

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ENDORSEMENT.....	2
APPROVAL.....	3
STATEMENT OF ANTI-PLAGIARISM.....	4
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	5
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	6
ABSTRACT.....	8
INTISARI.....	9
CHAPTER I.....	10
INTRODUCTION.....	10
A. BACKGROUND.....	10
B. RESEARCH QUESTIONS.....	16
C. RESEARCH OBJECTIVE.....	17
D. ORIGINALITY OF RESEARCH.....	18
E. RESEARCH BENEFITS.....	21
CHAPTER II.....	23
THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK.....	23
CHAPTER III.....	30
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY.....	30
CHAPTER IV.....	33
RESEARCH RESULTS AND ANALYSIS.....	33
A. The Switzerland–Ghana E-Waste Partnership and PIC Implementation....	33
a. Origins and Background of the Partnership.....	34

b. Article 6 PIC as Legal Framework for Cooperation.....	35
c. Implementation Mechanisms and Technical Innovations.....	37
d. Outcomes and Legal Transformation.....	39
e. Critical Evaluation of PIC’s Strengths and Limitations.....	42
B. UK–Indonesia E-Waste Scandal: PIC Failures and Legal Ambiguities.....	45
a. Case Background: Mislabeling, Misdirection, and PIC Circumvention	45
b. The Prior Informed Consent (PIC) Procedure under Basel Article 6.....	46
c. Illegal Traffic under Basel Article 9: Defining the Violations.....	47
d. Responses of the Indonesian and UK Authorities.....	49
e. Structural Weaknesses in the PIC System Exposed.....	50
f. Comparative Perspective: The Switzerland–Ghana Initiative on E-Waste PIC.....	53
g. Comparative Analysis of PIC Implementation in the Switzerland–Ghana Partnership and the UK–Indonesia E-Waste Scandal.....	54
CHAPTER V.....	57
CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	57
A. Conclusion.....	57
B. Recommendations.....	58
BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	60