

- Abdulkareem, A.K., Abdulkareem, Z.J., Ishola, A.A., Bello, M.L. & Oladimeji, K.A. 2022. The influence of openness of public organizations and social media use on e-participation: the mediating effect of trust in e-government. *International Review of Public Administration*, 27(4): 281–296. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1080/12294659.2022.2136054>.
- Abrucio, F.L., Grin, E.J., Franzese, C., Segatto, C.I. & Couto, C.G. 2020. Combating COVID-19 under Bolsonaro's federalism: A case of intergovernmental incoordination. *Revista de Administracao Publica*, 54(4): 663–677.
- Adam, J.N., Adams, T., Gerber, J. & Haller, T. 2021. Decentralization for Increased Sustainability in Natural Resource Management? Two Cautionary Cases from Ghana. *Sustainability*, 13(12): 6885. Tersedia di <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/13/12/6885>.
- Adiputra, I.M.P., Utama, S. & Rossieta, H. 2018. Transparency of local government in Indonesia. *Asian Journal of Accounting Research*, 3(1): 123–138.
- Agyemang-Duah, W., Kafui Gbedoho, E., Peprah, P., Arthur, F., Kweku Sobeng, A., Okyere, J. & Mengba Dokbila, J. 2018. Reducing poverty through fiscal decentralization in Ghana and beyond: A review. *Cogent Economics and Finance*, 6(1): 1–14. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1080/23322039.2018.1476035>.
- Akhmad, N. 2022. *Belanja TIK Pemerintah Ditaksir Capai Rp 46 T pada 2030*. Top Business. Tersedia di <https://www.topbusiness.id/67059/belanja-tik-pemerintah-ditaksir-capai-rp-46-t-pada-2030.html> [Accessed 4 Juli 2023].
- Aksztejn, W., Lackowska, M., Krukowska, J. & Mikula, Ł. 2022. The multiple faces of recentralization: A typology of central-local interactions. *Journal of Urban Affairs*, 00(00): 1–21. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1080/07352166.2022.2124916>.
- Al-Shbail, T. & Aman, A. 2018. E-government and accountability: How to mitigate the disorders and dysfunctions of accountability relationships. *Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy*, 12(2): 155–190.
- Alessandro, M., Cardinale Lagomarsino, B., Scartascini, C., Streb, J. & Torrealday, J. 2021. Transparency and trust in government evidence from a survey experiment. *World Development*, 138: 105223. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2020.105223>.
- Aliabadi, F.J., Mashayekhi, B. & Gal, G. 2019. Budget preparers' perceptions and performance-based budgeting implementation. *Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting & Financial Management*, 31(1): 137–156. Tersedia di <https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/JPBAFM-04-2018-0037/full/html>.
- Altowaitee, M., Nyadera, I.N. & Onder, M. 2019. Central-Local Government Relations in Turkey and Yemen : A Comparative Study Central-Local Government Relations in Turkey and Yemen : *Asian Review of Public Administration*, 30(1&2): 24–41.
- Alvarenga, A., Matos, F., Godina, R. & Matias, J.C.O. 2020. Digital transformation and knowledge management in the public sector. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 12(14).
- El Ammar, C. 2019. The Impact of Strategic Planning on the Reform of Lebanese Public Administration. *The Review of International Comparative Management*, 20(3): 259–278. Tersedia di <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,sso&db=bth&AN=138646791&site=ehost-live&custid=s1020214>.
- Andrey, Y., Lev, S. & Nina, O. 2017. Intergovernmental relations in Russia: Still a pendulum? *Public Administration Issues*, (5): 38–59.
- Anessi-Pessina, E., Barbera, C., Sicilia, M. & Steccolini, I. 2016. Public sector budgeting: a European review of accounting and public management journals. *Accounting, Auditing*

- Arayankalam, J., Khan, A. & Krishnan, S. 2021. How to deal with corruption? Examining the roles of e-government maturity, government administrative effectiveness, and virtual social networks diffusion. *International Journal of Information Management*, 58(December 2019): 102203. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2020.102203>.
- Arribas, J.M.G. 2016. Governance Dynamics and the Application of the Multilevel Governance Approach in Vocational Education and Training (VET) in the European Neighbourhood Countries: the case of the ENPI South region. *European Journal of Education*, 51(4): 495–512.
- Azhari, A.K. & Negoro, A.H.S. 2019. *Desentralisasi dan Otonomi Daerah di Negara Kesatuan Republik Indonesia*. Malang: Intrans Publishing.
- Ball, A., Bowerman, M. & Hawksorth, S. 2000. Benchmarking in local government under a central government agenda. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 7(1): 20–34.
- Barlow, J. & Röber, M. 1996. Steering not rowing: Co-ordination and control in the management of public services in Britain and Germany. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 9(5–6): 73–89.
- Beerli, I. & Zaidan, A. 2023. Merging, disaggregating and clustering local authorities: do structural reforms affect perceptions about local governance and democracy? *Territory, Politics, Governance*, 11(7): 1413–1438.
- Benton, J.E. 2020. Challenges to Federalism and Intergovernmental Relations and Takeaways Amid the COVID-19 Experience. *American Review of Public Administration*, 50(6–7): 536–542.
- Benveniste, J. & Mizrahi, S. 2023. Accountability, governance, and institutional change in intergovernmental relations: A theoretical framework. *Politics and Policy*, 51(2): 242–255.
- Bergenholtz, C. & Waldstrøm, C. 2011. Inter-organizational network studies-a literature review. *Industry and Innovation*, 18(6): 539–562.
- Bergmann, M., Brück, C., Knauer, T. & Schwering, A. 2020. *Digitization of the budgeting process: determinants of the use of business analytics and its effect on satisfaction with the budgeting process*. *Journal of Management Control*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00187-019-00291-y>.
- Bhati, S., Dadhich, M., Bhasker, A.A., Hiran, K.K., Sharma, R. & Shukla, A. 2023. Quantifying the Contemporary Enablers in Achieving e-Governance for Sustainable Techno-Societal Development: A High Directive SEM Analysis. *2023 International Conference on Emerging Trends in Networks and Computer Communications, ETNCC 2023 - Proceedings*, 157–162.
- Bjurstrøm, K.H. 2020. Principal–Agent or Principal–Steward: How Ministry–Agency Relations Condition the Impact of Performance Management in the Steering of Government Agencies. *Public Performance and Management Review*, 43(5): 1053–1077. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1080/15309576.2020.1739087>.
- Blair, R.F. & Starke, A.M. 2017. The Emergence of Local Government Policy Leadership. *State and Local Government Review*, 49(4): 275–284.
- Blom-Hansen, J. 1999. Policy-Making in Central-Local Government Relations : Balancing Local Autonomy , Macroeconomic Control , and Sectoral Policy Goals. *Journal of Public Policy*, 19(3): 237–264.
- Blount, I.Y. 2013. *Policy Implementation by Executive Order: A Quantitative Analysis of the Effects of Agency Decisions and Organizational Characteristics on Government*

- Bojanic, A.N. 2018. The impact of fiscal decentralization on growth, inflation and inequality in the Americas. *CEPAL Review*, 2018(124): 57–77.
- Bora, I., Duan, H.K., Vasarhelyi, M.A., Zhang, C. & Dai, J. 2021. The Transformation of Government Accountability and Reporting. *Journal of Emerging Technologies in Accounting*, 18(2): 1–21.
- Brandsma, G.J. & Adriaensen, J. 2017. The Principal–Agent Model, Accountability and Democratic Legitimacy. T. Delreux & J. Adriaensen, ed., *The Principal Agent Model and the European Union*. Cham: Springer International Publishing, hal.35–54.
- Brown, A. 2018. Accountability of the financial reporting of Kenya’s regional development authorities. *Regional Studies*, 52(7): 997–1008. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1080/00343404.2017.1362500>.
- Bryson, J.M. 2011. *Strategic Planning for Public and Non-Profit Organizations A Guide to Strengthening and Sustaining Organizational Achievement*. Third Edit ed. San Francisco: Wiley.
- Bryson, J.M., Edwards, L.H. & Van Slyke, D.M. 2018. Getting strategic about strategic planning research. *Public Management Review*, 20(3): 317–339. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2017.1285111>.
- Burke, B.F. 2014. Understanding Intergovernmental Relations, Twenty-five Years Hence. *State and Local Government Review*, 46(1): 63–76.
- Cahlikova, T. & Mabillard, V. 2020. Open Data and Transparency: Opportunities and Challenges in the Swiss Context. *Public Performance and Management Review*, 43(3): 662–686. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1080/15309576.2019.1657914>.
- Cairney, P. & St Denny, E. 2020. *Why Isn’t Government Policy More Preventive?* Oxford: Oxford University PressOxford. Tersedia di <https://academic.oup.com/book/36846>.
- Campmas, A., Iacob, N. & Simonelli, F. 2022. How can interoperability stimulate the use of digital public services? An analysis of national interoperability frameworks and e-Government in the European Union.
- Cao, Z. 2018. Centralization and decentralization of power structure: A theory of ruling risks and empirical evidence from Chinese history. *Chinese Journal of Sociology*, 4(4): 506–564.
- Carley, S., Nicholson-Crotty, S. & Fisher, E.J. 2015. Capacity, Guidance, and the Implementation of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act. *Public Administration Review*, 75(1): 113–125. Tersedia di <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/puar.12294>.
- Caruana, J. & Zammit, K. 2019. Losing control: the gap in multi-level government reporting. *Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting and Financial Management*, 31(2): 264–284.
- Castells, M. 2004. *The Network Society A Cross-cultural Perspective*. Massachusetts: Edward Elgar Publishing Limited.
- Castro, C. & Lopes, I.C. 2023. E-Government as a Tool in Controlling Corruption. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 46(16): 1137–1150. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1080/01900692.2022.2076695>.
- Chatham, A. 2018. Coordination and Collaboration in Crisis Management. A. Farazmand, ed.,

Global Encyclopedia of Public Administration, Public Policy, and Governance. Florida: Springer, hal.1139.

- Cheema, G.S. & Rondinelli, D.A. 2007. From Government Decentralization to Decentralized Governance. G.S. Cheema & D.A. Rondinelli, ed., *Decentralizing Governance: Emerging Concept and Practices*, 1 ed. Washington D. C.: Brooking Institutuin Press, hal.1–20.
- Chen, X. 2017. A U-Turn or Just Pendulum Swing? Tides of Bottom-Up and Top-Down Reforms in Contemporary China. *Journal of Chinese Political Science*, 22(4): 651–673.
- Cheon, O. 2022. Moving Luther Gulick to Asia: Span of Control and Performance in Korean Quasi-Governmental Organizations. *Journal of Policy Studies*, 37(2): 14–27.
- Choi, J.M. 2018. Factors influencing public officials' responses to requests for information disclosure. *Government Information Quarterly*, 35(1): 30–42.
- Christensen, J. & Mandelkern, R. 2022. The technocratic tendencies of economists in government bureaucracy. *Governance*, 35(1): 233–257.
- Chung, J.W. & Choi, Y. 2022. What Influences Collaborative Relationships between Central and Local Governments? Empirical Evidence from South Korea. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 45(3): 287–297.
- Cohen, J. & Grodzins, M. 1963. How Much Economic Sharing in American Federalism? *American Political Science Review*, 57(1): 5–23. Tersedia di https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/S0003055400240185/type/journal_article.
- Conceição-Heldt, E. da 2017. Multiple Principals' Preferences, Types of Control Mechanisms and Agent's Discretion in Trade Negotiations. T. Delreux & J. Adriaensen, ed., *The Principal Agent Model and the European Union*. Cham: Palgrave Macmillan, hal.203–226.
- da Conceição-Heldt, E. 2017. Multiple Principals' Preferences, Types of Control Mechanisms and Agent's Discretion in Trade Negotiations. T. Delreux & J. Adriaensen, ed., *The Principal Agent Model and the European Union*. Cham: Palgrave Macmillan, hal.203–226.
- Contini, F. 2020. Artificial Intelligence and the Transformation of Humans, Law and Technology Interactions in Judicial Proceedings. *Law, Technology and Humans*, 2(1): 4–18.
- Cordella, A. & Hesse, J. 2015. E-government in the making: An actor network perspective. *Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy*, 9(1): 104–125.
- Coremans, E. & Kerremans, B. 2017. Agents as Information Asymmetry Managers in EU Trade Policy-Making. *The Principal Agent Model and the European Union*. Cham: Palgrave Macmillan, hal.226–254.
- Couto, C.G. & Absher-Bellon, G.L. 2018. Imitation or coercion? State constitutions and federative centralization in Brazil. *Revista de Administracao Publica*, 52(2): 321–344.
- Dahan, M. & Strawczynski, M. 2020. Budget institutions and government effectiveness. *Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting & Financial Management*, 32(2): 217–246. Tersedia di <https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/JPBAFM-03-2019-0055/full/html>.
- Delreux, T. 2017. *The Principal Agent Model and the European Union*. Palgrave Studies in European Union Politics. Cham: Springer International Publishing. Tersedia di <https://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-319-55137-1>.
- Delreux, T. & Adriaensen, J. 2017. Introduction. Use and Limitations of the Principal–Agent Model in Studying the European Union. *The Principal Agent Model and the European Union*. Cham: Springer International Publishing, hal.1–34.

- Din, M., Munawarah, M., Ghozali, I., Achmad, T. & Karim, F. 2022. Governance of Financial Management and Regulation-Based Fiscal Accountability. *Journal of Governance and Regulation*, 11(2): 116–123.
- Ditjen Anggaran 2021. *Satu Data Indonesia Sebagai Entry Point Reformasi Struktural di Bidang Teknologi Informasi dan Komunikasi (TIK)*. Kementerian Keuangan. Tersedia di <https://anggaran.kemenkeu.go.id/in/post/satu-data-indonesia-sebagai-entry-point-reformasi-struktural-di-bidang-teknologi-informasi-dan-komunikasi-tik> [Accessed 4 Juli 2023].
- Djohan, D. 2020. Langkah Otonomi Daerah 2020. *Kompas*.
- Doebele, W.A. 1964. Evolution in intergovernmental relations. *Zoning Digest*, 16(4): 113–136.
- Downey, D.C. & Myers, W.M. 2020. Federalism, Intergovernmental Relationships, and Emergency Response: A Comparison of Australia and the United States. *American Review of Public Administration*, 50(6–7): 526–535.
- Dunsire, A. 1981. Central Control Over Local Authorities: A cybernetic approach. *Public Administration*, 59(2): 173–188.
- Durham, G.H. 1940. Politics and Administration in Intergovernmental Relations. *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 207: 1–6.
- Dwiyanto, A. 2020. *Teori Administrasi Publik dan Penerapannya di Indonesia*. Yogyakarta: Gadjah Mada University Press.
- Eaton, S. & Kostka, G. 2017. Central Protectionism in China: The Central SOE Problem in Environmental Governance. *China Quarterly*, 231(August 2017): 685–704.
- Ebinger, F., Kuhlmann, S. & Bogumil, J. 2019. Territorial reforms in Europe: effects on administrative performance and democratic participation. *Local Government Studies*, 45(1): 1–23. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1080/03003930.2018.1530660>.
- Ekhaugen, L. 2020. Whole-of-government coordination for complex operations: Symbolic politics or coordination failure? *Journal of Strategic Studies*, 00(00): 1–24. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1080/01402390.2020.1730819>.
- Elander, I. 1991. Analysing Central–Local Government Relations in Different Systems: A Conceptual Framework and Some Empirical Illustrations. *Scandinavian Political Studies*, 14(1): 31–58.
- Ellul, L. & Hodges, R. 2019. Reforming the government budgeting system in Malta: Pressures promoting or hindering the reform. *Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting and Financial Management*, 31(4): 518–538.
- Elvira, Susanto & Soriano, E.M. 2023. The Challenges of Decentralization Fiscal Regulations: Experience from the Philippines. *Bestuur*, 11(2): 235–252.
- Eniola, A.A. 2020. Internal control procedures and firm's performance. *International Journal of Scientific and Technology Research*, 9(2): 6407–6415.
- Ermasova, N. 2018. Government Structure in Russia. A. Farazmand, ed., *Global Encyclopedia of Public Administration, Public Policy, and Governance*. Florida: Springer, hal.2961.
- Eton, M., Mwosi, F. & Ogwel, B.P. 2022. Are internal controls important in financial accountability? (Evidence from Lira District Local Government, Uganda). *International Journal of Financial, Accounting, and Management*, 3(4): 359–372.
- Faguet, J.P. 2014. Decentralization and Governance. *World Development*, 53: 2–13. Tersedia di <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2013.01.002>.
- Farazmand, A. 2002. Administrative reform in Developing Nations. *Westport, Connecticut London*, 280.
- Favoreu, C., Carassus, D. & Maurel, C. 2016. Strategic management in the public sector: a rational, political or collaborative approach? *International Review of Administrative*

<https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/0020852315578410>.

- Fimreite, A.L. & Lægreid, P. 2009. Reorganizing the welfare state administration: Partnership, networks and accountability. *Public Management Review*, 11(3): 281–297.
- Finnis, J. 2016. Subsidiarity's Roots and History: Some Observations. *The American Journal of Jurisprudence*, 61(1): 133–141. Tersedia di <https://academic.oup.com/ajj/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/ajj/auw006>.
- Fischer, H.W. 2016. Beyond Participation and Accountability: Theorizing Representation in Local Democracy. *World Development*, 86: 111–122. Tersedia di <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2016.05.003>.
- Forsberg, H. 1992. Central-local relations in Swedish acts on physical planning historical review. *Scandinavian Housing and Planning Research*, 9(1): 19–28.
- Di Francesco, M. & Alford, J. 2016. *Balancing control and flexibility in public budgeting: A new role for rule variability. Balancing Control and Flexibility in Public Budgeting: A New Role for Rule Variability*.
- Frederickson, H.G., Smith, K.B., Larimer, C.W. & Licari, M.J. 2016. *The Public Administration Theory Primer*. Third ed. Boulder, Colorado: Westview Press. Tersedia di www.westviewpress.com.
- Galizzi, G., Bassani, G.V. & Cattaneo, C. 2018. Adoption of Gender-Responsive Budgeting (GRB) by an Italian Municipality. *Administrative Sciences*, 8(4): 68.
- Gao, X., Shen, J., He, W., Sun, F., Zhang, Z., Zhang, X., Yuan, L. & An, M. 2019. Multilevel governments' decision-making process and its influencing factors in watershed ecological compensation. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 11(7).
- Gao, X., Song, Y. & Zhu, X. 2013. Integration and coordination: Advancing China's fragmented e-government to holistic governance. *Government Information Quarterly*, 30(2): 173–181. Tersedia di <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2012.12.003>.
- Garcia-Sanchez, I.-M. & Cuadrado-Ballesteros, B. 2018. New Public Financial Management. A. Farazmand, ed., *Global Encyclopedia of Public Administration, Public Policy, and Governance*. Florida: Springer, hal.4132.
- Gauthier, B. & Goyette, J. 2016. Fiscal policy and corruption. *Social Choice and Welfare*, 46(1): 57–79.
- George, B. 2017. Does strategic planning 'work' in public organizations? Insights from Flemish municipalities. *Public Money and Management*, 37(7): 527–530.
- Gerston, L.N. 2015. *Public Policy Making Process and Principles*. Third ed. New York: Routledge.
- Ghosh, S. & Neanidis, K.C. 2017. Corruption, fiscal policy, and growth: A unified approach. *B.E. Journal of Macroeconomics*, 17(2): 1–24.
- Giarda, P. 2000. *Intergovernmental Fiscal Relations in Italy. IMF Conference on Fiscal Decentralization*. Tersedia di <http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/seminar/2000/fiscal/giarda.pdf>.
- Giest, S. & Raaphorst, N. 2018. Unraveling the hindering factors of digital public service delivery at street-level: the case of electronic health records. *Policy Design and Practice*, 1(2): 141–154. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1080/25741292.2018.1476002>.
- Giubilini, A., Douglas, T., Maslen, H. & Savulescu, J. 2018. Quarantine, isolation and the duty of easy rescue in public health. *Developing World Bioethics*, 18(2): 182–189.
- Gkekas, R. 2000. Are grants an instrument of stabilisation policy? Evidence from five EU countries. *Space and Polity*, 4(1): 77–89.
- Godwin, K., Ntayi, J.M. & Munene, J.C. 2021. Accountability and Public Interest in

- Government Institutions. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 44(2): 155–166. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1080/01900692.2019.1672187>.
- Goel, R.K. & Nelson, M.A. 2011. Government fragmentation versus fiscal decentralization and corruption. *Public Choice*, 148(3–4): 471–490.
- Goldsmith, M. 2002. Central control over local government - a Western European comparison. *Local Government Studies*, 28(3): 91–112.
- Gray, A.M. & Hunter, D.J. 1983. Priorities and resource allocation in the Scottish health service: some problems in “planning and implementation”. *Policy & Politics*, 11(4): 417–437.
- Green, E. 2015. Decentralization and Development in Contemporary Uganda. *Regional and Federal Studies*, 25(5): 491–508. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1080/13597566.2015.1114925>.
- Griggs, S. & Sullivan, H. 2014. Puzzling agency in centre-local relations: Regulatory governance and accounts of change under new labour. *British Journal of Politics and International Relations*, 16(3): 495–514.
- Grubnic, S. & Woods, M. 2009. Hierarchical control and performance regimes in local government. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 22(5): 445–455.
- Guillamón, M.D. & Cuadrado-Ballesteros, B. 2021. Is transparency a way to improve efficiency? An assessment of Spanish municipalities. *Regional Studies*, 55(2): 221–233. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1080/00343404.2020.1772964>.
- Guyadeen, D. & Seasons, M. 2016. Plan Evaluation: Challenges and Directions for Future Research. *Planning Practice and Research*, 31(2): 215–228. Tersedia di <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02697459.2015.1081335>.
- Hajilou, M., Mirehei, M., Amirian, S. & Pilehvar, M. 2018. Financial sustainability of municipalities and local governments in small-sized cities; a case of Shabestar municipality. *Lex Localis*, 16(1): 77–106.
- Hakim, A., Saputra, O.A. & Saleh, C. 2021. Policy Determination in E-Budgeting Implementation by the Government of DKI Jakarta - Indonesia. *International Journal of Criminology and Sociology*, 10: 149–167.
- Hamurcu, M. & Eren, T. 2020. Strategic planning based on sustainability for urban transportation: An application to decision-making. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 12(9).
- Harun, H., Carter, D., Mollik, A.T. & An, Y. 2020. Understanding the forces and critical features of a new reporting and budgeting system adoption by Indonesian local government. *Journal of Accounting and Organizational Change*, 16(1): 145–167.
- Hay, R. & Martin, S. 2014. Controlling Local Government Spending: The Implementation and Impact of Capping Council Taxes. *Local Government Studies*, 40(2): 224–239.
- Haydanka, Y. 2020. Urgent decentralization problems in the Czech Republic at a regional level: Political, administrative and sociological dimensions. *Public Policy and Administration*, 19(2): 253–265.
- Henstra, D. 2010. Evaluating local government emergency management programs: What framework should public managers adopt? *Public Administration Review*, 70(2): 236–246. Tersedia di <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1540-6210.2010.02130.x>.
- Hillman, A. 1946. A federal agency’s relation to community planning. *Social Forces*, 25(2): 183–189.
- Hodgetts, J.E. 1974. Intergovernmental Relations in Canada. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 416(1): 170–180. Tersedia di <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/000271627441600116>.
- Holzhaecker, R.L., Wittek, R. & Woltjer, J. 2015. *Decentralization and Governance for*

- Homsy, G.C., Liao, L. & Warner, M.E. 2019a. Sustainability and Disaster Planning: What Are the Connections? *Rural Sociology*, 84(3): 516–540.
- Homsy, G.C., Liu, Z. & Warner, M.E. 2019b. Multilevel Governance: Framing the Integration of Top-Down and Bottom-Up Policymaking. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 42(7): 572–582. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1080/01900692.2018.1491597>.
- Hooghe, L. & Marks, G. 2003. Unraveling the central state, but how? Types of multi-level governance. *American Political Science Review*, 97(2): 233–243.
- Hooghe, L. & Marks, G. 2010. Types of Multi-level Governance. *European Integration online Papers (EIoP)*, 5: 17–31. Tersedia di <https://china.elgaronline.com/view/edcoll/9781847202413/9781847202413.00007.xml>.
- Hrivnák, M., Moritz, P., Melichová, K., Roháčiková, O. & Pospíšová, L. 2021. Designing the Participation on Local Development Planning: From Literature Review to Adaptive Framework for Practice. *Societies*, 11(1): 19.
- Huang, X. & Kim, S.E. 2020. When top-down meets bottom-up: Local adoption of social policy reform in China. *Governance*, 33(2): 343–364.
- Huong, V.T. 2016. Vietnam: Decentralization Amidst Fragmentation. *Southeast Asian Economies*, 33(2): 188–208.
- Huy, P.Q. & Phuc, V.K. 2022. Insight into the Critical Success Factors of Performance-Based Budgeting Implementation in the Public Sector for Sustainable Development in the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 14(20).
- Ibrahimi, M.M., Virkus, S. & Norta, A. 2023. The role of e-government in reducing corruption and enhancing transparency in the Afghan public sector: a case study. *Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy*, 17(3): 459–472.
- Isaac, L., Lawal, M. & Okoli, T. 2019. A Systematic Review of Budgeting and Budgetary Control in Government Owned Organizations. *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 6(6): 1–11.
- Jacobsen, C.B., Hansen, A.K.L. & Pedersen, L.D. 2022. Not too narrow, not too broad: Linking span of control, leadership behavior, and employee job satisfaction in public organizations. *Public Administration Review*, (November 2021): 775–792.
- Jacobsen, C.B., Hansen, A.K.L. & Pedersen, L.D. 2023. Not too narrow, not too broad: Linking span of control, leadership behavior, and employee job satisfaction in public organizations. *Public Administration Review*, 83(4): 775–792.
- Jensen, M.C. & Meckling, W.H. 1976. Theory of the Firm: Managerial Behavior, Agency Costs, and Ownership Structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3(4): 305–360. Tersedia di <https://www.degruyter.com/document/doi/10.4159/9780674274051-006/html>.
- Jiang, X. & Choi, S. 2018. The impact of central-local inter-governmental relations on cultural democracy's development. *Asian Education and Development Studies*, 7(1): 53–75.
- Jiang, Y., Xiao, Y., Zhang, Z. & Zhao, S. 2023. How does central-local interaction affect local environmental governance? Insights from the transformation of central environmental protection inspection in China. *Environmental Research*, 243(September 2023): 117668. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2023.117668>.
- Johnsen, Å. 2016. Strategic Planning and Management in Local Government in Norway: Status after Three Decades. *Scandinavian Political Studies*, 39(4): 333–365.
- Jones, G.W. 1974. Intergovernmental Relations in Britain. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 416(1): 181–193. Tersedia di <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781351765602/chapters/10.4324/978135195957>

- Jung, H. 2021. A different choice, a different outcome: budgetary effects of a conservative legislator in liberal local regions of South Korea. *Japanese Journal of Political Science*, 22(2): 72–95. Tersedia di https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/S1468109921000062/type/journal_article.
- Kaho, J.R. 2012. *Analisis Hubungan Pemerintahan Pusat dan Daerah*. Yogyakarta: Center for Politics and Government Fisipol UGM.
- Kaletnik, H. & Zdyrko, N. 2021. Public financial control in Ukraine: State, problems, prospects. *Economic Annals-XXI*, 187(1–2): 163–176.
- Kanyanga, F.A. 2022. Effects of Computerized Accounting Systems on Financial Performance. *African Journal of Commercial Studies*, 1(1): 28–35.
- Kementerian Dalam Negeri Republik Indonesia 2023. *Mendagri Beri Penghargaan APBD Award 2023 kepada Kepala Daerah dengan Realisasi APBD Tertinggi*. Tersedia di <https://keuda.kemendagri.go.id/berita/detail/4357-mendagri-beri-penghargaan-apbd-award-2023-kepada-kepala-daerah-dengan-realisasi-apbd-tertinggi>.
- Khusaini, M. 2014. Local government planning and budgeting process: A case of districts and cities in Indonesia. *International Journal of Economic Policy in Emerging Economies*, 7(2): 141–156.
- Kim, Y. & Park, Y.J. 2022. Does accountability improve government performance? Evidence from the U.S. state fiscal monitoring and intervention systems. *Public Management Review*, 25(10): 1903–1925. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2022.2052945>.
- King, D.N. & Ma, Y. 1999. Central government control over local authority expenditure: The overseas experience. *Public Money and Management*, 19(3): 23–28.
- Kochanova, A., Hasnain, Z. & Larson, B. 2019. Does E-Government Improve Government Capacity? Evidence from Tax Compliance Costs, Tax Revenue, and Public Procurement Competitiveness. *American Historical Review*, 124(2): 101–120.
- Kostova, T. 1997. Country Institutional Profiles: Concept and Measurement. *Academy of Management Proceedings*. hal.180–184. Tersedia di <http://journals.aom.org/doi/10.5465/ambpp.1997.4981338>.
- Kraft, M.E. & Furlong, S.R. 2021. *Public Policy Politics, Analysis, and Alternatives*. 7th ed. California: SAGE.
- Krannich, R.L. 1979. The Politics of Intergovernmental Relations in Thailand. *Asian Survey*, 19(5): 506–522.
- Kuhlmann, S. & Bogumil, J. 2018. Performance measurement and benchmarking as “reflexive institutions” for local governments: Germany, Sweden and England compared. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 31(4): 543–562.
- Kuhlmann, S., Franzke, J. & Dumas, B.P. 2022. Technocratic Decision-Making in Times of Crisis? The Use of Data for Scientific Policy Advice in Germany’s COVID-19 Management. *Public Organization Review*, 22(2): 269–289. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11115-022-00635-8>.
- Ladner, A. & Keuffer, N. 2021. Creating an index of local autonomy—theoretical, conceptual, and empirical issues. *Regional and Federal Studies*, 31(2): 209–234. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1080/13597566.2018.1464443>.
- Ladner, A., Keuffer, N., Baldersheim, H., Hlepas, N., Swianiewicz, P., Steyvers, K. & Navarro, C. 2019. *Patterns of Local Autonomy in Europe*. Governance and Public Management. Cham: Palgrave Macmillan. Tersedia di <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/13597566.2020.1718660>.

- Lafin, M. 2009. Central-Local Relations in an Era of Governance: Toward a New Research Agenda. *Local Government Studies*, 35(1): 21–37.
- Lam, M.C. 2020. Centralised–decentralisation: State program of poverty reduction for ethnic minorities in Vietnam’s northern upland region. *Australian Journal of Anthropology*, 31(3): 303–318.
- Lartey, P.Y., Kong, Y., Bah, F.B.M., Santosh, R.J. & Gumah, I.A. 2020. Determinants of Internal Control Compliance in Public Organizations; Using Preventive, Detective, Corrective and Directive Controls. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 43(8): 711–723. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1080/01900692.2019.1645689>.
- Lewis, B.D. 2023. Indonesia’s New Fiscal Decentralisation Law: A Critical Assessment. *Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies*, 59(1): 1–28.
- Li, W., Chen, X. & Chen, Y. 2023. The Diffusion of Performance-Based Budgeting Reforms: Cross-Provincial Evidence from China. *Public Performance and Management Review*, 47(1): 114–146. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1080/15309576.2023.2221222>.
- Liang, Y., Liang, X. & Wei, H. 2023. Sustainable Quality-Incentive Contract Design of Public Technology Innovation Procurement under Asymmetry Information. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 15(11).
- Lim, Y., Edelenbos, J. & Gianoli, A. 2023. Dynamics in the governance of smart cities: insights from South Korean smart cities. *International Journal of Urban Sciences*, 27(S1): 183–205.
- Lin, J.Y. 2021. New structural economics: A framework of studying government and economics. *Journal of Government and Economics*, 2(September): 100014. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jge.2021.100014>.
- López-Hernández, A.M., Romero-Ramos, L., Flórez-Parra, J.M. & López-Pérez, M.V. 2023. External control of gender budget implementation: Experience of the Audit Office of Andalusia. *Public Money and Management*, 43(6): 559–566.
- Lou, S., Sun, Z. & Zhang, Y. 2023. To join the top and the bottom: the role of provincial governments in China’s top-down policy diffusion. *Journal of Chinese Governance*, 8(2): 161–179. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1080/23812346.2022.2105083>.
- Low, S. & Carney, T. 2012. Inter-Governmental Policy Implementation: State Inducements to Encourage Implementation at the Local Level. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 35(3): 177–193.
- Lowndes, V. 1999. Rebuilding Trust in Central/Local Relations: Policy or Passion? *Local Government Studies*, 25(4): 116–136.
- Luo, Z., Hu, X., Li, M., Yang, J. & Wen, C. 2019. Centralization or decentralization of environmental governance-evidence from China. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 11(24): 1–20.
- Lupia, A. 2015. *Delegation of Power: Agency Theory*. Second Edition. *International Encyclopedia of the Social & Behavioral Sciences: Second Edition*, Elsevier. Tersedia di <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-097086-8.93029-0>.
- Ma, L. & Christensen, T. 2020. Mapping the evolution of the central government apparatus in China. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 86(1): 80–97.
- Maggetti, M. & Papadopoulos, Y. 2018. The Principal–Agent Framework and Independent Regulatory Agencies. *Political Studies Review*, 16(3): 172–183.
- Mahmood, M., Weerakkody, V. & Chen, W. 2020. The role of information and communications technology in the transformation of government and citizen trust. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 86(4): 708–728.
- Mallinson, D.J. 2020. Cooperation and Conflict in State and Local Innovation During COVID-

- Manes-Rossi, F. 2019. New development: Alternative reporting formats: a panacea for accountability dilemmas? *Public Money and Management*, 39(7): 528–531. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1080/09540962.2019.1578540>.
- Manoharan, A.P., Melitski, J. & Holzer, M. 2023. Digital Governance: An Assessment of Performance and Best Practices. *Public Organization Review*, 23(1): 265–283. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11115-021-00584-8>.
- Marquardt, J. 2017. How power affects policy implementation: Lessons from the Philippines. *Journal of Current Southeast Asian Affairs*, 36(1): 3–27.
- Martins, A. 2022. External control and institutional drift in the First Brazilian Republic. *Revista de Administracao Publica*, 56(2): 275–290.
- Mateo-Márquez, A.J., González-González, J.M. & Zamora-Ramírez, C. 2021. Components of countries' regulative dimensions and voluntary carbon disclosures. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 13(4): 1–22.
- Mathebula, N.E. & Sebola, M.P. 2019. Evaluating the integrated development plan for service delivery within the auspices of the South African municipalities. *African Renaissance*, 16(4): 113–131.
- Matheus, R. & Janssen, M. 2020. A Systematic Literature Study to Unravel Transparency Enabled by Open Government Data: The Window Theory. *Public Performance and Management Review*, 43(3): 503–534. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1080/15309576.2019.1691025>.
- Maurer, J.D., Creek, S.A., Bendickson, J.S., McDowell, W.C. & Mahto, R. V. 2022. The three pillars' impact on entrepreneurial activity and funding: A country-level examination. *Journal of Business Research*, 142(November 2021): 808–818.
- Mcculloch, R.W. 1965. Intergovernmental Relations as Seen by Public Officials. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 359(1): 127–136. Tersedia di <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/000271626535900114>.
- Medir Tejado, L. & Pano, E. 2018. Modelling local autonomy and dependence through cooperative relations. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 31(4): 410–425.
- Meijer, A., 't Hart, P. & Worthy, B. 2018. Assessing Government Transparency: An Interpretive Framework. *Administration and Society*, 50(4): 501–526.
- de Mello, L. & Jalles, J.T. 2020. The global crisis and intergovernmental relations: centralization versus decentralization 10 years on. *Regional Studies*, 54(7): 942–957. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1080/00343404.2019.1645326>.
- De Mello, L.R. 2000. Fiscal decentralization and intergovernmental fiscal relations: A cross-country analysis. *World Development*, 28(2): 365–380.
- Meng, T. & Su, Z. 2021. When top-down meets bottom-up: Local officials and selective responsiveness within fiscal policymaking in China. *World Development*, 142: 105443. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2021.105443>.
- Menifield, C.E. 2021. *The Basics of Public Budgeting and Financial Management A Handbook for Academics and Practitioners*. Lanham: Hamilton Book. Tersedia di http://scioteca.caf.com/bitstream/handle/123456789/1091/RED2017-Eng-8ene.pdf?sequence=12&isAllowed=y%0Ahttp://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.regsciurbeco.2008.06.005%0Ahttps://www.researchgate.net/publication/305320484_SISTEM_PEMBETU_NGAN_TERPUSAT_STRATEGI_MELESTARI.
- Mihálik, J., Horváth, P. & Švikruha, M. 2019. Give me liberty or give me money: The fiscal decentralization and autonomy of regional governance in Slovakia. *European Journal of*

- Mohammed, F., Ibrahim, O., Nilashi, M. & Alzurqa, E. 2017. Cloud computing adoption model for e-government implementation. *Information Development*, 33(3): 303–323.
- Mui, Y., Khojasteh, M., Judelsohn, A., Sirwatka, A., Kelly, S., Gooch, P. & Raja, S. 2021. Planning for Regional Food Equity. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 87(3): 354–369. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1080/01944363.2020.1845781>.
- Murphy, J.R. & Arban, E. 2021. Assessing the performance of Australian federalism in responding to the pandemic. *Publius*, 51(4): 627–649.
- Musa, A. & Petak, Z. 2015. Coordination for Policy in Transition Countries: Case of Croatia. *Central European Public Administration Review*, 13(3–4): 117–159.
- Nam, H., Nam, T., Oh, M. & Choi, S. 2022. An Efficiency Measurement of E-Government Performance for Network Readiness: Non-Parametric Frontier Approach. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 8(1): 10. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.3390/joitmc8010010>.
- Nasri, W. 2019. E-government adoption in Tunisia extending technology acceptance model. *International Journal of Public Administration in the Digital Age*, 6(4): 30–42.
- Negash, M. & Lemma, T.T. 2020. Institutional pressures and the accounting and reporting of environmental liabilities. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 29(5): 1941–1960.
- Nemec, J. & De Vries, M.S. 2015. Local government structure and capacities in Europe. *Public Policy and Administration*, 14(3): 249–267.
- Nguyen, H.T., Vo, T.H.N., Le, D.D.M. & Nguyen, V.T. 2020. Fiscal Decentralization, Corruption, and Income Inequality: Evidence from Vietnam. *Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 7(11): 529–540.
- Nurcholis, H. 2005. *Teori dan Praktik Pemerintahan dan Otonomi Daerah*. Jakarta: Grasindo.
- Nyirenda, D., Sariola, S., Kingori, P., Squire, B., Bandawe, C., Parker, M. & Desmond, N. 2020. Structural coercion in the context of community engagement in global health research conducted in a low resource setting in Africa. *BMC Medical Ethics*, 21(1): 1–10.
- Oh, Y. & Lee, K. 2022. External control mechanisms and red tape: testing the roles of external audit and evaluation on red tape in quasi-governmental organizations. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 88(2): 355–372.
- Oikonomou, G. 2020. *Decentralizing Governance*. *Global Encyclopedia of Public Administration, Public Policy, and Governance*.
- Okamuro, H., Nishimura, J. & Kitagawa, F. 2019. Multilevel policy governance and territorial adaptability: evidence from Japanese SME innovation programmes. *Regional Studies*, 53(6): 803–814. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1080/00343404.2018.1500687>.
- Ongaro, E., Gong, T. & Jing, Y. 2021. Public administration, context and innovation: A framework of analysis. *Public Administration and Development*, 41(1): 4–11.
- Osinubi, I.S. 2020. The three pillars of institutional theory and IFRS implementation in Nigeria. *Journal of Accounting in Emerging Economies*, 10(4): 575–599.
- Panday, P.K. 2017. Decentralisation without decentralisation: Bangladesh's failed attempt to transfer power from the central government to local governments. *Asia Pacific Journal of Public Administration*, 39(3): 177–188. Tersedia di <http://doi.org/10.1080/23276665.2017.1363940>.
- Panday, P.K. & Chowdhury, S. 2020. Responsiveness of local government officials: insights and lessons from participatory planning and budgeting. *Asia Pacific Journal of Public Administration*, 42(2): 132–151. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1080/23276665.2020.1742753>.
- Park, S. 2022. Organizational Performance and Government Resource Allocation: Panel

- Evidence from Washington State's Public Programs. *Public Performance and Management Review*, 47(1): 30–55. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1080/15309576.2022.2148260>.
- Paul, S. & Shankar, S. 2022. Regulatory reforms and the efficiency and productivity growth in electricity generation in OECD countries. *Energy Economics*, 108(July 2020): 105888. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2022.105888>.
- Pereira, C.G. & Arantes, R. de A. 2020. Fighting COVID-19 in Salvador: Cooperation and conflict in intergovernmental relationship. *Ambiente e Sociedade*, 23: 1–11.
- Peters, B.G. & Fontaine, G. 2022. Introduction Policy Design : operationalizing the policy design framework. B.G. Peters & G. Fontaine, ed., *Research Handbook of Policy Design*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing Limited, hal.1–38.
- Piattoni, S. 2010. *The Theory of Multi-level Governance. The Theory of Multi-level Governance*.
- Pillay, S. & Kluvers, R. 2014. An Institutional Theory Perspective on Corruption: The Case of a Developing Democracy. *Financial Accountability and Management*, 30(1): 95–119.
- Piña, G. & Avellaneda, C. 2019. Central Government Strategies to Promote Local Governments' Transparency: Guidance or Enforcement? *Public Performance and Management Review*, 42(2): 357–382. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1080/15309576.2018.1462215>.
- Plaček, M., Ochrana, F., Půček, M.J. & Nemec, J. 2020. *Fiscal Decentralization Reforms. Fiscal Decentralization Reforms*.
- Pribadi, U. 2024. Impact of Organizational Structure and Culture on E-Government Implementation : A Survey of Local Government Employees in Yogyakarta Special Region , Indonesia IMPACTO DE LA ESTRUCTURA Y LA CULTURA ORGANIZACIONAL EN LA IMPLEMENTACIÓN DEL GOBIERNO ELECTRÓN. 34: 1–22.
- Pudjianto, W.S. 2019. *Pendekatan Baru Perencanaan Pembangunan Daerah*. Jakarta: Kompas Media Nusantara.
- Remenova, K., Skorkova, Z. & Jankelova, N. 2018. Span of control in teamwork and organization structure. *Montenegrin Journal of Economics*, 14(2): 155–165.
- Reynolds, H.W. 1965. Merit Controls, the Hatch Acts, and Personnel Standards in Intergovernmental Relations. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 359(1): 81–93. Tersedia di <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/000271626535900110>.
- Rhodes, R.A.W. 1980. Some myths in central-local relations (administration). *Town Planning Review*, 51(3): 270–285.
- Rhodes, R.A.W. 1981. *Control and Power in Central-Local Government Relations*. Hants: Gower Publishing Company Limited.
- Rice, C., Connaughton, B., Ratcliffe, J. & Somerville, I. 2023. A slow-burning crisis: Executive relations and the normalisation of distrust in Northern Ireland's 'cash for ash' fiasco. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 1–17.
- Romenska, K., Chentsov, V., Rozhko, O. & Uspalenko, V. 2020. Budget planning with the development of the budget process in Ukraine. *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, 18(2): 246–260.
- Rondinelli, D.A. 1981. Government Decentralization in Comparative Perspective: Theory and Practice in Developing Countries. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 47(2): 133–145.
- Rondinelli, D.A. & Wilson, P.A. 1987. Linking decentralization and regional development

planning: The ird project in Peru. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 53(3): 348–357.

- Rosenbloom, D.H., Kravchuk, R.S. & Clerkin, R.M. 2015. *Public Administration Understanding Management Politics and law in the Public sector*. New York: Mc Graw-hill Book Company.
- Ross, S.A. 1973. The Economic Theory of Agency : The Principal ' s Problem. *The American Economic Review*, 63(2): 134–139. Tersedia di <https://www.jstor.org/stable/1817064>.
- Rudolf, S.C., Grădinaru, S.R. & Hersperger, A.M. 2017. Impact of planning mandates on local plans: a multi-method assessment. *European Planning Studies*, 25(12): 2192–2211.
- Ruijter, E., Détienné, F., Baker, M., Groff, J. & Meijer, A.J. 2020. The Politics of Open Government Data: Understanding Organizational Responses to Pressure for More Transparency. *American Review of Public Administration*, 50(3): 260–274.
- Sandefur, R.L. & Denne, E. 2022. Access to Justice and Legal Services Regulatory Reform. *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*, 18: 27–42.
- Savini, F., Majoor, S. & Salet, W. 2015. Urban peripheries: reflecting on politics and projects in Amsterdam, Milan, and Paris. *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy*, 33(3): 457–474.
- Schmidt, V.A. 2020. Theorizing institutional change and governance in European responses to the Covid-19 pandemic. *Journal of European Integration*, 42(8): 1177–1193. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1080/07036337.2020.1853121>.
- Schreier, M. 2012. *Qualitative Content Analysis in Practice*. Washington D. C.: SAGE Publications.
- Scott, W.R. 2014. *Institutions and organizations: ideas, interests, and identities*. Washington D. C.: SAGE Publications.
- Shah, A. 2007. *Local Budgeting. Local Budgeting*. The World Bank.
- Sheoran, S., Mohanasundaram, S., Kasilingam, R. & Vij, S. 2023. Usability and Accessibility of Open Government Data Portals of Countries Worldwide: An Application of TOPSIS and Entropy Weight Method. *International Journal of Electronic Government Research*, 19(1): 1–25.
- Shepherd, E., Bunn, J., Flinn, A., Lomas, E., Sexton, A., Brimble, S., Chorley, K., Harrison, E., Lowry, J. & Page, J. 2019. Open government data: critical information management perspectives. *Records Management Journal*, 29(1–2): 152–167.
- Shi, P. & Ni, M. 2017. The allocation mechanism of authority and accountability in Chinese government hierarchies: A case study of the “Earmarked Project System.” *Journal of Chinese Sociology*, 4(1).
- Silvestre, H.C., Marques, R.C., Dollery, B. & Correia, A.M. 2020. Is cooperation cost reducing? An analysis of public–public partnerships and inter-municipal cooperation in Brazilian local government. *Local Government Studies*, 46(1): 68–90. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1080/03003930.2019.1615462>.
- Silvestre, H.C., Marques, R.C. & Gomes, R.C. 2018. Joined-up Government of utilities: a meta-review on a public–public partnership and inter-municipal cooperation in the water and wastewater industries. *Public Management Review*, 20(4): 607–631. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2017.1363906>.
- Situmorang, D.D.P., Erlina, Sirojuzilam & Rujiman 2023. Assessing the Impact of Performance-Based Budgeting on Regional Development and Environmental Outcomes Mediated by Government Agency Accountability in Dairi District. *International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning*, 18(9): 2953–2958.
- Skelcher, C., Hinings, B., Leach, S. & Ranson, S. 1983. Centre-Local Linkages : The Impact of

- Smith, B. & Bempah, O. 2017. Determinants of sound budgeting and financial management practices at the decentralised level of public administration. *OECD Journal on Budgeting*, 16(2): 109–128. Tersedia di https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/governance/determinants-of-sound-budgeting-and-financial-management-practices-at-the-decentralised-level-of-public-administration_budget-16-5jg19mnf3vr1.
- Smith, H.J., Park, S. & Liu, L. 2019. Hardening Budget Constraints: A Cross-National Study of Fiscal Sustainability and Subnational Debt. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 42(12): 1055–1067. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1080/01900692.2019.1575666>.
- Smoke, P. 2015. Rethinking Decentralization: Assessing Challenges to a Popular Public Sector Reform. *Public Administration and Development*, 35(2): 97–112. Tersedia di <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/pad.1703>.
- Sobieszczyk, T. & Turner, M. 2001. *Central-Local Relations in Asia-Pacific: Convergence or Divergence? Pacific Affairs*, .
- Sofyani, H. 2018. Does Performance-Based Budgeting Have a Correlation with Performance Measurement System? Evidence from Local Government in Indonesia. *Foundations of Management*, 10(1): 163–176.
- Solar, C. & Smith, M. 2021. Decentralisation and central-local relations: the case of policing and mental health in England. *British Politics*, 16(3): 254–271. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41293-019-00132-5>.
- Sour, L. 2020. New development: Integration of budget and governmental accounting in Mexican states. *Public Money & Management*, 40(7): 519–522. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1080/09540962.2020.1763066>.
- Stjernström, O., Pettersson, Ö. & Karlsson, S. 2018. How can Sweden deal with forest management and municipal planning in the system of ongoing land-use and multilevel planning? *European Countryside*, 10(1): 23–37.
- Stoker, G. 1995. Intergovernmental Relations. *Public Administration*, 73(1): 101–122.
- Stoney, C., Asquith, A., Kipper, K., McNeill, J., Martin, J. & Spano, A. 2023. Policy-making, policy-taking, and policy-shaping: Local government responses to the COVID-19 pandemic. *Australian Journal of Public Administration*, (August 2022): 1–22.
- Strielkowski, W., Zenchenko, S., Tarasova, A. & Radyukova, Y. 2022. Management of Smart and Sustainable Cities in the Post-COVID-19 Era: Lessons and Implications. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 14(12).
- Sudhipongpracha, T. & Wongpredee, A. 2016. Demystifying Decentralization and Its Setback: Evidence From Thailand's Decentralization Reform. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 39(6): 437–448. Tersedia di <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01900692.2015.1020549>.
- Tait, M. 2002. Room for manoeuvre? an actor-network study of central-local relations in development plan making. *Planning Theory and Practice*, 3(1): 69–85.
- Telch, F., Isaza, C. & Rubaii, N. 2020. Governance challenges within national development planning: lessons from the Colombian experience. *International Review of Public Administration*, 25(3): 175–191. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1080/12294659.2020.1802869>.
- Terjado, L.M. & Pano, E. 2018. Modelling local autonomy and dependence through cooperative relations. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 31(4): 410–425. Tersedia di <https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/IJPSM-01-2017-0013/full/html>.
- Thesari, S.S., Lizot, M. & Trojan, F. 2021. Municipal public budget planning with sustainable

and human development goals integrated in a multi-criteria approach. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 13(19).

- Thiel, S. van 2017. A Principal-Agent Perspective. S. Van de Walle & S. Groeneveld, ed., *Theory and Practice of Public Sector Reform*, 2nd ed. New York: Routledge, hal.44–60.
- van Thiel, S. 2022. *Research Methods in Public Administration and Public Management And Introduction*. 2nd ed. New York: Routledge. Tersedia di <https://lccn.loc.gov/2021008948>.
- Thomas, M.L. 1963. Historical origins of philippine centralism. *Journal of Southeast Asian History*, 4(2): 73–90.
- Thompson, G., Buch, R. & Glasø, L. 2019. Servant leadership, span of control, and outcomes in a municipality context. *Journal of General Management*, 44(2): 87–95.
- Tiika, B.J., Tang, Z., Azaare, J., Dagadu, J.C. & Otoo, S.N.A. 2024. Evaluating E-Government Development among Africa Union Member States: An Analysis of the Impact of E-Government on Public Administration and Governance in Ghana. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 16(3).
- Torres, L. & Garcia-Lacalle, J. 2021. Financial reporting quality and online disclosure practices in Spanish governmental agencies. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 13(5): 1–21.
- Trevino, L.J., Thomas, D.E. & Cullen, J. 2008. The three pillars of institutional theory and FDI in Latin America: An institutionalization process. *International Business Review*, 17(1): 118–133.
- Trygg, K. & Wenander, H. 2022. Strategic spatial planning for sustainable development—Swedish planners’ institutional capacity. *European Planning Studies*, 30(10): 1985–2001. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1080/09654313.2021.2001792>.
- Tsangas, M., Gavriel, I., Doula, M., Xenii, F. & Zorpas, A.A. 2020. Life cycle analysis in the framework of agricultural strategic development planning in the Balkan region. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 12(5): 1–15.
- Tuhana, Wiwoho, J. & Handayani, I.G.A.K.R. 2023. Instrumentalizing community participation with responsive legal internalization in the public sector selection process. *International Journal of Public Policy and Administration Research*, 10(1): 14–23.
- Tulai, O., Petrushenko, Y., Glova, J., Sydor, I. & Ponomarenko, O. 2019. The impact of decentralization on the financial support of regional development. *Investment Management and Financial Innovations*, 16(4).
- Undang-undang Republik Indonesia Nomor 23 Tahun 2014 tentang Pemerintahan Daerah*.
- Uster, A. & Cohen, N. 2022a. Local government’s response to dissatisfaction with centralized policies: the “do-it-yourself” approach. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*.
- Uster, A. & Cohen, N. 2022b. Local government’s response to dissatisfaction with centralized policies: the “do-it-yourself” approach. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*.
- Vakulenko, V., Bourmistrov, A. & Grossi, G. 2020. Reverse decoupling: Ukrainian case of healthcare financing system reform. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 33(5): 519–534.
- Venugopal, V. & Yilmaz, S. 2010. Decentralization in Tanzania: An assessment of local government discretion and accountability. *Public Administration and Development*, 30(3): 215–231.
- Vincent-Jones, P. 2002. Values and Purpose in Government: Central-local Relations in Regulatory Perspective. *Journal of Law and Society*, 29(1): 27–55.
- de Vries, M.S. & Nemec, J. 2019. *Performance-Based Budgeting in the Public Sector*. Cham: Palgrave Macmillan. Tersedia di https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-02077-4_1.
- Wahyudi, Fahmid, I.M., Salman, D. & Suhab, S. 2022. Consistency of Central and Regional Planning in the Agricultural Sectors and the Factors Affecting It in Indonesia.

- Wang, C. 2021. Legal and Political Practices in China's Central–Local Dynamics. *Fudan Journal of the Humanities and Social Sciences*, 14(4): 523–547.
- Wangchuk, D. & Turner, M. 2019. Aligning top-down and bottom-up in development planning: The case of Bhutan. *Public Administration and Development*, 39(2): 59–68.
- Waterman, R.W. & Meier, K.J. 1998. Principal-Agent Models: An Expansion? *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 8(2): 173–202. Tersedia di <https://academic.oup.com/jpart/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/oxfordjournals.jpart.a024377>.
- Weber, M. 1947. *The Theory of Social and Economic Organization. Bureaucracy: Key concepts in Political Science*. Glencoe: The Free Press.
- Wilson, D. 2003. Unravelling Control Freakery: Redefining Central-Local Government Relations. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*, 5(3): 317–346.
- Wolman, H. 1986. Urban economic development and intergovernmental relations. *Environment & Planning C: Government & Policy*, 4(4): 419–422.
- Wright, D.S. 1974. Intergovernmental Relations: An Analytical Overview. *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 416(1): 1–16. Tersedia di <https://www.jstor.org/stable/1041777>.
- Wright, D.S. 1980. Intergovernmental Games: An Approach To Understanding Intergovernmental Relations. 3(4): 383–403.
- Yang, X., Yan, J., Tian, K., Yu, Z., Yu Li, R. & Xia, S. 2021. Centralization or decentralization? the impact of different distributions of authority on China's environmental regulation. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 173(August): 121172. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2021.121172>.
- Yang, Y. & Han, S.S. 2020. Wrestling between central and local: Planning and development of the Wuhan High-Speed Rail Station and its vicinity, China. *Cities*, 105(September 2019): 102828. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2020.102828>.
- Yongnian, Z. 2007. *De facto federalism in China : reforms and dynamics of central-local relations*. Singapore: World Scientific Publishing.
- Yoo, J. 2018. Assessing central-local government relations in contemporary south korea: An application of page & goldsmith's comparative framework. *Lex Localis*, 16(3): 505–528.
- You, J. 2020. Lessons From South Korea's Covid-19 Policy Response. *American Review of Public Administration*, 50(6–7): 801–808.
- Yu, L. & Xu, W. 2022. Institutional conformity, entrepreneurial governance and local contingency: Problematizing central-local dynamics in localizing China's low-income housing policy. *Environment and Planning A*, 54(3): 508–532.
- Yusuf, M., Adams, C., Dingley, K., Muntasa, A., Agustiono, W. & Oseni, K.O. 2020. Actor-Network Theory (ANT) for Indonesia e-Government implementation. *Proceeding - 6th Information Technology International Seminar, ITIS 2020*, 280–285.
- Zeng, S. & Dror, M. 2016. *Formulating Principal-Agent Service Contracts for a Revenue Generating Unit. SpringerBriefs in Operation Management*. Cham: Springer International Publishing. Tersedia di <https://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-319-18672-6>.
- Zhang, G. 2013. The Impacts of Intergovernmental Transfers on Local Governments' Fiscal Behavior in China: A Cross-County Analysis. *Australian Journal of Public Administration*, 72(3): 264–277.
- Zhang, X. 2017. Implementation of Pollution Control Targets in China: Has a Centralized Enforcement Approach Worked? *China Quarterly*, 231(August 2017): 749–774.
- Zhao, H., Ahn, M.J. & Manoharan, A.P. 2021. E-Government, Corruption Reduction and the Role of Culture: A Study Based on Panel Data of 57 Countries. *International Journal of*

- Zhou, F. & Tan, M. 2017. *Relationship between the Central Government and Local Governments of Contemporary China*. Singapore: Springer Singapore.
- Zhuo, H., Yu, C. & Zhang, W. 2022. Response or avoidance: The reaction of local governments to the central government's housing market control policies. *Cities*, 129(August 2021): 103895. Tersedia di <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2022.103895>.
- Ziyadin, S., Malayev, K., Fernández-Plazaola, I., Gulim, I. & Beyzhanova, A. 2020. Digital Modernization of the System of Public Administration: Prerogatives and Barriers. *E3S Web of Conferences*, 159.
- Zoller, Y.J. & Muldoon, J. 2020. Journey of a concept: span of control – the rise, the decline, and what is next? *Journal of Management History*, 26(4): 515–533.