

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- [1] P. Megawanti, "Permasalahan Pendidikan di Indonesia," *J. Ilm. Pendidik. MIPA*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 227–234, 2019.
- [2] I. U. Khan, Z. Hameed, Y. Yu, T. Islam, Z. Sheikh, and S. U. Khan, "Predicting the acceptance of MOOCs in a developing country: Application of task-technology fit model, social motivation, and self-determination theory," *Telemat. Informatics*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 964–978, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.tele.2017.09.009.
- [3] M. N. Mubarak and J. F. Nura, "Peningkatan dan Pemerataan Pendidikan Melalui E-Learning," *J. Comput. Electron. Telecommun.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1–10, 2021, doi: 10.52435/complete.v1i1.98.
- [4] Ika, "Membedah Tantangan Pembelajaran Daring di Tengah Pandemi Covid-19," 2020. <https://ugm.ac.id/id/berita/19552-membedah-tantangan-pembelajaran-daring-di-tengah-pandemi-covid-19>.
- [5] X. Gu, Y. Zhu, X. Guo, X. Gu, Y. Zhu, and X. Guo, "Meeting the 'Digital Natives': Understanding the Acceptance of Technology in Classrooms," *Int. Forum Educ. Technol. Soc.*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 392–402, 2013, [Online]. Available: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/jeductechsoci.16.1.392>.
- [6] P. Thompson, "The digital natives as learners: Technology use patterns and approaches to learning," *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 65, pp. 12–33, 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2012.12.022.
- [7] S. Taipale, "Synchronicity matters: defining the characteristics of digital generations," *Inf. Commun. Soc.*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 80–94, 2016, doi: 10.1080/1369118X.2015.1093528.
- [8] T. Issa and P. Isaias, "Internet factors influencing generations Y and Z in Australia and Portugal: A practical study," *Inf. Process. Manag.*, vol. 52, no. 4, pp. 592–617, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.ipm.2015.12.006.
- [9] C. V. Priporas, N. Stylos, and A. K. Fotiadis, "Generation Z consumers' expectations of interactions in smart retailing: A future agenda," *Comput. Human Behav.*, vol. 77, pp. 374–381, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2017.01.058.
- [10] F. Dalipi, A. S. Imran, and Z. Kastrati, "MOOC dropout prediction using machine learning techniques: Review and research challenges," in *IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference, EDUCON*, 2018, vol. 2018-April, pp. 1007–1014, doi: 10.1109/EDUCON.2018.8363340.
- [11] L. M. Hasani, H. R. Adnan, D. I. Sensuse, Kautsarina, and R. R. Suryono, "Factors Affecting Student's Perceived Readiness on Abrupt Distance Learning Adoption: Indonesian Higher-Education Perspectives," *2020 3rd Int. Conf. Comput. Informatics Eng. IC2IE 2020*, pp. 286–292, 2020, doi: 10.1109/IC2IE50715.2020.9274640.
- [12] W. Cidral, M. Aparicio, and T. Oliveira, "Students' long-term orientation role in e-learning success: A Brazilian study," *Heliyon*, vol. 6, no. June, p. e05735, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e05735.
- [13] M. H. Baturay, "An Overview of the World of MOOCs," *Procedia - Soc. Behav. Sci.*, vol. 174, pp. 427–433, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.01.685.

- [14] Berliyanto and H. B. Santoso, “Indonesian perspective on massive open online courses: Opportunities and challenges,” *J. Educ. Online*, vol. 15, no. 1, 2018, doi: 10.9743/jeo2018.15.1.11.
- [15] R. M. Paton, A. E. Fluck, and J. D. Scanlan, “Engagement and retention in VET MOOCs and online courses: A systematic review of literature from 2013 to 2017,” *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 125, no. June, pp. 191–201, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2018.06.013.
- [16] S. R. Lambert, “Do MOOCs contribute to student equity and social inclusion? A systematic review 2014–18,” *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 145, no. November 2018, p. 103693, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103693.
- [17] DailySocial.id, “MOOC in Indonesia Survey 2017,” 2017, 2017. <https://dailysocial.id/research/mooc-in-indonesia-survey-2017> (accessed Jun. 23, 2020).
- [18] A. H. Lubis, S. Z. S. Idrus, and S. A. Rashid, “The exposure of MOOC usage in Indonesia,” *Int. J. Sci. Technol. Res.*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 2716–2720, 2020, [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Andre-Lubis/publication/339400732_The_Exposure_Of_MOOC_Usage_In_Indonesia/links/5e4f9a37a6fdccd965b458e0/The-Exposure-Of-MOOC-Usage-In-Indonesia.pdf.
- [19] W. Huck, “Goal 4 Ensure inclusive and equitable quality education and promote lifelong learning opportunities for all Ensure inclusive and equitable quality education,” in *Sustainable Development Goals*, Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG, 2022, pp. 182–212.
- [20] M. Pendidikan, D. a N. Kebudayaan, and R. Indonesia, *Permenkes Ri Nomor 109 Tahun 2013*. 2013, pp. 1–8.
- [21] A. Bozkurt, “Dropout Patterns and Cultural Context in Online Networked Learning Spaces,” *Open Prax. Int. Counc. Open Distance Educ.*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 41–54, 2019.
- [22] K. Jordan, “Initial trends in enrolment and completion of massive open online courses Massive Open Online Courses,” *Int. Rev. Res. Open Distance Learn.*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 133–160, 2014.
- [23] V. Arhin and T. Wang’Eri, “Orientation programs and student retention in distance learning: The case of university of cape coast,” *J. Educ. Online*, vol. 15, no. 1, 2018, doi: 10.9743/JEO2018.15.1.6.
- [24] J. A. Greene, C. A. Oswald, and J. Pomerantz, “Predictors of Retention and Achievement in a Massive Open Online Course,” *Am. Educ. Res. J.*, vol. 52, no. 5, pp. 925–955, 2015, doi: 10.3102/0002831215584621.
- [25] K. S. Hone and G. R. El Said, “Exploring the factors affecting MOOC retention: A survey study,” *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 98, pp. 157–168, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2016.03.016.
- [26] J. DeBoer, A. D. Ho, G. S. Stump, and L. Breslow, “Changing ‘Course’: Reconceptualizing Educational Variables for Massive Open Online Courses,” *Educ. Res.*, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 74–84, 2014, doi: 10.3102/0013189X14523038.
- [27] C. Reparaz, M. Aznárez-Sanado, and G. Mendoza, “Self-regulation of learning and MOOC retention,” *Comput. Human Behav.*, vol. 111, no. May, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2020.106423.
- [28] P. Adamopoulos, “What makes a great MOOC? An interdisciplinary

- analysis of student retention in online courses,” 2013, [Online]. Available: <https://aisel.aisnet.org/icis2013/proceedings/BreakthroughIdeas/13/>.
- [29] T. Eriksson and C. Sto, ““Time is the bottleneck”: a qualitative study exploring why learners drop out of MOOCs,” *J. Comput. High. Educ.*, vol. 29, pp. 133–146, 2017, doi: 10.1007/s12528-016-9127-8.
- [30] X. Wang *et al.*, “Perceived usefulness predicts second language learners’ continuance intention toward language learning applications: a serial multiple mediation model of integrative motivation and flow,” *Educ. Inf. Technol.*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 5033–5049, 2022, doi: 10.1007/s10639-021-10822-7.
- [31] E. Papatungan and F. Papatungan, “Pendekatan Dan Fungsi Afektif Dalam Proses Pembelajaran The Role And Function Of Affective Approaches In Learning,” *Media Online) J. Educ. Cult.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 2986–1012, 2023.
- [32] Akrim, E. Sulasmi, P. Eriska, and F. P. Hidayat, *Kampus Merdeka di Era new Normal Ditinjau dari Perspektif Ilmu Pengetahuan*, vol. 4. 2020.
- [33] M. S. Stalin and G. B. Loganathan, “Ensemble Model for Educational Data Mining Based on Synthetic Minority Oversampling Technique,” pp. 1–14, 2023.
- [34] F. A. Freitas and L. J. Leonard, “Maslow’s hierarchy of needs and student academic success,” *Teach. Learn. Nurs.*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 9–13, 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.teln.2010.07.004.
- [35] Y. S. Mun and T. L. Sam, “Online Learning Motivation During Covid-19 Pandemic: the Role of Learning Environment, Student Self-Efficacy and Learner-Instructor Interaction,” *Malaysian J. Learn. Instr.*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 213–249, 2022, doi: 10.32890/mjli2022.19.2.8.
- [36] J. C. Woods, H. Murzi, and A. L. Schuman, “Effects of Uncertainty Avoidance and Country Culture on Perceptions of Power Distance in the Learning Process,” *ASEE Annu. Conf. Expo. Conf. Proc.*, 2021.
- [37] O. Iparraguirre-Villanueva *et al.*, “Comparison of Predictive Machine Learning Models to Predict the Level of Adaptability of Students in Online Education,” *Int. J. Adv. Comput. Sci. Appl.*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 494–503, 2023, doi: 10.14569/IJACSA.2023.0140455.
- [38] S. Chunwijitra *et al.*, “Development of MOOC service framework for life long learning: A case study of Thai MOOC,” *IEICE Trans. Inf. Syst.*, vol. E103D, no. 5, pp. 1078–1087, 2020, doi: 10.1587/transinf.2019EDP7262.
- [39] C. Amado, N. Dorotea, A. Pedro, and J. Piedade, “MOOCs Design: A Conceptual Framework for Continuous Teacher Training in Portugal,” *Educ. Sci.*, vol. 12, no. 5, 2022, doi: 10.3390/educsci12050308.
- [40] Sekretariat Nasional SDGs, “Pendidikan Berkualitas,” *Sekretariat Nasional SDGs*, 2024. <https://sdgs.bappenas.go.id/17-goals/goal-4/>.
- [41] F. Kurniasari, E. Jusuf, and A. Gunardi, “The Readiness of Indonesian Toward MOOC System,” *Int. J. Eng. Technol.*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 1631–1636 International, 2018, doi: 10.14419/ijet.v7i3.15431.
- [42] K. Fririksðóttir, “The effect of content-related and external factors on student retention in LMOOCs,” *ReCALL*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 128–142, 2021, doi: 10.1017/S0958344021000069.
- [43] J. Goopio and C. Cheung, “The MOOC dropout phenomenon and retention

- strategies,” *J. Teach. Travel Tour.*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 177–197, 2021, doi: 10.1080/15313220.2020.1809050.
- [44] R. Deng, P. Benckendorff, and D. Gannaway, “Progress and new directions for teaching and learning in MOOCs,” *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 129, no. July 2018, pp. 48–60, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2018.10.019.
- [45] M. R. Hagerty, “Testing Maslow’s Hierarchy of Needs: National Quality-of-Life Across Time,” *Soc. Indic. Res.*, vol. 46, pp. 249–271, 1998.
- [46] K. Van De Oudeweetering and O. Agirdag, “MOOCS as Accelerators of Social Mobility? A Systematic Review,” *J. Educ. Technol. Soc.*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 1–11, 2018, doi: 10.2307/26273863.
- [47] A. H. Maslow, *Motivation and personality*, 3rd ed. New York: Longman, 1954.
- [48] N. Alhazzani, “MOOC’s impact on higher education,” *Soc. Sci. Humanit. Open*, vol. 2, no. 1, p. 100030, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.ssaho.2020.100030.
- [49] A. U. Dillah, M. Asbari, and M. Faris, “Educations Guidelines: Merajut Sistem Pendidikan di Negara Berkembang,” *J. Inf. Syst. Manag.*, vol. 2, no. 5, pp. 93–95, 2023.
- [50] H. Khalil and M. Ebner, “MOOCs Completion Rates and Possible Methods to Improve Retention - A Literature Review,” *EdMedia World Conf. Educ. Media Technol.*, vol. 2014, no. 1, pp. 1305–1313, 2014, [Online]. Available: /p/147656/.
- [51] C. Liu, D. Zou, X. Chen, H. Xie, and W. H. Chan, “A bibliometric review on latent topics and trends of the empirical MOOC literature (2008–2019),” *Asia Pacific Educ. Rev.*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 515–534, 2021, doi: 10.1007/s12564-021-09692-y.
- [52] J. A. Ruipérez-Valiente, S. Halawa, R. Slama, and J. Reich, “Using multi-platform learning analytics to compare regional and global MOOC learning in the Arab world,” *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 146, no. November 2019, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103776.
- [53] J. C. Hong, H. S. Hsiao, P. H. Chen, C. C. Lu, K. H. Tai, and C. R. Tsai, “Critical attitude and ability associated with students’ self-confidence and attitude toward ‘predict-observe-explain’ online science inquiry learning,” *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 166, no. February 2020, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2021.104172.
- [54] N. K. Suni Astini, “Tantangan Dan Peluang Pemanfaatan Teknologi Informasi Dalam Pembelajaran Online Masa Covid-19,” *Cetta J. Ilmu Pendidik.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 241–255, 2020, doi: 10.37329/cetta.v3i2.452.
- [55] A. Ngampornchai and J. Adams, “Students’ acceptance and readiness for E-learning in Northeastern Thailand,” *Int. J. Educ. Technol. High. Educ.*, vol. 13, no. 1, 2016, doi: 10.1186/s41239-016-0034-x.
- [56] T. Niebel, “ICT and economic growth – Comparing developing, emerging and developed countries,” *World Dev.*, vol. 104, no. 14, pp. 197–211, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.worlddev.2017.11.024.
- [57] K. Pawlak and M. Kołodziejczak, “The role of agriculture in ensuring food security in developing countries: Considerations in the context of the problem of sustainable food production,” *Sustain.*, vol. 12, no. 13, 2020, doi: 10.3390/su12135488.
- [58] D. Eleyan, S. A. S. Hazineh, and M. Alkhateeb, “E-Government:

- Limitations And Challenges: A General Framework For To Consider In Both Developed And Developing Countries,” *Int. J. Sci. Technol. Res.*, vol. 11, no. January, p. 1, 2022, [Online]. Available: www.ijstr.org.
- [59] Z. Panani, A. Muhajir, and N. Efendi, “Pengembangan Mutu Pendidikan Di Negara Berkembang,” *J. Pendidik. Dan Bhs.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 154–168, 2024.
- [60] Y. H. Ladaria, J. Lumintang, and C. J. Paat, “Kajian Sosiologi tentang Tingkat Kesadaran Pendidikan pada Masyarakat Desa Labuan Kapelak Kecamatan Banggai Selatan Kabupaten Banggai Laut,” *J. Holistik*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 1–15, 2020.
- [61] QI2021, “Developing countries list,” 2020. <https://icqi.org/developing-countries-list/>.
- [62] L. Liliana, P. I. Santosa, and S. S. Kusumawardani, “Completion factor in massive open online course in developing countries: A literature review in 2015-2021,” *World J. Educ. Technol. Curr. Issues*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 456–472, 2022, doi: 10.18844/wjet.v14i2.6919.
- [63] I. K. N. Suprabawati, “Peningkatan Minat Belajar Mahasiswa Dengan Menggunakan Metode Pembelajaran Kooperatif Window Shopping Pada Mata Kuliah Ekonomi Pembangunan,” *J. Ilm. Hosp.*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 1–6, 2020, [Online]. Available: <https://stp-mataram-e-journal.id/JIH/article/view/36%0Ahttps://stp-mataram-e-journal.id/JIH/article/download/36/31>.
- [64] H. Mohammadi, “Investigating users’ perspectives on e-learning: An integration of TAM and IS success model,” *Comput. Human Behav.*, vol. 45, pp. 359–374, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2014.07.044.
- [65] H. Mohammadi, “Social and individual antecedents of m-learning adoption in Iran,” *Comput. Human Behav.*, vol. 49, pp. 191–207, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2015.03.006.
- [66] A. Tarhini, K. Hone, and X. Liu, “User Acceptance Towards Web-based Learning Systems : Investigating the role of Social , Organizational and Individual factors in European Higher Education,” *Procedia Comput. Sci.*, vol. 17, pp. 189–197, 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.procs.2013.05.026.
- [67] N. M. Sabah, “Exploring students’ awareness and perceptions: Influencing factors and individual differences driving m-learning adoption,” *Comput. Human Behav.*, vol. 65, pp. 522–533, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2016.09.009.
- [68] H. B. Shapiro, C. H. Lee, N. E. Wyman Roth, K. Li, M. Çetinkaya-Rundel, and D. A. Canelas, “Understanding the massive open online course (MOOC) student experience: An examination of attitudes, motivations, and barriers,” *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 110, pp. 35–50, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2017.03.003.
- [69] S. Cacciamani, “Experimental Learning and Knowledge Building in Higher Education,” *J. e-Learning Knowl. Soc.*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 27–38, 2017, [Online]. Available: <https://www.learntechlib.org/p/188122/>.
- [70] R. Riani and N. Samatan, “Pengaruh Influencer Marketing dan Viral Marketing Terhadap Pengambilan Keputusan Penggunaan Aplikasi TikTok,” *Int. J. Comput. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 110–115, 2020.
- [71] L. Briz-Ponce, A. Pereira, L. Carvalho, J. A. Juanes-Méndez, and F. J.

- García-Peñalvo, “Learning with mobile technologies – Students’ behavior,” *Comput. Human Behav.*, vol. 72, pp. 612–620, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2016.05.027.
- [72] Y. S. Poong, S. Yamaguchi, and J. I. Takada, “Investigating the drivers of mobile learning acceptance among young adults in the World Heritage town of Luang Prabang, Laos,” *Inf. Dev.*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 57–71, 2017, doi: 10.1177/0266666916638136.
- [73] B. Wu and X. Chen, “Continuance intention to use MOOCs: Integrating the technology acceptance model (TAM) and task technology fit (TTF) model,” *Comput. Human Behav.*, vol. 67, pp. 221–232, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2016.10.028.
- [74] Y. Zhao, A. Wang, and Y. Sun, “Technological environment, virtual experience, and MOOC continuance: A stimulus–organism–response perspective,” *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 144, no. October 2019, p. 103721, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103721.
- [75] M. Adamovic, “How does employee cultural background influence the effects of telework on job stress? The roles of power distance, individualism, and beliefs about telework,” *Int. J. Inf. Manage.*, vol. 62, no. November 2020, p. 102437, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2021.102437.
- [76] C. Ching-Ter, J. Hajiyev, and C. R. Su, “Examining the students’ behavioral intention to use e-learning in Azerbaijan? The General Extended Technology Acceptance Model for E-learning approach,” *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 111, pp. 128–143, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2017.04.010.
- [77] S. Iqbal and Z. A. Bhatti, “What drives m-learning ? An empirical investigation of university student perceptions in Pakistan,” *High. Educ. Res. Dev.*, vol. 0, no. 0, pp. 1–17, 2016, doi: 10.1080/07294360.2016.1236782.
- [78] I. Ajzen, “Perceived behavioral control, self-efficacy, locus of control, and the theory of planned behavior,” *J. Appl. Soc. Psychol.*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 665–683, 2002, doi: 10.1111/j.1559-1816.2002.tb00236.x.
- [79] S. Y. Park, M. W. Nam, and S. B. Cha, “University students’ behavioral intention to use mobile learning: Evaluating the technology acceptance model,” *Br. J. Educ. Technol.*, vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 592–605, 2012, doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8535.2011.01229.x.
- [80] K. L. Hsiao and C. C. Chen, “How do we inspire children to learn with e-readers?,” *Libr. Hi Tech*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 584–596, 2015, doi: 10.1108/LHT-04-2015-0038.
- [81] H.-H. Chung, S.-C. Chen, and M.-H. Kuo, “A Study of EFL College Students’ Acceptance of Mobile Learning,” *Procedia - Soc. Behav. Sci.*, vol. 176, pp. 333–339, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.01.479.
- [82] P. G. Altbach, “MOOCs as Neocolonialism : Who Controls Knowledge ?,” no. 75, pp. 1–7, 2014.
- [83] X. Yang, “The Cultural Factors in the MOOC Design in China from Hofstede’s Cultural Dimensions,” *Theory Pract. Lang. Stud.*, vol. 9, no. 10, pp. 1253–1269, 2019, doi: 10.17507/tpls.0910.01.
- [84] D. F. Francielle de Oliveira and R. J. da Silva, “Understanding Cultural Determinants of MOOCs Offering: A Cross-Country Study,” *Turkish Online J. Distance Educ.*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 195–205, 2020, doi:

- 10.17718/TOJDE.803405.
- [85] F. D. Davis, R. P. Bagozzi, and P. R. Warshaw, “Extrinsic and Intrinsic Motivation to Use Computers in the Workplace,” *J. Appl. Soc. Psychol.*, vol. 22, no. 14, pp. 1111–1132, 1992, doi: 10.1111/j.1559-1816.1992.tb00945.x.
- [86] K. A. Douglas, H. E. Merzdorf, N. M. Hicks, M. I. Sarfraz, and P. Bermel, “Challenges to assessing motivation in MOOC learners: An application of an argument-based approach,” *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 150, no. September 2019, p. 103829, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2020.103829.
- [87] J. Rowley, “Quality Assurance in Education Emerald Article : Motivation and academic staff in higher education Motivation and academic staff in higher education,” *Qual. Assur. Educ.*, vol. 4, no. 1996, pp. 11–16, 2005, doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/09684889610125814> Downloaded.
- [88] C. Seemiller, “Motivation, learning, and communication preferences of Generation Z students,” *eHearsay Electron. J. Ohio Speech-Language Hear. Assoc.*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 4–9, 2017.
- [89] B. Li, X. Wang, and S. C. Tan, “What makes MOOC users persist in completing MOOCs? A perspective from network externalities and human factors,” *Comput. Human Behav.*, vol. 85, pp. 385–395, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2018.04.028.
- [90] C. Thompson and J. B. Gregory, “Managing Millennials: A Framework for Improving Attraction, Motivation, and Retention,” *Psychol. J.*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 237–246, 2012, doi: 10.1080/10887156.2012.730444.
- [91] A. Littlejohn, N. Hood, C. Milligan, and P. Mustain, “Learning in MOOCs: Motivations and self-regulated learning in MOOCs,” *Internet High. Educ.*, vol. 29, pp. 40–48, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.iheduc.2015.12.003.
- [92] C. Antonelli, “Digital knowledge generation and the appropriability trade-off,” *Telecomm. Policy*, vol. 41, no. 10, pp. 991–1002, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.telpol.2016.12.002.
- [93] V. Chotia and N. V. M. Rao, “Investigating the interlinkages between infrastructure development, poverty and rural–urban income inequality: Evidence from BRICS nations,” *Stud. Econ. Financ.*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 466–484, 2017, doi: 10.1108/SEF-07-2016-0159.
- [94] D. Al-Fraihat, M. Joy, R. Masa’deh, and J. Sinclair, “Evaluating E-learning systems success: An empirical study,” *Comput. Human Behav.*, vol. 102, no. March 2019, pp. 67–86, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2019.08.004.
- [95] “International Education Database,” *New Jersey Minority Educational Development*, 2024. <https://worldtop20.org/education-database/>.
- [96] Lestari Moerdijat, “Dorong Ekosistem Pendidikan yang Lebih Inovatif dan Adaptif,” *MPR*, 2023. [https://www.mpr.go.id/berita/Dorong-Ekosistem-Pendidikan-yang-Lebih-Inovatif-dan-Adaptif#:~:text=Situs worldtop20.org pada 2023,peringkat 67 dari 203 negara](https://www.mpr.go.id/berita/Dorong-Ekosistem-Pendidikan-yang-Lebih-Inovatif-dan-Adaptif#:~:text=Situs%20worldtop20.org%20pada%202023,peringkat%2067%20dari%20203%20negara.).
- [97] M. Tohir, “Buku Panduan Merdeka Belajar - Kampus Merdeka,” 2020, doi: 10.31219/osf.io/ujmte.
- [98] T. Koç, A. H. Turan, and A. Okursoy, “Acceptance and usage of a mobile information system in higher education: An empirical study with structural equation modeling,” *Int. J. Manag. Educ.*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 286–300, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.ijme.2016.06.001.

- [99] M. Bakhsh, A. Mahmood, and N. A. Sangi, "Examination of factors influencing students and faculty behavior towards m-learning acceptance: An empirical study," *Int. J. Inf. Learn. Technol.*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 166–188, 2017, doi: 10.1108/IJILT-08-2016-0028.
- [100] V. N. Hoi, "Understanding higher education learners' acceptance and use of mobile devices for language learning: A Rasch-based path modeling approach," *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 146, no. August 2019, p. 103761, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103761.
- [101] D. Liu and X. Guo, "Exploring gender differences in acceptance of mobile computing devices among college students," *Inf. Syst. E-bus. Manag.*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 197–223, 2017, doi: 10.1007/s10257-016-0315-x.
- [102] S. A. Raza, A. Umer, W. Qazi, and M. Makhdoom, "The Effects of Attitudinal, Normative, and Control Beliefs on M-Learning Adoption Among the Students of Higher Education in Pakistan," *J. Educ. Comput. Res.*, vol. 56, no. 4, pp. 563–588, 2017, doi: 10.1177/0735633117715941.
- [103] J. K. Fatima, P. Ghandforoush, M. Khan, and R. di Masico, "Role of innovativeness and self-efficacy in tourism m-learning," *Tour. Rev.*, 2017, [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1108/TR-02-2017-0019>.
- [104] M. A. Almaiah, "Acceptance and usage of a mobile information system services in University of Jordan," *Educ. Inf. Technol.*, vol. 23, no. 5, pp. 1873–1895, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s10639-018-9694-6.
- [105] M. Al-Emran, V. Mezhuyev, and A. Kamaludin, "Technology Acceptance Model in M-learning context: A systematic review," *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 125, no. August 2017, pp. 389–412, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2018.06.008.
- [106] N. A. Shukor and Z. Abdullah, "Using learning analytics to improve MOOC instructional design," *Int. J. Emerg. Technol. Learn.*, vol. 14, no. 24, pp. 6–17, 2019, doi: 10.3991/ijet.v14i24.12185.
- [107] R. Nadlifatin, B. Ardiansyahmiraja, and S. F. Persada, "The measurement of university students' intention to use blended learning system through technology acceptance model (tam) and theory of planned behavior (TPB) at developed and developing regions: Lessons learned from Taiwan and Indonesia," *Int. J. Emerg. Technol. Learn.*, vol. 15, no. 9, pp. 219–230, 2020, doi: 10.3991/ijet.v15i09.11517.
- [108] A. U. Khan, K. U. Khan, F. Atlas, S. Akhtar, and F. Khan, "Critical factors influencing moocs retention: The mediating role of information technology," *Turkish Online J. Distance Educ.*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 82–101, 2021, doi: 10.17718/tojde.1002776.
- [109] M. Rõõm, M. Lepp, and P. Luik, "Dropout time and learners' performance in computer programming moocs," *Educ. Sci.*, vol. 11, no. 10, 2021, doi: 10.3390/educsci11100643.
- [110] Sarbaini, Jumadi, Abbas, and Rajiani, "Managing E-Learning in Public Universities by Investigating the Role of Culture," *POLISH J. Manag. Stud.*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 394–404, 2019, doi: 10.17512/pjms.2019.20.1.34.
- [111] S. S. Al-gahtani and G. Hubona, "Information technology (IT) in Saudi Arabia: Culture and the acceptance and use of IT," *Inf. Manag.*, no. December, 2007, doi: 10.1016/j.im.2007.09.002.
- [112] S. Ardchir, M. A. Talhaoui, H. Jihal, and M. Azzouazi, "Predicting MOOC

- dropout based on learner's activity," *Int. J. Eng. & Technol.*, vol. 7, no. 4.32, pp. 124–126, 2018, [Online]. Available: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/332353737%0APredicting>.
- [113] S. Assami, N. Daoudi, and R. Ajhoun, "Implementation of a Machine Learning-Based MOOC Recommender System Using Learner Motivation Prediction," *Int. J. Eng. Pedagog.*, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 68–85, 2022, doi: 10.3991/ijep.v12i5.30523.
- [114] X. Yu, "MOOC Teaching Management Scheme Based on Optimized SVM," *Adv. Transdiscipl. Eng.*, vol. 47, pp. 701–708, 2024, doi: 10.3233/ATDE231247.
- [115] J. M. Andres-Bray, S. Hutt, and R. S. Baker, "Exploring Cross-Country Prediction Model Generalizability in MOOCs," *L@S 2023 - Proc. 10th ACM Conf. Learn. @ Scale*, pp. 183–193, 2023, doi: 10.1145/3573051.3593380.
- [116] S. Nagrecha, J. Z. Dillon, and N. V. Chawla, "MOOC dropout prediction: Lessons learned from making pipelines interpretable," in *WWW '17 Companion: Proceedings of the 26th International Conference on World Wide Web Companion*, 2019, no. April, pp. 351–359, doi: 10.1145/3041021.3054162.
- [117] Z. Yujiao, L. W. Ang, S. Shaomin, and S. Palaniappan, "Dropout Prediction Model for College Students in MOOCs Based on Weighted Multi-feature and SVM," *J. Informatics Web Eng.*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 29–42, 2023, doi: 10.33093/jiwe.2023.2.2.3.
- [118] C.-H. Yu, J. Wu, and A.-C. Liu, "Predicting Learning Outcomes with MOOC Clickstreams," *Educ. Sci.*, vol. 9, 2019, doi: doi:10.3390/educsci9020104.
- [119] T. Panagiotakopoulos, S. Kotsiantis, G. Kostopoulos, O. Iatrellis, and A. Kameas, "Early dropout prediction in moocs through supervised learning and hyperparameter optimization," *Electron.*, vol. 10, no. 14, 2021, doi: 10.3390/electronics10141701.
- [120] S. Rawat, D. Kumar, P. Kumar, and C. Khattri, "A systematic analysis using classification machine learning algorithms to understand why learners drop out of MOOCs," *Neural Comput. Appl.*, vol. 3, 2021, doi: 10.1007/s00521-021-06122-3.
- [121] E. Alyahyan and D. Düşteğör, "Predicting academic success in higher education: literature review and best practices," *Int. J. Educ. Technol. High. Educ.*, vol. 17, no. 1, 2020, doi: 10.1186/s41239-020-0177-7.
- [122] F. Arinalhaq and A. Widyanti, "The Role of National Culture on the Design and Usability of E-Commerce Websites: An Indonesian Case," *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.*, vol. 1175, no. 1, 2019, doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/1175/1/012192.
- [123] S. Rizvi, B. Rienties, J. Rogaten, and R. F. Kizilcec, "Are MOOC learning designs culturally inclusive (enough)?," *J. Comput. Assist. Learn.*, no. September, pp. 1–17, 2023, doi: 10.1111/jcal.12883.
- [124] G. Hofstede, G. J. Hofstede, and M. Minkov, *Cultures and Organizations: Software of the Mind (Intercultural Cooperation and Its Importance for Survival)*, no. 5671. McGraw-Hill eBooks, 2010.
- [125] J. G. P. Negara, "Long Term Orientation Moderating Effect on Acceptance of Jogja Smart Service in Countries with Pragmatic Society," *Indones. J.*

- Inf. Syst.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 138–148, 2021.
- [126] A. Fini, “The Technological Dimension of a Massive Open Online Course : The Case of the CCK08 Course Tools,” vol. 10, no. 5, 2009.
- [127] R. Ghorbani and R. Ghousi, “Comparing Different Resampling Methods in Predicting Students’ Performance Using Machine Learning Techniques,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, no. April, pp. 67899–67911, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2986809.
- [128] P. Chatwattana, “A MOOC system with self-directed learning in a digital university,” *Glob. J. Eng. Educ.*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 134–142, 2021.
- [129] J. Reich and J. A. Ruipérez-Valiente, “The MOOC Pivot: From Teaching the World to Online Professional Degrees,” *Science (80-.)*, vol. 363, no. 6423, pp. 130–131, 2019, doi: 10.1126/science.aav7958.
- [130] N. Abhishek, A. Kulal, M. S. Divyashree, and S. Dinesh, “Effectiveness of MOOCs on learning efficiency of students: a perception study,” *J. Res. Innov. Teach. Learn.*, no. April, 2023, doi: 10.1108/JRIT-12-2022-0091.
- [131] F. Iniesto, P. McAndrew, S. Minocha, and T. Coughlan, “Accessibility in MOOCs: The stakeholders’ perspectives,” in *Open World Learning: Research, Innovation and the Challenges of High-Quality Education*, vol. 6, 2021, pp. 119–130.
- [132] M. S. Ramirez-Montoya, J. C. Weber, G. Cox, and G. C. Tenorio-Sepulveda, “Inclusive Digital Education on Open Platforms: A Case Study of the Complexity of the Future of Education,” *Comput. Sch.*, vol. 0, no. 0, pp. 1–18, 2024, doi: 10.1080/07380569.2024.2322164.
- [133] H. A. Al Abdullatif and J. Angel Velazquez-Iturbide, “Who Will Continue Using MOOCs in the Future? Personality Traits Perspective,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 52841–52851, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2979180.
- [134] K. F. Hew and W. S. Cheung, “Students’ and instructors’ use of massive open online courses (MOOCs): Motivations and challenges,” *Educ. Res. Rev.*, vol. 12, pp. 45–58, 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.edurev.2014.05.001.
- [135] M. Kopp and M. Ebner, “Certification of MOOCs – Advantages, Challenges and Practical Experiences,” *Rev. Esp. Pedagog.*, vol. 75, no. 266, pp. 83–100, 2017, doi: 10.22550/REP75-1-2017-05.
- [136] T. Nugrahadi, “Perilaku Pemilihan Media Belajar dalam MOOC dan Dampaknya Terhadap Pemahaman Awal Peserta,” *Pros. PITNAS 2023*, no. 70, pp. 57–62, 2024, [Online]. Available: <https://ejournal.iwi.or.id/ojs/index.php/prosiding/article/view/235%0Ahttps://ejournal.iwi.or.id/ojs/index.php/prosiding/article/download/235/110>.
- [137] J. P. Nasution, L. A. Yunisa, and P. P. Padillah, “Utilization of ICE Institute as one of the MOOCs for universities in Indonesia,” *Hipkin J. Educ. Res.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 215–226, 2024.
- [138] J. P. Howarth, S. D’Alessandro, L. Johnson, and L. White, “Learner motivation for MOOC registration and the role of MOOCs as a university ‘taster,’” *Int. J. Lifelong Educ.*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 74–85, 2016, doi: 10.1080/02601370.2015.1122667.
- [139] Y. Jung and J. Lee, “Learning Engagement and Persistence in Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs),” *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 122, no. April 2017, pp. 9–22, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2018.02.013.
- [140] Y. Jung and J. Lee, “Learning Engagement and Persistence in Massive

- Open Online Courses (MOOCS),” *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 122, no. February, pp. 9–22, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2018.02.013.
- [141] N. Nistor, A. Göğüş, and T. Lerche, “Educational technology acceptance across national and professional cultures: A European study,” *Educ. Technol. Res. Dev.*, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 733–749, 2013, doi: 10.1007/sl.
- [142] V. Jafari-Sadeghi, A. Garcia-Perez, E. Canelo, and J. Couturier, “Exploring the impact of digital transformation on technology entrepreneurship and technological market expansion: The role of technology readiness, exploration and exploitation,” *J. Bus. Res.*, vol. 124, pp. 100–111, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.11.020.
- [143] D. Grewal, J. Hulland, P. K. Kopalle, and E. Karahanna, “The future of technology and marketing: a multidisciplinary perspective,” *J. Acad. Mark. Sci.*, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 1–8, 2020, doi: 10.1007/s11747-019-00711-4.
- [144] M. A. Hasan, N. F. M. Noor, S. S. B. A. Rahman, and M. M. Rahman, “The transition from intelligent to affective tutoring system: A review and open issues,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 204612–204638, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3036990.
- [145] C. Salazar, J. Aguilar, J. Monsalve-Pulido, and E. Montoya, “Affective recommender systems in the educational field. A systematic literature review,” *Comput. Sci. Rev.*, vol. 40, p. 100377, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.cosrev.2021.100377.
- [146] M. Polignano, F. Narducci, M. de Gemmis, and G. Semeraro, “Towards Emotion-aware Recommender Systems: an Affective Coherence Model based on Emotion-driven Behaviors,” *Expert Syst. Appl.*, vol. 170, no. December 2020, p. 114382, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.eswa.2020.114382.
- [147] L. Duboc *et al.*, “Requirements Engineering for Sustainability : An Awareness Framework for Designing Software Systems for a Better Tomorrow,” *Requir. Eng.*, vol. 25, pp. 469–492, 2020, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00766-020-00336-y>.
- [148] L. M. Abu Zohair, “Prediction of Student’s performance by modelling small dataset size,” *Int. J. Educ. Technol. High. Educ.*, vol. 16, no. 1, 2019, doi: 10.1186/s41239-019-0160-3.
- [149] I. Uddin, A. S. Imran, K. Muhammad, N. Fayyaz, and M. Sajjad, “A Systematic Mapping Review on MOOC Recommender Systems,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 118379–118405, 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3101039.
- [150] M. Edalati, A. S. Imran, Z. Kastrati, and S. M. Daudpota, “The Potential of Machine Learning Algorithms for Sentiment Classification of Students’ Feedback on MOOC,” *Lect. Notes Networks Syst.*, vol. 296, pp. 11–22, 2022, doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-82199-9_2.
- [151] K. Pasupa and W. Sunhem, “A comparison between shallow and deep architecture classifiers on small dataset,” *Proc. 2016 8th Int. Conf. Inf. Technol. Electr. Eng. Empower. Technol. Better Futur. ICITEE 2016*, 2017, doi: 10.1109/ICITEED.2016.7863293.
- [152] M. Riekert, M. Riekert, and A. Klein, “Simple Baseline Machine Learning Text Classifiers for Small Datasets,” *SN Comput. Sci.*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 1–16, 2021, doi: 10.1007/s42979-021-00480-4.
- [153] J.-B. Wang, C.-A. Zou, and G.-H. Fu, “AWSMOTE: An SVM-Based

- Adaptive Weighted SMOTE for Class-Imbalance Learning,” *Sci. Program.*, 2021, [Online]. Available:
<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1155/2021/9947621>.
- [154] N. G. Ramadhan, “Comparative Analysis of ADASYN-SVM and SMOTE-SVM Methods on the Detection of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus,” *Sci. J. Informatics*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 276–282, 2021, doi: 10.15294/sji.v8i2.32484.
- [155] S. DEMİR and E. K. ŞAHİN, “Evaluation of Oversampling Methods (OVER, SMOTE, and ROSE) in Classifying Soil Liquefaction Dataset based on SVM, RF, and Naïve Bayes,” *Eur. J. Sci. Technol.*, no. 34, pp. 142–147, 2022, doi: 10.31590/ejosat.1077867.
- [156] S. Limanto, J. L. Buliali, and A. Saikhu, “GLoW SMOTE-D: Oversampling Technique to Improve Prediction Model Performance of Students Failure in Courses,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 12, no. November 2023, pp. 8889–8901, 2024, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3351569.
- [157] T. Fahrudin, J. L. Buliali, and C. Fatichah, “Enhancing the performance of smote algorithm by using attribute weighting scheme and new selective sampling method for imbalanced data set,” *Int. J. Innov. Comput. Inf. Control*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 423–444, 2019, doi: 10.24507/ijicic.15.02.423.
- [158] K. Jordan, “Massive Open Online Course Completion Rates Revisited: Assessment, Length and Attrition,” *Int. Rev. Res. Open Distrib. Learn.*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 341–258, 2015, [Online]. Available:
<http://www.irrodl.org/index.php/irrodl/article/view/2112/3394>.
- [159] A. Lorenz, M. Dubrau, and A. Gumpert, “Open Online Courses in the context of higher education: an evaluation of a German cMOOC,” in *Proceedings of the European MOOC Stakeholder Summit 2014*, 2014, no. December, p. 293, [Online]. Available:
<http://www.emoocs2014.eu/sites/default/files/Proceedings-Moocs-Summit-2014.pdf>.
- [160] W. Feng, J. Tang, and T. X. Liu, “Understanding Dropouts in MOOCs,” *Proc. AAAI Conf. Artif. Intell.*, vol. 33, pp. 517–524, 2019, doi: 10.1609/aaai.v33i01.3301517.
- [161] M. G. Sembiring, “Modeling the determinants of student retention in distance education institutions,” *Int. J. Contin. Educ. Lifelong Learn.*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 15–28, 2014, [Online]. Available:
<http://ezproxy.staffs.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=ehh&AN=96279435&site=ehost-live>.
- [162] D. Koller, A. Ng, C. Do, and Z. Chen, “Retention and Intention in Massive Open Online Courses: In Depth,” *EDUCAUSE Review Online*, 2013.
<https://er.educause.edu/articles/2013/6/retention-and-intention-in-massive-open-online-courses-in-depth>.
- [163] K. Thompson, “THINGS YOU SHOULD KNOW ABOUT ...™ MOOCs,” *Educ. Learn. Initiat.*, pp. 1–2, 2011, [Online]. Available:
<https://library.educause.edu/~media/files/library/2011/11/eli7078-pdf.pdf>.
- [164] T. R. Liyanagunawardena, A. A. Adams, and S. A. Williams, “MOOCs: A systematic study of the published literature 2008-2012,” *Int. Rev. Res. Open Distance Learn.*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 202–227, 2013, doi: 10.19173/irrodl.v14i3.1455.
- [165] L. Yuan and S. Powell, “MOOCs and Open Education,” *MOOCs Open*

- Educ. Around World*, pp. 1–29, 2018, doi: 10.4324/9781315751108-1.
- [166] M. Gaebel, *MOOCs: Massive Open Online Courses*. EUA - European University Association, 2013.
- [167] A. Mcauley, B. Stewart, G. Siemens, and D. Cormier, *THE MOOC MODEL FOR DIGITAL PRACTICE*. the University of Prince Edward Island, 2010.
- [168] C. M. Stracke, S. Downes, G. Conole, D. Burgos, and F. Nascimbeni, “Are MOOCs Open Educational Resources? A literature review on history, definitions and typologies of OER and MOOCs,” *Open Prax.*, vol. 11, no. 4, p. 331, 2019, doi: 10.5944/openpraxis.11.4.1010.
- [169] M. Ally, M. A. Embi, and H. Norman, *The Impact of MOOCs on Distance Education in Malaysia and Beyond*. Routledge, 2019.
- [170] R. Rivard, “Measuring the MOOC Dropout Rate,” *Inside Higher Ed*, 2013.
- [171] Y. Chen and M. Zhang, “MOOC student dropout: Pattern and prevention,” *ACM Int. Conf. Proceeding Ser.*, vol. Part F1277, 2017, doi: 10.1145/3063955.3063959.
- [172] A. Margaryan, M. Bianco, and A. Littlejohn, “Instructional quality of Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs),” *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 80, pp. 77–83, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2014.08.005.
- [173] X. Peng and Q. Xu, “Investigating learners’ behaviors and discourse content in MOOC course reviews,” *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 143, no. December 2018, p. 103673, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103673.
- [174] L. F. Liao, “A flow theory perspective on learner motivation and behavior in distance education,” *Distance Educ.*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 45–62, 2006, doi: 10.1080/01587910600653215.
- [175] R. B. Marks, S. D. Sibley, and J. B. Arbaugh, “A structural equation model of predictors for effective online learning,” *J. Manag. Educ.*, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 531–563, 2005, doi: 10.1177/1052562904271199.
- [176] M. Zhu, A. Sari, and C. J. Bonk, “A Systematic Review of MOOC Research Methods and Topics : Comparing 2014-2016 and 2016-2017.” pp. 1–16, 2018.
- [177] C. J. Bonk, M. Zhu, M. Kim, S. Xu, N. Sabir, and A. R. Sari, “Pushing Toward a More Personalized MOOC: Exploring Instructor Selected Activities, Resources, and Technologies for MOOC Design and Implementation.,” *Int. Rev. Res. Open Distrib. Learn.*, vol. 19, no. 4, 2018, [Online]. Available: <https://www.erudit.org/en/journals/irrodl/2018-v19-n4-irrodl04233/1055530ar.pdf>.
- [178] P. Kumar, A. Kumar, S. Palvia, and S. Verma, “Online business education research: Systematic analysis and a conceptual model,” *Int. J. Manag. Educ.*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 26–35, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.ijme.2018.11.002.
- [179] Q. Li and R. Baker, “The different relationships between engagement and outcomes across participant subgroups in Massive Open Online Courses,” *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 127, no. April 2017, pp. 41–65, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2018.08.005.
- [180] N. Hood, A. Littlejohn, and C. Milligan, “Context counts: How learners’ contexts influence learning in a MOOC,” *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 91, pp. 83–91, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2015.10.019.
- [181] L. Barnard-Brak, W. Y. Lan, and V. O. Paton, “Profiles in Self-Regulated

- Learning in the Online Learning Environment,” *Int. Rev. Res. Open Distance Learn.*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 55–78, 2010, doi: <https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v11i1.769>.
- [182] A. Nurhudatiana, A. Anggraeni, and S. Putra, “An exploratory study of MOOC adoption in Indonesia,” *ACM Int. Conf. Proceeding Ser.*, pp. 97–101, 2019, doi: 10.1145/3337682.3337690.
- [183] B. K. Pursel, L. Zhang, K. W. Jablokow, G. W. Choi, and D. Velegol, “Understanding MOOC students: Motivations and behaviours indicative of MOOC completion,” *J. Comput. Assist. Learn.*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 202–217, 2016, doi: 10.1111/jcal.12131.
- [184] K. M. Alraimi, H. Zo, and A. P. Ciganek, “Understanding the MOOCs continuance: The role of openness and reputation,” *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 80, pp. 28–38, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2014.08.006.
- [185] M. S. McPherson and L. S. Bacow, “Online higher education: Beyond the hype cycle,” *J. Econ. Perspect.*, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 135–154, 2015, doi: 10.1257/jep.29.4.135.
- [186] J. Qiu *et al.*, “Modeling and predicting learning behavior in MOOCs,” *WSDM 2016 - Proc. 9th ACM Int. Conf. Web Search Data Min.*, pp. 93–102, 2016, doi: 10.1145/2835776.2835842.
- [187] A. I. Cristea, A. Alamri, M. Kayama, C. Stewart, M. Alshehri, and L. Shi, “Earliest Predictor of Dropout in MOOCs: A Longitudinal Study of FutureLearn Courses,” in *27TH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT*, 2018, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 210–222, [Online]. Available: <https://aisel.aisnet.org/isd2014/proceedings2018/Education/5/>.
- [188] UT, “Tentang Universitas Terbuka,” 2020, 2020. <https://www.ut.ac.id/tentang-ut> (accessed Jun. 23, 2020).
- [189] F. D. Davis, “a Technology Acceptance Model for Empirically Testing New End-User Information System: Theory and Results,” 1985.
- [190] M. J. Mortenson and R. Vidgen, “A computational literature review of the technology acceptance model,” *Int. J. Inf. Manage.*, vol. 36, no. 6, pp. 1248–1259, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2016.07.007.
- [191] L. G. Wallace and S. D. Sheetz, “The adoption of software measures: A technology acceptance model (TAM) perspective,” *Inf. Manag.*, vol. 51, no. 2, pp. 249–259, 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.im.2013.12.003.
- [192] V. Venkatesh, M. G. Morris, G. B. Davis, and F. D. Davis, “User Acceptance of Information Technology: Toward a Unified View,” *MIS Q. Manag. Inf. Syst.*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 425–478, 2003, doi: <https://doi.org/10.2307/30036540>.
- [193] V. Venkatesh, J. Thong, and X. Xu, “Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology : A Synthesis and the Road Ahead,” *J. Assoc. Inf. Syst.*, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. 328–376, 2016.
- [194] K. A. Alshare and A. A. Mousa, “The Moderating Effect of Espoused Cultural Dimensions on Consumer’s Intention to Use Mobile Payment Devices,” *Thirty Fifth Int. Conf. Inf. Syst. Glob. Cult. Issues IS*, pp. 1–15, 2014.
- [195] M. S. Perdhana, “CULTURAL VALUES AND LEADERSHIP STYLES OF MANAGERS IN INDONESIA : Javanese and Chinese Indonesians,”

- Deakin University Submitted, 2014.
- [196] R. Setyaningrum, A. R. Wijaya, and Subagyo, “The Characteristics of Society in Indonesian Based on the Hofstede Cultural Dimensions : Measuring the Five Indonesian Island,” *Int. J. Cult. Art Stud.*, vol. 06, no. 1, pp. 60–74, 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.32734/ijcas.v6i1.8720>.
- [197] V. Novianti, “Analisis Dimensi Budaya Nasional Hofstede Pada PT PKG Lautan Indonesia,” vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 58–70, 2022.
- [198] K. R. Novianti, “Cultural dimension issues in Indonesia human resource management practices: a structured literature review,” *Manag. Econ. J.*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 245–256, 2018, doi: 10.18860/mec-j.v0i0.5073.
- [199] H. Insights, “WHAT ABOUT INDONESIA?,” 2022. <https://www.hofstede-insights.com/country/indonesia/> (accessed Jul. 29, 2022).
- [200] M. Wu, “Hofstede’s Cultural Dimensions 30 Years Later : A Study of Taiwan and the United States,” *Intercult. Commun. Stud. XV 1 2006*, no. 1984, pp. 33–42, 2006.
- [201] E. L. Deci, H. Eghrarl, B. C. Patrick, and D. R. Leone, “Facilitating Internalization : The Self-Determinatlon Theory Perspective,” *J. Pers.*, vol. 62, no. March 1994, pp. 119–142, 1994, [Online]. Available: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1467-6494.1994.tb00797.x>.
- [202] M. Gagné and E. L. Deci, “Self-determination theory and work motivation,” *J. Organ. Behav.*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 331–362, 2005, doi: 10.1002/job.322.
- [203] O. B. Nasution, “Hirarki Kebutuhan Maslow,” *Stieykpn*, 2020. <https://www.stieykpn.ac.id/read/440/hirarki-kebutuhan-maslow.html>.
- [204] M. K. Sharma, S. K. Chaturvedi, and N. Suma, “Technology use expression of Maslow’s hierarchy need,” *Asian J. Psychiatr.*, vol. 48, no. November 2019, p. 101895, Feb. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2019.101895.
- [205] D. C. Li and C. W. Liu, “A class possibility based kernel to increase classification accuracy for small data sets using support vector machines,” *Expert Syst. Appl.*, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 3104–3110, 2010, doi: 10.1016/j.eswa.2009.09.019.
- [206] B. Schölkopf, “An Introduction to Support Vector Machines,” in *Computing and Information Sciences: Recent Trends*, Narosa Publishing House, 2002, pp. 3–17.
- [207] S. Nurhayati, E. T. Luthfi, and U. Y. Papua, “Prediksi Mahasiswa Drop Out Menggunakan Metode Support Vector,” *Prediksi menggunakan SVM*, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 82–93, 2015.
- [208] J. Cervantes, F. Garcia-Lamont, L. Rodríguez-Mazahua, and A. Lopez, “A comprehensive survey on support vector machine classification: Applications, challenges and trends,” *Neurocomputing*, vol. 408, no. xxxx, pp. 189–215, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.neucom.2019.10.118.
- [209] D. A. Pisner and D. M. Schnyer, *Support vector machine*. Elsevier Inc., 2019.
- [210] D. Gyoten, M. Ohkubo, and Y. Nagata, “**Imbalanced data classification procedure based on SMOTE**,” *Total Qual. Sci.*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 64–71, 2020, doi: 10.17929/tqs.5.64.

- [211] M. Pazzani, C. Merz, P. Murphy, K. Ali, T. Hume, and C. Brunk, "Reducing Misclassification Costs," *Proc. 11th Int. Conf. Mach. Learn. ICML 1994*, pp. 217–225, 1994, doi: 10.1016/B978-1-55860-335-6.50034-9.
- [212] N. Japkowicz, "Learning from imbalanced data sets: a comparison of various strategies," *AAAI Work. Learn. from Imbalanced Data Sets*, pp. 0–5, 2000.
- [213] T. Fahrudin, J. L. Buliali, and C. Fatichah, "RANDSHUFF: An algorithm to handle imbalance class for qualitative data," *Int. Rev. Comput. Softw.*, vol. 11, no. 12, pp. 1093–1104, 2016, doi: 10.15866/irecos.v11i12.10956.
- [214] G. Hofstede, "Cultural Differences in Teaching and Learning," *Int. J. Intercult. Relations*, vol. 10, pp. 301–320, 1986.
- [215] T. Sukwika, "Peran Pembangunan Infrastruktur terhadap Ketimpangan Ekonomi Antarwilayah di Indonesia," *J. Wil. dan Lingkung.*, vol. 6, no. 2, p. 115, 2018, doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.14710/jwl.6.2.115-130>.
- [216] M. Campo, A. Amandi, and J. C. Biset, "A software architecture perspective about Moodle flexibility for supporting empirical research of teaching theories," *Educ. Inf. Technol.*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 817–842, 2021, doi: 10.1007/s10639-020-10291-4.
- [217] Y. Hwang, "Understanding social influence theory and personal goals in e-learning," *Inf. Dev.*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 466–477, 2016, doi: 10.1177/0266666914556688.
- [218] B. Foroughi, M. Iranmanesh, M. Kuppusamy, Y. Ganesan, M. Ghobakhloo, and M. G. Senali, "Determinants of continuance intention to use gamification applications for task management: an extension of technology continuance theory," *Electron. Libr.*, vol. 41, no. 2–3, pp. 286–307, 2023, doi: 10.1108/EL-05-2022-0108.
- [219] K. Bhati, "Self-Efficacy: Theory to Educational Practice," *Int. J. Indian Psychol.*, vol. 10, no. 1, 2022, doi: 10.25215/1001.112.
- [220] S. K. Taghizadeh *et al.*, "Factors influencing students' continuance usage intention with online learning during the pandemic: a cross-country analysis," *Behav. Inf. Technol.*, vol. 0, no. 0, pp. 1–20, 2021, doi: 10.1080/0144929X.2021.1912181.
- [221] F. D. Davis, "Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology," *MIS Q. Manag. Inf. Syst.*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 319–339, 1989, doi: 10.2307/249008.
- [222] Y. L. Zhang, "Power Distance in Online Learning : Experience of Chinese Learners in U.S. Higher Education," 2022, doi: DOI: <https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v14i4.1557>.
- [223] Z. Awang, A. Afthanorhan, M. Mamat, Z. Awang, and A. Afthanorhan, "The Likert scale analysis using parametric based Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)," *Comput. Methods Soc. Sci.*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 13–21, 2016, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.1299429.
- [224] D. R. Edmondson, "Likert Scales: A History," 2005, [Online]. Available: <https://ojs.library.carleton.ca/index.php/pcham/article/view/1613/1456>.
- [225] C. Dewberry and D. J. R. Jackson, "An application of the theory of planned behavior to student retention," *J. Vocat. Behav.*, vol. 107, pp. 100–110, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.jvb.2018.03.005.

- [226] J. C. Sánchez-Prieto, S. Olmos-Migueláñez, and F. J. García-Peñalvo, “Informal tools in formal contexts: Development of a model to assess the acceptance of mobile technologies among teachers,” *Comput. Human Behav.*, vol. 55, pp. 519–528, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2015.07.002.
- [227] J. C. S. Prieto, S. O. Migueláñez, and F. J. García-Peñalvo, “Subjective norm and behavioral intention to use mobile technologies: A descriptive study on the attitudes of future primary education teachers,” *2016 Int. Symp. Comput. Educ. SIIE 2016 Learn. Anal. Technol.*, pp. 0–5, 2016, doi: 10.1109/SIIE.2016.7751847.
- [228] Kristi M. Lemm, “Stratified Sampling,” SAGE. 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781071812082.n605>.
- [229] P. I. Santosa, *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif: Pengembangan Hipotesis dan Pengujiannya Menggunakan SmartPLS*. Penerbit Andi, 2018.
- [230] W. M. Asyraf and B. W. Afthanorhan, “A comparison of partial least square structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM) and covariance based structural equation modeling (CB-SEM) for confirmatory factor analysis,” *Int. J. Eng. Sci. Innov. Technol.*, vol. 2, no. 5, pp. 198–205, 2013, [Online]. Available: <https://www.academia.edu/download/39285916/PLS-CB.pdf>.
- [231] J. Whitehill, J. Williams, G. Lopez, C. Coleman, and J. Reich, “Beyond Prediction : First Steps Toward Automatic Intervention in MOOC Student Stopout,” *Proc. 8th Int. Conf. Educ. Data Min.*, pp. 171–178, 2015, [Online]. Available: <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED560563.pdf>.
- [232] W. Xing, X. Chen, J. Stein, and M. Marcinkowski, “Temporal predication of dropouts in MOOCs: Reaching the low hanging fruit through stacking generalization,” *Comput. Human Behav.*, vol. 58, pp. 119–129, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2015.12.007.
- [233] S. T. Nihan, “Karl Pearsons chi-square tests,” *Educ. Res. Rev.*, vol. 15, no. 9, pp. 575–580, 2020, doi: 10.5897/err2019.3817.
- [234] M. Gol and A. Abur, “A modified Chi-Squares test for improved bad data detection,” *2015 IEEE Eindhoven PowerTech, PowerTech 2015*, no. 1, pp. 1–5, 2015, doi: 10.1109/PTC.2015.7232283.
- [235] N. Chawla, K. Bowyer, L. Hall, and P. Kegelmeyer, “SMOTE: Synthetic Minority Over-sampling Technique,” *J. Artif. Intell. Res.*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 321–357, 2002, [Online]. Available: <https://www.jair.org/index.php/jair/article/view/10302/24590>.
- [236] S. M. Malakouti, M. B. Menhaj, and A. A. Suratgar, “The usage of 10-fold cross-validation and grid search to enhance ML methods performance in solar farm power generation prediction,” *Clean. Eng. Technol.*, vol. 15, no. February, p. 100664, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.clet.2023.100664.
- [237] S. Radha and C. Nelson Kennedy Babu, “Enterprise big data analysis using SVM classifier and lexicon dictionary,” *Int. J. Enterp. Netw. Manag.*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 65–75, 2020, doi: 10.1504/IJENM.2020.103913.
- [238] J. Liang and W. S. Pan, “Testing The Mean For Business Data: Should One Use The Z-Test, T-Test, F-Test, The Chi-Square Test, Or The P-Value Method?,” *J. Coll. Teach. Learn.*, vol. 3, no. 7, 2011, doi: 10.19030/tlc.v3i7.1704.
- [239] R. A. Olshen, “The conditional level of the F—test,” *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.*, vol. 68, no. 343, pp. 692–698, 1973, doi:

- 10.1080/01621459.1973.10481406.
- [240] T. de fin D'étude, "An Analysis and Comparison Between Moodle and edX Open-Source Solutions," 2021. [Online]. Available: chrome-extension://efaidnbmninnibpcajpcgclclefindmkaj/https://www.unifr.ch/didactic/fr/assets/public/Travaux_fin_etudes/Teran_diplome.pdf.
- [241] W. Purnomo, "Penerapan Massive Open Online Course (MOOC) berbasis Moodle sebagai Learning Management System (LMS)," *Simp. Nas. Pengembang Teknol. Pembelajaran*, 2016, [Online]. Available: https://www.academia.edu/31040065/Penerapan_Massive_Open_Online_Course_MOOC_berbasis_Moodle_sebagai_Learning_Management_System_LMS_.
- [242] M. Blagojevic and D. Milosevic, "Massive Open Online Courses: edX vs Moodle MOOC," *ICIST 2015 5th Int. Conf. Inf. Socociety Technol.*, pp. 1–5, 2015.
- [243] B. Songbin and M. Fanqi, "The Design of Massive Open Online Course Platform for English Translation Learning based on Moodle," pp. 1365–1368, 2015, doi: 10.1109/CSNT.2015.48.
- [244] T. Della Sinta and B. D. Wahyuni, "Kesenjangan Sosial dalam Mengakses Pendidikan di Indonesia," *Edukasia Multikultura*, vol. 4, pp. 11–28, 2022.
- [245] M. Bulmer, *Principles of Statistics*. Dover Publications, 1979.
- [246] V. E. Vinzi, W. W. Chin, J. Henseler, and H. Wang, *Handbook of Partial Least Squares: Concepts, Methods and Applications.*, vol. 206, no. 1. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2010.
- [247] N. A. Ramli, H. Latan, and G. V. Nartea, "Why Should PLS-SEM be Used Rather than Regression? Evidence from the Capital Structure Perspective," *Int. Ser. Oper. Res. Manag. Sci.*, vol. 267, no. April, pp. 171–209, 2018, doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-71691-6_6.
- [248] G. Shmueli *et al.*, "Predictive model assessment in PLS-SEM: guidelines for using PLSpredict," *Eur. J. Mark.*, vol. 53, no. 11, pp. 2322–2347, 2019, doi: 10.1108/EJM-02-2019-0189.
- [249] B. M. Reinhardt, "Factors affecting coefficient Alpha: A mini Monte Carlo study," *Adv. Soc. Sci. Methodol.*, vol. 4, pp. 3–20, 1991.
- [250] W. A. Nugroho, P. I. Santosa, and S. Fauziati, "Pemanfaatan Gamifikasi pada Massive Open Online Course (MOOC)," *Semin. Nas. Teknol. Komput. Sains*, pp. 71–74, 2019.
- [251] M. Jarnac de Freitas and M. Mira da Silva, "Systematic literature review about gamification in MOOCs," *Open Learn.*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 73–95, 2023, doi: 10.1080/02680513.2020.1798221.
- [252] R. Murphy and F. Weinhardt, "Top of the Class: The Importance of Ordinal Rank," *Rev. Econ. Stud.*, vol. 87, no. 6, pp. 2777–2826, 2020, doi: 10.1093/restud/rdaa020.
- [253] Rama Devi, Subetha, A. Rao, and M. K. Morampudi, "Enhanced Learning Outcomes by Interactive Video Content—H5P in Moodle LMS," 2022, [Online]. Available: <http://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-981-16-1395-1>.
- [254] E. M. Molanes-Lopez, A. Rodriguez-Ascaso, E. Leton, and J. Perez-Martin, "Assessment of Video Accessibility by Students of a MOOC on Digital Materials for All," *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 72357–72367, 2021,

doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3079199.

- [255] W. N. W. A. Rahman, H. Zulzalil, I. Ishak, and A. W. Selamat, "Quality model for massive open online course (MOOC) web content," *Int. J. Adv. Sci. Eng. Inf. Technol.*, no. 1, pp. 24–33, 2020, doi: 10.18517/ijaseit.10.1.10192.
- [256] Z. Zhou *et al.*, "ConceptThread: Visualizing Threaded Concepts in MOOC Videos," *IEEE Trans. Vis. Comput. Graph.*, vol. XX, no. X, pp. 1–17, 2024, doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2024.3361001.
- [257] K. Julia, V. R. Peter, and K. Marco, "Educational scalability in MOOCs: Analysing instructional designs to find best practices," *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 161, no. April 2020, p. 104054, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2020.104054.
- [258] H. Meichu and C. Lijian, "The Path of Building Curriculum Resources of Adult Colleges and Universities Based on MOOC in the Intelligent Era," *Can. Soc. Sci.*, vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 32–38, 2020, doi: 10.3968/11765.
- [259] Humas, "Merdeka Belajar untuk Revitalisasi Bahasa Daerah yang Terancam," *Sekretaris Kabinet Republik Indonesia*, 2023. [https://setkab.go.id/merdeka-belajar-untuk-revitalisasi-bahasa-daerah-yang-terancam/#:~:text=Tidak terkecuali Indonesia.,Nugini dengan 840 bahasa daerah.](https://setkab.go.id/merdeka-belajar-untuk-revitalisasi-bahasa-daerah-yang-terancam/#:~:text=Tidak%20terkecuali%20Indonesia, Nugini dengan 840 bahasa daerah.)
- [260] J. C. Roca, C. M. Chiu, and F. J. Martínez, "Understanding e-learning continuance intention: An extension of the Technology Acceptance Model," *Int. J. Hum. Comput. Stud.*, vol. 64, no. 8, pp. 683–696, 2006, doi: 10.1016/j.ijhcs.2006.01.003.
- [261] O. Folorunso, O. Shawn Ogunseye, and S. K. Sharma, "An exploratory study of the critical factors affecting the acceptability of e-learning in Nigerian universities," *Inf. Manag. Comput. Secur.*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 496–505, 2006, doi: 10.1108/09685220610717781.
- [262] Y. Zhao and Q. Zhu, "Influence factors of technology acceptance model in mobile learning," *Proc. - 4th Int. Conf. Genet. Evol. Comput. ICGEC 2010*, pp. 542–545, 2010, doi: 10.1109/ICGEC.2010.139.
- [263] Y. H. Lee, Y. C. Hsieh, and C. Y. Ma, "A model of organizational employees' e-learning systems acceptance," *Knowledge-Based Syst.*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 355–366, 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.knosys.2010.09.005.
- [264] D. Y. Lee and M. R. Lehto, "User acceptance of YouTube for procedural learning: An extension of the Technology Acceptance Model," *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 61, no. 1, pp. 193–208, 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2012.10.001.
- [265] H. E. Chew and M. West, "Good Intentions to Read on Mobiles are not Good Enough; Reducing Barriers to m-reading is Crucial," in *Proceedings of the Seventh International Conference on Information and Communication Technologies and Development*, 2015, vol. 15, doi: 10.1145/2737856.2737859.
- [266] F. Abdullah and R. Ward, "Developing a General Extended Technology Acceptance Model for E-Learning (GETAMEL) by analysing commonly used external factors," *Comput. Human Behav.*, vol. 56, pp. 238–256, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2015.11.036.
- [267] J. Zhang, C. Chang, and P. Zhou, "Factors Affecting the Acceptance of

- Mobile Devices in the Classroom,” *Proc. - 2015 Int. Conf. Educ. Innov. Through Technol. EITT 2015*, pp. 294–298, 2016, doi: 10.1109/EITT.2015.67.
- [268] Z. Hussein, “Leading to Intention: The Role of Attitude in Relation to Technology Acceptance Model in E-Learning,” *Procedia Comput. Sci.*, vol. 105, no. December 2016, pp. 159–164, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.procs.2017.01.196.
- [269] A. E. Stich and T. D. Reeves, “Massive open online courses and underserved students in the United States,” *Internet High. Educ.*, vol. 32, pp. 58–71, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.iheduc.2016.09.001.
- [270] R. Martinez-Lopez, C. Yot, I. Tuovila, and V. H. Perera-Rodríguez, “Online Self-Regulated Learning Questionnaire in a Russian MOOC,” *Comput. Human Behav.*, vol. 75, pp. 966–974, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2017.06.015.
- [271] R. F. Kizilcec, M. Pérez-Sanagustín, and J. J. Maldonado, “Self-regulated learning strategies predict learner behavior and goal attainment in Massive Open Online Courses,” *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 104, pp. 18–33, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2016.10.001.
- [272] S. A. Nikou and A. A. Economides, “Mobile-Based Assessment: Integrating acceptance and motivational factors into a combined model of Self-Determination Theory and Technology Acceptance,” *Comput. Human Behav.*, vol. 68, pp. 83–95, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2016.11.020.
- [273] C. F. Goh, C. M. Leong, K. Kasmin, P. K. Hii, and O. K. Tan, “Students’ experiences, learning outcomes and satisfaction in e-learning,” *J. E-Learning Knowl. Soc.*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 117–128, 2017, doi: 10.20368/1971-8829/1298.
- [274] R. Panigrahi, P. R. Srivastava, and D. Sharma, “Online learning: Adoption, continuance, and learning outcome—A review of literature,” *Int. J. Inf. Manage.*, vol. 43, no. July 2016, pp. 1–14, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2018.05.005.
- [275] Y. J. Joo, H. J. So, and N. H. Kim, “Examination of relationships among students’ self-determination, technology acceptance, satisfaction, and continuance intention to use K-MOOCs,” *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 122, no. April 2017, pp. 260–272, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2018.01.003.
- [276] K. M. Williams, R. E. Stafford, S. B. Corliss, and E. D. Reilly, “Examining student characteristics, goals, and engagement in Massive Open Online Courses,” *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 126, no. February 2017, pp. 433–442, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2018.08.014.
- [277] L. Huang, “Acceptance of mobile learning in classroom instruction among college English teachers in China using an extended TAM,” *Proc. - 6th Int. Conf. Educ. Innov. Through Technol. EITT 2017*, vol. 2018-March, pp. 283–287, 2018, doi: 10.1109/EITT.2017.75.
- [278] K. Li, “MOOC learners’ demographics, self-regulated learning strategy, perceived learning and satisfaction: A structural equation modeling approach,” *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 132, no. January, pp. 16–30, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2019.01.003.
- [279] J. Fang, L. Tang, J. Yang, and M. Peng, “Social interaction in MOOCs: The mediating effects of immersive experience and psychological needs

- satisfaction,” *Telemat. Informatics*, vol. 39, no. October 2018, pp. 75–91, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.tele.2019.01.006.
- [280] J. Henseler, C. M. Ringle, and M. Sarstedt, “A new criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modeling,” *J. Acad. Mark. Sci.*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 115–135, 2015, doi: 10.1007/s11747-014-0403-8.
- [281] M. R. Ab Hamid, W. Sami, and M. H. Mohmad Sidek, “Discriminant Validity Assessment: Use of Fornell & Larcker criterion versus HTMT Criterion,” *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.*, vol. 890, no. 1, 2017, doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/890/1/012163.
- [282] M. Sarstedt, C. M. Ringle, and J. F. Hair, *Handbook of Market Research*, no. July. 2020.
- [283] J. A. Siguaw and A. Diamantopoulos, *Introducing LISREL : A Guide for the Uninitiated*. SAGE Publications, 2000.
- [284] L. T. Hu and P. M. Bentler, “Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives,” *Struct. Equ. Model.*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 1–55, 1999, doi: 10.1080/10705519909540118.
- [285] S. A. Mulaik, L. R. James, J. Van Alstine, N. Bennett, S. Lind, and C. D. Stilwell, “Evaluation of Goodness-of-Fit Indices for Structural Equation Models,” *Psychol. Bull.*, vol. 105, no. 3, pp. 430–445, 1989, doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.105.3.430.
- [286] J. F. Hair, J. J. Risher, M. Sarstedt, and C. M. Ringle, “The Results of PLS-SEM Article information,” *Eur. Bus. Rev.*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 2–24, 2018.