

REFERENCES

- Adila, D. (2016). The rhetorical style of Indonesian authors' citation in English research articles introductions. *Proceedings of the Fourth International Seminar on English Language and Teaching (ISELT-4)*, 4(1), 156–164.
- Afandi, T., & Roselani, N. G. A. (2024). Identity in academic writing established by Indonesian vs non- Indonesian writers. *Komposisi: Jurnal Pendidikan Bahasa Dan Seni*, 25(1), 40–52.
<https://doi.org/10.24036/komposisi.v25i1.127168>
- Afsari, S., & Kuhi, D. (2016). A functional investigation of self-mention in soft science master theses. *The Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 9(18), 49–64.
- Ahmadi, L. (2022). Interactional metadiscourse markers in scientific texts (based on research articles written by native and non-native speaker). *Science Journal of VolSU*, 21(4), 99–110. <https://doi.org/10.15688/jvolsu2.2022.4.7>
- Al Fajri, M. S., & Oktavianti, I. N. (2024). Stance expressions in applied linguistics research articles: A corpus-based contrastive study. *Training, Language and Culture*, 8(1), 54–65. <https://doi.org/10.22363/2521-442X-2024-8-1-54-65>
- Albalat-Mascarell, A., & Carrió-Pastor, M. L. (2019). Self-representation in political campaign talk: A functional metadiscourse approach to self-mentions in televised presidential debates. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 147, 86–99.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2019.05.011>
- Arifani, Y., Liu, Y., Biyanto, B., Hidayat, N., & Firdaus, R. (2024). EFL teachers' epistemology and voice development in research and publishing in a non-research-based university in Indonesia. *International Journal of Communication and Linguistic Studies*, 23(1), 1–22.
<https://doi.org/10.18848/2327-7882/CGP/v23i01/1-22>
- Arsyad, S., Arono, Ramadhan, S., & Iramaisarah. (2020). The rhetorical problems experienced by Indonesian lecturers in social sciences and humanities in writing research articles for international journals. *The Asian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 7(1), 116–129. <http://caes.hku.hk/ajal>
- Arsyad, S., Nur, S., Nasihin, A., Syahrial, & Adnan, Z. (2021). Writing in a foreign language: The rhetorical and argument styles in research article drafts by nonnative speakers of english in linguistics and language education. *International Journal of Language Education*, 5(3), 135–151.
<https://doi.org/10.26858/ijole.v5i3.19506>
- Ash'ari, N., Barabadi, E., & Shirvan, M. E. (2023). The rhetorical organization of discussions sections of qualitative research articles in applied linguistics and

- the use of meta-discourse markers. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2023.101310>
- Azar, A. S., & Hashim, A. (2022). Analysing authorial identity construction in the review article genre in applied linguistics. *Studies in English Language and Education*, 9(1), 94–114. <https://doi.org/10.24815/siele.v9i1.21898>
- Bagherkazemi, M., Sokhanvar, F., & Shadmehr, M. (2021). Stance and engagement markers in quantitative and qualitative applied linguistics research articles: The discussion section in focus. *Konińskie Studia Językowe*, 9(3), 379–400. <https://doi.org/10.30438/ksj.2021.9.3.5>
- Bailey, S. (2018). *Academic writing: A handbook for international students* (5th ed.). Routledge.
- Bannigan, K., & Watson, R. (2009). Reliability and validity in a nutshell. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 18(23), 3237–3243. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2702.2009.02939.x>
- Barbara, S. W. Y., Afzaal, M., & Aldayel, H. S. (2024). A corpus-based comparison of linguistic markers of stance and genre in the academic writing of novice and advanced engineering learners. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 11(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-024-02757-4>
- Basthomi, Y., Wijayanti, L. T., Yannuar, N., & Widiati, U. (2015). Third person point of view in EFL academic writing: Ventriloquizing. *Pertanika Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 23(4), 1099–1114. <http://www.pertanika.upm.edu.my/>
- Bavdekar, S. B. (2015). Describing the methodology: Getting down to the brass tracks. *Journal of the Association of Physicians of India*, 63, 64–67. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/280731579>
- Birhan, A. T. (2021). An exploration of metadiscourse usage in book review articles across three academic disciplines: a contrastive analysis of corpus-based research approach. *Scientometrics*, 126(4), 2885–2902. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-020-03822-w>
- Blommaert, J. (2005). *Discourse: A critical introduction* (1st ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- Boginskaya, O. A. (2024). “It is evident that ...”: Boosting as a strategy employed to express author’s commitment to the truth of a proposition (a corpus-based analysis of research article abstracts). *Zanry Reci*, 19(1), 56–65. <https://doi.org/10.18500/2311-0740-2024-19-1-41-56-65>



- Carretero, M. (2023). 'Noticeably better / visibly shaken': The use of four adverbs of high perceivability with meanings of attitude. *Word*, 69(2), 117–136.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00437956.2023.2202602>
- Casanave, C. P. (2003). Looking ahead to more sociopolitically-oriented case study research in L2 writing scholarship (But should it be called “post-process”?). *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 12(1), 85–102.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S1060-3743\(03\)00002-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1060-3743(03)00002-X)
- Chapnick, J. D. (2019). Medical writing curriculum: How to write the methodology and results sections. *AME Medical Journal*, 4(33), 1–5.
<https://doi.org/10.21037/amj.2019.08.01>
- Chen, R. (2020). Single author self-reference: Identity construction and pragmatic competence. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 45, 100856.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2020.100856>
- Cheung, K. Y. F., Elander, J., Stuppel, E. J. N., & Flay, M. (2018). Academics' understandings of the authorial academic writer: A qualitative analysis of authorial identity. *Studies in Higher Education*, 43(8), 1468–1483.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2016.1264382>
- Cheung, Y. L., & Lau, L. (2020). Authorial voice in academic writing: A comparative study of journal articles in English literature and computer science. *Ibérica*, 39, 215–242.
- Crespo, B., & Moskowich, I. (2024). “... but be sure you let it fettle”: Late modern authors' presence in English scientific texts. *Atlantis: Journal of the Spanish Association of Anglo-American Studies*, 46(1), 149–169.
<https://doi.org/10.28914/Atlantis-2024-46.1.09>
- Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2023). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (6th ed.). SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Crismore, A. (1984). The rhetoric of textbooks: Metadiscourse. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 16(3), 279–296.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/0022027840160306>
- Curry, N. (2023). Question illocutionary force indicating devices in academic writing. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics*, 28(1), 91–119.
<https://doi.org/10.1075/ijcl.20065.cur>
- Dahl, T. (2004). Textual metadiscourse in research articles: A marker of national culture or of academic discipline? *Journal of Pragmatics*, 36(10), 1807–1825.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2004.05.004>

- Darma, C. A. P. (2021). The use of reflexive metadiscourse between English and Indonesian writers in English journal articles. *Language-Edu*, 10(7), 1–17.
- Deng, L., & He, P. (2023). “We may conclude that:” a corpus-based study of stance-taking in conclusion sections of RAs across cultures and disciplines. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14(1175144), 1.
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1175144>
- Dontcheva-Navrátilová, O. (2021). Engaging with the reader in research articles in English: Variation across disciplines and linguacultural backgrounds. *English for Specific Purposes*, 63, 18–32.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2021.02.003>
- El-Dakhs, D. A. S. (2020). Variation of metadiscourse in L2 writing: Focus on language proficiency and learning context. *Ampersand*, 7, 1–8.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amper.2020.100069>
- El-Dakhs, D. A. S., Salem, M., Emara, H., & Alotaibi, H. (2020). Do translation trainees translate stance markers adequately? The case of Arabic-english undergraduates. *Asian ESP Journal*, 16(2.1), 130–158.
- Erlinda, R., Wekke, I. S., Abdullah, I., & Jubba, H. (2019). Discourse of communication during the month of Ramadan in Sorong and Mentawai, Indonesia. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*. *Www.Ijicc.Net*, 8(9), 94–111. www.ijicc.net
- Fangzhi HE. (2020). Identity construction in academic writing of student writers who use English as an additional language: A literature review. *Chinese Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 43(4), 506–524.
- Filimonova, E. (2005). Clusivity cross-linguistically: Common trends and possible patterns. In E. Filimonova (Ed.), *Clusivity: Typology and case studies of the inclusive–exclusive distinction* (pp. 399–424). John Benjamin Publishing Company. <https://doi.org/10.1075/tsl.63.19fil>
- Firdaus, S. F., Soemantri, Y. S., & Yuliawati, S. (2021). A corpus-based study of self-mention markers in English research articles. *International Journal of Language Teaching and Education*, 5(2), 37–46.
<https://doi.org/10.22437/ijolte.v5i2.15695>
- Hamid, S. F. (2019). Interactive metadiscourse in finding and discussion section of theses at English department Universitas Negeri Jakarta. *Eleventh Conference on Applied Linguistics (CONAPLIN 2018)*, 564–567.
- Handayani, A., Drajadi, N. A., & Ngadiso. (2020). Engagement in high-and low-rated argumentative essays: Interactions in Indonesian students’ writings.

- Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 10(1), 14–24.
<https://doi.org/10.17509/IJAL.V10I1.24957>
- Harris, Z. S. (1959). The transformational model of language structure. *Anthropological Linguistics*, 1(1), 27–29. <http://www.jstor.org>
- Harwood, N. (2005). “Nowhere has anyone attempted ... In this article I aim to do just that” A corpus-based study of self-promotional I and we in academic writing across four disciplines. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 37(8), 1207–1231. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2005.01.012>
- He, M., & Rahim, H. A. (2019). Comparing engagement markers in economics research articles and opinion pieces: A corpus-based study. *GEMA Online Journal of Language Studies*, 19(2), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.17576/gema-2019-1902-01>
- Hu, G., & Cao, F. (2011). Hedging and boosting in abstracts of applied linguistics articles: A comparative study of English- and Chinese-medium journals. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 43(11), 2795–2809. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2011.04.007>
- Huan, B. L. Y., & Hong, A. L. (2024). Interpersonal metadiscourse: Changing patterns in linguistics book reviews. *GEMA Online Journal of Language Studies*, 24(2), 76–92. <https://doi.org/10.17576/gema-2024-2402-05>
- Hyland, K. (2001). Bringing in the reader: Addressee features in academic articles. *Written Communication*, 18(4), 549–574. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1177/0741088301018004005>
- Hyland, K. (2002a). Authority and invisibility: Authorial identity in academic writing. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 34(8), 1091–1112. www.elsevier.com/locate/pragma
- Hyland, K. (2002b). Directives: Argument and engagement in academic writing. *Applied Linguistics*, 23(2), 215–239. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/23.2.215>
- Hyland, K. (2002c). Options of identity in academic writing. *ELT Journal*, 56(4), 351–358. <http://eltj.oxfordjournals.org/>
- Hyland, K. (2005). Stance and engagement: A model of interaction in academic discourse. *Discourse Studies*, 7(2), 173–192. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461445605050365>
- Hyland, K. (2006). Medical discourse: Hedges. In *Encyclopedia of Language & Linguistics* (pp. 694–697). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B0-08-044854-2/02354-3>

- Hyland, K. (2007). Applying a gloss: Exemplifying and reformulating in academic discourse. *Applied Linguistics*, 28(2), 266–285. <https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/amm011>
- Hyland, K. (2009). *Academic discourse: English in a global context* (1st ed.). Continuum.
- Hyland, K. (2010a). Community and individuality: Performing identity in applied linguistics. *Written Communication*, 27(2), 159–188. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0741088309357846>
- Hyland, K. (2010b). Constructing proximity: Relating to readers in popular and professional science. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 9(2), 116–127. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2010.02.003>
- Hyland, K. (2012). *Disciplinary identities*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009406512>
- Hyland, K. (2017). Metadiscourse: What is it and where is it going? *Journal of Pragmatics*, 113, 16–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2017.03.007>
- Hyland, K. (2019). *Metadiscourse: Exploring interaction in writing* (2nd ed.). Bloomsbury Academic.
- Hyland, K., & Jiang, F. (Kevin). (2016). “We must conclude that ...”: A diachronic study of academic engagement. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 24, 29–42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2016.09.003>
- Hyland, K., Paltridge, B., & Wong, L. L. C. (2021). *The bloomsbury handbook of discourse analysis* (2nd ed.). Bloomsbury Publishing Plc.
- Hyland, K., & Tse, P. (2004). Metadiscourse in academic writing: A reappraisal. *Applied Linguistics*, 25(2), 156–177. <https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/25.2.156>
- Hyland, K., & Zou, H. (Joanna). (2022). Pithy persuasion: Engagement in 3 minute thesis presentations. *Applied Linguistics*, 43(1), 21–44. <https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/amab017>
- Ishak, C. N., Basthomi, Y., Widiati, U., Hidayati, M., & Yannuar, N. (2021). See: How Indonesian student writers use directives in academic texts. *Journal of Education and E-Learning Research*, 8(1), 65–76. <https://doi.org/10.20448/JOURNAL.509.2021.81.65.76>
- Işık-Taş, E. E. (2018). Authorial identity in Turkish language and English language research articles in Sociology: The role of publication context in academic writers’ discourse choices. *English for Specific Purposes*, 49, 26–38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2017.10.003>



- Ivanič, R. (1998). *Writing and identity: The discursual construction of identity in academic writing*. John Benjamins Publishing Co.
- Jabeen, I., Almutairi, H. S. S., & Almutairi, H. N. H. (2023). Interaction in research discourse: A comparative study of the use of hedges and boosters in PhD theses by Australian and Saudi writers. *World Journal of English Language*, 13(8), 119–129. <https://doi.org/10.5430/wjel.v13n8p119>
- Jasim Al-Shujairi, Y. B. (2020). What, which and where: examining self-mention markers in ISI and Iraqi local research articles in applied linguistics. *Asian Englishes*, 22(1), 20–34. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13488678.2018.1544699>
- Jiang, F., & Hyland, K. (2025). Does ChatGPT write like a student? Engagement markers in argumentative essays. *Written Communication*, 0, 1–30. <https://doi.org/10.1177/07410883251328311>
- Jiang, F. (Kevin), & Ma, X. (2018). ‘As we can see’: Reader engagement in PhD candidature confirmation reports. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 35, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2018.05.003>
- Kapranov, O. (2024). Reporting verbs associated with evidentiality in research article abstracts in applied linguistics and applied psycholinguistics. *Linguistica Pragensia*, 34(2), 125–144. <https://doi.org/10.14712/18059635.2024.2.3>
- Kashiha, H. (2022). Academic lectures versus political speeches: Metadiscourse functions affected by the role of the audience. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 190, 60–72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2022.01.003>
- Kawase, T. (2025). Move combinations in the conclusion section of applied linguistics research articles. *Pragmatics*, 35(2), 185–201. <https://doi.org/10.1075/prag.22070.kaw>
- Keizer, E. (2020). Modelling stance adverbs in grammatical theory: Tackling heterogeneity with Functional Discourse Grammar. *Language Sciences*, 82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.langsci.2020.101273>
- Kemp, J. (2024). How do I know this Law corpus is reliable and valid? Using a representativeness argument for corpus validation. *Applied Corpus Linguistics*, 4(3), 100099. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acorp.2024.100099>
- Keshmirshakan, M. H., & Atai, M. R. (2022). A corpus-based cross-disciplinary study of interactional metadiscourse markers in discussion and conclusion sections of research articles. *Taiwan International ESP Journal*, 13(1), 26–64. [https://doi.org/10.6706/TIESPJ.202206_13\(1\).0002](https://doi.org/10.6706/TIESPJ.202206_13(1).0002)

- Klaibanmai, K. (2023). Authorial presence in academic book reviews: A Thai-English comparison. *3L: Language, Linguistics, Literature*, 29(1), 108–124. <https://doi.org/10.17576/3L-2023-2901-08>
- Kobayashi, H., & Rinnert, C. (2023a). Connecting with the audience: Metadiscourse. In *Developing Multilingual Writing: Agency, Audience, Identity* (Vol. 42, pp. 67–101). Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-12045-9_3
- Kobayashi, H., & Rinnert, C. (2023b). Developing writer identity: Voice construction. In *Developing Multilingual Writing: Agency, Audience, Identity* (Vol. 42, pp. 139–172). Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-12045-9_5
- Kojima, T., & Popiel, H. A. (2023). Effective use of hedging in scientific manuscripts: Advice to non-native English-speaking researchers. *Journal of Korean Medical Science*, 38(17), 1. <https://doi.org/10.3346/jkms.2023.38.e152>
- Kuo, C.-H. (1999). The Use of personal pronouns: Role relationships in scientific journal articles. *English for Specific Purposes*, 18(2), 121–138.
- Kustyasari, D., Basthomi, Y., & Anugerahwati, M. (2021). Interactive and interactional metadiscourse markers in research articles of Indonesian expert writers. *JEES (Journal of English Educators Society)*, 6(1), 90–95. <https://doi.org/10.21070/jees.v6i1.1082>
- Kutsyuruba, B. (2023). Document Analysis. In J. M. Okoko, S. Tunison, & K. D. Walker (Eds.), *Varieties of Qualitative Research Methods: Selected Contextual Perspectives* (pp. 139–146). Springer International Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-04394-9>
- Larsson, T., Paquot, M., & Plonsky, L. (2020). Inter-rater reliability in learner corpus research: Insights from a collaborative study on adverb placement. *International Journal of Learner Corpus Research*, 6(2), 237–251. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ijlcr.20001.lar>
- Lasagabaster, D., & Bier, A. (2025). An examination of the use of spoken interactional metadiscourse markers in EMI lectures from different disciplines. *English for Specific Purposes*, 79, 137–151. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2025.05.003>
- Lee, S. G., & Kim, H. K. (2025). Analyzing Words of caution and confidence in ES and KS dissertation. *Korean Journal of English Language and Linguistics*, 25, 74–97. <https://doi.org/10.15738/kjell.25..202501.74>



- Loghmani, Z., Ghonsooly, B., & Ghazanfari, M. (2019). Textual engagement of native English speakers in doctoral dissertation discussion sections. *Journal of Research in Applied Linguistics*, *10*(1), 78–107. <https://doi.org/10.22055/rals.2019.14180>
- Loi, C. K., & Lim, J. M. H. (2019). Hedging in the discussion sections of English and Malay educational research articles. *GEMA Online Journal of Language Studies*, *19*(1), 36–61. <https://doi.org/10.17576/gema-2019-1901-03>
- MacIntyre, R. (2017). Should I boost or should I hedge: The use of hedges and boosters in the writing of argumentative essays by Japanese university students. In C. Hatipoglu, E. Akbas, & Y. Bayyurt (Eds.), *Metadiscourse in Written Genres: Uncovering Textual and Interactional Aspects of Texts* (pp. 57–84). Peter Lang. <https://doi.org/10.3726/b11093>
- Miller, D., & Biber, D. (2015). Evaluating reliability in quantitative vocabulary studies: The influence of corpus design and composition. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics*, *20*(1), 30–53. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ijcl.20.1.02mil>
- Moradi, F., & Montazeri, M. R. (2024). Voices in methodology: analyzing self-mention markers in English and Persian psychology research articles. *Frontiers in Research Metrics and Analytics*, *9*(1336190), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frma.2024.1336190>
- Muthi'ah, Galib, S. A., Tsuraya, A. S., Abubakar, M., Nur, N. A., & Nawir, M. S. (2022). The different uses of the pronoun 'we' by EFL teachers in classroom interaction. *Studies in English Language and Education*, *9*(1), 384–399. <https://doi.org/10.24815/siele.v9i1.21458>
- Nasirizadeh, Z., & Paramasivam, S. (2024). Stance markers in forestry research articles: Indicators of authoritative voice. *Discourse and Interaction*, *17*(1), 115–138. <https://doi.org/10.5817/DI2024-1-115>
- Nawawi, N. A., & Ting, S.-H. (2025). Interactional metadiscourse markers in political science and creative arts journal abstracts. *Saudi Journal of Language Studies*, *5*(2), 76–90. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SJLS-09-2024-0052>
- Nugroho, A. (2019). Exploring metadiscourse use in thesis abstracts: A cross-cultural study. *Journal of English Language and Culture*, *9*(2), 113–127. <http://journal.ubm.ac.id/>
- Nur, S., Arsyad, S., Zaim, M., & Ramadhan, S. (2021). Interacting with readers: How nonnative authors of English use meta-discourse markers in their research article abstracts published in English medium journals. *Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies*, *17*(1), 239–255. <https://doi.org/10.52462/jlls.14>

- Paltridge, B. (2022). *Discourse analysis: An introduction* (3rd ed.). Bloomsbury Academic.
- Pascual, D., & Mur-Dueñas, P. (2022). Dialogic interaction with diversified audiences in Twitter for research dissemination purposes. *Circulo de Linguistica Aplicada a La Comunicacion*, 90, 61–79. <https://doi.org/10.5209/clac.81307>
- Phillips, V., & Barker, E. (2021). Writing for publication: Structure, form, content, and journal selection. *Journal of Perioperative Practice*, 31(6), 230–233. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1750458921996249>
- Pilkington, O. A. (2018). The fictionalized reader in popular science: Reader engagement with the scientific community. *Text and Talk*, 38(6), 753–773. <https://doi.org/10.1515/text-2018-0022>
- Pittam, G., Elander, J., Lusher, J., Fox, P., & Payne, N. (2009). Student beliefs and attitudes about authorial identity in academic writing. *Studies in Higher Education*, 34(2), 153–170. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075070802528270>
- Pullin, P. (2013). Achieving “comity”: The role of linguistic stance in business English as a lingua franca (BELF) meetings. *Journal of English as a Lingua Franca*, 2(1), 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1515/jelf-2013-0001>
- Rabab’ah, G., Yagi, S., & Alghazo, S. (2024). Using metadiscourse to create effective and engaging EFL virtual classrooms during the Covid-19 pandemic. *Iranian Journal of Language Teaching Research*, 12(1), 107–129. <https://doi.org/10.30466/IJLTR.2024.121421>
- Rezaei, S., Kuhi, D., & Saeidi, M. (2021). Diachronic corpus analysis of stance markers in research articles: The field of applied linguistics. *Cogent Arts and Humanities*, 8(1), 1872165. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311983.2021.1872165>
- Rocklage, M. D., & Fazio, R. H. (2015). The Evaluative Lexicon: Adjective use as a means of assessing and distinguishing attitude valence, extremity, and emotionality. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 56, 214–227. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2014.10.005>
- Rozumko, A. (2018). The functions of clearly in academic discourse: From an adverb of manner to a discourse marker. *Studia Linguistica Universitatis Iagellonicae Cracoviensis*, 135(1), 47–57. <https://doi.org/10.4467/20834624SL.18.004.8164>
- Salimi, E. A., & Hajikandi, A. M. (2024). The wax and wane of the authorial stance in applied linguistics articles over the course of two decades. *Applied Research on English Language*, 13(1), 27–52. <https://doi.org/10.22108/are.2024.138949.2143>



- Samraj, B. (2021). Variation in interpersonal relations in manuscript reviews with different recommendations. *English for Specific Purposes*, 62, 70–83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2020.12.003>
- Sharipova, A. A. (2019). The role of sketch engine in multiple types of corpora. *International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering*, 8(11), 250–254. <https://doi.org/10.35940/ijitee.K1307.0981119>
- Skorczyńska, H., & Carrió-Pastor, M. L. (2021). A cross-disciplinary study of verb boosters in research articles from engineering, medicine and linguistics: Frequency and co-text variations. *Revista Signos*, 54(106), 575–599. <https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-09342021000200575>
- Spooren, W., & Degand, L. (2010). Coding coherence relations: Reliability and validity. *Corpus Linguistics and Linguistic Theory*, 6(2), 241–266. <https://doi.org/10.1515/CLLT.2010.009>
- Stenson, J. F., Foltz, C., Lendner, M., & Vaccaro, A. R. (2019). How to write an effective materials and methods section for clinical studies. *Clinical Spine Surgery*, 23(5), 208–209. www.clinicalspinesurgery.com
- Sulistiyo, U., Heyanti, R., Anwar, K., Yuzadi, Y., & Nurzanah, M. (2021). Writing for international journals: Lessons learned from the experts. *Proceedings of the 3rd Green Development International Conference (GDIC 2020)*, 252–257.
- Takimoto, M. (2015). Assertions and lexical invisibility in EFL learners' academic essays. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 89, 85–99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2015.09.009>
- Tang, R., & John, S. (1999). The “I” in identity: Exploring writer identity in student academic writing through the first person pronoun. *English for Specific Purposes*, 18, S23–S39. www.elsevier.com/locate/esp
- Thompson, G., & Thetela, P. (1995). The sound of one hand clapping: The management of interaction in written discourse. *Text & Talk*, 15(1), 103–127.
- Thuy, T. N. T. (2018). A corpus-based study on cross-cultural divergence in the use of hedges in academic research articles written by Vietnamese and native English-speaking authors. *Social Sciences*, 7(4). <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci7040070>
- Tikhonova, E. V., Kosycheva, M. A., & Golechkova, T. Y. (2023). Establishing rapport with the reader: Engagement markers in the discussion section of a research article. *Integration of Education*, 27(3), 354–372. <https://doi.org/10.15507/1991-9468.112.027.202303.354-372>
- Tocalo, A. W. I., Veruen, M. D., Panalao, S. B., & Ibrahim, S. H. M. (2022). How do published Filipino scholars use stance markers over the years? A

- diachronic corpus-based study. *Studia Neophilologica*, 1–13.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00393274.2022.2125900>
- Utomo, S., & Suryani, F. B. (2019). Self-mentions in applied linguistics research articles written by Indonesians and non-Indonesians. *Dinamika Bahasa Dan Budaya*, 14(2), 21–26.
- Vande Kopple, W. J. (1985). Some exploratory discourse on metadiscourse. *College Composition and Communication*, 36(1), 82–93.
- Vásquez, C., & Liska, D. (2023). Online Identity and Discourse Analysis. In M. Handford & J. P. Gee (Eds.), *The Routledge Handbook of Discourse Analysis* (2nd ed., pp. 454–466). Routledge.
- Villares, R. (2023). Exploring rhetorical strategies of stance and engagement in Twitter conference presentations. *ESP Today*, 11(2), 280–301.
<https://doi.org/10.18485/ESPTODAY.2023.11.2.5>
- Wang, H., & Hu, Z. (2023). Changes in the ways authors refer to themselves: A diachronic study of self-mention in English research articles. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 10(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-023-02099-7>
- Wang, J., & Zeng, L. (2021). Disciplinary recognized self-presence: Self-mention used with hedges and boosters in PhD students' research writing. *SAGE Open*, 11(2), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440211005454>
- Wang, S. P., Tseng, W. T., & Johanson, R. (2021). To we or not to we: Corpus-based research on first-person pronoun use in abstracts and conclusions. *SAGE Open*, 11(2), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440211008893>
- Williams, J. M., & Bizup, J. (2014). *Style: Lessons in clarity and grace* (11th ed.). Pearson Education.
- Wu, J., & Pan, F. (2023). Changing patterns of the grammatical stance devices in medical research articles (1970–2020). *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 66, 101305. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2023.101305>
- Wu, Y. (2025). Authorial identity construction through implicit stance-taking in the introduction of research articles. *English for Specific Purposes*, 78, 88–108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2024.12.003>
- Wu, Y., Bu, Z., & Zhang, J. (2025). A cross-disciplinary study of authorial identity construction through 'reader engagement' in the introduction of research articles. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 75(101503), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2025.101503>



- Xiaohua, G., & Ibrahim, N. M. (2023). Engagement markers in EFL MOOC lectures in Chinese universities. *International Journal of Information and Education Technology*, 13(12), 1850–1857. <https://doi.org/10.18178/ijiet.2023.13.12.1998>
- Yao, M., Wei, Y., & Wang, H. (2023). Promoting research by reducing uncertainty in academic writing: A large-scale diachronic case study on hedging in science research articles across 25 years. *Scientometrics*, 128(8), 4541–4558. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-023-04759-6>
- Yuliawati, S., Ekawati, D., Mawarrani, R. E., & Kurniawan, E. (2023). A corpus-based study of authorial identity in Indonesian writers' research articles. *Kasetsart Journal of Social Sciences*, 44(3), 959–964. <https://doi.org/10.34044/j.kjss.2023.44.3.34>
- Zahro, F., Irham, & Degaf, A. (2021). Scrutinizing metadiscourse functions in Indonesian EFL students: A case study on the classroom written and spoken discourses. *MEXTESOL Journal*, 45(2), 1–14.
- Zali, M. M., Mohamad, R., Setia, R., Baniamin, R. M. R., & Razlan, R. M. (2020). Comparisons of interactive and interactional metadiscourse among undergraduates. *Asian Journal of University Education*, 16(4), 21–30. <https://doi.org/10.24191/ajue.v16i4.11946>
- Zhang, L., & Wang, J. (2023). To be like a “scholar”: a study on the construction of authorial identity of Chinese EFL learners in academic writing: an intertextuality perspective. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1297557>
- Zhao, C. G. (2013). Measuring authorial voice strength in L2 argumentative writing: The development and validation of an analytic rubric. *Language Testing*, 30(2), 201–230. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0265532212456965>