

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT .....	4
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	5
CHAPTER I .....	1
INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1 Background of the Study .....	1
1.2 Problem Statement .....	7
1.3 Research Questions .....	8
1.4 Research Objectives.....	9
1.5 Significance of the Study.....	9
1.6 Scope of the Study .....	10
CHAPTER 2 .....	11
LITERATURE REVIEW .....	11
2.1 Introduction .....	11
2.2 Institutional Theory and Isomorphism in PMS Adoption .....	12
2.3 New Public Management (NPM) and Bureaucratization of Student Organizations .....	16
2.4 Transaction Cost Economics (TCE) and the Administrative Burden of PMS Compliance .....	18
2.5 Theoretical Framework .....	19
2.6 Conceptual Framework .....	23
2.7 Hypotheses Development.....	25
2.8 Gaps in the Existing Literature .....	27
CHAPTER III .....	32
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY .....	32
3.1 Introduction .....	32
3.2 Research Design .....	32

3.3 Population and Sampling .....	34
3.3.1 Population .....	34
3.3.2. Inclusion Criteria .....	34
3.3.3. Exclusion Criteria .....	34
3.3.4. Variables .....	35
3.3.4.1. Variable Control .....	35
3.3.4.2. Dependent Variable .....	37
3.3.5. Sampling Strategy.....	40
3.3.6.Data Analysis Techniques .....	40
3.3.7. Addressing Statistical Challenges.....	41
3.4 Survey Instrument Design .....	42
3.4.1. Concept Operationalization and Measurement Table.....	43
3.4.2. Research Questions and Survey Items Alignment .....	50
3.5 Data Collection Methods .....	53
3.5.1 Survey Administration .....	53
3.6 Research Flow Method .....	53
3.7 Data Analysis .....	57
3.8 Reliability and Validity.....	62
3.8.1 Reliability .....	62
3.8.2 Validity .....	62
3.9 Ethical Considerations .....	64
3.10 Limitations of Methodology .....	66
CHAPTER IV .....	70
RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS.....	70
4.1 Characteristics of Respondents Based on Major .....	70
4.2 Characteristics of Students Based on Student Organizations Participation .....	71

4.3 Characteristics of Student Based on Roles Within Organizations .....	74
4.4 Characteristics of Students Based on Duration of Organization Membership .....	75
4.5 Descriptive Analysis of Research Variables .....	77
4.5.1 Descriptive Statistics of PMS Compliance (X) .....	78
4.5.2 Descriptive Statistics of Organizational Growth (Y) .....	79
4.5.3 Descriptive Statistics of Governance Effectiveness (Z) .....	81
4.5.4 Descriptive Statistics of Member Engagement (A) .....	83
4.5.5 Descriptive Analysis of the Transaction Costs Variable (B) .....	84
4.6 Validity Test .....	86
4.6.1 Validity Test of PMS Compliance Variable (X) .....	86
4.6.2 Validity Test of the Organizational Growth Variable (Y) .....	88
4.6.3 Validity Test of the Governance Effectiveness Variable (Z) .....	89
4.6.4 Validity Test of the Member Engagement Variable (A) .....	90
4.6.5 Validity Test of the Transaction Costs Variable (B) .....	91
4.7 Reliability Testing .....	92
4.7.1 Reliability Test of the PMS Compliance Variable (X) .....	92
4.7.2 Reliability Test of the Organizational Growth Variable (Y) .....	92
4.7.3 Reliability Test of the Organizational Growth Variable (Z) .....	93
4.7.4 Reliability Test of the Organizational Growth Variable (A) .....	93
4.7.5 Reliability Test of the Organizational Growth Variable (B) .....	94
4.8 Normality and Multicollinearity Test .....	95
4.8.1 Increased frequency and intensity of PMS compliance activities (e.g., reporting, financial audits) lead to higher transaction costs for student organizations. ....	95
4.8.2 Increased frequency and intensity of PMS compliance activities (e.g., reporting, financial audits) lead to higher transaction costs for student organizations. ....	97

4.8.3	Internal governance effectiveness is positively associated with organizational outcomes, such as leadership effectiveness and peer collaboration, under PMS compliance frameworks.....	99
Table 4.5.3 Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test for Hypothesis 3 .....		99
4.8.4	The bureaucratization induced by PMS frameworks has a detrimental effect on leadership development, creativity, and student engagement in voluntary organizations .....	100
4.9	Correlation Tests.....	101
4.9.1	Increased frequency and intensity of PMS compliance activities (e.g., reporting, financial audits) lead to higher transaction costs for student organizations. ....	101
4.9.2	Higher transaction costs from PMS compliance negatively impact leadership development, organizational creativity, and member engagement.....	104
4.9.3	Internal governance effectiveness is positively associated with organizational outcomes, such as leadership effectiveness and peer collaboration, under PMS compliance frameworks.....	106
4.9.4	The bureaucratization induced by PMS frameworks has a detrimental effect on leadership development, creativity, and student engagement in voluntary organizations .....	110
4.10	Regression Test.....	112
4.10.1	Increased frequency and intensity of PMS compliance activities (e.g., reporting, financial audits) lead to higher transaction costs for student organizations .....	112
4.10.2	Higher transaction costs from PMS compliance negatively impact leadership development, organizational creativity, and member engagement.....	115
4.10.3	Internal governance effectiveness is positively associated with organizational outcomes, such as leadership effectiveness and peer collaboration, under PMS compliance frameworks.....	118

4.10.4 The bureaucratization induced by PMS frameworks has a detrimental effect on leadership development, creativity, and student engagement in voluntary organizations.....	122
4.11 Discussion.....	122
4.11 Correlation Between Theoretical Framework and Analysis .....	126
CHAPTER V .....	129
5.1 Conclusions .....	129
5.2 Recommendations.....	130
REFERENCES.....	132