



DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- [1] G. Bennett *et al.*, “Semgrep*: Improving the limited performance of static application security testing (sast) tools,” in *Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Evaluation and Assessment in Software Engineering*, 2024, pp. 614–623.
- [2] M. Albahar, D. Alansari, and A. Jurcut, “An empirical comparison of pen-testing tools for detecting web app vulnerabilities,” *Electronics*, vol. 11, no. 19, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://www.mdpi.com/2079-9292/11/19/2991>
- [3] SafetyCulture, “Pdca: What is the plan do check act cycle?” <https://safetyculture.com/topics/pdca/>, 2024, diakses: 2 September 2025.
- [4] C. Eng, “3 key takeaways from the state of software security 2023 report,” 2023, accessed: 2025-07-16. [Online]. Available: <https://www.veracode.com/blog/3-key-takeaways-from-the-state-of-software-security-2023-report>
- [5] S. Patel, “2019 global developer report: Devsecops finds security roadblocks divide teams,” July 2020, [Online; posted on July 15, 2019]. [Online]. Available: <https://about.gitlab.com/blog/2019/07/15/global-developer-report/>
- [6] Surfshark, “Data Breach Statistics globally,” <https://surfshark.com/research/data-breach-monitoring>, (accessed Nov. 5, 2024).
- [7] pgr, “Bjorka Curi 44 Juta Data MyPertamina, Pertamina Buka Suara..” *CNBC Indonesia*, Nov 2022, accessed: Nov. 05, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://www.cnbcindonesia.com/news/20221111084354-4-386942/bjorka-curi-44-juta-data-mypertamina-pertamina-buka-suara>
- [8] Workday, “Workday global survey: Majority of business leaders believe humans should be involved in ai decision-making; cite ethical and data concerns,” 2023, accessed: Nov. 5, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://newsroom.workday.com/2023-06-28-Workday-Global-Survey-Majority-of-Business-Leaders-Believe-Humans-Should-be-Involved-in-AI-Decision-Making-Cite-Ethical-and-Data-Concerns>
- [9] “Idc doc. #ap241335ib maximises business success how digital agility,” 2022, accessed: Nov. 05, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://www.workday.com/content/dam/web/sg/documents/other/idc-infobrief-thriving-in-uncertainty-how-digital-agility-maximises-business-success.pdf>
- [10] F. Brunetti, D. T. Matt, A. Bonfanti, A. De Longhi, G. Pedrini, and G. Orzes, “Digital transformation challenges: strategies emerging from a multi-stakeholder approach,” *The TQM Journal*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 697–724, Apr 2020.
- [11] K. Mondal, “Introducing secure coding concepts in engineering programming,” *ASEE Peer*, Jan. 2025.
- [12] M. Khurana, “Secure coding and software vulnerabilities in implementation phase of software development,” *ECS transactions*, vol. 107, no. 1, p. 7037–7045, Apr. 2022.



- [13] L. Dencheva, “Comparative analysis of static application security testing (sast) and dynamic application security testing (dast) by using open-source web application penetration testing tools,” Master’s thesis, Dublin, National College of Ireland, August 2022, submitted. [Online]. Available: <https://norma.ncirl.ie/5956/>
- [14] W. Charoenwet, P. Thongtanunam, V.-T. Pham, and C. Treude, “An empirical study of static analysis tools for secure code review,” p. 691 – 703, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85205551093&doi=10.1145%2f3650212.3680313&partnerID=40&md5=1a8cd555b78418c91acdc36c6102e2fa>
- [15] J. Shahid, M. K. Hameed, I. T. Javed, K. N. Qureshi, M. Ali, and N. Crespi, “A comparative study of web application security parameters: Current trends and future directions,” *Applied Sciences*, vol. 12, no. 8, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/12/8/4077>
- [16] C. Aparo, C. Bernardeschi, G. Lettieri, F. Lucattini, and S. Montanarella, “An analysis system to test security of software on continuous integration-continuous delivery pipeline,” in *2023 IEEE European Symposium on Security and Privacy Workshops (EuroSPW)*, 2023, pp. 58–67.
- [17] B. Mburano and W. Si, “Evaluation of web vulnerability scanners based on owasp benchmark,” in *2018 26th International Conference on Systems Engineering (ICSEng)*, 2018, pp. 1–6.
- [18] K. Li *et al.*, “Comparison and evaluation on static application security testing (sast) tools for java,” in *Proceedings of the 31st ACM Joint European Software Engineering Conference and Symposium on the Foundations of Software Engineering*, 2023, pp. 921–933.
- [19] J. Security, “12 best sast tools to secure your code in 2024,” <https://www.jit.io/resources/appsec-tools/best-sast-tools>, 2024, accessed: 2025-07-16.
- [20] Y. Pan, “Interactive application security testing,” in *2019 International Conference on Smart Grid and Electrical Automation (ICSGEA)*, 2019, pp. 558–561.
- [21] A. Alzahrani, A. Alqazzaz, Y. Zhu, H. Fu, and N. Almashfi, “Web application security tools analysis,” in *2017 IEEE 3rd International Conference on Big Data Security on Cloud (BigDataSecurity), IEEE International Conference on High Performance and Smart Computing (Hpsc), and IEEE International Conference on Intelligent Data and Security (IDS)*, 2017, pp. 237–242.
- [22] S. K. Saurabh and D. Kumar, “Model to reduce devops pipeline execution time using sast,” *International Journal of System Assurance Engineering and Management*, vol. 15, no. 5, pp. 1999–2009, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13198-024-02262-6>
- [23] R. S. Pressman and B. R. Maxim, *Software Engineering: A Practitioner’s Approach*. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill Education, 2020, section 2.2: Software Process.
- [24] S. Al-Saqqa, S. Sawalha, and H. Abdelnabi, “Agile software development: Methodologies and trends,” *Int. J. Interact. Mob. Technol.*, vol. 14, pp. 246–270, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:225548331>



- [25] R. S. Ghumatkar and A. Date, “Software development life cycle (sdlc),” *International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology*, Nov. 2023.
- [26] M. Y. Shetty, B. S. Panchami, Aditya, and H. M. T. Gadiyar, “Software development life cycle (sdlc) in software engineering – a brief review,” *Journal of Computer Science and System Software*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 5–9, Jun. 2023.
- [27] Z. Samira, Y. Wondaferew Weldegeorgise, O. S. Osundare, H. O. Ekpobimi, and R. C. Kandekere, “Ci/cd model for optimizing software deployment in smes,” *Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews*, vol. 12, no. 1, p. 056–077, Sep. 2024.
- [28] T. A. Ghaleb, O. Abduljalil, and S. Hassan, “Ci/cd configuration practices in open-source android apps: An empirical study,” Nov. 2024. [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/pdf/2411.06077>
- [29] G. Nugraha, I. S. Nurhasanah, I. Sumardi, and Y. P. Nugraha, “Preparation of information security risk management based on iso / iec 27001: 2022 at diskominfo west java province,” *International Journal of Marketing and Human Resource Research*, vol. 6, no. 1, p. 99–119, Jan. 2025.
- [30] E. Simons, “‘paradise by the dashboard light’: Working with a simple pdca cycle at avans university of applied sciences,” *The Liber Quarterly*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 262–275, 2012. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.18352/LQ.8024>
- [31] M. Y. Darus, M. F. B. Bolhan, A. Kurniawan, Y. Muliono, C. R. Pardomuan, and M. M. Hata, “Enhancing web application penetration testing with a static application security testing (sast) tool,” p. 1–6, Dec. 2023.
- [32] R. Singh, M. Gupta, D. R. Patil, and S. H. M. Patil, “Analysis of web application vulnerabilities using dynamic application security testing,” in *Proceedings of the 2024 IEEE 9th International Conference for Convergence in Technology (I2CT)*, Apr. 2024, pp. 1–6.
- [33] GitLab, “Sast vs dast: What’s the difference?” <https://about.gitlab.com/topics/devsecops/sast-vs-dast/#how-widely-used-are-sast-and-dast>, 2024, accessed: 2025-07-16.
- [34] “CVE - Glossary | CSRC — csrc.nist.gov,” <https://csrc.nist.gov/glossary/term/cve>, [Accessed 24-02-2025].
- [35] “cve.org,” <https://www.cve.org/About/Process>, [Accessed 24-02-2025].
- [36] MITRE, “New to CWE,” https://cwe.mitre.org/about/new_to_cwe.html, 2024, accessed: 2025-06-10.
- [37] C. Aparo, C. Bernardeschi, G. Lettieri, F. Lucattini, and S. Montanarella, “An analysis system to test security of software on continuous integration-continuous delivery pipeline,” p. 58–67, Jul. 2023.
- [38] Semgrep, “Semgrep: Lightweight static analysis for many languages,” <https://github.com/semgrep/semgrep>, 2025, accessed: 2025-04-10.



- [39] OWASP Foundation, “OWASP ZAP - Zed Attack Proxy,” 2024, accessed: 2025-04-30. [Online]. Available: <https://www.zaproxy.org>
- [40] W. Li, “Software product metrics,” *IEEE Potentials*, vol. 18, no. 5, pp. 24–27, Dec. 2000.
- [41] A. Vesra, “A study of various static and dynamic metrics for open source software,” *International Journal of Computer Applications*, vol. 122, no. 10, pp. 17–21, Jul. 2015.
- [42] GeeksforGeeks. (2022) Scenario testing in software engineering. Accessed: 2025-08-03. [Online]. Available: <https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/software-engineering/software-testing-scenario-testing/>
- [43] S. Susnjara and I. Smalley, “What is docker?” *IBM Think Topics*, Jun. 2024, accessed: 2025-06-10. [Online]. Available: <https://www.ibm.com/think/topics/docker>
- [44] J. Cito and H. C. Gall, “Using docker containers to improve reproducibility in software engineering research,” in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Software Engineering*, 2016, pp. 906–907. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1145/2889160.2891057>
- [45] “Git - distributed version control system,” <https://git-scm.com>, 2025, accessed: 2025-06-10.
- [46] S. Chacon and B. Straub, *Pro Git*, 2nd ed. Apress, 2014. [Online]. Available: <https://git-scm.com/book/en/v2>
- [47] A. Nering, “Task: A task runner / simpler make alternative written in go,” <https://taskfile.dev>, 2025, accessed: 2025-06-10.
- [48] Douglas Crockford, “Introducing json,” <https://www.json.org/json-en.html>, 2002, accessed: 2025-08-04.
- [49] OWASP Foundation, “Zap - owasp zed attack proxy: User guide,” 2025, accessed: 2025-06-10. [Online]. Available: <https://www.zaproxy.org/docs/reports/>
- [50] r2c, “Semgrep appsec platform documentation,” 2025, accessed: 2025-06-10. [Online]. Available: <https://semgrep.dev/docs/semgrep-appsec/>
- [51] OWASP Foundation, “Owasp benchmark project,” <https://owasp.org/www-project-benchmark/>, 2023, accessed: 2025-08-02.
- [52] B. Berekoven and O. Foundation, “Owasp juice shop: Probably the most modern and sophisticated insecure web application for security training, awareness demos, and ctfs,” 2025, accessed: 2025-06-10. [Online]. Available: <https://owasp.org/www-project-juice-shop/>
- [53] we45, “Vulnerable flask app,” <https://github.com/we45/Vulnerable-Flask-App>, 2025, accessed: 2025-07-28.
- [54] SasanLabs, “Vulnerableapp,” <https://github.com/SasanLabs/VulnerableApp>, 2025, accessed: 2025-07-28.



[55] OWASP Juice Shop, “Owasp juice shop,” <https://github.com/juice-shop/juice-shop>, 2025, accessed: 2025-07-28.