

DAFTAR REFERENSI

- Afsar, B., dan Umrani, W. A. (2020). Transformational leadership and innovative work behavior: The role of motivation to learn, task complexity and innovation climate. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 23(3), 402–428. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EJIM-12-2018-0257>
- Ahmad, R., Nawaz, M. R., Ishaq, M. I., Khan, M. M., dan Ashraf, H. A. (2023). Social exchange theory: Systematic review and future directions. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 1015921. <https://doi.org/10.3389/FPSYG.2022.1015921>
- Ahmed, F., Hu, W., Arslan, A., dan Huang, H. (2024). Ambidexterity of HR practices in fortune 500 companies and employee innovation performance: mediating role of inclusive leadership. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 37(2), 237–254. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JOCM-05-2022-0139>
- Akbari, M., Bagheri, A., Imani, S., dan Asadnezhad, M. (2021). Does entrepreneurial leadership encourage innovation work behavior? The mediating role of creative self-efficacy and support for innovation. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 24(1), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EJIM-10-2019-0283>
- Aldabbas, H., Pinnington, A., dan Lahrech, A. (2023). The influence of perceived organizational support on employee creativity: The mediating role of work engagement. *Current Psychology*, 42(8), 6501–6515. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-021-01992-1>
- AlEssa, H. S., dan Durugbo, C. M. (2024). Linking Innovative Work Behaviour to Career Satisfaction: Engagement, Flexibility, and Perspectives from Male and Female Service Employees. *Global Journal of Flexible Systems Management*, 25(4), 895–916. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40171-024-00420-0>
- Alghamdi, F. (2018). Ambidextrous leadership, ambidextrous employee, and the interaction between ambidextrous leadership and employee innovative performance. *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, 7(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13731-018-0081-8>
- Alosi, A., Casamento, G., Annunziata, E., Rizzi, F., dan Frey, M. (2025). Navigating Acceptance of Paradoxical Tensions: A Self-Efficacy Perspective

- on Paradox and Goal Setting Theories. *Business Ethics, the Environment dan Responsibility*, 0, 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1111/BEER.12825>
- Amabile, T.M. (1988) A Model of Creativity and Innovation in Organizations. *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 10, 123-167.
- Amabile, T. M. (1988). *From individual creativity to organizational innovation*. In K. Grønhaug dan G. Kaufmann (Eds.), *Innovation: A cross-disciplinary perspective* (pp. 139–166). Norwegian University Press.
- Amabile, T. M., dan Pratt, M. G. (2016). The dynamic componential model of creativity and innovation in organizations: Making progress, making meaning. *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 36, 157–183. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RIOB.2016.10.001>
- Andriopoulos, C., dan Lewis, M. W. (2009). Exploitation-exploration tensions and organizational ambidexterity: Managing paradoxes of innovation. *Organization Science*, 20(4), 696–717. <https://doi.org/10.1287/ORSC.1080.0406>
- Aramovich, N. P., dan Blankenship, J. R. (2020). The relative importance of participative versus decisive behavior in predicting stakeholders' perceptions of leader effectiveness. *Leadership Quarterly*, 31(5). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2020.101387>
- Aselage, J., dan Eisenberger, R. (2003). Perceived organizational support and psychological contracts: A theoretical integration. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 24(SPEC. ISS.), 491–509. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.211>
- Ayman, R., Chemers, M. M., dan Fiedler, F. (1995). The contingency model of leadership effectiveness: Its levels of analysis. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 6(2), 147–167. [https://doi.org/10.1016/1048-9843\(95\)90032-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/1048-9843(95)90032-2)
- Backhaus, L., Reuber, A., Vogel, D., dan Vogel, R. (2022). Giving sense about paradoxes: paradoxical leadership in the public sector. *Public Management Review*, 24(9), 1478–1498. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2021.1906935>
- Bandura, A. (1988). Organisational applications of social cognitive theory. *Australian Journal of Management*, 13(2), 275–302. <https://doi.org/10.1177/031289628801300210>
- Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The moderator–mediator variable distinction

- in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 51(6), 1173–1182. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.51.6.1173>
- Bass, B. M., dan Avolio, B. J. (1993). Transformational leadership and organizational culture. *Public Administration Quarterly*, 17(1), 112–121.
- Batool, U., Raziq, M. M., dan Sarwar, N. (2023). The paradox of paradoxical leadership: A multi-level conceptualization. *Human Resource Management Review*, 33(4). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2023.100983>
- Batool, U., Raziq, M. M., Sarwar, N., Saleem, S., dan Obaid, A. (2023). Paradoxical leader behavior and leader effectiveness: moderating role of structural and job-related uncertainty. *European Business Review*, 35(2), 239–260. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EBR-08-2022-0163>
- Beauchamp, M. R., Crawford, K. L., dan Jackson, B. (2019). Social cognitive theory and physical activity: Mechanisms of behavior change, critique, and legacy. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 42, 110–117. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PSYCHSPORT.2018.11.009>
- Berge, J. M. F. ten. (1995). J. C. Nunnally and I. H. Bernstein. *Psychometric Theory*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1994, xxiv+752 pages, £51.95. *Psychometrika*, 60(2), 313–315. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02301419>
- Birgisdóttir, B. D., Gunnarsdóttir, S., dan Candi, M. (2024). Exploring relationships among servant leadership, role clarity and creative self-efficacy. *Leadership dan Organization Development Journal*, 45(3), 397–422. <https://doi.org/10.1108/LODJ-12-2022-0555>
- Bonaiuto, F., Fantinelli, S., Milani, A., Cortini, M., Vitiello, M. C., dan Bonaiuto, M. (2022). Perceived organizational support and work engagement: the role of psychosocial variables. *Journal of Workplace Learning*, 34(5), 418–436. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JWL-11-2021-0140>
- Bos-Nehles, A., Renkema, M., dan Janssen, M. (2017). HRM and innovative work behaviour: A systematic literature review. *Personnel Review*, 46(7), 1228–1253. <https://doi.org/10.1108/PR-09-2016-0257>
- Cai, W., Lysova, E. I., Khapova, S. N., dan Bossink, B. A. G. (2019). Does Entrepreneurial Leadership Foster Creativity Among Employees and Teams?

- The Mediating Role of Creative Efficacy Beliefs. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 34(2), 203–217. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10869-018-9536-y>
- Canboy, B., Tillou, C., Barzantny, C., Güçlü, B., dan Benichoux, F. (2023). The impact of perceived organizational support on work meaningfulness, engagement, and perceived stress in France. *European Management Journal*, 41(1), 90–100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EMJ.2021.12.004>
- Carmeli, A., dan Schaubroeck, J. (2007). The influence of leaders' and other referents' normative expectations on individual involvement in creative work. *Leadership Quarterly*, 18(1), 35–48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2006.11.001>
- Chang, Y. Y., Hu, Q., Hughes, M., Chang, T., dan Chang, C. Y. (2024). Paradoxical leadership on firm performance: What role can guanxi HRD practices play? *International Journal of Selection and Assessment*, 32(2), 309–327. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijsa.12464>
- Che-Ha, N., Mavondo, F. T., dan Mohd-Said, S. (2014). Performance or learning goal orientation: Implications for business performance. *Journal of Business Research*, 67(1), 2811–2820. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JBUSRES.2012.08.002>
- Chen, M.-J., dan Miller, D. (2011). The relational perspective as a business mindset: Managerial implications for East and West. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 25(3), 6–18. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amp.25.3.zol6>
- Chen, Z., Eisenberger, R., Johnson, K., Sucharski, I., dan Aselage, J. (2009). Perceived Organizational Support and Extra-Role Performance: Which Leads to Which? *The Journal of Social Psychology*, 149(1), 119–124. <https://doi.org/10.3200/SOCP.149.1.119-124>
- Cheong, M., Yammarino, F. J., Dionne, S. D., Spain, S. M., dan Tsai, C. Y. (2019). A review of the effectiveness of empowering leadership. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 30(1), 34–58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.LEAQUA.2018.08.005>
- Choi, S. B., Kim, K., Ullah, S. M. E., dan Kang, S. W. (2016). How transformational leadership facilitates innovative behavior of Korean workers: Examining mediating and moderating processes. *Personnel Review*, 45(3), 459–479. <https://doi.org/10.1108/PR-03-2014-0058>

- Cohen, H. B. (1993). The performance paradox. *Journal of Management Development*, 12(3), 30–40. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/4165475>
- Conway, L. G., Thoemmes, F., Allison, A. M., Towgood, K. H., Wagner, M. J., Davey, K., Salcido, A., Stovall, A. N., Dodds, D. P., Bongard, K., dan Conway, K. R. (2008). Two Ways to Be Complex and Why They Matter: Implications for Attitude Strength and Lying. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 95(5), 1029–1044. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0013336>
- Conway, L. G., dan Woodard, S. R. (2020). Integrative complexity across domains and across time: Evidence from political and health domains. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 155, 109713. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2019.109713>
- Cuganesan, S. (2017). Identity Paradoxes: How Senior Managers and Employees Negotiate Similarity and Distinctiveness Tensions over Time. *Organization Studies*, 38(4), 489–511. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0170840616655482>
- Daboussi Ayadi, A., Zhang, C. H. I., Zouaoui, S. K., dan Ohana, M. (2020). Interpersonal Justice And Innovative Behaviours: The Role Of The Workgroup. *International Journal of Innovation Management*, 24(3). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1363919620500735>
- Dar, N., Ahmad, S., Badar, K., dan Kundi, Y. M. (2024). Unraveling the link between innovative work behavior and despotic leadership: the roles of supervisor conflict and dispositional resistance to change trait. *International Journal of Conflict Management*, 35(4), 816–834. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCMA-09-2023-0180>
- Dashuai, R., dan Bin, Z. (2020). How does paradoxical leadership affect innovation in teams: An integrated multilevel dual process model. *Human Systems Management*, 39(1), 11–26. <https://doi.org/10.3233/HSM-190593>
- De Spiegelaere, S., Van Gyes, G., De Witte, H., Niesen, W., dan Van Hootegeem, G. (2014). On the relation of job insecurity, job autonomy, innovative work behaviour and the mediating effect of work engagement. *Creativity and Innovation Management*, 23(3), 318–330. <https://doi.org/10.1111/CAIM.12079>
- Devi, N. C. (2024). Paradoxical leadership and employee creativity: knowledge

- sharing and hiding as mediators. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 28(2), 312–340. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JKM-10-2022-0779>
- Dieste, M., Sauer, P. C., dan Orzes, G. (2022). Organizational tensions in industry 4.0 implementation: A paradox theory approach. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 251, 108532. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJPE.2022.108532>
- Dongxian, L., dan Batool, H. (2024). Influence of distributed leadership on employee innovative behaviour: the mediating role of psychological empowerment. *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13731-024-00448-7>
- Dragsdahl Lauritzen, G., dan Karafyllia, M. (2019). Perspective: Leveraging Open Innovation through Paradox. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 36(1), 107–121. <https://doi.org/10.1111/JPIM.12474>
- Driver, M. J., dan Streufert, S. (1969). Integrative Complexity: An Approach to Individuals and Groups as Information-Processing Systems. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 14(2), 272. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2391105>
- Drzewiecka, M., dan Roczniowska, M. (2018). The relationship between perceived leadership styles and organisational constraints: An empirical study in Goleman's typology. *European Review of Applied Psychology*, 68(4–5), 161–169. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ERAP.2018.08.002>
- Dweck, C. S., dan Leggett, E. L. (1988). A Social-Cognitive Approach to Motivation and Personality. *Psychological Review*, 95(2), 256–273. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.95.2.256>
- Eisenberger, R., Huntington, R., Hutchison, S., dan Sowa, D. (1986). Perceived organizational support. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 71(3), 500–507. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.71.3.500>
- Eva, N., Sendjaya, S., Prajogo, D., dan Madison, K. (2021). Does organizational structure render leadership unnecessary? Configurations of formalization and centralization as a substitute and neutralizer of servant leadership. *Journal of Business Research*, 129, 43–56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JBUSRES.2021.02.023>
- Fang, T. (2012). Yin Yang: A new perspective on culture. *Management and*

Organization Review, 8(1), 25–50. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1740-8784.2011.00221.x>

Farmer, S. M., dan Tierney, P. (2017). Considering Creative Self-Efficacy: Its Current State and Ideas for Future Inquiry. *The Creative Self: Effect of Beliefs, Self-Efficacy, Mindset, and Identity*, 23–47. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-809790-8.00002-9>

Feng, L., Li, M., Peng, J., Xu, S., Yang, W., dan Luo, D. (2022). How and when paradoxical leadership fosters employee innovative behaviours: The role of proactive personality and work engagement. *Journal of Psychology in Africa*, 32(6), 545–551. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14330237.2022.2121484>

Flocco, N., Canterino, F., dan Cagliano, R. (2021). Leading innovation through employees' participation: Plural leadership in employee-driven innovation practices. *Leadership*, 17(5), 499–518. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1742715020987928>

Fornell, C., dan Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating Structural Equation Models with Unobservable Variables and Measurement Error. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 18(1), 39. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3151312>

Förster, C., Paparella, C., Duchek, S., dan Güttel, W. H. (2022). Leading in the Paradoxical World of Crises: How Leaders Navigate Through Crises. *Schmalenbachs Zeitschrift Fur Betriebswirtschaftliche Forschung*, 74(4), 631. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S41471-022-00147-7>

Fragkandreas, T. (2017). Innovation paradoxes: a review and typology of explanations. *Prometheus: Critical Studies in Innovation*, 35(4), 267–290. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08109028.2018.1506620>

Franken, E., Plimmer, G., dan Malinen, S. (2020). Paradoxical leadership in public sector organisations: Its role in fostering employee resilience. *Australian Journal of Public Administration*, 79(1), 93–110. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8500.12396>

Fürstenberg, N., Alfes, K., dan Kearney, E. (2021). How and when paradoxical leadership benefits work engagement: The role of goal clarity and work autonomy. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 94(3), 672–705. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joop.12344>

- Gelaidan, H. M., Al-Swidi, A. K., dan Al-Hakimi, M. A. (2024). Servant and authentic leadership as drivers of innovative work behaviour: the moderating role of creative self-efficacy. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 27(6), 1938–1966. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EJIM-07-2022-0382>
- Geng, Z., Wang, Y., Fan, Y., dan Shan, C. (2023). Motivation and hindrance: the mixed blessing of paradoxical leader behaviour for workplace creativity in China. *Asia Pacific Business Review*, 29(1), 206–226. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13602381.2022.2134965>
- Gervasi, D., Faldetta, G., Pellegrini, M. M., dan Maley, J. (2022). Reciprocity in organizational behavior studies: A systematic literature review of contents, types, and directions. *European Management Journal*, 40(3), 441–457. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EMJ.2021.07.008>
- Gibson, C. B., dan Birkinshaw, J. (2004). The Antecedents, Consequences, and Mediating Role of Organizational Ambidexterity. *Academy of Management Journal*, 47(2), 209–226. <https://doi.org/10.2307/20159573>
- Gnyawali, D. R., Madhavan, R., He, J., dan Bengtsson, M. (2016). The competition–cooperation paradox in inter-firm relationships: A conceptual framework. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 53, 7–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.INDMARMAN.2015.11.014>
- Gong, Y., Huang, J. C., dan Farh, J. L. (2009b). Employee learning orientation, transformational leadership, and employee creativity: The mediating role of employee creative self-efficacy. *Academy of Management Journal*, 52(4), 765–778. <https://doi.org/10.5465/AMJ.2009.43670890>
- Grošelj, M., Černe, M., Penger, S., dan Grah, B. (2020). Authentic and transformational leadership and innovative work behaviour: the moderating role of psychological empowerment. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 24(3), 677–706. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EJIM-10-2019-0294>
- Gupta, V. (2020). Relationships between leadership, motivation and employee-level innovation: Evidence from India. *Personnel Review*, 49(7), 1363–1379. <https://doi.org/10.1108/PR-11-2019-0595>
- Hair F. Joseph, Thomas M. Hult, Christian M. Ringle, dan Marko Sardstedt. (2022). *A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM)*

Third Edition.

- Hariri, S. H., Yaqub, M. Z., dan Alshahrani, M. A. (2024). The agency of transformational leadership in transmogrifying employee innovative behaviors: a moderated mediation model. *Cogent Business and Management*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2024.2432545>
- Harmon-Jones, E. (2000). Cognitive dissonance and experienced negative affect: Evidence that dissonance increases experienced negative affect even in the absence of aversive consequences. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 26(12), 1490–1501. <https://doi.org/10.1177/01461672002612004>
- Hayes, A. F. (2013). *Introduction to mediation, moderation, and conditional process analysis: A regression-based approach*. The Guilford Press.
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., dan Sarstedt, M. (2015). A new criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modeling. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 43(1), 115–135. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S11747-014-0403-8>
- Hsu, M. L. A., Hou, S. T., dan Fan, H. L. (2011). Creative self-efficacy and innovative behavior in a service setting: Optimism as a moderator. *Journal of Creative Behavior*, 45(4), 258–272. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2162-6057.2011.tb01430.x>
- Huang, D., Zhu, T., Wu, Y., dan Sun, T. (2022). A Study on Paradoxical Leadership and Multiple Path Mechanisms of Employees' Bootleg Innovation. *Psychology Research and Behavior Management*, 15, 3391–3407. <https://doi.org/10.2147/PRBM.S383155>
- Huang, P. C. C. (2016). Our Sense of Problem: Rethinking China Studies in the United States. *Modern China*, 42(2), 115–161. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0097700415622952>
- Huettermann, H., Berger, S., Reinwald, M., dan Bruch, H. (2024). Power to the people—And then? A multilevel leadership perspective on organizational decentralization. *Human Resource Management*, 63(2), 333–353. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hrm.22203>
- Iivonen, K. (2018). Defensive responses to strategic sustainability paradoxes: Have your Coke and drink it. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 148(2), 309–327.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-017-3580-9>

World Intellectual Property Organization. (2024). *Global Innovation Index 2024: Unlocking the promise of social entrepreneurship*.

<https://doi.org/10.34667/tind.50062>

Ishaq, E., Bashir, S., dan Khan, A. K. (2021). Paradoxical Leader Behaviors: Leader Personality and Follower Outcomes. *Applied Psychology*, 70(1), 342–357.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/APPS.12233>

Islam, K. F., Awal, A., Mazumder, H., Munni, U. R., Majumder, K., Afroz, K., Tabassum, M. N., dan Hossain, M. M. (2023). Social cognitive theory-based health promotion in primary care practice: A scoping review. *Heliyon*, 9(4), e14889. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e14889>

James, L. R., dan Brett, J. M. (1984). Mediators, moderators, and tests for mediation. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 69(2), 307–321.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.69.2.307>

Janssen, O. (2000). Job demands, perceptions of effort-reward fairness and innovative work behaviour. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 73(3), 287–302. <https://doi.org/10.1348/096317900167038>

Jarzabkowski, P., Lê, J. K., dan Van De Ven, A. H. (2013). Responding to competing strategic demands: How organizing, belonging, and performing paradoxes coevolve. *Strategic Organization*, 11(3), 245–280.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1476127013481016>

Javed, B., Fatima, T., Khan, A. K., dan Bashir, S. (2021). Impact of Inclusive Leadership on Innovative Work Behavior: The Role of Creative Self-Efficacy. *Journal of Creative Behavior*, 55(3), 769–782.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/jocb.487>

Jenkins, T. N. (2002). Chinese traditional thought and practice: lessons for an ecological economics worldview. *Ecological Economics*, 40(1), 39–52.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8009\(01\)00263-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8009(01)00263-4)

Jiang, W., dan Gu, Q. (2017). Leader creativity expectations motivate employee creativity: a moderated mediation examination. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 28(5), 724–749.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2015.1109535>

- Jokisaari, M., dan Nurmi, J. E. (2009). Change in newcomers' supervisor support and socialization outcomes after organizational entry. *Academy of Management Journal*, 52(3), 527–544. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2009.41330971>
- Jong, J. De, dan Hartog, D. Den. (2010). Measuring Innovative Work Behaviour. *Creativity and Innovation Management*, 19(1), 23–36. <https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1467-8691.2010.00547.X>
- Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., dan Sarstedt, M. (2022). *A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM)* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Jung, K. B., Kang, S. W., dan Choi, S. B. (2022). Paradoxical leadership and involvement in creative task via creative self-efficacy: a moderated mediation role of task complexity. *Behavioral Sciences*, 12(10). <https://doi.org/10.3390/bs12100377>
- Kanter, R. M. (1988). Three Tiers for Innovation Research. *Communication Research*, 15(5), 509–523. <https://doi.org/10.1177/009365088015005001>
- Khairy, H. A., Baquero, A., dan Al-Romeedy, B. S. (2023). The effect of transactional leadership on organizational agility in tourism and hospitality businesses: the mediating roles of organizational trust and ambidexterity. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 15(19). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su151914337>
- Khalid, R., Kuntz, J. C., Lee, A., Lyubovnikova, J., Zheng, Y., dan Li, Z. F. (2023). Paradoxical leadership: a meta-analytical review. *Frontiers in Organizational Psychology*, 1, 1229543. <https://doi.org/10.3389/FORGP.2023.1229543>
- Khan, H. S. ud din, Li, P., Chughtai, M. S., Mushtaq, M. T., dan Zeng, X. (2023). The role of knowledge sharing and creative self-efficacy on the self-leadership and innovative work behavior relationship. *Journal of Innovation dan Knowledge*, 8(4), 100441. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JIK.2023.100441>
- Kim, K. Y., Eisenberger, R., dan Baik, K. (2016). Perceived organizational support and affective organizational commitment: Moderating influence of perceived organizational competence. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 37(4), 558–583. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.2081>
- Kim, Y. J., Toh, S. M., dan Baik, S. (2022). Culture creation and change: Making

- sense of the past to inform future research agendas. *Journal of Management*, 48(6), 1503–1547. <https://doi.org/10.1177/01492063221081031>
- Klonek, F. E., Volery, T., dan Parker, S. K. (2021). Managing the paradox: Individual ambidexterity, paradoxical leadership and multitasking in entrepreneurs across firm life cycle stages. *International Small Business Journal: Researching Entrepreneurship*, 39(1), 40–63. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0266242620943371>
- Krautzberger, M., dan Tuckermann, H. (2024). Navigating both/and and either/or approaches in response to paradoxical demands: a meta-both/and approach. *Organization Theory*, 5(4). <https://doi.org/10.1177/26317877241290249>
- Kühnel, J., Bledow, R., dan Kiefer, M. (2022). There is a time to be creative: the alignment between chronotype and time of day. *Academy of Management Journal*, 65(1), 218–247. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2019.0020>
- Kuhnert, K. W., dan Lewis, P. (1987). Transactional and transformational leadership: A constructive/developmental analysis. *Academy of Management Review*, 12(4), 648–657. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/258070>
- Kundi, Y. M., Aboramadan, M., dan Abualigah, A. (2023). Linking paradoxical leadership and individual in-role and extra-role performance: a multilevel examination. *Management Decision*, 61(10), 2851–2871. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-10-2022-1353>
- Langhof, J. G., dan Guldenberg, S. (2020). Servant Leadership: A systematic literature review—toward a model of antecedents and outcomes. *German Journal of Human Resource Management*, 34(1), 32–68. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2397002219869903>
- Lent, R. W., dan Brown, S. D. (2006). On conceptualizing and assessing social cognitive constructs in career research: a measurement guide. *Journal of Career Assessment*, 14(1), 12–35. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1069072705281364>
- Lewis, M. W. (2000). Exploring paradox: Toward a more comprehensive guide. *Academy of Management Review*, 25(4), 760–776. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/259204>
- Lewis, M. W., dan Smith, W. K. (2014). Paradox as a metatheoretical perspective: sharpening the focus and widening the scope. *Journal of Applied Behavioral*

Science, 50(2), 127–149. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0021886314522322>

Lewis, M. W., dan Smith, W. K. (2023). Today's most critical leadership skill: Navigating paradoxes. *Leader to Leader*, 2023(107), 12–18. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ltl.20686>

Lewis, R. L., Brown, D. A., dan Sutton, N. C. (2019). Control and empowerment as an organising paradox: implications for management control systems. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 32(2), 483–507. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AAAJ-11-2017-3223>

Li, Q., She, Z., dan Yang, B. (2018). Promoting innovative performance in multidisciplinary teams: The roles of paradoxical leadership and team perspective taking. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9, 1083. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01083>

Li, W., dan Yang, C. C. (2025). Exploring the possibility of cautious innovation: The impact of perceived paradoxical leadership on innovative work behavior among collegiate teachers. *Cogent Education*, 12(1), Article 2447567. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2024.2447567>

Li, X., Xue, Y., Liang, H., dan Yan, D. (2020). The Impact of Paradoxical Leadership on Employee Voice Behavior: A Moderated Mediation Model. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 537756. <https://doi.org/10.3389/FPSYG.2020.537756/BIBTEX>

Lin, C., Zhou, X., dan Hou, D. (2024). Paradoxical leadership and employee creativity: the roles of harmonious work passion and proactive personality. *Leadership dan Organization Development Journal*, 45(6), 1063–1082. <https://doi.org/10.1108/LODJ-10-2023-0600>

Liu, G., dan An, R. (2021). Applying a yin–yang perspective to the theory of paradox: A review of chinese management. *Psychology Research and Behavior Management*, 14, 1591–1601. <https://doi.org/10.2147/PRBM.S330489>

Liu, Y., Xu, S., dan Zhang, B. (2020). Thriving at work: how a paradox mindset influences innovative work behavior. *Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 56(3), 347–366. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0021886319888267>

Liu, Y., dan Zhang, H. (2022). Making things happen: How employees' paradox

- mindset influences innovative performance. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 1009209. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1009209>
- Lord, R. G., Gatti, P., dan Chui, S. L. M. (2016). Social-cognitive, relational, and identity-based approaches to leadership. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 136, 119–134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.OBHDP.2016.03.001>
- Lu, L., Luo, T., dan Zhang, Y. (2023). Perceived overqualification and deviant innovation behavior: The roles of creative self-efficacy and perceived organizational support. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14, 967052. <https://doi.org/10.3389/FPSYG.2023.967052/BIBTEX>
- Ma, Y., Chen, M., Guo, H., Fan, W., dan Lai, L. (2023). The Influence of Transformational Tutor Style on Postgraduate Students' Innovative Behavior: The Mediating Role of Creative Self-Efficacy. *International Journal of Digital Multimedia Broadcasting*, 2023(1), 9775338. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/9775338>
- Madaan, K., Jain, D., dan Sharma, H. (2024). Does paradoxical leadership predict employee job performance in hospitality? A sequential mediation of harmonious work passion and innovative work behavior. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Insights*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JHTI-05-2024-0411>
- Mehboob, F., dan Haque, R. (2024). Empowering innovative work behaviors: unfolding the contextual, personal and behavioral spectrum. *Journal of Asia Business Studies*, 18(4), 1114–1132. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JABS-09-2023-0380>
- Meng, B., Liu, W., dan Zhou, M. (2024). How can we boost learning and vitality? the influence of perceived organizational support on innovative behavior. *Eurasian Business Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40821-024-00280-9>
- Hammond, M. M., Neff, N. L., Farr, J. L., Schwall, A. R., dan Zhao, X. (2011). Supplemental material for Predictors of individual-level innovation at work: A meta-analysis. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0018556.supp>
- Miron-Spektor, E., Gino, F., dan Argote, L. (2011). Paradoxical frames and creative sparks: Enhancing individual creativity through conflict and integration.

Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 116(2), 229–240.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.OBHDP.2011.03.006>

Miron-Spektor, E., Ingram, A., Keller, J., Smith, W. K., dan Lewis, M. W. (2018).

Microfoundations of organizational paradox: The problem is how we think about the problem. *Academy of Management Journal*, 61(1), 26–45.

<https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2016.0594>

Morad, S., Ragonis, N., dan Barak, M. (2021). An integrative conceptual model of innovation and innovative thinking based on a synthesis of a literature review.

Thinking Skills and Creativity, 40, 100824.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TSC.2021.100824>

Mumford, M. D., dan Gustafson, S. B. (1988). Creativity Syndrome: Integration,

Application, and Innovation. *Psychological Bulletin*, 103(1), 27–43.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.103.1.27>

Neuman, W. L. (2014). *Basics of social research: qualitative and quantitative approaches*. Pearson Education Limited.

Newman, A., Tse, H. H. M., Schwarz, G., dan Nielsen, I. (2018). The effects of employees' creative self-efficacy on innovative behavior: The role of entrepreneurial leadership.

Journal of Business Research, 89, 1–9.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JBUSRES.2018.04.001>

Ng, T. W. H., dan Lucianetti, L. (2016). Within-individual increases in innovative behavior and creative persuasion and change self-efficacy over time: A social-cognitive theory perspective.

Journal of Applied Psychology, 101(1), 14–34.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/apl0000029>

Nisula, A. M., dan Kianto, A. (2016). The antecedents of individual innovative behaviour in temporary group innovation.

Creativity and Innovation Management, 25(4), 431–444. <https://doi.org/10.1111/CAIM.12163>

Nyberg, A. J., Collings, D. G., McMackin, J. F., dan Wright, P. M. (2025). Modern day leadership: Are we getting the business leaders that we deserve?

Human Resource Management Review, 35(2), 101072.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.HRMR.2024.101072>

Ok, C. M., dan Lim, S. G. (Edward). (2022). Job crafting to innovative and extra-role behaviors: A serial mediation through fit perceptions and work

- engagement. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 106, 103288.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJHM.2022.103288>
- Orth, M., dan Volmer, J. (2017). Daily within-person effects of job autonomy and work engagement on innovative behaviour: The cross-level moderating role of creative self-efficacy. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 26(4), 601–612.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1359432X.2017.1332042>
- Pan, Z. (2021). Paradoxical leadership and organizational citizenship behaviour: the serial mediating effect of a paradoxical mindset and personal service orientation. *Leadership and Organization Development Journal*, 42(6), 869–881. <https://doi.org/10.1108/LODJ-08-2020-0351>
- Peña, E. D. (2007). Lost in translation: methodological considerations in cross-cultural research. *Development*, 78(4), 1255–1264. 10.1111/j.1467-8624.2007.01064.x
- Peng, M. Y. P., Xu, C., Zheng, R., dan He, Y. (2023). The impact of perceived organizational support on employees' knowledge transfer and innovative behavior: comparisons between Taiwan and mainland China. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 10(1), 741. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-023-02242-4>
- Blau, P. M. (1964). *Exchange and power in social life*. Wiley.
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Lee, J. Y., dan Podsakoff, N. P. (2003). Common method biases in behavioral research: a critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88(5), 879–903. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.88.5.879>
- Ren, H., dan Yang, R. (2021). Paradoxical leader behaviors and followers' overall justice and citizenship behaviors: The role of renqing perception and trait agreeableness. *Psychology Research and Behavior Management*, 14, 1303–1313. <https://doi.org/10.2147/PRBM.S324460>
- Richter, A. W., Hirst, G., van Knippenberg, D., dan Baer, M. (2012). Creative self-efficacy and individual creativity in team contexts: Cross-level interactions with team informational resources. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 97(6), 1282–1290. <https://doi.org/10.1037/A0029359>

- Rosing, K., Frese, M., dan Bausch, A. (2011). Explaining the heterogeneity of the leadership-innovation relationship: Ambidextrous leadership. *Leadership Quarterly*, 22(5), 956–974. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2011.07.014>
- Rosing, K., dan Zacher, H. (2017). Individual ambidexterity: the duality of exploration and exploitation and its relationship with innovative performance. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 26(5), 694–709. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1359432X.2016.1238358>
- Roth, S., Schneckenberg, D., Valentinov, V., dan Kleve, H. (2023). Approaching management and organization paradoxes paradoxically: The case for tetralemma as an expansive encasement strategy. *European Management Journal*, 41(2), 191–198. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2021.12.002>
- Salam, S., dan Senin, A. A. (2022). A bibliometric study on innovative behavior literature (1961–2019). *SAGE Open*, 12(3), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440221109589>
- Sanz-Valle, R., dan Jiménez-Jiménez, D. (2018). HRM and product innovation: do innovative work behaviour mediate that relationship? *Management Decision*, 56(6), 1417–1429. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-04-2017-0404>
- Sarmah, P., Van den Broeck, A., Schreurs, B., Proost, K., dan Germeys, F. (2022). Autonomy supportive and controlling leadership as antecedents of work design and employee well-being. *BRQ Business Research Quarterly*, 25(1), 44–61. <https://doi.org/10.1177/23409444211054508>
- Schad, J., Lewis, M. W., Raisch, S., dan Smith, W. K. (2016). Paradox research in management science: looking back to move forward. *Academy of Management Annals*, 10(1), 5–64. <https://doi.org/10.5465/19416520.2016.1162422>
- Schunk, D. H., dan DiBenedetto, M. K. (2020). Motivation and social cognitive theory. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 60, 101832. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEDPSYCH.2019.101832>
- Schunk, D. H., dan Usher, E. L. (2012). Social cognitive theory and motivation. In R. M. Ryan (Ed.), *The Oxford handbook of human motivation* (pp. 13–27). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780195399820.013.0002>
- Scott, S. G., dan Bruce, R. A. (1994). Determinants of innovative behavior: A path

- model of individual innovation in the workplace. *Academy of Management Journal*, 37(3), 580–607. <https://doi.org/10.2307/256701>
- Sengul, M. (2019). Organization design as a competitive choice: An application to the study of innovation. *Journal of Organization Design*, 8(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41469-019-0063-8>
- Shang, D., Wu, W., dan Ji, Y. (2025). Understanding employee digital learning engagement and innovative work behavior in hospitality sectors: A machine learning based multistage approach. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 125. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2024.103985>
- Shao, Y., Nijstad, B. A., dan Täuber, S. (2019). Creativity under workload pressure and integrative complexity: The double-edged sword of paradoxical leadership. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 155, 7–19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.obhdp.2019.01.008>
- Shih, H. A., dan Susanto, E. (2011). Is innovative behavior really good for the firm? Innovative work behavior, conflict with coworkers and turnover intention: moderating roles of perceived distributive fairness. *International Journal of Conflict Management*, 22(2), 111–130. <https://doi.org/10.1108/10444061111126666>
- Slåtten, T. (2014). Determinants and effects of employee's creative self-efficacy on innovative activities. *International Journal of Quality and Service Sciences*, 6(4), 326–347. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJQSS-03-2013-0013>
- Sluss, D. M., Klimchak, M., dan Holmes, J. J. (2008). Perceived organizational support as a mediator between relational exchange and organizational identification. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 73(3), 457–464. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JVB.2008.09.001>
- Smith, W. K., Erez, M., Jarvenpaa, S., Lewis, M. W., dan Tracey, P. (2017). Adding complexity to theories of paradox, tensions, and dualities of innovation and change: introduction to organization studies special issue on paradox, tensions, and dualities of innovation and change. *Organization Studies*, 38(4), 303–317. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0170840617693560>
- Smith, W. K., dan Lewis, M. W. (2011). Toward a theory of paradox: A dynamic equilibrium model of organizing. *Academy of Management Review*, 36(2),

381–403. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2009.0223>

- Suedfeld, P., dan Bluck, S. (1993). Changes in integrative complexity accompanying significant life events: Historical evidence. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 64(1), 124–130. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.64.1.124>
- Suedfeld, P., De Vries, B., Bluck, S., Wallbaum, A. B. C., dan Schmidt, P. W. (1996). Intuitive perceptions of decision-making strategy: naive assessors' concepts of integrative complexity. *International Journal of Psychology*, 31(5), 177–190. <https://doi.org/10.1080/002075996401061>
- Suedfeld, P., dan Tetlock, P. E. (2014). Integrative complexity at forty: steps toward resolving the scoring dilemma. *Political Psychology*, 35(5), 597–601. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pops.12206>
- Tetlock, P. E., Metz, S. E., Scott, S. E., dan Suedfeld, P. (2014). Integrative complexity coding raises integratively complex issues. *Political Psychology*, 35(5), 625–634. <https://doi.org/10.1111/POPS.12207>
- Thneibat, M. M. (2024). The impact of high commitment work practices on radical innovation: innovative work behaviour and knowledge sharing as mediators. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 73(7), 2329–2363. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPPM-01-2023-0036>
- Tierney, P., dan Farmer, S. M. (2002). Creative self-efficacy: Its potential antecedents and relationship to creative performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 45(6), 1137–1148. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3069429>
- Tierney, P., dan Farmer, S. M. (2011). Creative self-efficacy development and creative performance over time. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 96(2), 277–293. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0020952>
- Uppathampracha, R., dan Liu, G. (2022). Leading for innovation: self-efficacy and work engagement as sequential mediation relating to ethical leadership and innovative work behavior. *Behavioral Sciences*, 12(8). <https://doi.org/10.3390/bs12080266>
- Valtonen, A., Kimpimäki, J. P., dan Malacina, I. (2023). From ideas to innovations: The role of individuals in idea implementation. *Creativity and Innovation Management*, 32(4), 636–658. <https://doi.org/10.1111/CAIM.12577>

- van Assen, M. F., dan Caniëls, M. C. J. (2022). Economic and social LMX and innovative work behaviour: the moderating effect of paradox mindset. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 25(6), 1057–1075. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EJIM-05-2022-0234>
- Venketsamy, A., dan Lew, C. (2024). Intrinsic and extrinsic reward synergies for innovative work behavior among South African knowledge workers. *Personnel Review*, 53(1), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1108/PR-02-2021-0108>
- Volery, T., dan Tarabashkina, L. (2021). The impact of organisational support, employee creativity and work centrality on innovative work behaviour. *Journal of Business Research*, 129, 295–303. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.02.049>
- Wahab, F. A., Subramaniam, A., Ho, J. A., dan Bali Mahomed, A. S. (2024). Augmenting Effect of Inclusive and Ambidextrous Leadership on Public University Academic Staffs' Innovative Performance: The Mediating Role of Innovative Work Behavior. *SAGE Open*, 14(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440241232761>
- Wang, C. J., Tsai, H. T., dan Tsai, M. T. (2014). Linking transformational leadership and employee creativity in the hospitality industry: The influences of creative role identity, creative self-efficacy, and job complexity. *Tourism Management*, 40, 79–89. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TOURMAN.2013.05.008>
- Wang, F. X. H. (Frank), Fang, Y., Qureshi, I., dan Janssen, O. (2015). Understanding employee innovative behavior: Integrating the social network and leader-member exchange perspectives. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 36(3), 403–420. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.1994>
- Wang, J., Kim, T. Y., Bateman, T. S., Jiang, Y., dan Tang, G. (2024). A paradox theory lens on proactivity, individual ambidexterity, and creativity: An empirical look. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 45(6), 896–911. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.2786>
- Wang, M., Zhang, J., He, J., dan Bi, Y. (2022). Paradoxical leadership and employee innovation: Organization-based self-esteem and harmonious passion as sequential mediators. *Social Behavior and Personality*, 50(7). <https://doi.org/10.2224/SBP.11634>

- Wei, W., Zhou, Y., dan Wang, D. (2023). Learning to integrate conflicts: Paradoxical leadership fosters team innovation. *Journal of Business Research*, 165. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2023.114076>
- Wilkinson, B. D., dan Dewell, J. A. (2019). Cognitive complexity: differentiation and integration in counseling practice and training. *Journal of Counseling and Development*, 97(3), 317–324. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcad.12271>
- Wong, E. M., Ormiston, M. E., dan Tetlock, P. E. (2011). The effects of top management team integrative complexity and decentralized decision making on corporate social performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 54(6), 1207–1228. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2008.0762>
- Wood, R., dan Bandura, A. (1989). Social cognitive theory of organizational management. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(3), 361–384. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1989.4279067>
- Woodman, R. W., Sawyer, J. E., dan Griffin, R. W. (1993). Toward a theory of organizational creativity. *Academy of Management Review*, 18(2), 293–321. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1993.3997517>
- Woodman, R. W., dan Schoenfeldt, L. F. (1990). An interactionist model of creative behavior. *The Journal of Creative Behavior*, 24(1), 10–20. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2162-6057.1990.tb00525.x>
- Xenikou, A. (2017). Transformational leadership, transactional contingent reward, and organizational identification: The mediating effect of perceived innovation and goal culture orientations. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8, Article 1754. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01754>
- Yang, H., dan Zhou, D. (2022). Perceived organizational support and creativity of science-technology talents in the digital age: The effects of affective commitment, innovative self-efficacy, and digital thinking. *Psychology Research and Behavior Management*, 15, 2421–2437. <https://doi.org/10.2147/PRBM.S378141>
- Yang, N., Chen, H., dan Wang, X. H. (Frank). (2025). Stealth innovation: The dance of paradoxical leadership behavior, leader trustworthiness, and psychological safety in fueling employee bootlegging behavior. *European Management Journal*, 43(2), 286–296. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2024.03.007>

- Yin, J. (2022). Living with tensions in the workplace: a grounded theory of paradoxical leadership in cultivating subordinates' paradox mindset. *Leadership and Organization Development Journal*, 43(6), 862–873. <https://doi.org/10.1108/LODJ-04-2021-0151>
- Young, H. R., Glerum, D. R., Joseph, D. L., dan McCord, M. A. (2021). A meta-analysis of transactional leadership and follower performance: double-edged effects of lmx and empowerment. *Journal of Management*, 47(5), 1255–1280. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206320908646>
- Younis, S., Bashir, S., Irshad, M., dan Javed, B. (2023). Impact of the paradoxical leadership on employee creativity: testing a moderated mediation model. *SAGE Open*, 13(2). <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440231182615>
- Yu, J., Liu, J., Lin, S., dan Chi, X. (2024). The relationship between stressors and Chinese employees' innovative work behavior: the role of task crafting and psychological detachment. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 27(6), 1864–1884. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EJIM-08-2022-0417>
- Yuan, F., dan Woodman, R. W. (2010). Innovative behavior in the workplace: The role of performance and image outcome expectations. *Academy of Management Journal*, 53(2), 323–342. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2010.49388995>
- Zeithofer, I., Zumbach, J., dan Schweppe, J. (2024). Complexity affects performance, cognitive load, and awareness. *Learning and Instruction*, 94, 102001. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.LEARNINSTRUC.2024.102001>
- Zhang, I. D., Lam, L. W., Zhu, J. N. Y., dan Lee, J. (2024). Why do employees perform better under paradoxical leaders? The mediating role of group harmony. *Journal of Business and Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10869-024-09942-x>
- Zhang, M. J., Zhang, Y., dan LAW, K. S. (2022). Paradoxical leadership and innovation in work teams: the multilevel mediating role of ambidexterity and leader vision as a boundary condition. *Academy of Management Journal*, 65(5), 1652–1679. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2017.1265>
- Zhang, W., Liao, S., Liao, J., dan Zheng, Q. (2021). Paradoxical leadership and employee task performance: a sense-making perspective. *Frontiers in*

Psychology, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.753116>

- Zhang, Y., Waldman, D. A., Han, Y. L., dan Li, X. B. (2015). Paradoxical leader behaviors in people management: Antecedents and consequences. *Academy of Management Journal*, 58(2), 538–566. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2012.0995>
- Zia, M. Q., Huning, T. M., Ramish, M. S., Naveed, M., dan Ahmed, S. (2024). The impact of psychological empowerment on innovative work behavior: a moderated mediation model of informal learning and proactive behavior. *Review of Managerial Science*, 18(12), 3695–3716. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11846-023-00717-x>
- Zuraik, A., Kelly, L., dan Dyck, L. R. (2020). Individual innovative work behaviour: Effects of personality, team leadership and climate in the us context. *International Journal of Innovation Management*, 24(5). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1363919620500784>