

Daftar Pustaka

1. Kementerian Kesehatan Republik Indonesia. (2022). *Peraturan Menteri Kesehatan Nomor 24 Tahun 2022 tentang Rekam Medis*. Jakarta: Kementerian Kesehatan RI.
2. Kementerian Kesehatan Republik Indonesia. (2024). *Keputusan Direktur Jenderal Pelayanan Kesehatan Nomor HK.02.02/D/46938/2024 tentang Petunjuk Teknis Pelaksanaan Perbaikan Mutu Berkesinambungan Melalui POCQI di Fasilitas Pelayanan Kesehatan*. Jakarta: Ditjen Pelayanan Kesehatan.
3. Kementerian Kesehatan Republik Indonesia. (2023). *Pedoman Indikator Nasional Mutu Pelayanan Kesehatan (INM) Tahun 2023*. Jakarta: Direktorat Mutu Pelayanan Kesehatan.
4. Barbazza, E., Klazinga, N., Kringos, D. S. (2021). Exploring the actionability of healthcare performance indicators for quality of care: A qualitative analysis of the literature, expert opinion and user experience. *BMJ Quality & Safety*, 30(12), 1010–1020. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjqs-2020-012093>
5. Wang, Y., Kung, L., & Byrd, T. A. (2019). Big data analytics: Understanding its capabilities and potential benefits for healthcare organizations. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 126, 3–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2015.12.019>
6. Devaraj, S., & Patel, P. C. (2018). The performance implications of healthcare IT capabilities. *Information & Management*, 55(7), 866–875. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2018.03.002>
7. Venkatesh, V., Thong, J. Y. L., & Xu, X. (2016). Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology: A synthesis and the road ahead. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, 17(5), 328–376. <https://doi.org/10.17705/1jais.00428>
8. Ayatollahi, H., Nazemi, E., & Haghani, H. (2019). Electronic health records: what are the most important barriers? *Perspectives in Health Information Management*, 16(Fall), 1–15. Retrieved from <https://perspectives.ahima.org/>
9. Al-Rawajfah, O. M., Tubaishat, A., & Hamlan, A. M. (2020). Electronic health records use and its association with quality of care in nursing homes: A systematic review. *Informatics for Health and Social Care*, 45(2), 119–132. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17538157.2019.1643746>
10. Herliansyah, Y., & Maulida, R. (2021). Evaluasi Implementasi Clinical Pathway dalam Upaya Peningkatan Mutu Pelayanan di Rumah Sakit. *Jurnal Manajemen Informasi Kesehatan Indonesia*, 9(1), 45–52. <https://doi.org/10.26714/jmiki.v9i1.7654>
11. Al-Rawajfah, O. M., Tubaishat, A., & Hamlan, A. M. (2020). Electronic health records use and its association with quality of care in nursing homes: A systematic review. *Informatics for Health and Social Care*, 45(2), 119–132. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17538157.2019.1643746>
12. Herliansyah, Y., & Maulida, R. (2021). Evaluasi Implementasi Clinical Pathway dalam Upaya Peningkatan Mutu Pelayanan di Rumah Sakit. *Jurnal Manajemen Informasi Kesehatan Indonesia*, 9(1), 45–52. <https://doi.org/10.26714/jmiki.v9i1.7654>
13. Vanhaecht, K., Panella, M., van Zelm, R., & Sermeus, W. (2016). What about Care Pathways? *BMJ Quality & Safety*, 25(8), 585–590. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjqs-2015-004526>
14. Ng KH, et al. Understanding and overcoming barriers to the implementation of electronic medical records. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2019;19:660.
15. Campanella P, et al. The impact of electronic health records on healthcare quality. *Eur J Public Health*. 2020;30(5):927–33.



16. Zhou, L., Blackley, S. V., Kowalski, L., & Doebbeling, B. N. (2021). Evaluation of clinical decision support systems integrated with electronic health records: A systematic review. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 145, 104313. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2020.104313>
17. Holden, R. J., & Karsh, B. T. (2010). The Technology Acceptance Model: Its past and its future in health care. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, 43(1), 159–172. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2009.07.002>
18. Alotaibi, Y. K., & Federico, F. (2019). The impact of health information technology on patient safety. *Saudi Medical Journal*, 38(12), 1173–1180. <https://doi.org/10.15537/smj.2017.12.20631>
19. Zhou, L., Blackley, S. V., Kowalski, L., & Doebbeling, B. N. (2021). Evaluation of clinical decision support systems integrated with electronic health records: A systematic review. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 145, 104313. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2020.104313>
20. Venkatesh, V., Thong, J. Y. L., & Xu, X. (2022). Unified theory of acceptance and use of technology: A review and future research agenda. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, 23(3), 504–563. <https://doi.org/10.17705/1jais.00719>
21. Carayon, P., Wooldridge, A. R., Hoonakker, P., Hundt, A. S., & Kelly, M. M. (2020). Advancing sociotechnical systems approaches in patient safety research. *BMJ Quality & Safety*, 29(5), 350–353. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjqs-2019-010256>
22. Greenhalgh, T., Wherton, J., Papoutsi, C., et al. (2018). Beyond adoption: a new framework for theorizing and evaluating nonadoption, abandonment, and challenges to the scale-up, spread, and sustainability of health and care technologies. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 20(11), e367. <https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.8775>
23. Top, M., Akdere, M., & Tarcan, M. (2019). Examining transformational leadership, job satisfaction, organizational commitment and organizational trust in Turkish hospitals: public servants versus private sector employees. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 30(3), 506–531. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2016.1244093>
24. Al-Harbi, S. (2021). User acceptance of electronic health records: A systematic review. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, 115, 103696. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2021.103696>
25. Holden, R. J., & Karsh, B. T. (2010). The Technology Acceptance Model: Its past and its future in health care. *J. of Biomedical Informatics*, 43(1), 159–172. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2009.07.002>
26. Allen, D., et al. (2022). *Exploring sustainable care pathways – a scoping review*. BMC Health Services Research, 22(1), 1354. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-022-08863-w>
27. Djaharuddin, I.K., et al. (2023). *Effective Clinical Pathway Improves Interprofessional Collaboration in Orthopedic Surgery in Indonesia*. Journal of Advances in Medical Education & Professionalism, 11(1), 26–33. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35326862/>
28. Alahmar, A., & Alkhatib, O. (2022). *Computerization of Clinical Pathways: A Literature Review and Directions for Future Research*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.00815. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.00815>
29. Kawamoto, K., Houlihan, C. A., Balas, E. A., & Lobach, D. F. (2005). *Improving clinical practice using clinical decision support systems: A systematic review of trials to identify features critical to success*. BMJ, 330(7494), 765.



30. Yusof, M. M., Kuljis, J., Papazafeiropoulou, A., & Stergioulas, L. K. (2008). *An evaluation framework for Health Information Systems: human, organization and technology-fit factors (HOT-fit)*. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 77(6), 386–398.
31. Purwanti, R. A., et al. (2021). *Evaluasi Kepatuhan terhadap Clinical Pathway Berbasis Elektronik dan Faktor yang Mempengaruhinya*. *Jurnal Administrasi Rumah Sakit Indonesia*.
32. Aziz, H., et al. (2020). *Factors Associated with Clinical Decision Support System Utilization Among Physicians in Indonesia*. *BMC Health Services Research*, 20(1), 1014.