

Daftar Pustaka

- Adisaputro, D., Saputra, N. (2017). Carbon Capture and Storage and Carbon Capture and Utilization: What Do They Offer to Indonesia?. *Energy Research*, 5. 10.3389/fenrg.2017.00006
- Antara News. (2023). Kemenko Marves: Teknologi penyimpanan karbon buka peluang lapangan kerja. Retrieved from <https://www.antaranews.com/berita/3722016/kemenmarves-teknologi-penyimpanan-karbon-buka-peluang-lapangan-kerja>
- Antara News. (2022). Kemenkeu wajibkan ada KPI untuk penerima PMN. Antara News. <https://www.antaranews.com/berita/2643457/kemenkeu-wajibkan-ada-kpi-untuk-penerima-pmn>
- Araujo, I. L., & Marcelle, Y. (2024). Designing Brazilian institutional framework for Carbon Capture, Use, and Storage (CCUS) activities: the role of competent authority. *Revista Jurídica, Presidencia.gov.br*.
- Backstrand K, Meadowcroft J, Oppenheimer M. The politics and policy of carbon capture and storage: framing an emergent technology. *Global Environ Change* 2011;21(2):275–81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2011.03.008>.
- Banks, N., Hulme, D., & Edwards, M. (2015). NGOs, States, and Donors Revisited: Still Too Close for Comfort? *World Development*, 66, 707–718. doi:10.1016/j.worlddev.2014.09.028
- Benjamin, E. O., Jacal, S., & Straubinger, F. B. (2022). Economic costs and environmental impacts of fossil fuel dependency in sub-Saharan Africa: A Nigerian dilemma. *Energy for Sustainable Development*, 68, 105–116. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esd.2022.03.009>
- Berka, A. L., MacArthur, J. L., & Gonnelli, C. (2020). Explaining inclusivity in energy transitions: Local and community energy in Aotearoa New Zealand. *Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions*, 34, 165–182. doi:10.1016/j.eist.2020.01.006
- Biddau, F., Rizzoli, V. & Sarrica, M. Phasing-out ‘coal tradition’ in favour of ‘renewable colonialism’: how the press contributes to the discursive (de)legitimization of coal and renewables in a coal region in transition. *Sustain Sci* 19, 381–402 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-023-01420-2>
- Bielicki, Jeffrey and DeLuca, Maria and Middleton, Richard S. and Langenfeld, Julie S., Dependence of CO₂ Capture, Transport, and Storage on Reservoir Leakage Risk (March 24, 2021). Proceedings of the 15th Greenhouse Gas Control Technologies Conference 15–18 March 2021, Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3811382>
- Bingham, A. J. (2023). From Data Management to Actionable Findings: A Five-Phase Process of Qualitative Data Analysis. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 22. <https://doi.org/10.1177/16094069231183620>
- Bonner, R. L., Neely, A. R., Stone, C. B., Lengnick-Hall, C. A., & Lengnick-Hall, M. L. (2022). Triaging your human capital: an integrative perspective on strategic human capital asset allocation. *Management Research Review*, 46(3), 467-482. <https://doi.org/10.1108/mrr-12-2020-0735>



UNIVERSITAS
GADJAH MADA

SOSIAL-POLITIK KEBIJAKAN CCS/CCUS DI INDONESIA: ANALISIS SOCIOTECHNICAL IMAGINARIES DAN DINAMIKA KEKUASAAN

Shalma Anggia Dipayu, Indri Dwi Apriliyanti, S.I.P., M.B.A., Ph.D.

Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2025 | Diunduh dari <http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/>

- Bradley, B. S. (1993). Introduction: The Future of Developmental Theory. *Theory & Psychology*, 3(4), 403-414. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0959354393034001>
- Briday, R., Chailleur, S. & de Sartre, X.A. The complex challenges and opportunities of the industrial and energy sectors (IESs) in the time of climate politics: carbon capture, utilisation, and storage (CCUS) in France as a case study. *Rev Agric Food Environ Stud* 105, 151–177 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41130-024-00208-x>
- Broecks, K. P., van Egmond, S., van Rijnsoever, F. J., Verlinde-van den Berg, M., & Hekkert, M. P. (2016). Persuasiveness, importance and novelty of arguments about Carbon Capture and Storage. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 59, 58-66. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2016.02.004>
- Budi, A. S., & Falson, J. (2024). Carbon Capture Storage dalam Pengelolaan Barang Milik Negara. *JURNAL MANAJEMEN KEUANGAN PUBLIK*, 8(2), 104–120. <https://doi.org/10.31092/jmkp.v8i2.2857>
- Carbon Brief . (2019, March 27). *The Carbon Breif Profile: Indonesia* . Retrieved from carbonbrief.org: <https://www.carbonbrief.org/the-carbon-brief-profile-indonesia/>
- Carvalho, A., Riquito, M., & Ferreira, V. (2022). Sociotechnical imaginaries of energy transition: The case of the Portuguese Roadmap for Carbon Neutrality 2050. *Energy Reports*, 8, 2413-2423.
- Chauvy, R. and Weireld, G. D. (2020). CO₂ utilization technologies in europe: a short review. *Energy Technology*, 8(12). <https://doi.org/10.1002/ente.202000627>
- Christiansen, K. L., & Carton, W. (2021). What ‘climate positive future’? Emerging sociotechnical imaginaries of negative emissions in Sweden. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 76, 102086. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2021.102086>
- CNBC Indonesia. (2021, 8 November). Pertamina Punya Proyek Penangkapan Karbon di 2 Lapangan Migas. Retrieved from CNBC Indonesia: <https://www.cnbcindonesia.com/news/20211108112107-4-289766/pertamina-punya-proyek-penangkapan-karbon-di-2-lapangan-migas>
- CNN Indonesia. (2023, 14 November). Pertamina-ExxonMobil Jajaki Pengembangan Pusat Penyimpanan Penangkap Karbon. Retrieved from CNN Indonesia: <https://www.cnnindonesia.com/ekonomi/20231114132653-625-1023993/pertamina-exxonmobil-jajaki-pengembangan-carbon-capture-storage-hub>
- Chen, T. and Chen, X. (2023). The role of digital transformation in the relationship between industrial policies and technological innovation performance: evidence from the listed wind power enterprises in china. *Sustainability*, 15(7), 5785. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15075785>
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.
- Delina, L. L. (2018). Whose and what futures? Navigating the contested coproduction of Thailand’s energy sociotechnical imaginaries. *Energy research & social science*, 35, 48-56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2017.10.045>



UNIVERSITAS
GADJAH MADA

SOSIAL-POLITIK KEBIJAKAN CCS/CCUS DI INDONESIA: ANALISIS SOCIOTECHNICAL IMAGINARIES DAN DINAMIKA KEKUASAAN

Shalma Anggia Dipayu, Indri Dwi Apriliyanti, S.I.P., M.B.A., Ph.D.

Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2025 | Diunduh dari <http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/>

- Delina, L. L. (2021). Committing to coal? Scripts, sociotechnical imaginaries, and the resurgence of a coal regime in the Philippines. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 81, 102258. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2021.102258>
- della Porta, D., & Diani, M. (2020). Social movements: An introduction (3rd ed.). Wiley.
- Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (2018). *The SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Research* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Detik Finance. (2023). KLHK usul teknologi penangkapan karbon dikembangkan di hutan terdegradasi. Retrieved from <https://finance.detik.com/energi/d-6943270/klhk-usul-teknologi-penangkapan-karbon-dikembangkan-di-hutan-terdegradasi>
- DPR RI. (2024). Soroti teknologi CCS, legislator ingatkan dampaknya terhadap lingkungan. Retrieved from <https://emedia.dpr.go.id/2024/07/05/soroti-teknologi-ccs-legislator-ingatkan-dampaknya-terhadap-lingkungan/>
- Edenhofer, O., Knopf, B., Bak, C. et al. Aligning climate policy with finance ministers' G20 agenda. *Nature Clim Change* 7, 463–465 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3331>
- ENOS. 2020. WHAT DO YOU THINK? PROS AND CONS OF CCS/CCUS.
- Fraenkel, J.R. & Wallen, N. (2005). How to design and evaluate research in education. New York, NY: Mc Graw Hill.
- Goulet, F. (2020). Family farming and the emergence of an alternative sociotechnical imaginary in argentina. *Science Technology and Society*, 25(1), 86-105. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0971721819889920>
- Graneheim, U. H., Lindgren, B. M., & Lundman, B. (2017). Methodological challenges in qualitative content analysis: A discussion paper. *Nurse education today*, 56, 29-34.
- Holz, F., Scherwath, T., del Granado, P. C., Skar, C., Olmos, L., Ploussard, Q., & Herbst, A. (2021). A 2050 perspective on the role for carbon capture and storage in the European power system and industry sector. *Energy Economics*, 104, 105631. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2021.105631>
- Indonesian Mining Association. (2024). Upaya penambang batu bara ubah citra kotor di tengah seruan NZE. Retrieved January 16, 2025, from <https://ima-api.org/detail/news/mining/upaya-penambang-batu-bara-ubah-citra-kotor-di-tengah-seruan-nze>
- ICEL. (2024). Siaran pers: Perpres carbon capture storage masih minim kerangka pengaman dan mengaburkan masa depan transisi energi Indonesia. Retrieved from <https://icel.or.id/id-id/kerja-kami/kabar-/siaran-pers/v/siaran-pers-perpres-carbon-capture-storage-masih-minim-kerangka-pengaman-dan-mengaburkan-masa-depan-transisi-energi-indonesia>
- IEA. (2020). *Indonesia*. Retrieved from January 20, 2024 <https://www.iea.org/countries/indonesia>
- IESR. (2022). Penggunaan CCS/CCUS perlu pertimbangan yang matang. Retrieved from January 25, 2024 <https://iesr.or.id/penggunaan-CCS/CCUS-perlu-pertimbangan-yang-matang#easy-footnote-2-68651>
- International Energy Agency (IEA). (2022). Norway 2022: Energy policy review. International Energy Agency. <https://www.iea.org/reports/norway-2022/executive-summary>



UNIVERSITAS
GADJAH MADA

SOSIAL-POLITIK KEBIJAKAN CCS/CCUS DI INDONESIA: ANALISIS SOCIOTECHNICAL
IMAGINARIES DAN DINAMIKA
KEKUASAAN

Shalma Anggia Dipayu, Indri Dwi Apriliyanti, S.I.P., M.B.A., Ph.D.

Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2025 | Diunduh dari <http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/>

International Institute for Sustainable Development (IISD). (2023). Indonesia needs to strengthen energy transition policy for self-sufficiency: Experts. IISD. <https://www.iisd.org/articles/iisd-news/indonesia-needs-strengthen-energy-transition-policy-self-sufficiency-experts>

GIGA Hamburg. (2023). Coal vs. climate: Indonesia's energy policy contradicts its climate goals. GIGA Institute. <https://www.giga-hamburg.de/en/publications/giga-focus/coal-vs-climate-indonesia-s-energy-policy-contradicts-its-climate-goals>

Gupta, J. and Chu, E. (2018). Inclusive development and climate change: the geopolitics of fossil fuel risks in developing countries. *African and Asian Studies*, 17(1-2), 90-114. <https://doi.org/10.1163/15692108-12341402>

Huang, P., & Liu, Y. (2020). Toward just energy transitions in authoritarian regimes: indirect participation and adaptive governance. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 1–21. doi:10.1080/09640568.2020.174325

Indonesian Ministry of Development Planning (BAPPENAS), *Low Carbon Development: A Paradigm Shift Towards a Green Economy in Indonesia*. Jakarta: BAPPENAS, 2019.

Jasanoff, S. (2015). “Future imperfect: science, technology, and the imaginations of modernity,” in *Dreamscapes of Modernity. Sociotechnical Imaginaries and the Fabrication of Power*, eds S. Jasanoff and S.-H. Kim (Chicago: University of Chicago Press), 1–33. doi: 10.7208/chicago/9780226276663.003. 0001

Jasanoff, S., & Kim, S. H. (2013). Sociotechnical imaginaries and national energy policies. *Science as culture*, 22(2), 189–196.

Jasanoff, S., & Kim, S.-H. (2009). Containing the Atom: Sociotechnical Imaginaries and Nuclear Power in the United States and South Korea. *Minerva*, 47(2), 119–146. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/41821489>

Katadata. (2023). ESDM kebut pengembangan teknologi CCS dan CCUS, ini tiga tantangannya. Retrieved from <https://katadata.co.id/ekonomi-hijau/ekonomi-sirkular/64fedc4848d6e/esdm-kebut-pengembangan-teknologi-ccs-dan-ccus-ini-tiga-tantangannya>

Katadata. (2023). KLHK beberkan risiko bangun CCUS migas: Lingkungan hingga kesehatan. Retrieved from <https://katadata.co.id/berita/energi/650c48cc521f2/klhk-beberkan-risiko-bangun-ccus-migas-lingkungan-hingga-kesehatan>

Kazansky, B., & Milan, S. (2021). “Bodies not templates”: Contesting dominant algorithmic imaginaries. *New Media & Society*, 23(2), 363–381. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444820929316>

Kementerian Energi dan Sumber Daya Mineral (ESDM). (2023). Dukung pengembangan CCS/CCUS di ASEAN, Indonesia perlu percepat implementasi CCS hub. Retrieved from <https://migas.esdm.go.id/post/dukung-pengembangan-ccs-ccus-di-asean-indonesia-pelru-percepat-implementasi-ccs-hub>

Kementerian Energi dan Sumber Daya Mineral (ESDM). (2023). Indonesia buka peluang kerja sama CCS/CCUS dengan Korea Selatan. Retrieved from



UNIVERSITAS
GADJAH MADA

SOSIAL-POLITIK KEBIJAKAN CCS/CCUS DI INDONESIA: ANALISIS SOCIOTECHNICAL IMAGINARIES DAN DINAMIKA KEKUASAAN

Shalma Anggia Dipayu, Indri Dwi Apriliyanti, S.I.P., M.B.A., Ph.D.

Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2025 | Diunduh dari <http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/>

<https://www.esdm.go.id/id/media-center/arsip-berita/indonesia-buka-peluang-kerja-sama-ccs-ccus-dengan-korea-selatan>

Kementerian Energi dan Sumber Daya Mineral (ESDM). (2024). CCS: Peluang bisnis baru dan kunci menuju masa depan rendah karbon bagi industri migas Indonesia. Retrieved from <https://www.esdm.go.id/id/media-center/arsip-berita/ccs-peluang-bisnis-baru-dan-kunci-menuju-masa-depan-rendah-karbon-bagi-industri-migas-indonesia>

Kementerian Energi dan Sumber Daya Mineral (ESDM). (2024). Menteri Arifin beber tantangan CCS/CCUS. Retrieved from <https://esdm.go.id/id/media-center/arsip-berita/menteri-arifin-beber-tantangan-ccs-ccus->

Kementerian Energi dan Sumber Daya Mineral (ESDM). (2024). Pemerintah targetkan 15 proyek CCS/CCUS onstream tahun 2030. Retrieved from <https://www.esdm.go.id/id/media-center/arsip-berita/pemerintah-targetkan-15-proyek-ccs-ccus-onstream-tahun-2030>

Kern, F., Gaede, J., Meadowcroft, J., & Watson, J. (2016). The political economy of carbon capture and storage: An analysis of two demonstration projects. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 102, 250–260. doi:10.1016/j.techfore.2015.09.010

Kontan. (2023). RPP KEN bakal setarakan penggunaan pembangkit nuklir dengan energi baru terbarukan. Retrieved from <https://industri.kontan.co.id/news/rpp-ken-bakal-setarakan-penggunaan-pembangkit-nuklir-dengan-energi-baru-terbarukan>

Krippendorff, K. (2018). *Content Analysis: An Introduction to Its Methodology* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.

Lehtiniemi T and Ruckenstein M (2019) The social imaginaries of data activism. *Big Data & Society* 6(1). London: Sage UK: London: 6(1): 1–12.

Levidow, L., & Raman, S. (2020). Sociotechnical imaginaries of low-carbon waste-energy futures: UK techno-market fixes displacing public accountability. *Social Studies of Science*, 50(4), 609-641. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0306312720905084>

Lindgren, B. M., Lundman, B., & Graneheim, U. H. (2020). Abstraction and interpretation during the qualitative content analysis process. *International journal of nursing studies*, 108, 103632. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2020.103632>

Little, M. O. and Jackson, R. B. (2010). Potential impacts of leakage from deep CO₂ geosequestration on overlying freshwater aquifers. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 44(23), 9225-9232. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es102235w>

L'Orange Seigo, S., Dohle, S., & Siegrist, M. (2014). Public perception of carbon capture and storage (CCS): A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 38, 848–863. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2014.07.017

Määttä, Senni & de Gooyert, Vincent. (2025). A critical review of social scientific research on carbon capture and storage. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 208, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2024.115063>

Meadowcroft, J., & Langhelle, O. (2009). Chapter 1: The Politics and Policy of Carbon Capture and Storage. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781849802222.00009>

Morissan. 2017. Metode Penelitian Survei. Jakarta: Kencana.



UNIVERSITAS
GADJAH MADA

SOSIAL-POLITIK KEBIJAKAN CCS/CCUS DI INDONESIA: ANALISIS SOCIOTECHNICAL IMAGINARIES DAN DINAMIKA KEKUASAAN

Shalma Anggia Dipayu, Indri Dwi Apriliyanti, S.I.P., M.B.A., Ph.D.

Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2025 | Diunduh dari <http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/>

- Moser, A. and Korstjens, I. (2018) Series: Practical Guidance to Qualitative Research. Part 3: Sampling, Data Collection and Analysis. European Journal of General Practice, 24, 9-18. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13814788.2017.1375091>
- Mwisongo, A., Nabiyonga-Orem, J., Yao, T. et al. The role of power in health policy dialogues: lessons from African countries. BMC Health Serv Res 16 (Suppl 4), 213 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-016-1456-9>
- Newell, P. and Mulvaney, D. (2013). The political economy of the ‘just transition’. Geographical Journal, 179(2), 132-140. <https://doi.org/10.1111/geoj.12008>
- OECD (2012). *The Role of Public Research Institutions in Innovation: A Global Perspective*. Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development.
- Oxford Energy. (2024). Norwegian CCS: What have we learned? Oxford Institute for Energy Studies. https://www.oxfordenergy.org/wpcms/wp-content/uploads/2024/07/Insight-154-Norwegian-CCS-what-have-we-learned_.pdf
- Pertamina. (2024). Pertamina sinergi dengan perusahaan Jepang akselerasi pengurangan emisi melalui injeksi CO₂ teknologi CCUS. Retrieved from <https://www.pertamina.com/id/newsroom/news-release/pertamina-sinergi-dengan-perusahaan-jepang-akselerasi-pengurangan-emisi-melalui-injeksi-CO2-teknologi-ccus>
- Pianta, S., Rinscheid, A., & Weber, E. U. (2021). Carbon capture and storage in the United States: perceptions, preferences, and lessons for policy. *Energy Policy*, 151, 112149. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2021.112149>
- Reiner, D. (2016). Learning through a portfolio of carbon capture and storage demonstration projects. Nat Energy 1, 15011. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nenergy.2015.11>
- Reuters. (2022, October 25). *Indonesia pledges more ambitious carbon emission cut*. Retrieved from reuters.com: <https://www.reuters.com/world/asia-pacific/indonesia-pledges-more-ambitious-carbon-emission-cut-2022-10-25/#:~:text=Indonesia%20is%20one%20of%20the,with%20international%20support%20by%202030>
- Roettereng, J. 2014. The foreign policy of carbon sinks: Carbon capture and storage as foreign policy in Norway. *Energy Procedia*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2014.11.727>
- Rudek, T. J. (2022). Capturing the invisible. Sociotechnical imaginaries of energy. The critical overview. *Science and Public Policy*, 49(2), 219-245.
- Sadowski, J. and Bendor, R. (2018). Selling smartness: corporate narratives and the smart city as a sociotechnical imaginary. *Science, Technology, & Human Values*, 44(3), 540-563. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0162243918806061>
- Saha, C. K., & Carter, A. V. (2022). Phase-out or lock-in fossil fuels? Least developed countries' burning dilemma. *The Extractive Industries and Society*, 9(3), 101086. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exis.2022.101086>
- Sarantakos, S. (2005). Social Research (3rd ed.). New York, NY: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Setiawan, A. D., & Cuppen, E. (2013). Stakeholder perspectives on carbon capture and storage in Indonesia. *Energy Policy*, 61, 1188-1199. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2013.06.057>



UNIVERSITAS
GADJAH MADA

SOSIAL-POLITIK KEBIJAKAN CCS/CCUS DI INDONESIA: ANALISIS SOCIOTECHNICAL IMAGINARIES DAN DINAMIKA KEKUASAAN

Shalma Anggia Dipayu, Indri Dwi Apriliyanti, S.I.P., M.B.A., Ph.D.

Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2025 | Diunduh dari <http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/>

- Shirmohammadi, R., Aslani, A., & Ghasempour, R. (2020). Challenges of carbon capture technologies deployment in developing countries. *Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments*, 42, 100837. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seta.2020.100837>
- Siciliano, G., Wallbott, L., Urban, F., Dang, A. N., & Lederer, M. (2021). Low-carbon energy, sustainable development, and justice: towards a just energy transition for the society and the environment. *Sustainable Development*, 29(6), 1049-1061. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.2193>
- Smallman, M. (2019). ‘nothing to do with the science’: how an elite sociotechnical imaginary cements policy resistance to public perspectives on science and technology through the machinery of government. *Social Studies of Science*, 50(4), 589-608. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0306312719879768>
- Spires, A. J. (2011). Contingent Symbiosis and Civil Society in an Authoritarian State: Understanding the Survival of China’s Grassroots NGOs. *American Journal of Sociology*, 117(1), 1–45. doi:10.1086/660741
- Stake, R. E. (1995). *The Art of Case Study Research*. Sage Publications.
- Stirling, A. (2014). Transforming power: Social science and the politics of energy choices. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 1, 83–95. doi:10.1016/j.erss.2014.02.001
- Strassheim, H., & Kettunen, P. (2014). When does evidence-based policy turn into policy-based evidence? Configurations, contexts and mechanisms. *Evidence & Policy: A Journal of Research, Debate and Practice*, 10(2), 259–277. doi:10.1332/174426514x13990433991320
- Sule, M. B., and Kadir, W. A., Matsuoka, T., Prabowo, H., & Sidemen, G., S, Gundih CCS/CCUS Pilot Project: Current Status of the First Carbon Capture and Storage Project in South and Southeast Asia Regions. 14th Greenhouse Gas Control Technologies Conference Melbourne 21-26 October 2018 (GHGT-14). <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3366415>
- Suryani, A. S. (2024). Potensi dan tantangan implementasi carbon capture and storage di Indonesia. Info Singkat, XVI(1/I), 1–8. Pusat Analisis Keparlemenan, Badan Keahlian DPR RI.
- Tambunan, R. (2023). The Process of Recognizing Indigenous Communities and Establishing Customary Forests in North Tapanuli. *RSF Conference Series: Business, Management and Social Sciences*, 3(3), 31–37. <https://doi.org/10.31098/bmss.v3i3.688>
- Tempo. (2024). ICEL: Teknologi carbon capture belum efektif memangkas emisi karbon. Retrieved from <https://tekn=tempo.co/read/1825232/icel-teknologi-carbon-capture-belum-efektif-memangkas-emisi-karbon>
- Tidwell, J. H., & Tidwell, A. S. (2018). Energy ideals, visions, narratives, and rhetoric: Examining sociotechnical imaginaries theory and methodology in energy research. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 39, 103-107.
- Tjernshaugen, S. (2011). The growth of political support for CO₂ capture and storage in Norway. *Environmental Politics*. 20. 227-245. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09644016.2011.551029>



UNIVERSITAS
GADJAH MADA

SOSIAL-POLITIK KEBIJAKAN CCS/CCUS DI INDONESIA: ANALISIS SOCIOTECHNICAL
IMAGINARIES DAN DINAMIKA
KEKUASAAN

Shalma Anggia Dipayu, Indri Dwi Apriliyanti, S.I.P., M.B.A., Ph.D.
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2025 | Diunduh dari <http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/>

- Tortajada, C. (2016) Nongovernmental Organizations and Influence on Global Public Policy. *Asia & the Pacific Policy Studies*, 3: 266–274. doi: [10.1002/app5.134](https://doi.org/10.1002/app5.134).
- Unruh, G. C. (2000). Understanding carbon lock-in. *Energy Policy*, 28(12), 817–830. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-4215\(00\)00070-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-4215(00)00070-7)
- VOA Indonesia. (2024). Aktivis: Carbon capture storage justru perparah krisis iklim. Retrieved from <https://www.voaindonesia.com/a/aktivis-carbon-capture-storage-justru-perparah-krisis-iklim/7718562.html>
- Walker, J. and Johnson, M. (2018). On mineral sovereignty: towards a political theory of geological power. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 45, 56-66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2018.07.005>
- Wilson EJ (2015) An examination of geologic carbon sequestration policies in the context of leakage potential. *Int J Greenhouse Gas Control* 37:61–75
- Wu, Z. and Pagell, M. (2010). Balancing priorities: decision-making in sustainable supply chain management. *Journal of Operations Management*, 29(6), 577-590. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2010.10.001>
- World Energy. (2023). World Energy Outlook 2023.
- Yudha, S., Tjahjono, B., & Kolios, A. (2018). A pestle policy mapping and stakeholder analysis of indonesia's fossil fuel energy industry. *Energies*, 11(5), 1272. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en11051272>