

REFERENCES

- Al-Khuza'ay, N. (2023). The use of indirect speech acts in humor in selected speeches by President Obama: A pragmatic analysis [Conference paper].
- An, J., Di, H., Yang, Z., & Yao, M. (2023). Does my humor touch you? Effect of leader self-deprecating humor on employee silence: The mediating role of leader-member exchange. *Psychology Research and Behavior Management*, 16, 1677–1689. <https://doi.org/10.2147/PRBM.S411800>
- Arifullah, R. S. N. (2014). An analysis of speech acts containing humor in Full House TV sitcom. *Journal of Language and Literature*, 8(1), 49–54.
- Astutia, D. A., & Chandrab, O. H. (2021). Speech acts and the common problems involved in a humor text. *Culturalistics: Journal of Cultural, Literary, and Linguistic Studies*, 5(1), 65-72. <http://ejournal.undip.ac.id/index.php/culturalistics>
- Austin, J. L. (1962). *How to do things with words*. Harvard University Press.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2012). Thematic analysis. In H. Cooper (Ed.), *APA handbook of research methods in psychology: Research designs* (Vol. 2, pp. 57–71). American Psychological Association.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2021). Reflecting on reflexive thematic analysis. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 18(3), 328–352. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14780887.2020.1768828>
- Brennan Lee Mulligan. (n.d.). *Home*. Retrieved March 12, 2025, from <https://brennanleemulligan.com/>
- Cameron, J. D. (2015). Can poverty be funny? The serious use of humor as a strategy of public engagement for global justice. *Third World Quarterly*, 36(2), 274–290. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01436597.2015.1013320>
- Clark, B. (2022). *Pragmatics: The basics*. Routledge.
- Dong, T., & Gao, T. (2018). A comparative study of the humorous speech act between Love Apartment and Friends. *Saudi Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences (SJHSS)*. <https://doi.org/10.21276/sjhss.2018.3.12.10>
- Fast Company. (2024). *Dungeons and Dragons 50th anniversary: More popular than ever - Dimension 20*. <https://www.fastcompany.com/91192517/dungeons-and-dragons-50th-anniversary-more-popular-than-ever-dimension-20>
- Hanna, N., & Richards, D. (2019). Speech act theory as an evaluation tool for human-agent communication. *Algorithms*, 12(4), 79. <https://doi.org/10.3390/a12040079>
- Hernandez, B. (2022, August 17). *How Satanic Panic turned Dungeons & Dragons into a societal scapegoat*. CBR. <https://www.cbr.com/1980s-dungeons-dragons-satanic-panic/>
- Hope, O. (2024). <https://www.youtube.com/@oliviahope312>
- Kogen, L. (2024). Qualitative thematic analysis of transcripts in social change research: Reflections on common misconceptions and recommendations for reporting results. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 23, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1177/16094069231225919>
- Levine, K. (2017, July 16). *The comedy rule of 3's*. Ken Levine. <http://kenlevine.blogspot.com/2017/07/the-comedy-rule-of-3s.html>
- Mărgărit, E., & Pîrvu, R. (2023). A systematic guide for conducting thematic analysis in qualitative tourism research. *Journal of Environmental Management and Tourism*, 14(6), 2696-2703. [https://doi.org/10.14505/jemt.v14.6\(70\).17](https://doi.org/10.14505/jemt.v14.6(70).17)