

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abdalla, R. A., Kassim, N. Md., & Yeap, J. A. L. (2024). Multidimensional perceived risk and safety needs in Palestinian e-government services context. *Electronic Government, an International Journal*, 20(4), 395–421. <https://doi.org/10.1504/EG.2024.139494>
- Abdalla, R., Kassim, N., Yeap, J. A., & Kassim, M. (2023). Citizens' Intention to Use the Palestinian e-Government Services Portal – An Extension of UMEGA. *JeDEM*, 2(15), 83–117. <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/e0449aeb31e1ac916d99604b2a8cfc68188a7606>
- Abdalla, R., Kassim, N., & Yeap, J. A. L. (2023). Unified Access Point Integrated with UMEGA Model as Determinants of Citizens' Behavioral Intention in E-government Services Context. *Journal of System and Management Sciences*, null, null. <https://doi.org/10.33168/jsms.2023.0421>
- Abu-Shanab, E. (2014). Antecedents of trust in e-government services: An empirical test in Jordan. *Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy*, 8(4), 480–499. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TG-08-2013-0027>
- Al-Hujran, O., Al-Debei, M. M., Chatfield, A., & Migdadi, M. (2015). The imperative of influencing citizen attitude toward e-government adoption and use. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 53, 189–203. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2015.06.025>
- Al-Kautsar Maktub, M., Handayani, P. W., & Sunarso, F. P. (2025). Citizen acceptance and use of the Jakarta Kini (JAKI) e-government: Extended unified model for electronic government adoption. *Heliyon*, 11(2), e42078. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2025.e42078>
- Allen, B., Tamindael, L. E., Bickerton, S. H., & Cho, W. (2020). Does citizen coproduction lead to better urban services in smart cities projects? An empirical study on e-participation in a mobile big data platform. *Government Information Quarterly*, 37(1), 101412. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2019.101412>
- Altin, M., & Yilmaz, R. (2022). Adoption of Cloud-Based Accounting Practices in Turkey: An Empirical Study. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 45(11), 819–833. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01900692.2021.1894576>
- Antaranews. (2018). Yogyakarta luncurkan aplikasi “Jogja Smart Service.” *Antaranews*.
- Antaranews. (2024). Pemkot Yogyakarta meraih penghargaan atas layanan publik digital. *Antaranews*.
- Arifin, P., & Amanda Putri, J. (2024). Communicating The Smart City Concept to The SME Community. *Calathu: Jurnal Ilmu Komunikasi*, 6(1), 27–34. <https://doi.org/10.37715/calathu.v6i1.4501>
- Auliya Angraini. (2020). Analisis Penggunaan Aplikasi Jogja Smart Service (JSS) (Ditinjau dari The unified model of e-government adoption) Untuk [Universitas Gadjah Mada]. In *UGM* (Vol. 8, Issue 75). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnc.2020.125798%0A>
- Avazov, S., & Lee, S. (2020). E-Government Adoption in Uzbekistan: Empirical validation of the Unified Model of Electronic Government Acceptance (UMEGA). *Proceedings of the 21st Annual International Conference on Digital Government Research*, null, null. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3396956.3397008>
- Bannister, F., & Connolly, R. (2014). ICT, public values and transformative government: A framework and programme for research. *Government Information Quarterly*, 31(1), 119–128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2013.06.002>



- Batara, E., Nurmandi, A., Warsito, T., & Pribadi, U. (2017). Are government employees adopting local e-government transformation?: The need for having the right attitude, facilitating conditions and performance expectations. *Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy*, 11(4), 612–638. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TG-09-2017-0056>
- Bélanger, F., & Carter, L. (2008). Trust and risk in e-government adoption. *Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 17(2), 165–176. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2007.12.002>
- Benosa, B., Omorog, C. D., & Ramos, C. D. (2023). Exploring the Influencing Factors of e-Government Adoption in Rural Municipalities. *2023 IEEE 15th International Conference on Humanoid, Nanotechnology, Information Technology, Communication and Control, Environment, and Management (HNICEM)*, null, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/HNICEM60674.2023.10589182>
- BPS. (2024). *Kota Yogyakarta Dalam Angka 2024*.
- Burhanuddin, Badruddin, S., & Yapid, B. M. (2019). Determinants Of Citizen's Intention To Use Online E-Government Services: A Validation Of Umega Model. *Polish Journal of Management Studies*, null. <https://doi.org/10.17512/PJMS.2019.20.1.10>
- Carter, L., & Schaupp, L. C. (2009). Relating acceptance and optimism to e-file adoption. *International Journal of Electronic Government Research*, 5(3), 62–74. <https://doi.org/10.4018/jeqr.2009070105>
- Chohan, S. R., & Akhter, Z. H. (2021). Electronic government services value creation from artificial intelligence: AI-based e-government services for Pakistan. *Electronic Government, an International Journal*, 17(3), 374. <https://doi.org/10.1504/EG.2021.116003>
- Chohan, S. R., Hu, G., Si, W., & Pasha, A. T. (2020). Synthesizing e-government maturity model: a public value paradigm towards digital Pakistan. *Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy*, 14(3), 495–522. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TG-11-2019-0110>
- Cooper, R. (2023). *From Perception to Communication* (Vol. 4, Issue 1). Oxford University Press.
- Cozby, P. C., & Bates, S. C. (2024). Methods in behavioral research. In *Methods in behavioral research*. (Fifteenth). <http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc3&NEWS=N&AN=1989-97043-000>
- Creswell, & Creswell. (2023). Research Design, Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches. In *SAGE Publications: Vol. Sixth Edit* (Issue 1). <https://medium.com/@arifwicaksanaa/pengertian-use-case-a7e576e1b6bf>
- Davis, F. D., Bagozzi, R. P., & Warshaw, P. R. (1989). User Acceptance of Computer Technology: A Comparison of Two Theoretical Models. *Management Science*, 35(8), 982–1003. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.35.8.982>
- Dwivedi, Y. K., Rana, N. P., Janssen, M., Lal, B., Williams, M. D., & Clement, M. (2017a). An empirical validation of a unified model of electronic government adoption (UMEGA). *Government Information Quarterly*, 34(2), 211–230. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2017.03.001>
- Featherman, M. S., & Pavlou, P. A. (2003). Predicting e-services adoption: A perceived risk facets perspective. *International Journal of Human Computer Studies*, 59(4), 451–474. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1071-5819\(03\)00111-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1071-5819(03)00111-3)
- García-Fernández, J., Fernández-Gavira, J., Sánchez-Oliver, A. J., Gálvez-Ruíz, P., Grimaldi-Puyana, M., & Cepeda-Carrión, G. (2020). Importance-performance matrix analysis (Ipma) to evaluate servicescape



- Garcia-Rio, E., Palos-Sanchez, P. R., Baena-Luna, P., & Aguayo-Camacho, M. (2023). Different approaches to analyzing e-government adoption during the Covid-19 pandemic. *Government Information Quarterly*, 40(4), 101866. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2023.101866>
- Gefen, D., Rose, G. M., Warkentin, M., & Pavlou, P. A. (2005). Cultural diversity and trust in IT adoption: A comparison of potential e-voters in the USA and South Africa. *Journal of Global Information Management*, 13(1), 54–78. <https://doi.org/10.4018/jgim.2005010103>
- Hair, J. F., Hult, T. M., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2022). A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM). In *Sage Publishing* (Third Edit). <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781032725581-7>
- Hair, J., Hult, G. T., Ringle, C., & Sarstedt, M. (2017). A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) - Sarstedt. In *Sage*.
- Hair, Jr., J. F., M. Hult, G. T., M. Ringle, C., Sarstedt, & Marko. (2022). A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) [3 ed]. In *Sage Publishing* (Vol. 3, Issue 1).
- Hamdala, M. R., Perdana, D., & Tri Hanurato, I. A. (2022). Capacity & Coverage Analysis for Planning Yogyakarta Smart City's Network (Use Case: LoRaWAN). *2022 IEEE Asia Pacific Conference on Wireless and Mobile (APWiMob)*, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/APWiMob56856.2022.10014351>
- Hasan, M. H., Idrus, S. Z. B. S., & Nordin, N. B. (2024). E-Government Adoption Intention among Bangladeshi Citizens a Conceptual Model. *International Journal of Research And Scientific Innovation (IJRSI)*, XI(August), 457–467. <https://doi.org/10.51244/IJRSI>
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sinkovics, R. R. (2009). The use of partial least squares path modeling in international marketing. *Advances in International Marketing*, 20, 277–319. [https://doi.org/10.1108/S1474-7979\(2009\)0000020014](https://doi.org/10.1108/S1474-7979(2009)0000020014)
- Hujran, O., Abu-Shanab, E., & Aljaafreh, A. (2020). Predictors for the adoption of e-democracy: an empirical evaluation based on a citizen-centric approach. *Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy*, 14(3), 523–544. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TG-03-2019-0016>
- Indriyani, N. W., Nurdiarti, R. P., & M. Nastain. (2022). Aksesibilitas dan Pemanfaatan Aplikasi “Jogja Smart Service” untuk Mewujudkan Good Governance. *Jurnal Riset Public Relations*, 75–84. <https://doi.org/10.29313/jrpr.vi.1352>
- James, W. (1983). The Principles of Psychology. *Journal of the History of Philosophy*, 21(2), 270–272. <https://doi.org/10.1353/hph.1983.0040>
- Jumardi, R., Farokhah, L., & Maghfirah, M. (2024a). *The effect of information quality on the unified model of electronic government adoption (UMEGA) in E-government success in Indonesia*. 050028. <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0204702>
- Khurshid, M., Zakaria, N., Rashid, A., Ahmed, Y. A., & Shafique, M. N. (2019). *Adoption of Transactional Service in Electronic Government - A Case of Pak-Identity Service*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-29374-1_36
- Kirat Rai, S., Ramamritham, K., & Jana, A. (2020). Identifying factors affecting the acceptance of government to government system in developing nations – empirical evidence from Nepal.



- Kripanont, N. (2007). *Examining a Technology Acceptance Model of Internet Usage by Academics within Thai Business Schools*. Victoria University.
- Krishnaraju, V., Mathew, S. K., & Sugumaran, V. (2016). Web personalization for user acceptance of technology: An empirical investigation of E-government services. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 18(3), 579–595. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-015-9550-9>
- Lindgren, I., & Van Veenstra, A. F. (2018). Digital government transformation: A case illustrating public e-service development as part of public sector transformation. *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3209281.3209302>
- MacLean, D., & Titah, R. (2022). A Systematic Literature Review of Empirical Research on the Impacts of e-Government: A Public Value Perspective. *Public Administration Review*, 82(1), 23–38. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13413>
- Mandala Amri. (2022). *Analisis Pengaruh Penggunaan Aplikasi/Layanan Jogja Smart Service pada Kepercayaan terhadap Pemerintah* (Vol. 9). Universitas Gadjah Mada.
- May, I. P. A., & Fanida, E. H. (2022). Analisis Efektivitas Aplikasi Wargaku Surabaya Dalam Menunjang Pelayanan Publik Masyarakat Kota Surabaya. *Publika*, 1553–1568. <https://doi.org/10.26740/publika.v11n1.p1553-1568>
- Mellouli, M., Bouaziz, F., & Bentahar, O. (2020). E-government success assessment from a public value perspective. *International Review of Public Administration*, 25(3), 153–174. <https://doi.org/10.1080/12294659.2020.1799517>
- Mensah, I. K., Zeng, G., & Luo, C. (2020). E-Government Services Adoption: An Extension of the Unified Model of Electronic Government Adoption. *SAGE Open*, 10, null. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244020933593>
- Mohammadi, M. (2022). Factors influencing the adoption of e-government websites in Afghanistan from the citizens' perspective. *The Electronic Journal of Information Systems in Developing Countries*, 88, null. <https://doi.org/10.1002/isd2.12216>
- Moore, M. H. (1995). *Creating Public Value: Strategic Management in Government*. Harvard University Press.
- Mpinganjira, M. (2015). Online Store Service Convenience, Customer Satisfaction and Behavioural Intentions: A Focus on Utilitarian Oriented Shoppers. *Journal of Economics and Behavioral Studies*, 7(1(J)), 36–49. [https://doi.org/10.22610/jebis.v7i1\(j\).561](https://doi.org/10.22610/jebis.v7i1(j).561)
- Muhammad, A. S., & Kaya, T. (2023). Factors affecting the citizen's intention to adopt e-government in Nigeria. *Journal of Information, Communication and Ethics in Society*, 21(3), 271–289. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JICES-05-2022-0054>
- Muneer, F., Azam, A., Yang, H., & Saeed, M. (2024). Examining Perceived Privacy, Perceived Security and Technology Anxiety into UMEGA in Improving Adoption Behavior of E-Government Services: An Evidence from Pakistan. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 0(0), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10447318.2024.2430429>
- Nam, T. (2014). Determining the type of e-government use. *Government Information Quarterly*, 31(2), 211–220. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2013.09.006>



- Nguyen Trong, H., Dang, T. V., Nguyen, V., & Nguyen, T. T. (2022). Determinants of e-government service adoption: an empirical study for business registration in Southeast Vietnam. *Journal of Asian Public Policy*, 15(3), 453–468. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17516234.2020.1805396>
- Oliveira, T., Thomas, M., Baptista, G., & Campos, F. (2016). Mobile payment: Understanding the determinants of customer adoption and intention to recommend the technology. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 61(2016), 404–414. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.03.030>
- Osman, I. (2019). COBRA framework to evaluate e-government services : A citizen-centric perspective The University of Bradford Institutional Repository. *Government Information Quarterly*, 31(2), 243–256.
- PBB. (2024). *E-government Development Indeks (EGDI)*.
- Pereira, G. V., Parycek, P., Falco, E., & Kleinhans, R. (2018). Smart governance in the context of smart cities: A literature review. *Information Polity*, 23(2), 143–162. <https://doi.org/10.3233/IP-170067>
- Picazo-Vela, S., Gutiérrez-Martínez, I., Duhamel, F., Luna, D. E., & Luna-Reyes, L. F. (2015). Interorganizational collaboration and value creation in digital government projects. *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*, 27-30-May-, 133–139. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2757401.2757403>
- Picazo-Vela, S., Gutiérrez-Martínez, I., Duhamel, F., Luna, D. E., & Luna-Reyes, L. F. (2018). Value of inter-organizational collaboration in digital government projects. *Public Management Review*, 20(5), 691–708. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2017.1305702>
- PPID. (2025). *PPID Kota Yogyakarta*.
- Prawati, L. D., Karina, M., & Balqis, A. (2022). Implementation of National Samsat Online Application (SAMOLNAS): Applying The Unified Model of Electronic Government Adoption (UMEGA). *2022 International Conference on Information Management and Technology (ICIMTech)*, null, 517–522. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICIMTech55957.2022.9915135>
- Prawati, L. D., Karina, M., Putri, G. C. A., & Rachmi, I. F. (2024). Reveal the Power of E-Filing and Transform Tax Reporting in Indonesia: Using UMEGA Analysis. *Proceedings - 2024 2nd International Conference on Technology Innovation and Its Applications, ICTIA 2024*, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICTIA61827.2024.10761185>
- Ramirez-Madrid, J. P., Escobar-Sierra, M., Lans-Vargas, I., & Montes Hincapie, J. M. (2024). Factors influencing citizens' adoption of e-government: an empirical validation in a Developing Latin American Country. *Public Management Review*, 26(1), 185–218. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2022.2078500>
- Retnowati, N. D., & Nugraheny, D. (2021). G2C (Government to Citizen) Digital Interaction And C2G (Citizen to Government) on The Jogja Smart Service Application. *Sainstek : Jurnal Sains Dan Teknologi*, 13(2), 90. <https://doi.org/10.31958/js.v13i2.4692>
- Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2016). Gain more insight from your PLS-SEM results the importance-performance map analysis. *Industrial Management and Data Systems*, 116(9), 1865–1886. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-10-2015-0449>
- Rochmah, F., Sakir, S., & Abhipraya, F. A. (2021). Efektivitas Jogja Smart Service dalam Pelayanan Kependudukan ditengah Pandemi Covid-19. *GOVERNMENT : Jurnal Ilmu Pemerintahan*, 66–77. <https://doi.org/10.31947/jgov.v14i2.13899>
- Salama, M., & Farag, R. (2024). Developing the Digital Government Services Acceptance Model (DGSAM): A Framework for Enhancing Public Adoption of Digital Government Services in the UAE. *2024*



- Saleh, R. A., Nor, R. N. H., Islam, Md. T., Jusoh, Y. Y., & Abdullah, S. (2023a). The Unified Model of Electronic Government Adoption (UMEGA): A Systematic Literature Review with Meta-Analysis. *Pertanika Journal of Science and Technology*, 31(5), 2531–2557. <https://doi.org/10.47836/pjst.31.5.26>
- Savoldelli, A., Misuraca, G., & Codagnone, C. (2013). Measuring the Public value of e-Government: The eGEP2.0 model. *Electronic Journal of E-Government*, 11(1), 373–388. <https://doi.org/10.1109/IEEM.2011.6035221>
- Schaupp, L. C., Carter, L., & McBride, M. E. (2010). E-file adoption: A study of U.S. taxpayers' intentions. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 26(4), 636–644. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2009.12.017>
- Schindler. (2022). business research methods. In *McGraw Hill* (fourteenth, Vol. 11, Issue 1). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/>
- Seo, D. B., & Bernsen, M. (2016). Comparing attitudes toward e-government of non-users versus users in a rural and urban municipality. *Government Information Quarterly*, 33(2), 270–282. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2016.02.002>
- Sigmund Grønmo. (2020). *Social Research Methods*. Sage.
- Snigdhya, N. S., Uddin, S., & Dipu, A. (2024). Integrating Climate Change Adaptation into Flood Risk Management : Global Perspectives. *International Journal of Research And Scientific Innovation (IJRSI)*, XI(August), 457–467. <https://doi.org/10.51244/IJRSI>
- Sofiana, A. (2023). Analisis Implementasi Open Government Melalui Aplikasi Jakarta Kini (Jaki) Pada Fitur Jak-Respon Dalam Penyebarluasan Informasi Publik. *Journal of Social Contemplativa*, 1(1), 45–53. <https://doi.org/10.61183/jsc.v1i1.10>
- Supardal, Cipto, B., Nurmandi, A., Pribadi, U., & Suranto. (2017). Do information communication technology initiatives affect local government bureaucratic transformation? *International Journal of Applied Business and Economic Research*, 15(6), 35–49.
- Syaifuddin, M., Nurmandi, A., & Salahudin. (2022). The Behavior Patterns of the Yogyakarta Special Region Government Official in Reacting to e-Government Transformation. *Proceedings of Sixth International Congress on Information and Communication Technology*, 217, 249–259. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-2102-4_23
- Syamsudin, Meiyanti, R., Satria, D., Wahyuni, R., & Sensuse, D. I. (2018). Exploring factors influence behavioral intention to use E-government services using unified theory of acceptance and use of technology 2 (UTAUT2). *2018 International Seminar on Research of Information Technology and Intelligent Systems, ISRITI 2018*, 2, 237–242. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ISRITI.2018.8864474>
- Taherdoost, H. (2018). A review of technology acceptance and adoption models and theories. *Procedia Manufacturing*, 22, 960–967. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2018.03.137>
- Tiika, B. J., Tang, Z., Dagadu, J. C., Azaare, J., & Otoo, S. N. A. (2024). Tourists' Adoption of Context-Aware Applications: A Case Study, Using Personal Locator Beacons at the Mole National Park, Ghana. *SAGE Open*, 14(2), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440241255566>
- Twizeyimana, J. D., & Andersson, A. (2019). The public value of E-Government – A literature review. *Government Information Quarterly*, 36(2), 167–178. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2019.01.001>



- Upadhyay, N., Kamble, A., & Navare, A. (2023). Virtual healthcare in the new normal: Indian healthcare consumers adoption of electronic government telemedicine service. *Government Information Quarterly*, 40(2), 101800. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2022.101800>
- Venkatesh, et al. (2012). Consumer Acceptance and Use of Information Technology: Extending the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 36(1), 1–23.
- Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User Acceptance of Information Technology: Toward a Unified View. *MIS Quarterly*, 27(3), 425–478.
- Venkatesh; Viaswanath, & Davis; Fred D. (2000). A Theoretical Extension of the Technology Acceptance Model: Four Longitudinal Field Studies. *Management Science*, 46(2), 186–204. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/2634758>
- Verkijika, S. F., & De Wet, L. (2018a). E-government adoption in sub-Saharan Africa. *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, 30, 83–93. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elerap.2018.05.012>
- Vimala, D., Vasantha, S., & Sungeetha, D. (2023). The Critical Factors Affecting E-Government Adoption in India: A Conceptual Framework. *2023 Intelligent Computing and Control for Engineering and Business Systems (ICCEBS)*, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCEBS58601.2023.10448872>
- Watkowski, L., Drechsler, A., & Hönigsberg, S. (2023). Fostering the Adoption of Smart E-Government Services in Germany. *Twenty-Ninth Americas Conference on Information Systems*, 144(2), 105134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2021.105134>
- Weerakkody, V., El-Haddadeh, R., Al-Sobhi, F., Shareef, M. A., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2013). Examining the influence of intermediaries in facilitating e-government adoption: An empirical investigation. *International Journal of Information Management*, 33(5), 716–725. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2013.05.001>
- Xin, Y., Dilanchiev, A., Ali, M., Irfan, M., & Hong, Y. (2022). Assessing Citizens' Attitudes and Intentions to Adopt E-Government Services: A Roadmap toward Sustainable Development. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 14(22). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142215183>
- Yeap, J. A. L., Kassim, N., & Abdalla, R. (2023). Integrating Extended UMEGA with Unified Access Point as Determinants of Behavioral Intention in the Palestinian E-government Services Context. *International Journal of Electronic Governance*, null, null. <https://doi.org/10.1504/ijeg.2023.10058677>
- Yildiz, M. (2007). E-government research: Reviewing the literature, limitations, and ways forward. *Government Information Quarterly*, 24(3), 646–665. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2007.01.002>
- Yogar, B. N. A., Mutiarin, D., & Eko Saputro, M. N. C. (2023). Jogja Smart Service as a Digital Public Services: Based on Agile Governance Perspective. *INFOTECH: Jurnal Informatika & Teknologi*, 4(1), 105–113. <https://doi.org/10.37373/infotech.v4i1.562>
- Zahid, H., Ali, S., Abu-Shanab, E., & Muhammad Usama Javed, H. (2022). Determinants of intention to use e-government services: An integrated marketing relation view. *Telematics and Informatics*, 68(January 2021), 101778. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2022.101778>
- Zahid, H., Azeem, M., Assistant, A., Hashmi, A., & Abro, A. (2021). Antecedents of Intention to Use E-Government Services Among the Employees of Public Universities: Validation of Umeqa Model. *International Journal of Management (IJM)*, 12(3), 907–924. <https://doi.org/10.34218/IJM.12.3.2021.086>



Zammuto, R. F., Griffith, T. L., Majchrzak, A., Dougherty, D. J., & Faraj, S. (2007). Information Technology and the Changing Fabric of Organization. *Organization Science*, 18(5), 749–762. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1070.0307>

Zeebaree, M., Aqel, M., & Agoyi, M. (2023). Challenges Facing E-government Implementation and Adoption in the Era of 5G, 6G. *Journal of Internet Technology*, 24(1), 173–184. <https://doi.org/10.53106/160792642023012401016>

Zhu, Y. Q., & Alamsyah, N. (2022). Citizen empowerment and satisfaction with smart city app: Findings from Jakarta. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 174(September 2021), 121304. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2021.121304>

Zubaidah, E., Nurmandi, A., Pribadi, U., & Hidyati, M. (2021a). Taxpayer Behavior in Using E-Vehicle in Indonesia. *Asia Pacific Journal of Information Systems*, 31, 378–391. <https://doi.org/10.14329/APJIS.2021.31.3.378>