



DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abraham, R.R., Kamath, A., Upadhy, S., Ramnarayan, K., 2006. Learning approaches to physiology of undergraduates in an Indian medical school. *Med Educ* 40, 916–923. <https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1365-2929.2006.02547.X>
- Akçayır, M., Akçayır, G., 2017. Advantages and challenges associated with *Augmented reality* for education: A systematic review of the literature. *Educ Res Rev* 20, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EDUREV.2016.11.002>
- Al-Ansi, A. M., Jaboob, M., Garad, A., & Al-Ansi, A. 2023. Analyzing augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR) recent development in education. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, 8(1), 100532. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SSAHO.2023.100532>
- Alessandri, G., Zuffianò, A., Perinelli, E., 2017. Evaluating intervention programs with a *pre-test-post-test* design: A structural equation modeling approach. *Front Psychol* 8, 223. <https://doi.org/10.3389/FPSYG.2017.00223/FULL>
- Alzahrani, N.M., 2020. *Augmented reality*: A Systematic Review of Its Benefits and Challenges in E-learning Contexts. *Applied Sciences* 2020, Vol. 10, Page 5652 10, 5652. <https://doi.org/10.3390/APP10165652>
- Anggrahini, D.W., 2023. Gama Cardiac AR – ARUTALA. URL <https://www.arutala.id/ar/gama-cardiac-ar-2/> (accessed 6.1.23).
- Anyahie, U.S.B., Nwobodo, E., Oze, G., Nwagha, U.I., Orizu, I., Okeke, T., Anyanwu, G.E., 2011. Medical students' evaluation of physiology learning environments in two Nigerian medical schools. <https://doi.org/10.1152/advan.00106.2010> 35, 146–148. <https://doi.org/10.1152/ADVAN.00106.2010>
- applications', *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 51(1), pp. 341–377. doi:
- Atwa, H., Shehata, M.H., Al-Ansari, A., Kumar, A., Jaradat, A., Ahmed, J., Deifalla, A., 2022. Online, Face-to-Face, or Blended Learning? Faculty and Medical Students'

Perceptions During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Mixed-Method Study. *Front Med*

(Lausanne) 9, 15. <https://doi.org/10.3389/FMED.2022.791352/BIBTEX>

Augmented reality, 2006. . *Encyclopedia of Multimedia* 29–31. https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-25238-4_10

Bordes, S.J., Manyevitch, R., Huntley, J.D., Li, Y., Murray, I.V.J., 2021. Medical student misconceptions in cardiovascular physiology. *Adv Physiol Educ* 45, 241–249. <https://doi.org/10.1152/ADVAN.00220.2020/ASSET/IMAGES/LARGE/ADVAN.00220.2020-F003.JPEG>

Bower, M., Howe, C., McCredie, N., Robinson, A., Grover, D., 2014. *Augmented reality* in education—cases, places and potentials. *Educ Media Int* 51, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09523987.2014.889400>

Brady, K., Woolfson, L., 2008. What teacher factors influence their attributions for children’s difficulties in learning? *British Journal of Educational Psychology* 78, 527–544. <https://doi.org/10.1348/000709907X268570>

Brooke, J. 2020 ‘SUS: A “Quick and Dirty” *Usability Scale*’, *Usability*

Cai, S., Liu, C., Wang, T., Liu, E., Liang, J.C., 2021. Effects of learning physics using *Augmented reality* on students’ self-efficacy and conceptions of learning. *British Journal of Educational Technology* 52, 235–251. <https://doi.org/10.1111/BJET.12620>

Cao, W., & Yu, Z. 2023. The impact of augmented reality on student attitudes, motivation, and learning achievements—a meta-analysis (2016–2023). *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications* 2023 10:1, 10(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-023-01852-2>

Carmigniani, J. *et al.* 2011 ‘Augmented reality technologies, systems and

Chang, R. C., Chung, L. Y., & Huang, Y. M. 2016. Developing an interactive augmented reality system as a complement to plant education and comparing its effectiveness

with video learning. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 24(6), 1245–1264.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2014.982131>

Chen, Y., Wang, Q., Chen, H., Song, X., Tang, H., Tian, M., 2019. An overview of *Augmented reality* technology. *J Phys Conf Ser* 1237, 022082.

<https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1237/2/022082>

Chi, M.T.H., 2005. Commonsense conceptions of emergent processes: Why some misconceptions are robust. *Journal of the Learning Sciences* 14, 161–199.

https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327809JLS1402_1

Cho, M.K., Kim, M.Y., 2021. Factors Affecting Learning Satisfaction in Face-to-Face and Non-Face-to-Face Flipped Learning among Nursing Students. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 18. <https://doi.org/10.3390/IJERPH18168641>

Christopoulos, A., Pellas, N., Kurczaba, J., & Macredie, R. 2022. The effects of augmented reality-supported instruction in tertiary-level medical education. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 53(2), 307–325. <https://doi.org/10.1111/BJET.13167>

Chu, H. C., Chen, J. M., Hwang, G. J., & Chen, T. W. 2017. Effects of formative assessment in an augmented reality approach to conducting ubiquitous learning activities for architecture courses. *Universal Access in the Information Society*, 18(2), 221–230.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/S10209-017-0588-Y>

Dutta, R., Mantri, A., Singh, G., 2022. Evaluating system *usability* of mobile *Augmented reality* application for teaching Karnaugh-Maps. *Smart Learning Environments* 9, 1–27. <https://doi.org/10.1186/S40561-022-00189-8/TABLES/10>

Dziuban, C., Graham, C.R., Moskal, P.D., Norberg, A., Sicilia, N., 2018. Blended learning: the new normal and emerging technologies. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education* 15, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1186/S41239-017-0087-5/TABLES/6>

Evaluation In Industry, (July), pp. 207–212. doi: 10.1201/9781498710411-35.

Febrina, S., Ilyas, A., 2020. Factors Influencing Learning Behavior and Its Implications for Guidance and Counseling Services. *Jurnal Neo Konseling* 2, XX–XX.
<https://doi.org/10.24036/00291KONS2020>

Ferris, H.A., O' Flynn, D., 2015. Assessment in Medical Education; What Are We Trying to Achieve? *International Journal of Higher Education* 4.
<https://doi.org/10.5426/IJHE.V4N2P139>

Fischer, M., Fuerst, B., Lee, S. C., Fotouhi, J., Habert, S., Weidert, S., Euler, E., Osgood, G., & Navab, N. 2016. Preclinical *usability* study of multiple augmented reality concepts for K-wire placement. *International Journal of Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery*, 11(6), 1007–1014. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S11548-016-1363-X>

Garrison, D.R., Kanuka, H., 2004. Blended learning: Uncovering its transformative potential in higher education. *Internet and Higher Education* 7, 95–105.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IHEDUC.2004.02.001>

Ghazali, N., Ghazali, N.C.M., Suppian, Z., Zaini, S.H., Husin, S.M., 2021. Factors influencing students' motivation towards learning. *Jurnal Cakrawala Pendidikan* 41, 259–270. <https://doi.org/10.21831/cp.v41i1.42211>

Gómez-García, G., Hinojo-Lucena, F. J., Alonso-García, S., & Romero-Rodríguez, J. M. 2021. Mobile learning in pre-service teacher education: Perceived usefulness of AR technology in primary education. *Education Sciences*, 11(6).
<https://doi.org/10.3390/EDUCSCI11060275>

Gonzalez, A. A., Lizana, P. A., Pino, S., Miller, B. G., & Merino, C. 2020. Augmented reality-based learning for the comprehension of cardiac physiology in undergraduate biomedical students. *Advances in Physiology Education*, 44(3), 314–322.

<https://doi.org/10.1152/ADVAN.00137.2019/ASSET/IMAGES/LARGE/ZU100320>

[34210004.JPEG](#)

Gregorcic, T., Torkar, G., 2022. Using the structure-behavior-function model in conjunction with *Augmented reality* helps students understand the complexity of the circulatory system. *Adv Physiol Educ* 46, 367–374. <https://doi.org/10.1152/ADVAN.00015.2022>

Jamalzadeh, M., Shahsavari, Z., 2015. The Effects of Contextual Factors on Teacher's Beliefs and Practices. *Procedia Soc Behav Sci* 192, 166–171. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SBSPRO.2015.06.024>

Johnson, S.N., Gallagher, E.D., 2021. Learning processes in anatomy and physiology: a qualitative description of how undergraduate students link actions and outcomes in a two-semester course sequence. *Adv Physiol Educ* 45, 486–500. https://doi.org/10.1152/ADVAN.00135.2020/ASSET/IMAGES/LARGE/ADVAN.00135.2020_F005.JPEG

Kipkulei, J., Kangethe, S., Boibanda, F., Jepngetich, H., Lotodo, T., Kirinyet, J., Kipkulei, J., Kangethe, S., Boibanda, F., Jepngetich, H., Lotodo, T., Kirinyet, J., 2022. Assessment Methods Used during Clinical Years of Undergraduate Medical Education at Moi University School of Medicine, Kenya. *Health N Hav* 14, 296–265. <https://doi.org/10.4236/HEALTH.2022.142623>

Küçük, S., Kapakin, S., & Göktas, Y. 2016. Learning anatomy via mobile augmented reality: Effects on achievement and cognitive load. *Anatomical Sciences Education*, 9(5), 411–421. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ASE.1603>

Lakhtakia, R., Otaki, F., Alsuwaidi, L., Zary, N., 2022. Assessment as Learning in Medical Education: Feasibility and Perceived Impact of Student-Generated Formative Assessments. *JMIR Med Educ* 2022;8(3):e35820 <https://mededu.jmir.org/2022/3/e35820>, e35820. <https://doi.org/10.2196/35820>

Lee, K., 2012. *Augmented reality* in Education and Training. TechTrends 56, 13–21.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/S11528-012-0559-3>

Lester, S., Hofmann, J., 2020. Some pedagogical observations on using *Augmented reality* in a vocational practicum. British Journal of Educational Technology 51, 645–656.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/BJET.12901>

Liono, R. A., Amanda, N., Pratiwi, A., & Gunawan, A. A. S. 2021. A Systematic Literature Review: Learning with Visual by The Help of Augmented Reality Helps Students Learn Better. Procedia Computer Science, 179, 144–152.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PROCS.2020.12.019>

Martín-Gutiérrez, J., Fabiani, P., Benesova, W., Meneses, M.D., Mora, C.E., 2015. *Augmented reality* to promote collaborative and autonomous learning in higher education. Comput Hum Behav 51, 752–761.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2014.11.093>

Mehta, B., Bhandari, B., 2016. Engaging medical undergraduates in question making: A novel way to reinforcing learning in physiology. Adv Physiol Educ 40, 398–401.

<https://doi.org/10.1152/ADVAN.00068.2016>

Michael, J.A., Wenderoth, M.P., Modell, H.I., Cliff, W., Horwitz, B., McHale, P., Richardson, D., Silverthorn, D., Williams, S., Whitescarver, S., 2002. Undergraduates' understanding of cardiovascular phenomena. American Journal of Physiology - Advances in Physiology Education 26, 72–84.

<https://doi.org/10.1152/ADVAN.00002.2002>

Mikkilä-Erdmann, M., Södervik, I., Vilppu, H., Kääpä, P., Olkinuora, E., 2012. First-year medical students' conceptual understanding of and resistance to conceptual change concerning the central cardiovascular system. Instr Sci 40, 745–754.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/S11251-012-9212-Y>

Moro, C., Birt, J., Stromberga, Z., Phelps, C., Clark, J., Glasziou, P., & Scott, A. M. 2021.

Virtual and Augmented Reality Enhancements to Medical and Science Student Physiology and Anatomy Test Performance: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Anatomical Sciences Education*, 14(3), 368–376.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/ASE.2049>

Noble, D., Kurachi, Y., Hunter, P., Wang, X., Gordon, M., Boron, W., 2012. Physiology without borders 2. *Physiology* 27, 2. <https://doi.org/10.1152/PHYSIOL.00045.2011>

Norcini, J.J., McKinley, D.W., 2008. Assessment Methods in Medical Education. *Int J Health Sci (Qassim)* 2, 3. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2006.12.021>

Oberman, R., Bhardwaj, A., 2022. Physiology, Cardiac. *StatPearls*.

Oliver, J., Hall, J.E., Hall, M.E., Guyton, A.C., 2020. *Guyton and Hall Textbook of Medical Physiology*, 14th ed, Elsevier. W B Saunders, London.

Palizvan, M.R., Nejad, M.R.T., Jand, A., Rafeie, M., 2013. Cardiovascular physiology misconceptions and the potential of cardiovascular physiology teaching to alleviate these. *Med Teach* 35, 454–458. <https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2013.774331>

Pappano, A.J., Wier, W.G., 2012. *Cardiovascular Physiology*, Tenth Edition, Cardiovascular Physiology, Tenth Edition. Elsevier Inc.

Pushpakumar, R., Sanjaya, K., Rathika, S., Alawadi, A. H., Makhzuna, K., Venkatesh, S., & Rajalakshmi, B. 2023. Human-Computer Interaction: Enhancing User Experience in Interactive Systems. *E3S Web of Conferences*, 399, 04037. <https://doi.org/10.1051/E3SCONF/202339904037>

RA Bjork, J.D.N.K., 2013. Self-regulated learning: beliefs, techniques, and illusions. *Annu Rev Psychol* 64, 417–444.

Ramli, R. Z., Wan Husin, W. Z., Elaklounk, A. M. S., & Sahari @ Ashaari, N. 2023.

Augmented reality: a systematic review between *usability* and learning experience.

Interactive Learning Environments. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2023.2255230>

Sajedi, A., Afzali, H., & Zabardast, Z. 2012. Enhancing *Usability* by Improving Software

Visualization and Navigation. Journal of Applied Sciences Research, 8(2), 1148–

1153.

Sari, R.C., Sholihin, M., Yuniarti, N., Purnama, I.A., Hermawan, H.D., 2021. Does

behavior simulation based on *Augmented reality* improve moral imagination? Educ

Inf Technol (Dordr) 26, 441–463. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S10639-020-10263-8>

Siddiqui, R., Shaikh, S.U., Munawar, L., Saddiqa, I., Fahim, M.F., 2022. Survey of

assessment of teaching learning methods for physiology among undergraduate

medical students at a university. The Professional Medical Journal 29, 1420–1425.

<https://doi.org/10.29269/TPMJ/2022.29.09.7013>

Sieck, G.C., 2017. Physiology in perspective: The importance of integrative physiology.

Physiology 32, 180–181. <https://doi.org/10.1152/PHYSIOL.00009.2017>

Sieck, G.C., 2018. Physiology in Perspective: Physiology is Alive and Well. Physiology

33, 252–253. <https://doi.org/10.1152/PHYSIOL.00022.2018>

Slominski, T., Grindberg, S., Momsen, J., 2019. Physiology is hard: A replication study of

students' perceived learning difficulties. Adv Physiol Educ 43, 121–127.

https://doi.org/10.1152/ADVAN.00040.2018/SUPPL_FILE/SUPPLEMENTAL

Stromberga, Z., Phelps, C., Smith, J., Moro, C., 2021. Teaching with Disruptive

Technology: The Use of Augmented, Virtual, and Mixed Reality (HoloLens) for

Disease Education. Adv Exp Med Biol 1317, 147–162. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-026-61125-5_8/COVER)

[3-026-61125-5_8/COVER](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-026-61125-5_8/COVER)

- Versteeg, M., Van Loon, M.H., Wijnen-Meijer, M., Steendijk, P., 2020. Refuting misconceptions in medical physiology. *BMC Med Educ* 20, 1–9.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/S12909-020-02166-6/FIGURES/3>
- Witte, R.S., Witte, J.S., 2017. *Statistics*, 11th edition. ed. Wiley-Blackwell.
- Yang, S., Pu, R., 2022. The Effects of Contextual Factors, Self-Efficacy and Motivation on Learners' Adaptability to Blended Learning in College English: A Structural Equation Modeling Approach. *Front Psychol* 13, 1025.
<https://doi.org/10.3389/FPSYG.2022.847342/BIBTEX>
- Zhang, X.H., Li, C.Y., 2022. Research on the Influencing Factors of Problem-Driven Children's Deep Learning. *Front Psychol* 13, 764121.
<https://doi.org/10.3389/FPSYG.2022.764121>
- Zhao J, Xu X, Jiang H, Ding Y. 2020. The effectiveness of virtual reality-based technology on anatomy teaching: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled studies. *BMC Med Educ.* 20(1):127. doi: 10.1186/s12909-020-1994-z. PMID: 32334594; PMCID: PMC7183109.