

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CONSENT FORM.....	i
APPROVAL SHEET	ii
STATEMENT.....	iii
PREFACE.....	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	v
LIST OF TABLES.....	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	x
ABSTRACT.....	xiv
<i>INTISARI</i>	xv
CHAPTER 1	1
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Background.....	1
1.2. Problem Statements	4
1.3. Objectives	4
1.4. Research Limitation.....	4
1.5. Benefits.....	5
CHAPTER 2	6
LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Causes of delays and strategies for accelerating construction projects	6
2.2 Concreting cycle, formwork, and shoring removal	9
2.3 Usage of concrete accelerator admixture and machine learning approach	16
2.4 Utilization of building information modeling for carbon emission calculation.....	22
2.5 Novelty	33
CHAPTER 3	35
THEORITICAL BACKGROUND.....	35
3.1 Project Management.....	35
3.1.1 Project schedule management & schedule compression	37
3.1.2 Project acceleration (crashing)	40
3.1.3 Correlation between schedule optimization and cost-resources.....	42
3.1.4 Cash flow	43
3.1.5 Type of construction project contract.....	45
3.2 Concreting Cycle in Reinforced Concrete Structure Work	46
3.3 Concrete Material Technology	49



5.3.1	Concrete mix design procedure	50
3.3.2	Types of concrete admixture	57
3.4	Utilization of BIM to Calculate Carbon Emission in Construction Project	67
CHAPTER 4		79
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY		79
4.1	Research and Experiment Procedure	79
4.2	Tools and Data Collection	81
4.3	Analytical Method	83
CHAPTER 5		85
RESULT AND DISCUSSION		85
5.1	The Impact of Construction Delays and the Effect of Concrete Works Optimization as a Prevention.....	85
5.1.1	Construction Project Delays Impact	86
5.1.2	Concrete Works Optimization	88
5.1.2.1	Benefits of Concrete Optimization	89
5.1.2.2	Structural Design	92
5.1.2.3	Concrete Material	93
5.1.2.4	Construction Method	95
5.1.2.5	Schedule.....	96
5.2	Sustainable Concrete Mix Design	97
5.2.1	Concrete Compressive Strength Data Acquisition	101
5.2.2	Compressive Strength Prediction	103
5.2.3	Concrete Mix Design Optimization Model	110
5.2.4	Concrete Mix Design Optimization Model Validation	112
5.3	Schedule and Cost Optimization	114
5.4	Carbon Emission Reduction Potential	137
CHAPTER 6		148
CONCLUSIONS		148
6.1	Conclusion	148
6.2	Suggestion	149
BIBLIOGRAPHY		151

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	List of project delay factors related to materials and equipments along with the level of their impact (Rauzana & Dharma, 2022).....	6
Table 2.2	The project delay factor due to internal problems in the project (Idrees & Shafiq, 2021).....	7
Table 2.3	Additional cost to accelerate project completion (Maraqa et al., 2022).....	8
Table 2.4	Minimum duration of scaffolding on concrete structures shall not be dismantled (Risser, 1994)	11
Table 2.5	The results of measuring the speed of ultrasonic wave reflection (Ospitia et al., 2022)	13
Table 2.6	The duration of formwork striking time according to some standards (Skibicki, 2017).....	14
Table 2.7	The measurement results of the striking duration were calculated using the maturity method (Skibicki, 2017)	15
Table 2.8	Total duration of concreting works with several alternative methods (Vargas et al., 2016)	15
Table 2.9	Percentage of efficiency or cost increase for each construction process with several methods (Vargas et al., 2016).....	16
Table 2.10	Comparison of slump values with the addition of SNF-based additives (Kakani, 2022)	17
Table 2.11	Formwork material reduction using prefabrication method (Cheng et al., 2022)	24
Table 2.12	Formwork area approximation formula (J. Lee et al., 2017).....	25
Table 2.13	Average productivity of <i>formwork</i> installation in Korea (J. Lee et al., 2017)	25
Table 2.14	Source of emission which considered in the study (Sandanayake et al., 2018)	30
Table 2.15	Bill of quantities calculation in reinforced concrete structure project (Sandanayake et al., 2018).....	30
Table 2.16	Carbon emission calculation result in 15-storey building case study in Melbourne, Australia (Sandanayake et al., 2018).....	30
Table 2.17	Source of emission which considered in the study (Sandanayake et al., 2017)	31
Table 2.18	Carbon emission calculation result in foundation and structure construction phase (Sandanayake et al., 2017).....	31
Table 3.1	Types of costs in project (Thakkar, 2022)	44
Table 3.2	Table of the results of the safety analysis of concrete floor slab structures during the construction phase (Stivaros & Halvorsen, 1994).....	49
Table 3.3	Minimum shoring duration for reinforced concrete structure (ACI Committee 347, 2004)	50
Table 3.4	Requirements for selecting slump values (Badan Standarisasi Nasional, 2012)	52
Table 3.5	Table of estimated water requirements based on maximum aggregate size (Badan Standarisasi Nasional, 2012)	53
Table 3.6	Determination of water-to-cement ratio (Badan Standarisasi Nasional, 2012)	53



Table 3.7	Table of estimates of coarse aggregate composition per unit volume of concrete (Badan Standarisasi Nasional, 2012).....	54
Table 3.8	Approximate fine aggregate composition based on weight of concrete (Badan Standarisasi Nasional, 2012).....	55
Table 3.9	Increment of concrete compressive.....	57
Table 3.10	Types of concrete admixture (Kosmatka, Steven H.; Wilson, 2011)	58
Table 3.11	Sources of carbon emissions that shall be calculated (Sandanayake et al., 2017)	75
Table 3.12	Emission factor for material database (Inventory Carbon & Energy, 2019).....	77
Table 3.13	Emission factor for concrete admixture material database (Inventory Carbon & Energy, 2019).....	78
Table 4.1	Secondary data as cases study in research	81
Table 4.2	Summary of concrete mix design scheme (requirement per 1 m ³ of concrete)	81
Table 4.3	Summary of concrete mix design scheme (requirement per 1 m ³ of concrete)	82
Table 4.4	matrix of testing concrete samples with accelerator admixture	82
Table 5. 1	The impact of construction delays literature sources	87
Table 5. 2	Benefits of concrete optimization	90
Table 5. 3	Percentage of efficiency from concrete works optimization	91
Table 5. 4	Various article keywords regarding concrete materials optimization.....	94
Table 5. 5	Distribution of research based on the type of material used.....	97
Table 5. 6	Distribution of research based on the type of ML algorithm used	99
Table 5. 7.	Compressive test and slump result from laboratorium experiment	103
Table 5. 8.	Statistical metrics of ML model.....	105
Table 5. 9.	Input and output for concrete compressive strength prediction application	107
Table 5. 10.	Parameter for calculate carbon emission and production cost for concrete	109
Table 5. 11.	New dataset used to trial compressive strength predictio	109
Table 5. 12.	Compressive strength prediction results of the new dataset along with its production costs and carbon emissions.....	110
Table 5. 13.	Multi objective optimization parameter target for PSO simulation	111
Table 5. 14.	Results of concrete design mix optimization using PSO considering compressive strength, costs and carbon emissions	112
Table 5. 15.	Comparative table of compressive strength values, production costs, and embodied carbon for each PSO result scenario	112
Table 5. 16.	Comparison of the compressive strength results from the laboratory test validation with the predicted results	113
Table 5. 17.	Concreting cycle simulation with several scenario BPPTT building	116

Table 5. 18. Cost analysis for concrete beam and slab material BPPT Administration Building.....	118
Table 5. 19. Cost analysis for formwork rent in BPPT Administration Building.....	119
Table 5. 20. Cost analysis for shoring rent in BPPT Administration Building.....	120
Table 5. 21. Cost analysis for manpower in BPPT Administration Building.....	120
Table 5. 22. Cost analysis of BPPTT Administration Building concreting cycle simulation with several scenario	121
Table 5. 23. Concreting cycle simulation with several scenario GKN Jayapura.....	124
Table 5. 24. Concreting cycle simulation with several scenario BUMN Center.....	126
Table 5. 25. Cost analysis for concrete beam and slab material GKN Jayapura	129
Table 5. 26. Cost analysis for formwork rent in GKN Jayapura	129
Table 5. 27. Cost analysis for shoring rent in GKN Jayapura	130
Table 5. 28. Cost analysis for concrete beam and slab material BUMN Center	130
Table 5. 29. Cost analysis for formwork rent in BUMN Center	131
Table 5. 30. Cost analysis for shoring rent in BUMN Center	132
Table 5. 31. Cost analysis for manpower in GKN Jayapura.....	133
Table 5. 32. Cost analysis for TC utilization in GKN Jayapura	133
Table 5. 33. Cost analysis for manpower in BUMN Center.....	134
Table 5. 34. Cost analysis for TC utilization in BUMN Center	134
Table 5. 35. Cost analysis of GKN Jayapura Building concreting cycle simulation with several scenario	135
Table 5. 36. Cost analysis of BUMN Center Building concreting cycle simulation with several scenario	135
Table 5. 37. Carbon emissions parameter for construction equipment	137
Table 5. 38. Embodied carbon of concrete material in BPPTT project.....	138
Table 5. 39. Carbon emission due to scaffolding utilization in BPPTT project.....	138
Table 5. 40. Overall carbon emission due to concrete material and shoring in BPPTT project	140
Table 5. 41. Embodied carbon of concrete material in GKN Jayapura project.....	140
Table 5. 42. Carbon emission due to scaffolding utilization in GKN Jayapura project	141
Table 5. 43. Carbon emission due to TC and elevator utilization in GKN Jayapura project	141
Table 5. 44. Overall carbon emission due to concrete material, shoring, TC, and elevator in GKN Jayapura.....	142
Table 5. 45. Embodied carbon of concrete material in BUMN Center project	143
Table 5. 46. Carbon emission due to scaffolding utilization in BUMN Center project	143
Table 5. 47. Carbon emission due to TC and elevator utilization in BUMN Center....	144
Table 5. 48. Overall carbon emission due to concrete material, shoring, TC, and elevator in BUMN Center.....	144

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Correlation between additional cost and construction project acceleration (Maraqa et al., 2022)	8
Figure 2.2	Cost comparison of normal construction and crashing construction in building restoration project (Maraqa et al., 2022)	8
Figure 2.3	Installation of shoring and reshoring in the construction phase of reinforced concrete structures (ACI Committee 347, 2004).....	10
Figure 2.4	The method of determining the duration of dismantling formwork using wireless sensors (Hamooni et al., 2020)	12
Figure 2.5	(a) Setup of concrete hydration measurement equipment with an ultrasonic transducer (b) typical results of wave reflection in the hydration phase (Ospitia et al., 2022)	12
Figure 2.6	The graph of concrete compressive strength increament was measured using an ultrasonic pulse velocity equipment (Ospitia et al., 2022)	13
Figure 2.7	Graph of increase in compressive strength at 3 days of age with the addition of type E CAA (Syaiful et al., 2017)	16
Figure 2.8	Comparison of compressive strength of concrete with CAA aged 3 days and without additives aged 28 days (Syaiful et al., 2017).....	17
Figure 2.9	Comparison graph of the increase in compressive strength of concrete with the addition of SNF (El-Gamal et al., 2012)	18
Figure 2.10	X-ray diffraction pattern of cement paste hydration with 0.3% SNF addition (El-Gamal et al., 2012)	18
Figure 2.11	Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) results on the OPC-SNF hydration process with a content of 0.3% SNF (El-Gamal et al., 2012).....	19
Figure 2.12	The size of nanofoil particles with the addition of BNS compared without the addition of BNS (Theobald & Plank, 2020)	19
Figure 2.13	Increament of temperature due to acceleration of hydration process in cement with the addition of BNS (Theobald & Plank, 2020)	19
Figure 2.14	Compressive strength and young's modulus test results on various kinds of concrete with additives (Mohammed et al., 2017)	20
Figure 2.15	Splitting tensile strength and UPV test results on various kinds of concrete with additives (Mohammed et al., 2017).....	20
Figure 2.16	Compressive strength test of concrete with naphtalene sulphonate with dose 1% to 5% (Topçu & Ateşin, 2016).....	21
Figure 2.17	flowchart for optimizing mix design through machine learning research (Y. Li et al., 2023)	22
Figure 2.18	Graph of cost reduction by using BIM in a company compared to the number of learning cycles (Hong, 2022)	23
Figure 2.19	Life cycle concrete formworks waste from a building cast-in-place comapred to prefabrication (Cheng et al., 2022).....	23
Figure 2.20	BIM model for estimating formwork quantitiy (Cheng et al., 2022)	24
Figure 2.21	Formwork installation productivity analysis based on 3D BIM (J. Lee et al., 2017).....	25
Figure 2.22	Quantity take off apartment building project based on BIM (J. Lee et al., 2017).....	26

Figure 2.23	Collaboration model between stakeholder by using BIM (Kassem et al., 2012).....	26
Figure 2.24	Collaboration model between stakeholder by using 4D BIM (Kassem et al., 2012).....	26
Figure 2.25	BIM Model and color coding system for hospital building construction project (Iordanova et al., 2020)	27
Figure 2.26	Schedule planning for Retaining wall Construction (Iordanova et al., 2020)	27
Figure 2.27	Comparison of CF value per square meter between cast in place and prefabrication building (Li et al., 2021)	28
Figure 2.28	Comparison of CF value in each construction materilization phase (Li et al., 2021).....	28
Figure 2.29	Carbon emission calculation for prefabrication building using BIM and LCA combination (Xu et al., 2022).....	29
Figure 2.30	Flowchart of carbon footprint calculation in prefabrication building during construction phase (Li et al., 2021)	32
Figure 2.31	Comparison between CF value of prefabrication building (A&B) and conventional building (C&D) (Li et al., 2021).....	32
Figure 3.1	Correlation between cost, performance, and time in project management (Thakkar, 2022)	35
Figure 3.2	Project cost components (PMI, 2017)	37
Figure 3.3	Project cost management overview (PMI, 2017)	39
Figure 3.4	Illustration of Project Schedule arrangement (PMI, 2017).....	39
Figure 3.5	Illustration of Critical Path Method (PMI, 2017).....	40
Figure 3.6	The relationship between cost and time in the project (Thakkar, 2022) ...	41
Figure 3.7	Illustration of resource optimization technique (PMBOK 6 th Edition, 2017)	42
Figure 3.8	Cash flow illustration in construction project (Al-Joburi et al., 2012).....	45
Figure 3.9	type of floor slab structure (Hanna & Sanvindo, 1994a).....	47
Figure 3.10	Flow chart of structural safety analysis methods in the construction phase (Stivaros & Halvorsen, 1994).....	48
Figure 3.11	Flowchart of concrete mix design according to SNI 7656-2012.....	51
Figure 3.12	Water-reducer spreading mechanism (Kosmatka & Wilson, 2011).....	59
Figure 3.13	Chemical structure of Naphthalene Sulfonate Formaldehyde (SNF) and β - Naphthalene Sulfonate Formaldehyde (SNF) (Theobald & Plank, 2020). 61	
Figure 3.14	Images of C-S-H formation with 7% BSNF immediately after co-precipitation (a), at 45 minutes (b), after 5 hours (c), and after 24 hours (d) after preparation were observed by TEM (Theobald & Plank, 2020).....	61
Figure 3.15	Field of study within the realm of Artificial Intelligence (Wahyono, 2021)	62
Figure 3.16	Illustration of supervised learning (Kashyap, 2024)	63
Figure 3.17	Schematic Diagram of GB.....	65
Figure 3.18	General architecture of XGBoost (Pristyanto et al., 2023)	65
Figure 3.19	Overfitting illustration	65
Figure 3.20	Illustration of the PSO algorithm (Y. Li et al., 2023).....	66



Figure 3.21	BIM Implementation Process (Messner et al., 2021)	68
Figure 3.22	BIM Implementation Concept (Messner et al., 2021)	68
Figure 3.23	Technology development in BIM (Nawari, 2018)	71
Figure 3.24	Model dimension in BIM (Kementrian PUPR, 2018).....	71
Figure 3.25	4D and 5D BIM model scope (Kementrian PUPR, 2018)	72
Figure 3.26	Example of energy analysis in building project (Kementrian PUPR, 2018)	72
Figure 3.27	Utilization of timeline feature in 5D BIM model using Naviswork software	73
Figure 3.28	Cost Estimation Process Flowchart uses the Quantity Take Off feature (Eastman et al., 2011)	73
Figure 3.29	Carbon emissions scope (Yaman, 2020)	75
Figure 3.30	Flowchart of the process of calculating the impact of carbon emissions on building projects (Xu et al., 2022).....	77
Figure 4.1	Research flowchart	80
Figure 4.2	Project scheduling simulation using Ms. Project	84
Figure 4.3	The input method for carbon emission values in the BIM model for calculating Quantity Take Off	84
Figure 5. 1	Bibliometric analysis on construction delays and concrete optimization..	85
Figure 5. 2	Yearly distribution research articles regarding construction delays and concrete optimization	85
Figure 5. 3	Country distribution of research on construction delays and concrete optimization.....	86
Figure 5. 4	The impact of construction delays from various literature.....	87
Figure 5. 5.	Various concrete optimization studies based on its elements	89
Figure 5. 6	Distribution of literature study data conducted by region and year	97
Figure 5. 7	Compressive strength comparison (relative) for (a) scheme 1 (b) scheme 2 (c) scheme 3 with scheme 0 (normal).....	102
Figure 5. 8.	Relationship between actual and predicted values of compressive strength	105
Figure 5. 9.	Feature Importance outcomes derived from ML models	106
Figure 5. 10.	Partial dependence diagram of the variables input.....	106
Figure 5. 11.	Concrete compressive strength prediction application.....	107
Figure 5. 12.	Concrete mix design optimization framework using machine learning, AHP, and PSO	108
Figure 5. 13.	Concrete slump comparison between scenario 0,3,4,7 for immediate and delayed condition.....	114
Figure 5. 14.	BBPPT administration building concreting zone area division	115
Figure 5. 15.	Shoring movement analysis of shoring transfer at the BPPTT administation building for (a) simulation 0, (b) simulation 1, and (c) simulation 2.	117
Figure 5. 16.	BBPPT administration building progress visualization comparison in 35 days between simulation 0, 1, and 2.....	117
Figure 5. 17.	Comparison between material, formwork, shoring, and manpower cost relative percentage for each scenario (BPPTT).....	121



Figure 5. 18. Zoning Area division of concreting work GKN Jayapura	122
Figure 5. 19. Plan layout zoning area division of concreting work GKN Jayapura	122
Figure 5. 20. Zoning area division of concreting work BUMN Center.....	123
Figure 5. 21. Plan layout zoning area division of concreting work BUMN Center	123
Figure 5. 22. GKN progress visualization comparison between simulation 0, 1, and 8 in (a) 56 days and (b) 98 days.....	124
Figure 5. 23. Shoring movement analysis of shoring transfer at the GKN Jayapura for (a) simulation 0, (b) simulation 1, and (c) simulation 8.....	125
Figure 5. 24. BUMN Center progress visualization comparison between simulation 0, 1, and 8 in (a) 84 days and (b) 182 days.....	127
Figure 5. 25. Shoring movement analysis of shoring transfer at the BUMN Center for (a) simulation 0, (b) simulation 1, and (c) simulation 8.....	128
Figure 5. 26. Comparison between material, formwork, shoring, and manpower cost relative percentage for each scenario in (a) GKN Jayapura and (b) BUMN Center	136
Figure 5. 27. Comparison between material, shoring, TC and elevator carbon emissions relative percentage for each BUMN Center, GKN Jayapura, and BPPTT....	145
Figure 5. 28. Comparison of total duration, total cost, and total carbon emissions across all simulations for (a) BPPTT, (b) GKN Jayapura, (c) BUMN Center...	146