

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abbasi, S. G., Tahir, M. S., Abbas, M., & Shabbir, M. S. (2020). Examining the relationship between recruitment and selection practices and business growth: An exploratory study. *Journal of Public Affairs*, 22(2), 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pa.2438>.
- Ackermann, F., & Eden, C. (2011). Strategic management of stakeholders: Theory and practice. *Long Range Planning*, 44(3), 179–196. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2010.08.001>.
- Aji, R. (2021, August 5). *Busyro Muqoddas surati Komisi Yudisial kritik proses seleksi calon hakim agung*. Tempo. Retrieved August 05, 2021, from <https://www.tempo.co/hukum/busyro-muqoddas-surati-komisi-yudisial-kritik-proses-seleksi-calon-hakim-agung-487389>.
- Ansell, C., & Gash, A. (2008). Collaborative governance in theory and practice. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 18(4), 543–571. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/mum032>.
- Arrington, N. B. (2021). Judicial merit selection: Beliefs about fairness and the undermining of gender diversity on the bench. *Political Research Quarterly*, 74(4), 1152–1167. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1065912920971712>
- Bengtsson, M. (2016). How to plan and perform a qualitative study using content analysis. *Nursing Plus Open*, 2, 8–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.npls.2016.01.001>.
- Biggs, A., Johnston, S., & Russell, D. (2024). Leadership and communication: How to assess executive skills. *Journal of Business Strategy*, 45(3), 199–205. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JBS-05-2023-0085>.
- Boselie, P., Van Harten, J., & Veld, M. (2021). A human resource management review on public management and public administration research: Stop right there...before we go any further.... *Public Management Review*, 23(4), 483–500. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2019.1695880>.
- Brock, K., & Shepherd, R. P. (2022). The Trudeau government and GIC appointments in Canada. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 35(4), 463–479. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPSM-07-2021-0182>.
- Bryson, J. M. (2004). What to do when stakeholders matter: Stakeholder identification and analysis techniques. *Public Management Review*, 6(1), 21–53. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719030410001675722>.
- Bulmer, E. (2017). *Judicial Appointments* (Constitution-Building Primer, No. 4). International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance (International IDEA). <https://www.idea.int/sites/default/files/publications/judicial-appointments-primer.pdf>.
- Bunjevac, T. (2017). From individual judge to judicial bureaucracy: The emergence of judicial councils and the changing nature of judicial accountability in court administration. *University of New South Wales Law Journal*, 40(2). <https://doi.org/10.53637/LRPZ2542>.

- Bunjevac, T. (2023). The rise of judicial self-governance in the new millennium. *Melbourne University Law Review*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4396504>.
- Butt, S. (2023). Constitutional court decisions on the judicial independence of other Indonesian courts. *Constitutional Review*, 9(2), 247. <https://doi.org/10.31078/consrev922>.
- Chandranegara, I. S. (2019). Defining judicial independence and accountability post political transition. *Constitutional Review*, 5(2), 294. <https://doi.org/10.31078/consrev525>.
- Clarkson, M. B. E. (1995). A stakeholder framework for analyzing and evaluating corporate social performance. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(1), 92–117. <https://doi.org/10.2307/258888>.
- Cots, E. G. (2011). Stakeholder social capital: A new approach to stakeholder theory. *Business Ethics: A European Review*, 20(4), 328–341. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8608.2011.01635.x>.
- Crane, A., & Ruebottom, T. (2011). Stakeholder theory and social identity: Rethinking stakeholder identification. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 102(S1), 77–87. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-011-1191-4>.
- Creswell, J. W., & Miller, D. L. (2000). Determining validity in qualitative inquiry. *Theory into Practice*, 39(3), 124–130. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15430421tip3903_2.
- De Schepper, S., Dooms, M., & Haezendonck, E. (2014). Stakeholder dynamics and responsibilities in public–private partnerships: A mixed experience. *International Journal of Project Management*, 32(7), 1210–1222. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2014.01.006>.
- Dewan Perwakilan Rakyat. (2020). *Laporan Kinerja DPR RI Tahun 2019–2020*. Dewan Perwakilan Rakyat Republik Indonesia.
- Dewan Perwakilan Rakyat. (2021). *Laporan Kinerja DPR RI Tahun 2020–2021*. Dewan Perwakilan Rakyat Republik Indonesia.
- Dewan Perwakilan Rakyat. (2022). *Laporan Kinerja DPR RI Tahun 2021–2022*. Dewan Perwakilan Rakyat Republik Indonesia.
- Dewan Perwakilan Rakyat. (2023). *Laporan Kinerja DPR RI Tahun 2022–2023*. Dewan Perwakilan Rakyat Republik Indonesia.
- Dewan Perwakilan Rakyat. (2024). *Laporan Kinerja DPR RI Tahun 2023–2024*. Dewan Perwakilan Rakyat Republik Indonesia.
- Donaldson, T., & Preston, L. (1995). The stakeholder theory of the corporation: Concepts, evidence, and implications. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(1), 65–91. <https://doi.org/10.2307/258887>.
- DPR. (2020). *Laporan Kinerja DPR 2020*. Dewan Perwakilan Rakyat Republik Indonesia.
- ElWakeel, O., & Andersen, B. (2019). Stakeholder evolution: A study of stakeholder dynamics in 12 Norwegian projects. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business*, 13(1), 172–196. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJMPB-10-2018-0218>.
- Engel, C., & Weinshall, K. (2020). Manna from heaven for judges: Judges' reaction to a quasi-random reduction in caseload. *Journal of Empirical Legal Studies*, 17(4), 722–751. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jels.12265>.

- Epstein, L., Knight, J., & Shvetsova, O. (2001). Comparing judicial selection systems. *William & Mary Bill of Rights Journal*, 10(1), 7–136.
- Farisa, F. (2023, August 2). *Perjalanan kasus suap Sudrajad Dimiyati, hakim agung nonaktif yang hukumannya dipangkas*. Kompas.Com. <https://nasional.kompas.com/read/2023/08/02/1500281/perjalanan-kasus-suap-sudrajad-dimiyati-hakim-agung-nonaktif-yang-hukumannya?page=all>.
- Ferrary, M. (2009). A stakeholder's perspective on human resource management. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 87(1), 31–43. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-008-9868-z>.
- Flak, L. S., & Rose, J. (2005). Stakeholder governance: Adapting stakeholder theory to e-government. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, 16, 642–664. <https://doi.org/10.17705/1CAIS.01631>.
- Flick, U. (2019). The concepts of qualitative data: Challenges in neoliberal times for qualitative inquiry. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 25(8), 713–720. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1077800418809132>.
- Freeman, R. E. (2010). Managing for stakeholders: Trade-offs or value creation. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 96(S1), 7–9. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-011-0935-5>.
- Frooman, J. (1999). Stakeholder influence strategies. *Academy of Management Review*, 24(2), 191–205.
- Gabrilin, A., & Meiliana, D. (2019, May 7). *Sejak 2012, ada 20 hakim tersangkut kasus korupsi*. Kompas.Com. <https://nasional.kompas.com/read/2019/05/07/10483411/sejak-2012-ada-20-hakim-tersangkut-kasus-korupsi?page=all>.
- Garoupa, N., & Ginsburg, T. (2009). Guarding the guardians: Judicial councils and judicial independence. *American Journal of Comparative Law*, 57(1), 103–134. <https://doi.org/10.5131/ajcl.2008.0004>.
- Ginsburg, T. (2009). *Judicial appointments and judicial independence*. United States Institute of Peace. https://www.usip.org/sites/default/files/resources/judicial_appointments.pdf.
- Gomes, R. C., Liddle, J., & Gomes, L. O. M. (2010). A five-sided model of stakeholder influence: A cross-national analysis of decision making in local government. *Public Management Review*, 12(5), 701–724. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719031003633979>.
- Gomes, R. C., Lisboa, E., Sarturi, G., & Mirapalheta, G. (2024). How has stakeholder theory served the public administration literature? In search of the intellectual structure of the field. *Public Management Review*, 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2024.2323170>.
- Habibi, N. (2023, November 20). *Srikandi Aceh calonkan diri sebagai hakim agung, Nasir Djamil: Kita kawal bersama*. Dialeksis.Com. Retrieved July 20, 2025, from <https://dialeksis.com/aceh/srikandi-aceh-calonkan-diri-sebagai-hakim-agung-nasir-djamil-kita-kawal-bersama/>.
- Harrison, J. S., & Wicks, A. C. (2013). Stakeholder theory, value, and firm performance. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 23(1), 97–124.

- <https://doi.org/10.5840/beq20132314>.
- Hudson, I., Reinerman-Jones, L., & Teo, G. (2017). A Review of Personnel Selection Approaches for the Skill of Decision Making. In D. D. Schmorrow & C. M. Fidopiastis (Eds.), *Augmented Cognition. Enhancing Cognition and Behavior in Complex Human Environments* (Vol. 10285, pp. 474–485). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-58625-0_34.
- ICJR. (2023). *Menerapkan standarisasi, memperkuat akuntabilitas dan nilai-nilai ideal profesi advokat: Studi kelembagaan organisasi advokat di Indonesia*. Institute for Criminal Justice Reform.
- IKPI. (2025, April 16). *Ketua Ikatan Konsultan Pajak Indonesia (IKPI) Palembang 2014-2024 sampaikan dukungan terbuka kepada calon hakim agung Dr. Isnaini*. ikpi.or.id. Retrieved July 20, 2025, from <https://ikpi.or.id/ketua-ikpi-palembang-2014-2024-sampaikan-dukkungan-terbuka-kepada-cha-dr-isnaini/>.
- Isabel Landaluce-Calvo, M. (2024). Online relationships versus face to face relationships. Proposal of a methodology for the holistic analysis of Likert-type scales. *Quality and Quantity*, 58(4), 3863–3885. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11135-024-01846-5>.
- Isra, S. (2014). Kekuasaan kehakiman dalam transisi politik di Indonesia. In Setjen KY (Ed.), *Problematika hukum dan peradilan di Indonesia* (pp. 41–80). Sekretariat Jenderal Komisi Yudisial Republik Indonesia.
- Jakobsen, M., Løkke, A., & Keppeler, F. (2023). Facing the human capital crisis: A systematic review and research agenda on recruitment and selection in the public sector. *Public Administration Review*, 83(6), 1635–1648. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13733>.
- Johnson, P. F., & Klassen, R. D. (2022). New directions for research in green public procurement: The challenge of inter-stakeholder tensions. *Cleaner Logistics and Supply Chain*, 3, 100017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clscn.2021.100017>.
- Jones, T. M. (1995). Instrumental stakeholder theory: A synthesis of ethics and economics. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(2), 404–437.
- Jones, T. M., & Wicks, A. C. (1999). Convergent stakeholder theory. *Academy of Management Review*, 24(2), 206–221. <https://doi.org/10.5465/AMR.1999.1893929>.
- Kline, W., & McDermott, K. (2019). Evolutionary stakeholder theory and public utility regulation. *Business and Society Review*, 124(2), 283–298. <https://doi.org/10.1111/basr.12172>.
- Kok, G., Gurabardhi, Z., Gottlieb, N. H., & Zijlstra, F. R. H. (2015). Influencing organizations to promote health: Applying stakeholder theory. *Health Education & Behavior*, 42(1), 123S–132S. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1090198115571363>.
- Komisi III DPR RI Channel. (2021a, January 27). *Live streaming—Komisi III DPR - fit and proper test calon hakim agung—27 Januari 2021* [Video recording]. YouTube. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IFYqbsW2nFI&t=220s>.

- Komisi III DPR RI Channel. (2021b, September 20). *Live streaming—Komisi III DPR - fit and proper test calon hakim agung—20 September 2021* [Video recording]. YouTube. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=A1nGse9kf5w>.
- Komisi III DPR RI Channel. (2022, June 28). *Live streaming—Komisi III DPR - fit and proper test calon hakim agung—28 Juni 2022* [Video recording]. YouTube. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3yUNiYs9JsU&t=88s>.
- Komisi III DPR RI Channel. (2023a, March 27). *Live streaming—Komisi III DPR - fit and proper test calon hakim agung—27 Maret 2023* [Video recording]. YouTube. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1KmuFUGd2uc>.
- Komisi III DPR RI Channel. (2023b, November 22). *Live streaming—Komisi III DPR - fit and proper test calon hakim agung—22 November 2023* [Video recording]. YouTube. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=p8V4m1dRPu0>.
- Komisi III DPR RI Channel. (2024, Agustus). *Breaking news—Komisi III DPR - fit and proper test calon hakim agung—27 Agustus 2024* [Video recording]. YouTube. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=snK4sqXB9h4>.
- Komisi Yudisial. (2017). *Kiprah 12 tahun Komisi Yudisial kerja bersama untuk peradilan bersih*. Sekretariat Jenderal Komisi Yudisial Republik Indonesia.
- Komisi Yudisial. (2018). *Sejak berdiri, KY hasilkan 58 hakim agung* (Press Release No. 30/Siaran Pers/AL/LI.04.01/8/2018; Sejak Berdiri, KY Hasilkan 58 Hakim Agung). Komisi Yudisial RI. https://www.komisiyudisial.go.id/frontend/pers_release_detail/64/sejak-berdiri-ky-hasilkan-hakim-agung.
- Komisi Yudisial. (2019). *Kiprah 14 Tahun Komisi Yudisial menuju Komisi Yudisial kredibel dan unggul*. Sekretariat Jenderal Komisi Yudisial Republik Indonesia.
- Komisi Yudisial. (2020a, Desember). *Live—Wawancara calon hakim agung—4 Desember 2020* [Video recording]. YouTube. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=de2kR0y7ULQ>.
- Komisi Yudisial. (2020b, February). *Laporan Tahunan 2019*. Komisi Yudisial Republik Indonesia.
- Komisi Yudisial. (2020c, June 25). *KY rapat dengan Komisi III DPR bahas anggaran*. Komisi Yudisial. https://www.komisiyudisial.go.id/frontend/news_detail/1253/ky-rapat-dengan-komisi-iii-dpr-bahas-anggaran.
- Komisi Yudisial. (2021a, Agustus). *Live—Wawancara calon hakim agung—Hari I - 3 Agustus 2021* [Video recording]. YouTube. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=A11IgMqeT20>.
- Komisi Yudisial. (2021b, February 23). *Laporan Tahunan 2020*. Komisi Yudisial Republik Indonesia.
- Komisi Yudisial. (2022a, February). *Laporan Tahunan 2021*. Komisi Yudisial Republik Indonesia.
- Komisi Yudisial. (2022b, April 25). *Live—Wawancara calon hakim agung—Hari I - 25 April 2022* [Video recording]. YouTube. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0vnWpkeY4IQ>.
- Komisi Yudisial. (2023a, Oktober). *Live—Wawancara calon hakim agung—Hari I*

- 16 Oktober 2023 [Video recording]. YouTube. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-YaOawkROag>.
- Komisi Yudisial. (2023b). *Pemantauan persidangan: Perkara perempuan berhadapan dengan hukum bagi masyarakat* (1st ed.). Sekretariat Jenderal Komisi Yudisial Republik Indonesia.
- Komisi Yudisial. (2023c, February 1). *Live—Wawancara calon hakim agung—Hari II - 1 Februari 2023* [Video recording]. YouTube. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mqQoyZ4n3pw>.
- Komisi Yudisial. (2023d, March 9). *Laporan Tahunan 2022*. Komisi Yudisial Republik Indonesia.
- Komisi Yudisial. (2024a). *Laporan Tahunan 2023*. Komisi Yudisial Republik Indonesia.
- Komisi Yudisial. (2024b, June 9). *KY berikan klarifikasi resmi kepada DPR terkait penolakan CHA dan calon hakim ad hoc HAM di MA*. Komisiyudisial. https://www.komisiyudisial.go.id/frontend/pers_release_detail/345/ky-berikan-klarifikasi-resmi-kepada-dpr-terkait-penolakan-cha-dan-calon-hakim-ad-hoc-ham-di-ma.
- Komisi Yudisial. (2024c, July 9). *Live—Wawancara calon hakim agung—Hari II - 9 Juli 2024* [Video recording]. YouTube. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fLRQh-r4_c4.
- Komisi Yudisial. (2025a). *Laporan Tahunan 2024*. Komisi Yudisial Republik Indonesia.
- Komisi Yudisial. (2025b, July 2). *Efisiensi anggaran, KY tidak dapat melaksanakan seleksi calon hakim agung dan calon hakim ad hoc di MA*. Komisiyudisial. https://www.komisiyudisial.go.id/frontend/pers_release_detail/363/efisiensi-anggaran-ky-tidak-dapat-laksanakan-seleksi-calon-hakim-agung-dan-calon-hakim-ad-hoc-di-ma.
- Koswandari. (2021, January 25). *Komisi III ungkap lobi-lobi calon hakim agung menjelang uji kelayakan*. Sindonews. Retrieved Agustus 14, 2025, from <https://nasional.sindonews.com/read/312966/12/komisi-iii-ungkap-lobi-lobi-calon-hakim-agung-menjelang-uji-kelayakan-1611554488/5>.
- Krause, G. A., Lewis, D. E., & Douglas, J. W. (2006). Political appointments, civil service systems, and bureaucratic competence: Organizational balancing and executive branch revenue forecasts in the american states. *American Journal of Political Science*, 50(3), 770–787. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2006.00215.x>.
- Kristof, A. L. (1996). Person-organization fit: An integrative review of its conceptualizations, measurement, and implications. *Personnel Psychology*, 49(1), 1.
- Kurniawan, H. (2013, September 23). *Lobi toilet, BK tunggu respons Komisi III DPR*. Sindonews. Retrieved Agustus 14, 2025, from <https://nasional.sindonews.com/berita/786184/13/lobi-toilet-bk-tunggu-respons-komisi-iii-dpr>.
- Kurniawan, N. (2019, August 10). *Sosok Prof. Hadin Muhjad, calon hakim agung asal Kalsel di mata alumni ULM*. Tribunbanjarmasin.Com. Retrieved July

- 20, 2025, from
<https://banjarmasin.tribunnews.com/2019/08/10/sosok-prof-hadin-muhjad-calon-hakim-agung-asal-kalsel-dimata-alumni-utm>.
- Løkke, A.-K., Villadsen, A. R., & Bach, A. S. (2023). Recruitment and selection in the public sector: Do rules shape managers' practices? *Public Personnel Management*, 52(2), 218–239.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/00910260221146145>.
- Mahkamah Agung. (2019). *Laporan Tahunan 2019*. Mahkamah Agung Republik Indonesia.
- Mahkamah Agung. (2020). *Laporan Tahunan 2020*. Mahkamah Agung Republik Indonesia.
- Mahkamah Agung. (2021). *Laporan Tahunan 2021*. Mahkamah Agung Republik Indonesia.
- Mahkamah Agung. (2022). *Laporan Tahunan 2022*. Mahkamah Agung Republik Indonesia.
- Mahkamah Agung. (2023). *Laporan Tahunan 2023*. Mahkamah Agung Republik Indonesia.
- Mahkamah Agung. (2024). *Laporan Tahunan 2024*. Mahkamah Agung Republik Indonesia.
- Matuleviciene, M., & Stravinskiene, J. (2015). The importance of stakeholders for corporate reputation. *Engineering Economics*, 26(1), 75–83.
<https://doi.org/10.5755/j01.ee.26.1.6921>.
- Melé, D. (2009). The view and purpose of the firm in Freeman's stakeholder theory. *Philosophy of Management*, 8(3), 3–13.
<https://doi.org/10.5840/pom2009832>.
- Mendelow, A. L. (1981). Environmental scanning: The impact of the stakeholder concept. *ICIS 1981 Proceedings*. International Conference on Information Systems (ICIS).
- Mitchell, R. K., Agle, B. R., & Wood, D. J. (1997). Toward a theory of stakeholder identification and salience: Defining the principle of who and what really counts. *Academy of Management Review*, 22(4), 853–886.
- Mochtar, Z. A. (2014). Sistem peradilan yang transparan dan akuntabel (catatan kecil penguatan). In Setjen KY (Ed.), *Problematika hukum dan peradilan di Indonesia* (pp. 289–311). Sekretariat Jenderal Komisi Yudisial Republik Indonesia.
- Mochtar, Z. A., Rishan, I., & Dewi, A. A. (2022). Indonesian Judicial Commission in appointment ad hoc judges: In search of constitutional modality. *De Jure: Jurnal Hukum Dan Syar'iah*, 14(2), 211–225.
<https://doi.org/10.18860/j-fsh.v14i2.17834>.
- Mubarok, A. M. (2022, March 9). *Hermansyah, putra Kalbar pertama yang lolos seleksi tahap III calon hakim agung*. Sindonews.Com. Retrieved July 20, 2025, from
<https://nasional.sindonews.com/read/707919/13/hermansyah-putra-kalbar-pertama-yang-lolos-seleksi-tahap-iii-calon-hakim-agung-1646834598>.
- Nie, K. S., Ibrahim, F., Mustapha, S. M., Mokhtar, A. H. A., & Shah, D. F. J. S. H. (2019). A reflection on the stakeholder theory: Impact of government

- policies. *SEARCH Journal of Media and Communication Research*, 11(3), 111–126.
- Noland, J., & Phillips, R. (2010). Stakeholder engagement, discourse ethics and strategic management. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 12(1), 39–49. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2370.2009.00279.x>.
- Olavarría-Gambi, M., & Dockendorff, A. (2016). Implementing meritocracy in senior public administration: The dilemma for Chilean politicians. *Public Organization Review*, 16(4), 561–582. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11115-016-0360-4>.
- Parmar, B. L., Freeman, R. E., Harrison, J. S., Wicks, A. C., Purnell, L., & De Colle, S. (2010). Stakeholder theory: The state of the art. *Academy of Management Annals*, 4(1), 403–445. <https://doi.org/10.5465/19416520.2010.495581>.
- Peraturan Komisi Yudisial Nomor 1 Tahun 2025 Tentang Perubahan Atas Peraturan Komisi Yudisial Nomor 2 Tahun 2016 Tentang Tata Cara Seleksi Calon Hakim Agung (2025). https://jdih.komisiyudisial.go.id/storage/produk_hukum/96ezxHNHojOiLaftVDT73XUYZENjWQ421Q9dFMLa.pdf.
- Peraturan Komisi Yudisial Nomor 2 Tahun 2016 Tentang Tata Cara Seleksi Calon Hakim Agung (2016). https://jdih.komisiyudisial.go.id/storage/produk_hukum/Peraturan_KY_No_2_Tahun_2016_tentang_Seleksi_Hakim_Agung.pdf.
- Poveda-Bautista, R., Gonzalez-Urango, H., Ramírez-Olivares, E., & Diego-Mas, J.-A. (2022). Engaging stakeholders in extraction problems of the Chilean mining industry through a combined social network analysis-analytic network process approach. *Complexity*, 2022(1), 9096744. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/9096744>.
- Purnomo, W. A. (2013, September 20). *Ruhut akui DPR sering lobi calon hakim*. Tempo. Retrieved Agustus 14, 2025, from <https://www.tempo.co/politik/ruhut-akui-dpr-sering-lobi-calon-hakim-1580658>.
- Putusan Mahkamah Konstitusi Nomor 27/PUU-XI/2013 (2013). https://s.mkri.id/public/content/persidangan/putusan/putusan_sidang_1605_27%20PUU%202013-telah%20ucap-9Jan2014.pdf.
- Samimi, M., Cortes, A. F., Anderson, M. H., & Herrmann, P. (2022). What is strategic leadership? Developing a framework for future research. *Leadership Quarterly*, 33(3), 101353. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2019.101353>.
- Satriawan, I., Shuaib, F. S., Lailam, T., Rahman, R. A., & Seviyana, D. (2022). A comparison of appointment of Supreme Court Justices in Indonesia and Malaysia. *Journal of Indonesian Legal Studies*, 7(2), 633–676. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jils.v7i2.60862>.
- Savage, G. T., Nix, T. W., Whitehead, C. J., & Blair, J. D. (1991). Strategies for assessing and managing organizational stakeholders. *Academy of Management Executive*, 5(2), 61–75.
- Schindler, P. S. (2022). *Business research methods* (14th ed.). McGraw Hill.

- Shapiro. (2013). Judicial independence: New challenges in established nations. *Indiana Journal of Global Legal Studies*, 20(1), 253. <https://doi.org/10.2979/indjglolegstu.20.1.253>.
- Sheppard, G., & Beck, M. (2022). Stakeholder engagement and the future of Irish public-private partnerships. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 88(3), 843–861. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020852320971692>.
- Smidt, H., Johansson, J., & Richter, T. (2025). Civil society under attack: The consequences for horizontal accountability institutions. *Studies in Comparative International Development*, 60(1), 81–110. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12116-023-09423-x>.
- Sufriadi, Y. (2024). The progress of Indonesian law enforcement reform after 25 years of the reform movement. *Asian Affairs: An American Review*, 51(1), 28–54. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927678.2023.2268491>.
- Surbakti, R. (2014). Demokrasi dan nomokrasi. In Setjen KY (Ed.), *Problematika hukum dan peradilan di Indonesia* (pp. 3–18). Sekretariat Jenderal Komisi Yudisial Republik Indonesia.
- Suryanto. (2011, November 19). *Gayus Lumbuun tidak direkomendasikan*. Antaranews. Retrieved Agustus 14, 2025, from <https://www.antaranews.com/berita/276136/gayus-lumbuun-tidak-direkomendasikan>.
- Susilo, J. (2013, October 28). *Hakim “lobi toilet” tak terbukti lakukan pelanggaran*. Antaranews. Retrieved Agustus 14, 2025, from <https://www.antaranews.com/berita/402459/hakim-lobi-toilet-tak-terbukti-lakukan-pelanggaran>.
- Syahuri, T. (2014). Reformasi kekuasaan kehakiman dalam perspektif konstitusi. In Setjen KY (Ed.), *Problematika hukum dan peradilan di Indonesia* (pp. 81–110). Sekretariat Jenderal Komisi Yudisial Republik Indonesia.
- Undang-Undang Dasar Negara Republik Indonesia Tahun 1945 (2002). https://mpr.go.id/img/sosialisasi/file/1610334013_file_mpr.pdf.
- Undang-Undang Nomor 14 Tahun 1985 Tentang Mahkamah Agung (1985). <https://peraturan.go.id/files/uu14-1985.pdf>.
- Undang-Undang Nomor 18 Tahun 2011 Tentang Perubahan Atas Undang-Undang Nomor 22 Tahun 2004 Tentang Komisi Yudisial (2011). <https://peraturan.go.id/files/UU+18+Tahun+2011.pdf>.
- Undang-Undang Nomor 22 Tahun 2004 Tentang Komisi Yudisial (2004). <https://peraturan.go.id/files/uu22-2004.pdf>.
- Undang-Undang Nomor 48 Tahun 2009 Tentang Kekuasaan Kehakiman (2009). <https://peraturan.go.id/files/uu48-2009.pdf>.
- Victoria, A. (2024, October 15). *Gazalba Saleh ajukan banding terhadap putusan 10 tahun penjara*. Antaranews.Com. Retrieved November 25, 2024, from [https://www.antaranews.com/berita/4398945/gazalba-saleh-ajukan-bandin-g-terhadap-putusan-10-tahun-penjara](https://www.antaranews.com/berita/4398945/gazalba-saleh-ajukan-banding-terhadap-putusan-10-tahun-penjara).
- Wagner, A. F. (2011). Loyalty and competence in public agencies. *Public Choice*, 146(1–2), 145–162. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11127-009-9587-8>.
- Wibowo, E. A. (2008, October 13). *Komisi Hukum DPR minta calon hakim agung tak lakukan lobi khusus*. Tempo. Retrieved Agustus 14, 2025, from

<https://www.tempo.co/hukum/komisi-hukum-minta-calon-hakim-agung-ta-k-lakukan-lobi-khusus-1918064>.

- Winarta, F. H. (2014). Membangun profesionalisme aparat penegak hukum. In Setjen KY (Ed.), *Problematika hukum dan peradilan di Indonesia* (pp. 312–335). Sekretariat Jenderal Komisi Yudisial Republik Indonesia.
- Yin, R. K. (2016). *Qualitative research from start to finish* (Second edition). The Guilford Press.