

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abdullah, H. S., Li, L. P., & David, A. P. V. (2011). *GENDER DIFFERENCES IN MATE SELECTION CRITERIA AMONG MALAYSIAN UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS*.
- Acitelli, L. K., Kenny, D. A., & Weiner, D. (2001). The importance of similarity and understanding of partners' marital ideals to relationship satisfaction. *Personal Relationships*, 8(2), 167–185. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6811.2001.tb00034.x>
- Alavi, M., Alahdad, R., & Shafeq, S. M. (2014). Mate Selection Criteria among Postgraduate Students in Malaysia. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 116, 5075–5080. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.01.1076>
- Aman, J., Abbas, J., Nurunnabi, M., & Bano, S. (2019). The Relationship of Religiosity and Marital Satisfaction: The Role of Religious Commitment and Practices on Marital Satisfaction Among Pakistani Respondents. *Behavioral Sciences*, 9(3), 30. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bs9030030>
- Arrindell, W. A., & Luteijn, F. (2000). Similarity between intimate partners for personality traits as related to individual levels of satisfaction with life. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 28(4), 629–637. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869\(99\)00125-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869(99)00125-7)
- Arum, R., Roksa, J., & Budig, M. J. (2008). The romance of college attendance: Higher education stratification and mate selection. *Research in Social Stratification and Mobility*, 26(2), 107–121. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rssm.2008.02.003>
- Badahdah, A. M., & Tiemann, K. A. (2009). Religion and Mate Selection through Cyberspace: A Case Study of Preferences among Muslims. *Journal of Muslim Minority Affairs*, 29(1), 83–90. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13602000902726798>
- Balestrino, A., & Ciardi, C. (2008). Social norms, cognitive dissonance and the timing of marriage. *The Journal of Socio-Economics*, 37(6), 2399–2410. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socec.2008.05.002>
- Barni, D., Ranieri, S., Scabini, E., & Rosnati, R. (2011). Value transmission in the family: Do adolescents accept the values their parents want to transmit? *Journal of Moral Education*, 40(1), 105–121. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03057240.2011.553797>
- Becker, G. S. (1974). A Theory of Marriage: Part II. *Journal of Political Economy*, 82(2, Part 2), S11–S26. <https://doi.org/10.1086/260287>



- Becker, G. S. (1993). Nobel Lecture: The Economic Way of Looking at Behavior. *Journal of Political Economy*, 101(3), 385–409. <https://doi.org/10.1086/261880>
- Bejanyan, K., Marshall, T. C., & Ferenczi, N. (2015). Associations of Collectivism with Relationship Commitment, Passion, and Mate Preferences: Opposing Roles of Parental Influence and Family Allocentrism. *PLOS ONE*, 10(2), e0117374. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0117374>
- Bereczkei, T., & Csanaky, A. (1996). Mate choice, marital success, and reproduction in a modern society. *Ethology and Sociobiology*, 17(1), 17–35. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0162-3095\(95\)00104-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0162-3095(95)00104-2)
- Bereczkei, T., Gyuris, P., Koves, P., & Bernath, L. (2002). Homogamy, genetic similarity, and imprinting; parental influence on mate choice preferences. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 33(5), 677–690. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869\(01\)00182-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869(01)00182-9)
- Bleske-Rechek, A., VandenHeuvel, B., & Vander Wyst, M. (2009). Age Variation in Mating Strategies and Mate Preferences: Beliefs versus Reality. *Evolutionary Psychology*, 7(2), 147470490900700204. <https://doi.org/10.1177/147470490900700204>
- Blossfeld, H.-P. (2009). Educational Assortative Marriage in Comparative Perspective. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 35(1), 513–530. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-soc-070308-115913>
- Botwin, M. D., Buss, D. M., & Shackelford, T. K. (1997). Personality and Mate Preferences: Five Factors In Mate Selection and Marital Satisfaction. *Journal of Personality*, 65(1), 107–136. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6494.1997.tb00531.x>
- Boulis, C., & Torgler, B. (2024). Religion as a Determinant of Relationship Stability. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, 63(2), 281–306. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jssr.12896>
- Boysen, G. A. (2017). Stigma Toward People with Mental Illness as Potential Sexual and Romantic Partners. *Evolutionary Psychological Science*, 3(3), 212–223. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40806-017-0089-5>
- Brase, G. L., & Guy, E. C. (2004). The demographics of mate value and self-esteem. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 36(2), 471–484. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869\(03\)00117-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869(03)00117-X)
- Burns, T., & Roszkowska, E. (2016). Rational Choice Theory: Toward a Psychological, Social, and Material Contextualization of Human Choice Behavior. *Theoretical Economics Letters*, 06(02), 195–207. <https://doi.org/10.4236/tel.2016.62022>



- Buss, D. M. (1989). Sex differences in human mate preferences: Evolutionary hypotheses tested in 37 cultures. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 12(1), 1–14.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X00023992>
- Buss, D. M., & Barnes, M. (1986). *Preferences in Human Mate Selection*.
- Buunk, A. P., Park, J. H., & Duncan, L. A. (2010). Cultural Variation in Parental Influence on Mate Choice. *Cross-Cultural Research*, 44(1), 23–40.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1069397109337711>
- Buunk, B., & Bosman, J. (1986). Attitude Similarity and Attraction in Marital Relationships. *The Journal of Social Psychology*, 126(1), 133–134.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00224545.1986.9713583>
- Buunk, B. P., Dijkstra, P., Fetchenhauer, D., & Kenrick, D. T. (2002). Age and Gender Differences in Mate Selection Criteria for Various Involvement Levels. *Personal Relationships*, 9(3), 271–278. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6811.00018>
- Coombs, R. H. (1969). Problems in Mate Selection. *The Family Coordinator*, 18(3), 293.
<https://doi.org/10.2307/581999>
- Daly, M. (1989). On distinguishing evolved adaptation from epiphenomena. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 12(3), 520–520. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X00057356>
- Dekker, E., & Remic, B. (2019). Two types of ecological rationality: Or how to best combine psychology and economics. *Journal of Economic Methodology*, 26(4), 291–306.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1350178X.2018.1560486>
- Eastwick, P. W., Finkel, E. J., & Eagly, A. H. (2011). When and why do ideal partner preferences affect the process of initiating and maintaining romantic relationships? *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 101(5), 1012–1032.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/a0024062>
- Eastwick, P. W., Finkel, E. J., & Simpson, J. A. (2019). The Relationship Trajectories Framework: Elaboration and Expansion. *Psychological Inquiry*, 30(1), 48–57.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1047840X.2019.1585740>
- Elliot, A. J., & Devine, P. G. (1994). *On the Motivational Nature of Cognitive Dissonance: Dissonance as Psychological Discomfort*.
- Fletcher, G. J. O., Kerr, P. S. G., Li, N. P., & Valentine, K. A. (2014). Predicting Romantic Interest and Decisions in the Very Early Stages of Mate Selection: Standards, Accuracy, and Sex Differences. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 40(4), 540–550.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167213519481>



- Flicker, S. M., Sancier-Barbosa, F., Afroz, F., Saif, S. N., & Mohsin, F. (2020). Marital quality in arranged and couple-initiated marriages: The role of perceived influence over partner selection. *International Journal of Psychology*, 55(4), 629–637. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijop.12622>
- Gopika, & Menon, M. (2024). Family, Personality, and Mate Selection: Exploring Discrepancies Between Young Adults' and Parents' Preferences. *The International Journal of Indian Psychology*, 12(4). <https://doi.org/DOI: 10.25215/1204.158>
- Goulding, C. (2005). Grounded theory, ethnography and phenomenology: A comparative analysis of three qualitative strategies for marketing research. *European Journal of Marketing*, 39(3/4), 294–308. <https://doi.org/10.1108/03090560510581782>
- Grossbard-Shechtman, S. (2003). Marriage and the Economy. Dalam S. A. Grossbard (Ed.), *Marriage and the Economy* (1 ed., hlm. 1–34). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511615863.003>
- Haandrikman, K. (2019). Partner choice in Sweden: How distance still matters. *Environment and Planning A: Economy and Space*, 51(2), 440–460. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0308518X18786726>
- Habenicht, S., & Schutte, N. S. (2023). The Impact of Recognizing a Romantic Partner's Character Strengths on Relationship Satisfaction. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 24(3), 1219–1231. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-023-00641-7>
- Haris, F., & Kumar, A. (2018). MARITAL SATISFACTION AND COMMUNICATION SKILLS AMONG MARRIED COUPLES. *Indian Journal of Social Research*, 59(1), 35–44.
- Hechter, M., & Kanazawa, S. (1997). *Sociological Rational Choice Theory*.
- Hedström, P., & Stern, C. (2007). *Rational Choice and Sociology*. 10.1057/978-1-349-95121-5_2736-1
- Hedström, P., & Ylikoski, P. (2014). Analytical sociology and rational-choice theory. Dalam G. Manzo (Ed.), *Analytical Sociology* (1 ed., hlm. 53–70). Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118762707.ch02>
- Himawan, K. K. (2019). *Either I do or I must: An exploration of the marriage attitudes of Indonesian singles*. *The Social Science Journal*, 56(2), 220–227. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soscij.2018.07.007>
- Himawan, K. K., Bambling, M., & Edirippulige, S. (2017). Modernization and singlehood in Indonesia: Psychological and social impacts. *Kasetsart Journal of Social Sciences*, S2452315117301698. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kjss.2017.09.008>



- Hollingshead, A. B. (1950). Cultural Factors in the Selection of Marriage Mates. *American Sociological Review*, 15(5), 619. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2086915>
- Huo, C., & Chen, L. (2022). Does individual educational attainment affect educational homogamy?—Evidence from CGSS. *International Studies of Economics*, 17(1), 82–107. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ise3.9>
- Huston, T. L., Caughlin, J. P., Houts, R. M., Smith, S. E., & George, L. J. (2001). *The Connubial Crucible: Newlywed Years as Predictors of Marital Delight, Distress, and Divorce*.
- Jarcho, J. M., Berkman, E. T., & Lieberman, M. D. (2011). The neural basis of rationalization: Cognitive dissonance reduction during decision-making. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, 6(4), 460–467. <https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsq054>
- Kallová, N., & Hargašová, L. (2024). All is well in moderation: Perspectives of young adults on positive and negative factors influencing the quality of romantic relationships. *Interpersona: An International Journal on Personal Relationships*, 18(1), 21–39. <https://doi.org/10.5964/ijpr.10389>
- Kalmijn, M. (1991). Shifting Boundaries: Trends in Religious and Educational Homogamy. *American Sociological Review*, 56(6), 786. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2096256>
- Kalmijn, M. (1994). Assortative Mating by Cultural and Economic Occupational Status. *American Journal of Sociology*, 100(2), 422–452. <https://doi.org/10.1086/230542>
- Kalmijn, M. (1998). Inter marriage and Homogamy: Causes, Patterns, Trends. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 24(1), 395–421. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.soc.24.1.395>
- Kalmijn, M., & Flap, H. (2001). Assortative Meeting and Mating: Unintended Consequences of Organized Settings for Partner Choices. *Social Forces*, 79(4), 1289–1312. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2675473>
- Kebede, B. (Ed.). (2014). *Rational Choice Theory*. In: Michalos, A.C. (eds) *Encyclopedia of Quality of Life and Well-Being Research*. Springer Netherlands. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-0753-5>
- Kernodle, W. (1959). Some Implications of the Homogamy-Complementary Needs Theories of Mate Selection for Sociological Research. *Social Forces*, 38(2), 145–152. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2573935>
- Knobloch, L. K., & Donovan-Kicken, E. (2006). Perceived involvement of network members in courtships: A test of the relational turbulence model. *Personal Relationships*, 13(3), 281–302. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6811.2006.00118.x>



- Lamont, M., & Molnár, V. (2002). The Study of Boundaries in the Social Sciences. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 28(1), 167–195. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.soc.28.110601.141107>
- Lay, C., Fairlie, P., Jackson, S., Ricci, T., Eisenberg, J., Sato, T., Teeaar, A., & Melamud, A. (1998). Domain-Specific Allocentrism-Idiocentrism: A Measure of Family Connectedness. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 29(3), 434–460. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022022198293004>
- Li, N. P., Bailey, J. M., Kenrick, D. T., & Linsenmeier, J. A. W. (2002). The necessities and luxuries of mate preferences: Testing the tradeoffs. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 82(6), 947–955. <https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-3514.82.6.947>
- Liu, Y.-F., Su, L.-N., & Wang, H.-Y. (2011). Women's Cue Preferences and Information Processing Mode in Mate Choice. *Acta Psychologica Sinica*, 43(01), 21–29. <https://doi.org/10.3724/SP.J.1041.2011.00021>
- Madathil, J., & Benshoff, J. M. (2008). Importance of Marital Characteristics and Marital Satisfaction: A Comparison of Asian Indians in Arranged Marriages and Americans in Marriages of Choice. *The Family Journal*, 16(3), 222–230. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1066480708317504>
- Mäenpää, E., & Jalovaara, M. (2014). Homogamy in socio-economic background and education, and the dissolution of cohabiting unions. *Demographic Research*, 30, 1769–1792. <https://doi.org/10.4054/DemRes.2014.30.65>
- Mafrá, A. L., Castro, F. N., & Lopes, F. D. A. (2016). Investment in Beauty, Exercise, and Self-esteem: Are They Related to Self-perception as a Romantic Partner? *Evolutionary Psychological Science*, 2(1), 24–31. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40806-015-0032-6>
- Maliki, A. (2010). Determinants of Mate selection choice among University Students in South-South Zone of Nigeria. *Edo Journal of Counselling*, 2(2), 165–174. <https://doi.org/10.4314/ejc.v2i2.60856>
- Manfredini, M., Breschi, M., & Mazzoni, S. (2010). Spouse selection by health status and physical traits. Sardinia, 1856–1925. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 141(2), 290–296. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.21150>
- Merton, R. K. (1941). Intermarriage and the Social Structure: Fact and Theory †. *Psychiatry*, 4(3), 361–374. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00332747.1941.11022354>
- Miner, E. J., Shackelford, T. K., & Starratt, V. G. (2009). Mate value of romantic partners predicts men's partner-directed verbal insults. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 46(2), 135–139. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2008.09.015>



- Morgan, E. M., Thorne, A., & Zurbriegen, E. L. (2010). A longitudinal study of conversations with parents about sex and dating during college. *Developmental Psychology*, 46(1), 139–150. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0016931>
- Nojo, S., Tamura, S., & Ihara, Y. (2012). Human Homogamy in Facial Characteristics: Does a Sexual-Imprinting-Like Mechanism Play a Role? *Human Nature*, 23(3), 323–340. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12110-012-9146-8>
- Nongkynrih, A. K. (2016). Mate Selection: A Sociological Exploration. *Journal of the Anthropological Survey of India*, 65(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2277436X20160101>
- Rapson, R. L., & Hatfield, R. (1993). *Equity Theory in Close Relationships*. Sage Publication. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781446249222.n36>
- Regan, P. C. (1998). What if You Can't Get What You Want? Willingness to Compromise Ideal Mate Selection Standards as a Function of Sex, Mate Value, and Relationship Context. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 24(12), 1294–1303. <https://doi.org/10.1177/01461672982412004>
- Republik Indonesia. (2009). Undang-Undang Republik Indonesia Nomor 40 Tahun 2009 tentang Kepemudaan. Lembaran Negara Republik Indonesia Tahun 2009 Nomor 148
- Rockwell, R. C. (1976). Historical Trends and Variations in Educational Homogamy. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 38(1), 83. <https://doi.org/10.2307/350552>
- Rosenblatt, P. C., & Cozby, P. C. (1972). Courtship Patterns Associated with Freedom of Choice of Spouse. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 34(4), 689. <https://doi.org/10.2307/350321>
- Rosenfeld, M. J. (2008). Racial, Educational and Religious Endogamy in the United States: A Comparative Historical Perspective. *Social Forces*, 87(1), 1–31. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20430848>
- Rusbult, C. E. (2003). Interdependence in Close Relationships. Dalam G. J. O. Fletcher & M. S. Clark (Ed.), *Blackwell Handbook of Social Psychology: Interpersonal Processes* (1 ed., hlm. 357–387). Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470998557.ch14>
- Sagita, N. (2024, Maret 8). *Generasi Muda RI Makin Banyak Tunda Menikah, Terbanyak di DKI dan Aceh*. detikhealth. <https://health.detik.com/berita-detikhealth/d-7231839/generasi-muda-ri-makin-banyak-tunda-menikah-terbanyak-di-dki-dan-aceh>
- Sandhya. (2018). *Role of Personality Traits in Mate Selection Preferences among College Students in Karnataka*. 8(8).



- Santika, E. F. (2024, Januari 3). *Tren Pernikahan Anak Muda Semakin Turun 6 Tahun*.
databoks.katadata.co.id. <https://databoks.katadata.co.id/datapublish/2024/01/03/tren-pernikahan-anak-muda-semakin-turun-6-tahun-terakhir>
- Satz, D., Ferejohn, J., & Journal of Philosophy Inc. (1994). Rational Choice and Social Theory: *Journal of Philosophy*, 91(2), 71–87. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2940928>
- Schwartz, C. R. (2013). Trends and Variation in Assortative Mating: Causes and Consequences. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 39(1), 451–470. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-soc-071312-145544>
- Seidman, I. (2006). *Interviewing as qualitative research: A guide for researchers in education and the social sciences* (3rd ed). Teachers College Press.
- Sklar, Q. T., Pak, J. H., & Eltiti, S. (2016). Parent–child closeness and acculturation in predicting racial preference in mate selection among Asian Americans. *Asian American Journal of Psychology*, 7(4), 265–273. <https://doi.org/10.1037/aap0000059>
- Sprecher, S., Econie, A., & Treger, S. (2019). Mate preferences in emerging adulthood and beyond: Age variations in mate preferences and beliefs about change in mate preferences. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, 36(10), 3139–3158. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0265407518816880>
- Stone, J., & Cooper, J. (2001). A Self-Standards Model of Cognitive Dissonance. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 37(3), 228–243. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jesp.2000.1446>
- Sugiyono. (2006). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif dan R&D*. Alfabeta.
- Swidler, A. (1973). The Concept of Rationality in the Work of Max Weber. *Sociological Inquiry*, 43(1), 35–42. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-682X.1973.tb01149.x>
- Tao, H.-L. (2020). Gender-role ideology and height preference in mate selection. *Economics & Human Biology*, 39, 100927. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ehb.2020.100927>
- Tindall, L. (2009). J.A. Smith, P. Flower and M. Larkin (2009), *Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis: Theory, Method and Research* .: London: Sage.
- Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 6(4), 346–347. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14780880903340091>
- Uddin, E., Hoque, N., & Islam, R. (2017). Familial Factors Influencing Age-Heterogamy vs. Age-Homogamy in Marriage in Bangladesh: Implication for Social Policy Practice. *Global Social Welfare*, 4(3), 127–140. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40609-016-0064-2>



- Van Bavel, J. (2021). Partner choice and partner markets. Dalam N. F. Schneider & M. Kreyenfeld (Ed.), *Research Handbook on the Sociology of the Family*. Edward Elgar Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781788975544.00023>
- Williams, M., & Sulikowski, D. (2020). Implicit and explicit compromises in long-term partner choice. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 166, 110226. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2020.110226>
- Yahya, A. H., & Sukmayadi, V. (2020). A Review of Cognitive Dissonance Theory and Its Relevance to Current Social Issues. *MIMBAR : Jurnal Sosial Dan Pembangunan*, 36(2). <https://doi.org/10.29313/mimbar.v36i2.6652>
- Zanna, M. P., & Cooper, J. (1974). Dissonance and the pill: An attribution approach to studying the arousal properties of dissonance. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 29(5), 703–709. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0036651>