Footprint Via GoGreener Tree Collective.	31
Figure 12. Data Categorisation on Respondent Towards the Green Image of Gojek as Environmentally Responsible.	31
Figure 13. The amount of people and how much they value carbon footprint reduction initiatives.	40
Figure 14. Total 2024's EBITDA and Total of Operational Cost of Environmental Sustainability Efforts.	43
Figure 15. Youtube video on GoGreener Tree Collective.	52
Figure 16. Instagram Post on GoGreener Tree Collective achievement in 2024.	53
Figure 17. 2023 Sustainability Report.	54
Figure 18. 2024 Sustainability Report.	55
Figure 19. The process the user has to face to reduce carbon footprint via GoGreener.	56
Figure 20. From left to right: GoGreener Tree Collective toggle on GoRide and GoCar; GoGreener Tree Collective toggle on GoFood; The information on how does GoGreener Tree Collective works; and The information on how to deactivate GoGreener Tree Collective.	57
Figure 21. How Perception Management Strategy Influences Public Perception.	60
Figure 21. Illustration on how Gojek could present users' quick and personalised GoGreener Tree Collective report.	73