

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

### Legal Sources

European Convention on Human Rights.

In Re: Kenneth Humphrey, on Habeas Corpus, 19 Cal. App. 5th 1006, 228 Cal. Rptr. 3d 513, 2018 Cal. App. LEXIS 64 A152056

Peraturan Menteri Kehakiman Nomor M.04.UM.01.06 Tahun 1983.

Peraturan Pemerintah Republik Indonesia Nomor 27 Tahun 1983 tentang Pelaksanaan Kitab Undang-Undang Hukum Acara Pidana.

Rancangan Kitab Undang-Undang Hukum Acara Pidana (RKUHAP).

Stack v. Boyle, 342 U.S. 1 (1951).

Taylor v. Taintor, 83 U.S. 366 (1872).

United States v. Salerno, 481 U.S. 739 (1987).

United States v. Watson, 423 U.S. 411 (1976).

U.S. Const. amend. IV.

U.S. Const. amend. V.

U.S. Const. amend. VI.

U.S. Const. amend. VIII.

U.S. Const. amend. XIV.

Undang-Undang Dasar Negara Republik Indonesia Tahun 1945 (1945 Constitution of Indonesia).

Undang-Undang Republik Indonesia Nomor 8 Tahun 1981 tentang Hukum Acara Pidana (KUHAP).

Wetboek van Strafrecht (Dutch Penal Code).

Wetboek van Strafvordering (Dutch Code of Criminal Procedure).

European Convention on Human Rights.

### **Books**

Baradaran Baughman, S. (2017). *The bail book: A comprehensive look at bail in America's criminal justice system*. Cambridge University Press.

Rahaditya, R., & Wahyuningsih, I. N. (2024). *Hukum acara pidana* (Cet. 1). CV. Literasi Nusantara Abadi.

Rahmad, R. A. (2019). *Hukum acara pidana* (Edisi pertama). Rajawali Pers.

Scott-Hayward, C. S., & Fradella, H. F. (2019). *Punishing poverty: How bail and pretrial detention fuel inequalities in the criminal justice system*. University of California Press.

Suyanto, H. (2018). *Hukum acara pidana*. Zifatama Jawa.

Tak, P. J. P (2008), *The Dutch Criminal System*, 3rd edition. Nijmegen. Wolf Legal Publishers. ISBN 978-90-5850-342-8..

### **Journal Article**

Aulia, D., Marlyn, H., & Lubis, F. (2023). Penerapan Pasal 31 KUHAP tentang penangguhan penahanan di Indonesia (studi kasus penangguhan penahanan terhadap Nikita Mirzani). *Journal of Science and Social Research*, 6(1), 135–140. <http://jurnal.goretanpena.com/index.php/JSSR/article/view/1170>

Berutu, E. S. (2017). Penangkapan dan penahanan tersangka menurut KUHAP dalam hubungannya dengan hak asasi manusia. *Lex Crimen*, 6(6), 82–92.

Bhat, I. (2019). Comparative legal research and its methodology: A conceptual framework. *Indian Journal of Law and Legal Research*, 1(3), 1–20.

Boldt, E. D., Boyd, C. L., Carlos, R. F., & Baker, M. E. (2021). The effects of judge race and sex on pretrial detention decisions. *The Justice System Journal*, 42(3/4), 341–358. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/27224786>

Brook Hopkins, B., Bains, C., & Doyle, C. (2018). Principles of pretrial release: Reforming bail without repeating its harms. *Journal of Criminal Law & Criminology*, 108, 679.

Bynum, T. S. (1982). Release on recognizance: Substantive or superficial reform? *Criminology*, 20(1), 67–82. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-9125.1982.tb00449.x>

Carbone, J. (1983). Seeing through the emperor's new clothes: Rediscovery of basic principles in the administration of bail. *Syracuse Law Review*, 34, 517.

Cecilia D. Lannon. (1968). Bail in the United States: A system in need of reform. *Hastings Law Journal*, 20, 380.

Coggins, E. A. (2020). An overview of the bail system in the United States and its discriminatory components. *The Mid-Southern Journal of Criminal Justice*, 1(1), Article 3.

Crijns, J. H., Leeuw, B. J. G., & Wermink, H. T. (2016). Pre-trial detention in the Netherlands: Legal principles versus practical reality. Institute for Criminal Law and Criminology, Leiden University.

Duker, W. F. (1977). The right to bail: A historical inquiry. *Albany Law Review*, 42, 33–122.

Feiler, J. (2022). America's cash bail crisis: Learning from our common-law roots. *Loyola of Los Angeles International and Comparative Law Review*, 45, 114.

Graham, L. (2023). Liberty and its exceptions. *International and Comparative Law Quarterly*, 72(2), 277–308. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020589323000027>

Khambali, M. (2018). Penanggungan penahanan dengan jaminan dalam perkara pidana. *Jurnal Hukum Responsif*, 2, 157–162.

Kinports, K. (2020). The origins and legacy of the Fourth Amendment reasonableness-balancing model. *Case Western Reserve Law Review*, 71(1), 157–248.

Lindeman, J., Jacobs, P., & Boone, M. (2022). Pre-trial detention in the Netherlands: Absolutely low, relatively high. In R. Haverkamp, S. Fekete, & J. van Zyl Smit (Eds.), *European perspectives on pre-trial detention* (pp. 142–163). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003159254-8>

Moravcsik, A. (2000). The origins of human rights regimes: Democratic delegation in postwar Europe. *International Organization*, 54(2), 217–252. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2601297>

Nusi, H. S. (2016). Penangkapan dan penahanan sebagai upaya paksa dalam pemeriksaan perkara pidana. *Lex Crimen*, 5(4), 82–92.

Petrich, A. (2023). Judicial resistance to New York's 2020 criminal legal reforms. *Journal of Criminal Law & Criminology*, 113(1), 109. <https://scholarlycommons.law.northwestern.edu/jclc/vol113/iss1/3>

Sastra, P. A. A., Dewi, A. A. S. L., & Widyantara, I. M. M. (2024). Eksistensi jaminan dalam penangguhan penahanan seorang tersangka atau terdakwa. *Jurnal Analogi Hukum*, 6(2), 256–260. <https://doi.org/10.22225/jah.6.2.2024.256-260>

Shaughnessy, E. (1974). *Bail: Examination of an institutional process in the criminal court, New York County*. New School for Social Research.

Simarmata, B. (2010). Menanti pelaksanaan penahanan dan pidana penjara yang lebih humanis di Indonesia. *Jurnal Konstitusi*, 7(3), 69–96.

Sulaiman, H., & Lasiki, D. (2020). Penerapan Pasal 31 Kitab Undang-Undang Hukum Acara Pidana tentang penangguhan penahanan di Kepolisian Resor Pohuwato. *Tahkim: Jurnal Hukum dan Syariah*, 16(1), 14–29. <https://doi.org/10.33477/thk.v16i1.1449>

Supianto. (2020). Tinjauan yuridis terhadap penangguhan penahanan dengan jaminan (Studi di Polresta Bareleng). *Jurnal Administrasi*, 18(1), 43–59.

ten Voorde, J. M. (2017). The Dutch penal code under review. *Indonesia Law Review*, 7(3), 295–313. <https://doi.org/10.15742/ilrev.v7n3.355>

Van Hoecke, M. (2015). Methodology of comparative legal research. *Law and Method*, 1(1), 1–35.

Waite, J. B. (1929). Code of criminal procedure: The problem of bail. *American Bar Association Journal*, 15(2), 71–75. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/25707573>

Winata, I. M. A. K., Sugiarta, I. N. G., & Widyantara, I. M. M. (2021). Proses penangguhan penahanan dengan jaminan pada tingkat penyidik berdasarkan Pasal 31 KUHP. *Jurnal Interpretasi Hukum*, 2(2), 403–408. <https://doi.org/10.22225/juinhum.2.2.3449.403-408>

Zampierin, S. (2023). Mass e-carceration: Electronic monitoring as a bail condition. *Utah Law Review*, 2023(3), 589–658.

Zikry, I., Ginting, G. L. A., Nurfahmi, I. M., Azahra, M. D., Ifitahsari, & Nur Ansar. (2024). Penelitian tentang pengembangan risk assessment tools untuk melakukan penahanan. Institute for Criminal Justice Reform. <https://icjr.or.id/evaluasi-terhadap-proses-pengambilan-keputusan-dalam-melakukan-penahanan-dan-peluang-pengembangan-risk-assessment-tools-penahanan/>

### **Thesis**

Gorham, Mary. (2023). *The Future of Bail Reform in the United States*. Senior Theses, 625.

### **Internet Sources**

Cline-Thomas, A. (2022, March 29). *Timeline: How New York's Bail Reform Law came to be*. CBS News. <https://www.cbsnews.com/newyork/liveupdates/new-york-bail-reform-timeline/>

Hochul, K., & Rosado, R. (2022, September). *Supplemental pretrial release summary tables*. New York State of Criminal Justice Services. [https://www.criminaljustice.ny.gov/crimnet/ojsa/pretrialrelease/DCJS\\_Supplemental\\_Pretrial\\_Release\\_Summary\\_Tables.pdf](https://www.criminaljustice.ny.gov/crimnet/ojsa/pretrialrelease/DCJS_Supplemental_Pretrial_Release_Summary_Tables.pdf)

Library of Congress. (2024, April 24). *Netherlands: New Code of Criminal Procedure under consideration by legislature*. <https://www.loc.gov/item/global-legal-monitor/2024-04-24/netherlands-new-code-of-criminal-procedure-under-consideration-by-legislature/>