

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Endorsement.....	i
Approval.....	ii
Abstract.....	iv
Intisari.....	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	vii
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION.....	1
A. Background.....	1
B. Research Questions.....	4
C. Research Goals.....	4
D. Originality of Research.....	4
E. Research Benefit.....	10
CHAPTER II: THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK.....	12
A. The Non-Refoulement Principle: Its Nature, Scope, and Sources.....	12
B. The Corollary Obligation to Ensure the Safety of Persons Seeking Asylum in Another Territory: Extension of the Non-Refoulement Principle.....	16
a. General Overview.....	16
b. The Corollary Obligations of the Prohibition on Refoulement.....	22
CHAPTER III: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY.....	24
A. Type and Characteristic of Research.....	24
B. Research Materials.....	25
C. Data Collection Methods.....	27
D. Data Analysis Method.....	27
CHAPTER IV: RESEARCH RESULTS AND ANALYSIS.....	28
A. The Practice of the ECtHR in Assessing the Risks of Refoulement, Both from Factual and Legal Standpoints.....	28
I. Why the ECtHR Practice in Removal Cases are Relevant to the 1951 Refugee Convention Framework.....	28
II. The ECtHR Practice in Assessing Potential Risks of Refoulement.....	32
1) Legal Presumptions.....	33
a. In Cases of Removal to Country of Origin.....	33
b. In cases of Removal to a Third Country.....	35
2) Burden of Proof.....	38
a. In Cases of Removal to Country of Origin.....	41
b. In Cases of Removal to a Third Country.....	46
3) Standard of Proof/ Level or Scope of Scrutiny to be Applied by the Court.....	50
a. “Beyond reasonable doubt”.....	53
b. “To adduce evidence capable of proving” and “Adequate and sufficiently supported by available materials”.....	55

B. The Indonesian Judiciary and Prospects of Adaptability with the ECtHR Practices and Methodologies.....	58
I. The Centrality of Consistent Interpretation Inventive Judicial Development of Appropriate Procedural Norms to the ECtHR's Body of Jurisprudence.....	58
II. Concerns Emanating From the Indonesian Judiciary.....	60
1) Concerns Pertaining to Indonesian Judges' Knowledge and Mastery of Theoretical Concepts in International Law.....	60
a. Conceptual Confusion Between the Monistic and Dualistic Approaches.....	60
b. The Spillover Effect: Lack of Consistency in the Application of International Law....	64
2) Concerns Pertaining to the Institutional Designs and Practices of the Indonesian Judiciary and Judiciaries in General as Decision-Makers.....	69
a. Lack of Mastery as Regards Indeterminately-Drafted Norms Commonly Involved in International Law-Related Litigation.....	69
b. The Lack of Specialised Training for Certain Specialised Norms Imposed Upon Indonesian Administrative Judges.....	74
c. Inherent Difficulties Commonly Faced by Courts of Law in Fact-Finding Efforts of Facts Abroad.....	78
CHAPTER V: CLOSURE.....	82
A. Conclusion.....	82
B. Recommendation.....	85
BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	87