

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Al-Emran, M., Mezhuyev, V., & Kamaludin, A. (2018). Technology Acceptance Model in M-learning context: A systematic review. *Computers and Education*, 125(August 2017), 389–412. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.06.008>
- Anggraini, A. T., & Iqbal, M. (2020). The Utilization of Jogja Smart Service Application: an E-Readiness Approach. *Journal of Governance and Public Policy*, 7(2), 150–159. <https://doi.org/10.18196/jgpp.72130>
- Anthopoulos, L. (2017). Smart utopia VS smart reality: Learning by experience from 10 smart city cases. *Cities*, 63, 128–148. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2016.10.005>
- Berndt, A. E. (2020). Sampling Methods. *Journal of Human Lactation*, 36(2), 224–226. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0890334420906850>
- Blaikie, N. (2012). *In Designing Social Research. Polity* (2 nd Editi).
- Blanc, F., & Ottimofiore, G. (2021). Regulatory Compliance in a Global Perspective: Developing Countries, Emerging Markets and the Role of International Development Institutions. In *The Cambridge Handbook of Compliance* (pp. 158–176). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108759458.013>
- Brodkin, E. Z., & Majmundar, M. (2010). Administrative exclusion: Organizations and the hidden costs of welfare claiming. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 20(4), 827–848. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/mup046>
- Burden, B. C., Canon, D. T., Mayer, K. R., & Moynihan, D. P. (2012). The Effect of Administrative Burden on Bureaucratic Perception of Policies: Evidence from Election Administration. *Public Administration Review*, 72(5), 741–751. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6210.2012.02600.x>
- Christensen, J., Aarøe, L., Baekgaard, M., Herd, P., & Moynihan, D. P. (2020). Human Capital and Administrative Burden: The Role of Cognitive Resources in Citizen-State Interactions. *Public Administration Review*, 80(1), 127–136. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13134>
- Ciesielska, M., Bostrom, K. W., & Ohlander, M. (2018). Qualitative Methodologies in Organization Studies. In M. Ciesielska & D. Jemielniak (Eds.), *Qualitative Methodologies in Organization Studies* (Vol. 2, Issue December). Springer International Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-65442-3>
- Correia, D., Marques, J. L., & Teixeira, L. (2023). Assessing and Ranking EU Cities Based on the Development Phase of the Smart City Concept. *Sustainability*, 15(18), 13675. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su151813675>
- Corrente, S., Ingrao, C., Punzo, A., & Matarazzo, A. (2023). Evaluating citizens' satisfaction on the urban environmental management through a multi-criteria

- approach: An application experience in Sicily. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 99(December 2022), 107029. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2022.107029>
- Creswell, J. w., & Creswell, J. D. (2023). *Research design : qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (Sixth edit). Sage Publications, Inc.
- Dash, A. (2024). Smart mobility in smart cities: transforming the experiences of citizens into the future of smart cities. *Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy*, 18(2), 287–302. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TG-09-2023-0136>
- databoks. (2023). *DI Yogyakarta Raih Indeks Literasi Digital Tertinggi Nasional pada 2022*. <https://databoks.katadata.co.id/teknologi-telekomunikasi/statistik/5a3963423228f2a/di-yogyakarta-raih-indeks-literasi-digital-tertinggi-nasional-pada-2022>
- De Andrade Soares, V., Gomes, M. M. M. F., Iwama, G. Y., Pedrosa, G. V., Menezes, V. G., Pereira Da Silva, W. C. M., & Da Costa Figueiredo, R. M. (2019). Evaluating government services based on user perspective. *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*, 425–432. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3325112.3325224>
- Dirjen Aptika. (2022). *Gerakan Menuju 100 Smart City*. https://r.search.yahoo.com/_ylt=Awr9370R3G1lwvQ3erlXNyoA;_ylu=Y29sbwNncTEEcG9zAzIEdnRpZAMEc2VjA3Ny/RV=2/RE=1701727377/RO=10/RU=https%3A%2F%2Faptika.kominfo.go.id%2F2022%2F07%2Fgerakan-menuju-100-smart-city-2%2F/RK=2/RS=NF.2M.slnbyiaFlhtbmqWSPgA-
- Döring, M., & Madsen, J. K. (2022). Mitigating Psychological Costs—The Role of Citizens’ Administrative Literacy and Social Capital. *Public Administration Review*, 82(4), 671–681. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13472>
- Doughty, M., & Baehler, K. J. (2020). “Hostages to Compliance”: Towards a Reasonableness Test for Administrative Burdens. *Perspectives on Public Management and Governance*, 3(4), 273–287. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ppmgov/gvaa010>
- Galindo Pasache, R. L., Cano Legua, F. E., & Gabriel Hernández, O. T. (2024). Eficiencia de los servicios públicos en la era digital. *Revista Venezolana de Gerencia*, 29(108), 1713–1725. <https://doi.org/10.52080/rvgluz.29.108.15>
- Gamage, R., Lakmali, N., & Genovese, P. V. (2019). *The Role of Agent Based Modeling for Fulfilling Pitfalls of Urban Planning Process: Challenges and Opportunities in the Context of Sri Lanka*. October.
- Guo, J., Ma, Y., & Tang, J. (2021). Investigating Influencing Factors for Public Service Capacities of Digital Government. *5th International Conference on Crowd Science and Engineering*, 24–28. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3503181.3503186>
- Halling, A., & Baekgaard, M. (2024). Administrative Burden in Citizen-State

- Interactions: A Systematic Literature Review. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 34(2), 180–195. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/muad023>
- Heggertveit, I., Lindgren, I., Madsen, C. Ø., & Hofmann, S. (2022). Administrative Burden in Digital Self-service: An Empirical Study About Citizens in Need of Financial Assistance. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*, 13392 LNCS(Cc), 173–187. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-23213-8_11
- Heidbreder, E. G. (2024). Performance and compliance in public policy implementation. In *Handbook of Public Policy Implementation* (pp. 41–52). Edward Elgar Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781800885905.00010>
- Heinrich, C. J. (2016). The Bite of Administrative Burden: A Theoretical and Empirical Investigation. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 26(3), 403–420. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/muv034>
- Helfert, M., Melo, V. A. B., & Pourzolfaghar, Z. (2018). Digital and smart services - The application of enterprise architecture. In *Communications in Computer and Information Science* (Vol. 858). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-02843-5_22
- Herd, P., Hoynes, H., Michener, J., & Moynihan, D. (2023). Introduction: Administrative Burden as a Mechanism of Inequality in Policy Implementation. *RSF: The Russell Sage Foundation Journal of the Social Sciences*, 9(4), 1–30. <https://doi.org/10.7758/RSF.2023.9.4.01>
- Herd, P., & Moynihan, D. P. (2018). Administrative Burden. *Administrative Burden*. <https://doi.org/10.7758/9781610448789>
- JSS Ditetapkan sebagai Top Inovasi Pelayanan Publik Nasional. (2023). *Portal Berita Pemerintah Kota Yogyakarta*. <https://warta.jogjakota.go.id/detail/index/30405>
- Kusworo, E. A. D., Pranata, A. K. S., Kuwero, M., Rahardja, S. A., & Hartono, S. (2024). Assessing the Digital Ecosystem Readiness of Semarang Smart City from a Citizen's Viewpoint. *2024 International Conference on ICT for Smart Society (ICISS)*, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICISS62896.2024.10751632>
- Larsson, K. K. (2021). Digitization or equality: When government automation covers some, but not all citizens. *Government Information Quarterly*, 38(1), 101547. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2020.101547>
- Lee, J.-Y., Kim, B., & Yoon, S.-H. (2024). A conceptual digital policy framework via mixed-methods approach: Navigating public value for value-driven digital transformation. *Government Information Quarterly*, 41(3), 101961. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2024.101961>
- Lee, S. (2023). The Acceptance Model of Smart City Service: Focused on Seoul. *Sustainability*, 15(3), 2695. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15032695>

- Lincoln, Y. S., Lynham, S. A., & Guba, E. G. (2011). Paradigmatic controversies, contradictions, and emerging confluences, revisited. *The Sage Handbook of ...* https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=qEiC-_ELYgIC&oi=fnd&pg=PA97&dq=paradigmatic+controversies+contradictions+and+emerging+confluences+revisited&ots=C5jXtsMw_I&sig=WmwT4veGEjWeNXWHuRf553wV-ZI
- Madsen, C. Ø., Lindgren, I., & Melin, U. (2022). The accidental caseworker – How digital self-service influences citizens’ administrative burden. *Government Information Quarterly*, 39(1), 101653. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2021.101653>
- Marifah, A., & Satlita, L. (2023). Agile Governance on Jogja Smart Service in Public Services in the City of Yogyakarta. *Journal of Public Policy and Administration Research*, 8.
- Maris, A., Van Gaalen, H., Moeke, D., & Ravesteijn, P. (2020). The Impact of Laws and Regulations on the Administrative Burdens Within Healthcare. *Proceedings of the 16th European Conference on Management Leadership and Governance*. <https://doi.org/10.34190/ELG.20.030>
- McKenna, H. P. (2019). Innovating Metrics for Smarter, Responsive Cities. *Data*, 4(1), 25. <https://doi.org/10.3390/data4010025>
- McKnight, D. H., Lankton, N. K., Nicolaou, A., & Price, J. (2017). Distinguishing the effects of B2B information quality, system quality, and service outcome quality on trust and distrust. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 26(2), 118–141. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2017.01.001>
- Moynihan, D., Herd, P., & Harvey, H. (2015). Administrative burden: Learning, psychological, and compliance costs in citizen-state interactions. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 25(1), 43–69. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/muu009>
- Novriando, A., Purnomo, E. P., & Salsabila, L. (2020). Efektivitas “Jogja Smart Service” Terhadap Pelayanan Publik di Kota Yogyakarta. *GOVERNMENT: Jurnal Ilmu Pemerintahan*, 13, 68–75. <https://doi.org/10.31947/jgov.v13i2.8817>
- Pangendra, P. R. A. (2021). *Analisis Faktor-Faktor yang Mempengaruhi Niat Penggunaan Berkelanjutan Aplikasi Jogja Smart Service (JSS)*. Universitas Islam Indonesia.
- Peeters, R. (2020). The Political Economy of Administrative Burdens: A Theoretical Framework for Analyzing the Organizational Origins of Administrative Burdens. *Administration & Society*, 52(4), 566–592. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0095399719854367>
- Pemerintah Kota Yogyakarta. (2019). *Buku Panduan Jogja Smart Service (JSS)* (versi 3.0). [https://jss.jogjakota.go.id/asset/doc/tutorial/Buku_Panduan_JSS_\(Versi_Digital\).pdf](https://jss.jogjakota.go.id/asset/doc/tutorial/Buku_Panduan_JSS_(Versi_Digital).pdf)

- Pemerintah Kota Yogyakarta. (2021). *Masterplan Pengembangan Smart City Kota Yogyakarta Tahun 2022 – 2026*.
- Pengembangan Aplikasi JSS jadi Acuan PemKab Jember*. (2024). Diskominfo Kota Yogyakarta. <https://dinkominfo.kota.go.id/detail/index/32303>
- Przebylłowicz, E., Cunha, M. A., Geertman, S., Leleux, C., Michels, A., Tomor, Z., Webster, C. W. R., & Meijer, A. (2022). Citizen participation in the smart city: findings from an international comparative study. *Local Government Studies*, 48(1), 23–47. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03003930.2020.1851204>
- Quinn, R. E., & Rohrbaugh, J. (1981). A Competing Values Approach to Organizational Effectiveness. *Public Productivity Review*, 5(2), 122. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3380029>
- Rio, L., Lucy, S. L., Wenny, F. D., Josua, S. P., & Indra, S. (2024). Qualitative Research Concepts: Phenomenology, Grounded Theory, Ethnography, Case Study, Narrative. *Siber Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary (SJAM)*, Vol. 2, No(1), 26–36. <https://doi.org/doi/10.38035/sjam>
- Sadiq, M., & Adil, M. (2020). Ecotourism related search for information over the internet: a technology acceptance model perspective. *Journal of Ecotourism*, 0(0), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14724049.2020.1785480>
- Samardžija, I., & Vukomanović, I. (2007). Developing a rich and scalable web application using JSF technology. *MIPRO 2007 - 30th Jubilee International Convention Proceedings: Telecommunications and Information*, 2, 49–53.
- Saxena, D., Muzellec, L., & McDonagh, J. (2022). From Bureaucracy to Citizen-Centricity. *International Journal of Electronic Government Research*, 18(1), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.4018/IJEGR.305230>
- Sroukh Alderei, H. H., & Sidek, S. (2023). Designing A User-Centred Model to Amplify Satisfaction in Utilizing Online Government Information. *International Journal of Sustainable Construction Engineering and Technology*, 14(3). <https://doi.org/10.30880/ijscet.2023.14.03.019>
- Stickdorn, M., & Schneider, J. (2012). *This Is Service Design Thinking: Basics, Tools, Cases*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken.
- Trencher, G. (2019). Towards the smart city 2.0: Empirical evidence of using smartness as a tool for tackling social challenges. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 142(July 2018), 117–128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2018.07.033>
- van Twist, A., Ruijter, E., & Meijer, A. (2023). Smart cities & citizen discontent: A systematic review of the literature. *Government Information Quarterly*, 40(2), 101799. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2022.101799>
- Veiga, L., Janowski, T., & Barbosa, L. S. (2016). Digital Government and Administrative Burden Reduction. *Proceedings of the 9th International*

Conference on Theory and Practice of Electronic Governance, 323–326.
<https://doi.org/10.1145/2910019.2910107>

- Wijayasinghe, S., & Sachitra, V. (2024). Smart city development and improvement of quality of life in urban cities of Sri Lanka: citizen-centric approach. *Journal of Global Responsibility*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JGR-02-2024-0027>
- Wouters, S., Janssen, M., & Cromptvoets, J. (2020). Governance Challenges of Inter-organizational Digital Public Services Provisioning: A Case Study on Digital Invoicing Services in Belgium. *19th International Conference on Electronic Government (EGOV)*, 12219 LNCS, 223–235. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-57599-1_17
- Xin, J. (2024). *Digital Government Constuction in the Perspective off New Public Service Theory to Promote the Transformation of Government Functions* (Z. Zhan, J. Liu, D. M. Elshenawi, & E. Duester (Eds.); Vol. 858, Issue Ichssr 2024). Atlantis Press SARL. <https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-38476-277-4>
- Yang, Z., Chen, H., Mi, L., Li, P., & Qi, K. (2021). Green building technologies adoption process in China: How environmental policies are reshaping the decision-making among alliance-based construction enterprises? *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 73(June), 103122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2021.103122>
- Yin, R. K. (2011). *Qualitative Research from Start to Finish*. The Guilford Press.
- Yogar, B. N. A., Mutiarin, D., & Eko Saputro, M. N. C. (2023). Jogja Smart Service as a Digital Public Services: Based on Agile Governance Perspective. *INFOTECH: Jurnal Informatika & Teknologi*, 4(1), 105–113. <https://doi.org/10.37373/infotech.v4i1.562>
- Zorali, S. (2024). Digital Transformation and E-Government in Public Administration: TRNC E-Government Portal Analysis. *TOJET: The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 23(2).