

### Daftar Pustaka

- Akhtar, H. (2022). Measuring fluid Reasoning and its cultural issues: A review in the Indonesian context. *Buletin Psikologi*, 30(2), 348.  
<https://doi.org/10.22146/buletinpsikologi.74475>
- Azwar, S., & Ridho, A. (2014). Abilitas komposit dalam tes potensi. *Jurnal Psikologi*, 40(2), 127-142. <https://doi.org/10.22146/jpsi.6972>
- Beauducel, A., Brocke, B., & Liepmann, D. (2001). Perspectives on fluid and crystallized intelligence: facets for verbal, numerical, and figural intelligence. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 30(6), 977–994. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0191-8869\(00\)00087-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0191-8869(00)00087-8)
- Belinda & Azwar, S. (2015). *Karakteristik psikometri tes PAPS Seri A1*. [Unpublished undergraduate thesis]. Universitas Gadjah Mada.
- Bulut, O., Cormier, D., Aquilina, A., & Bulut, H. (2021). Age and sex invariance of the Woodcock-Johnson IV Tests of cognitive abilities: evidence from psychometric network modeling. *Journal of Intelligence*, 9(3), 35.  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/jintelligence9030035>
- Bollen, K. (2002). Latent variables in psychology and the social sciences. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 53(1), 605–634.  
<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.53.100901.135239>
- Borsboom, D. (2023). Psychological constructs as organizing principles. In: van der Ark, L., Emons, W., Meijer, R. (eds) *Essays on Contemporary Psychometrics. Methodology*

*of Educational Measurement and Assessment*. Springer, Cham.

[https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-10370-4\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-10370-4_5)

Borsboom, D., Deserno, M., Rhemtulla, M., Epskamp, S., Fried, E., McNally, R., Robinaugh, D., Perugini, M., Dalege, J., Costantini, G., Isvoranu, A., Wysocki, A., Van Borkulo, C., Van Bork, R., & Waldorp, L. (2021). Network analysis of multivariate data in psychological science. *Nature Reviews Methods Primers*, 1(1).  
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s43586-021-00055-w>

Borsboom, D., Mellenbergh, G., & van Heerden, J. (2003). The theoretical status of latent variables. *Psychological Review*, 110(2), 203–219. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.110.2.203>

Bringmann, L. F., & Eronen, M. I. (2018). Don't blame the model: Reconsidering the network approach to psychopathology. *Psychological Review*, 125(4), 606–615.  
<https://doi.org/10.1037/rev0000108>

Bruce, P., Gedeck, P., & Dobbins, J. (2024). *Exploring and Displaying the Data*. In *Statistics for Data Science and Analytics* (eds P.C. Bruce, P. Gedeck and J. Dobbins).  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/9781394253838.ch3>

Carroll, J. B. (1993). *Human cognitive abilities: A survey of factor-analytic studies*. In Cambridge University Press. Cambridge University Press.

Christensen, A., Golino, H., & Silvia, P. (2020). A Psychometric network perspective on the validity and validation of personality trait questionnaires. *European Journal of Personality*, 34(6), 1095–1108. <https://doi.org/10.1002/per.2265>

Epskamp, S., Borsboom, D., & Fried, E. (2017). Estimating psychological networks and their accuracy: A tutorial paper. *Behavior Research Methods*, 50(1), 195–212.  
<https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-017-0862-1>

- Ferdian, F., & Azwar, S. (2019). PAPS predictive validity in predicting the learning success of master of professional psychology students. *Jurnal Penelitian dan Evaluasi Pendidikan*, 23(2), 117-128. <https://doi.org/10.21831/pep.v23i2.25299>
- Flake, J., Pek, J., & Hehman, E. (2017). Construct validation in social and personality research: Current practice and recommendations. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, 8, 370–378. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1948550617693063>
- Flanagan, D., & Dixon, S. (2014). The Cattell-Horn-Carroll Theory of Cognitive Abilities. *Encyclopedia of Special Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118660584.es0431>
- Flanagan, D. P., Ortiz, S., & Alfonso, V. (2013). *Essentials of cross-battery assessment (3rd ed.)* John Wiley & Sons.
- Floyd, R. G., Shands, E. I., Rafael, F. A., Bergeron, R., & McGrew, K. S. (2009). The dependability of general-factor loadings: The effects of factor-extraction methods, test battery composition, test battery size, and their interactions. *Intelligence*, 37, 453-465. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intell.2009.05.003>
- Forbes, M., Wright, A., Markon, K., & Krueger, R. (2017). Evidence that psychopathology symptom networks have limited replicability. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 126(7), 969–988. <https://doi.org/10.1037/abn0000276>
- Fruchterman, T. M., & Reingold, E. M. (1991). Graph drawing by force-directed placement. *Software: Practice and experience*, 21(11), 1129-1164.
- Hadi, S. (2017). *Statistik Edisi Revisi*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.
- Hapsari, A., & Widhiarso, W. (2019). *The effectiveness of item parceling to increase the model fit: a case study of PAPS*. [Unpublished undergraduate thesis]. Universitas Gadjah Mada.

- Hevey, D. (2018). Network analysis: a brief overview and tutorial. *Health Psychology and Behavioral Medicine*, 6(1), 301–328.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/21642850.2018.1521283>
- Hidayati, L., & Widhiarso, W. (2017). *Pengujian validitas konstruk Tes Potensi Akademik Pascasarjana (PAPS) menggunakan analisis faktor konfirmatori*. [Unpublished undergraduate thesis]. Universitas Gadjah Mada.
- Hintz, F., McQueen, J., & Meyer, A. (2024). Using Psychometric Network Analysis to Examine the Components of Spoken Word Recognition. *Journal of Cognition*, 7(1), 10. <https://doi.org/10.5334/joc.340>
- Horn, J. (1967). Intelligence—why it grows, why it declines. *Society*, 5(1), 23–31.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/bf03180091>
- JASP Team. (2024). *JASP 0.19.0.0*. Available online: <https://jasp-stats.org/> (accessed on 18 November 2024).
- Jehandut, B., & Widhiarso, W. (2022). *Perbandingan properti psikometri tes Potensi Akademik Pascasarjana (PAPS) daring dan luring*. [Unpublished undergraduate thesis]. Universitas Gadjah Mada.
- Kan, K., de Jonge, H., van der Maas, H., Levine, S., & Epskamp, S. (2020). How to compare psychometric factor and network models. *Journal of Intelligence*, 8(4), 35.  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/jintelligence8040035>
- Kan, K., Van Der Maas, H., & Levine, S. (2019). Extending psychometric network analysis: Empirical evidence against g in favor of mutualism? *Intelligence*, 73, 52–62.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intell.2018.12.004>

- Kyllonen, P., Carrasco, C. A., & Kell, H. (2017). Fluid Ability (GF) and complex problem solving (CPS). *Journal of Intelligence*, 5(3), 28. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jintelligence5030028>
- Lakin, J. M., & Gambrell, J. L. (2012). Distinguishing verbal, quantitative, and figural facets of fluid intelligence in young students. *Intelligence*, 40(6), 560–570. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intell.2012.07.005>
- Lakin, J. M., & Lai, E. (2012). Multigroup generalizability analysis of verbal, quantitative, and nonverbal ability tests for culturally and linguistically diverse students. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 72(1), 139–158. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013164411408074>
- Lecerf, T., Döll, S., & Bastien, M. (2023). Investigating the structure of the French WISC–V (WISC–VFR) for five age groups using psychometric network modeling. *Journal of Intelligence*, 11(8), 160. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jintelligence11080160>
- Marastuti, A., Anggoro, W., Marvianto, R., & Afghani, A. (2020). Perbandingan properti psikometri antara Tes PAPs berbentuk computer-based dan paper and pencil test. *Gadjah Mada Journal of Psychology (GamaJoP)*, 6(1), 12. <https://doi.org/10.22146/gamajop.51852>
- Markowetz, A., Błaszkieicz, K., Montag, C., Switala, C., & Schlaepfer, T. E. (2014). Psychoinformatics: Big Data shaping modern psychometrics. *Medical Hypotheses*, 82(4), 405–411. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mehy.2013.11.030>
- McFarland, D. (2020). The effects of using partial or uncorrected correlation matrices when comparing network and latent variable models. *Journal of Intelligence*, 8(1), 7. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jintelligence8010007>

- McGrew, K. (2009). CHC theory and the human cognitive abilities project: Standing on the shoulders of the giants of psychometric intelligence research. *Intelligence*, 37(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intell.2008.08.004>
- McGrew, K. (2023). Carroll’s Three-Stratum (3S) cognitive ability theory at 30 years: impact, 3s-chc theory clarification, structural replication, and cognitive–achievement psychometric network analysis extension. *Journal of Intelligence*, 11(2), 32. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jintelligence11020032>
- McGrew, K., Schneider, W., Decker, S., & Bulut, O. (2023). A psychometric network analysis of CHC Intelligence Measures: Implications for research, theory, and interpretation of broad CHC scores “beyond g.” *Journal of Intelligence*, 11(1), 19. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jintelligence11010019>
- Meadows, D.H. (2008). *Thinking in Systems: A Primer*. Chelsea Green, White River Junction.
- Miller, G., & Chapman, J. (2001). Misunderstanding analysis of covariance. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 110(1), 40–48. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-843X.110.1.40>
- Pattipeilohy, F., & Widhiarso, W. (2017). *Pengujian Validitas Konstruk Tes Potensi Akademik Pascasarjana (PAPS) melalui Analisis Faktor Eksploratori*. [Unpublished undergraduate thesis]. Universitas Gadjah Mada.
- Pigott, T. (2017) The Role of Theory in Quantitative Data Analysis. *The BERA/SAGE Handbook of Educational Research*. Loyola eCommons. [https://ecommons.luc.edu/education\\_facpubs/121/](https://ecommons.luc.edu/education_facpubs/121/)
- Rapuc, S., Pierrat, V., Marchand-Martin, L., Benhammou, V., Kaminski, M., Ancel, P., & Twilhaar, E. (2024). The interrelatedness of cognitive abilities in very preterm and

full-term born children at 5.5 years of age: a psychometric network analysis approach. *J Child Psychol Psychiatr*, 65, 18-30.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.13816>

Raven, J., Court, J., & Raven, J. (1988). *Manual for Raven's Progressive Matrices and Vocabulary Scales*. H. K. Lewis.

Schneider, W. J., & McGrew, K. S. (2012). The Cattell-Horn-Carroll model of intelligence. In D. P. Flanagan & P. L. Harrison (Eds.), *Contemporary intellectual assessment: Theories, tests, and issues* (3rd ed., pp. 99–144). The Guilford Press.

Schneider, W. J., & McGrew, K. S. (2018). *The Cattell-Horn-Carroll theory of cognitive abilities*. Guilford.

Schmank, C., Goring, S., Kovacs, K., & Conway, A. (2019). Psychometric network analysis of the Hungarian WAIS. *Journal of Intelligence*, 7(3), 21.  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/jintelligence7030021>

Schmank, C., Goring, S., Kovacs, K., & Conway, A. (2021). Investigating the structure of intelligence using latent variable and psychometric network modeling: A commentary and reanalysis. *Journal of Intelligence*, 9(1), 8.  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/jintelligence9010008>

Schmithorst, V. J., Wilke, M., Dardzinski, B. J., & Holland, S. K. (2005). Cognitive functions correlate with white matter architecture in a normal pediatric population: a diffusion tensor MRI study. *Human brain mapping*, 26(2), 139–147.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.20149>

Schwaba, T., Rhemtulla, M., Hopwood, C., Bleidorn, W. (2020). A facet atlas: Visualizing networks that describe the blends, cores, and peripheries of personality structure. *PLOS ONE* 15(7): e0236893. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0236893>

- Süß, H.-M., & Beauducel, A. (2005). Faceted models of intelligence. In O. Wilhelm & R. W. Engle (Eds.), *Handbook of understanding and measuring intelligence* (pp. 313-332). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Varley, R. (2007). Plasticity in high-order cognition: Evidence of dissociation in aphasia. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 30(2), 171–172.  
doi:10.1017/S0140525X07001318
- Wang, L. (1993). *The Differential Aptitude Test: A Review and Critique*. Distributed by ERIC Clearinghouse.
- Widhiarso, W. (2010, Dec 11). *Berkenalan dengan variabel laten*. Universitas Gadjah Mada. [https://widhiarso.staff.ugm.ac.id/files/widhiarso\\_2001\\_-\\_berkenalan\\_dengan\\_varibel\\_laten.pdf](https://widhiarso.staff.ugm.ac.id/files/widhiarso_2001_-_berkenalan_dengan_varibel_laten.pdf)
- Widhiarso, W. (2014). *Buku Manual Tes Potensi Akademik Pascasarjana (PAPS)*. Unit Pengembangan Alat Psikodiagnostika Fakultas Psikologi UGM
- Widhiarso, W. (2016). *Pemeriksaan Properti Psikometris Tes PAPS Seri A1 dengan Menggunakan Teori Skor Murni Klasik*. Technical Report UPAP. Unit Pengembangan Alat Psikodiagnostika Fakultas Psikologi UGM
- Widhiarso, W. (2019). Pembuktian validitas terkait struktur Tes Potensi Akademik Pascasarjana (PAPS) Universitas Gadjah Mada. *Jurnal Psikologi*, 46(2), 145.  
<https://doi.org/10.22146/jpsi.38223>
- Widhiarso, W., Azwar, S., Suhapti, R., & Haryanta. (2015). *Analisis dan Penyempurnaan Aitem-aitem Tes PAPS Seri A1*. Technical Report UPAP. Unit Pengembangan Alat Psikodiagnostika Fakultas Psikologi UGM



- Widhiarso, W., & Haryanta. (2015). Examining method effect of synonym and antonym test in verbal abilities measure. *Europe's Journal of Psychology*, 11(3), 419-431. <https://doi.org/10.5964/ejop.v11i3.865>
- Widhiarso, W., & Haryanta. (2016). Comparing the performance of synonym and antonym tests in measuring verbal abilities. *TPM - Testing, Psychometrics, Methodology in Applied Psychology*, 23(3), 335–345. <https://doi.org/10.4473/TPM23.3.5>
- Widhiarso, W., & Khairunisa, A. (2024). Eksplorasi kemunculan efek metode dalam tes potensi kemampuan kognitif. *Gadjah Mada Journal of Psychology (GamaJoP)*, 10(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.22146/gamajop.75080>
- Wilhelm, O. (2005). Measuring reasoning ability. In *Handbook of understanding and measuring intelligence* (pp. 373–392). SAGE Publications, Inc. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781452233529.n21>
- Winarti, S., & Masrun. (1998). Validasi, faktorisasi, dan standarisasi norma tes inteligensi IST. *[Unpublished undergraduate thesis]*. Fakultas Psikologi Universitas Gadjah Mada
- Wise, A., & Shaffer, D. (2015). Why Theory Matters More than Ever in the Age of Big Data. *Journal of Learning Analytics*, 2(2), 5-13. <https://doi.org/10.18608/jla.2015.22.2>
- Zwick, R. (2003). *Rethinking the SAT, the Future of Standardized Testing in University Admissions*. New York: Routledge Falmer.