

Keempat, hasil penelitian menunjukkan keterlibatan model relasi lain selain pasangan setara (e.g., berbagi komunal, pemeringkatan kekuasaan). Oleh karena itu, penelitian selanjutnya disarankan untuk mengembangkan skala yang mengukur keempat model teori relasional tersebut dan mengujinya menggunakan SR-SEM. Langkah ini dapat menjadi bentuk pengujian empiris dan memperluas cakupan penelitian sebelumnya.

### **Kesimpulan**

Penelitian ini menemukan bahwa skala ekualitas relasi pertemanan yang dikembangkan berdasarkan teori pasangan setara memiliki validitas konten dan konstruk yang kuat, sebagaimana dibuktikan melalui analisis IRT dan CFA. Hasil analisis SR-SEM juga menunjukkan dinamika ekualitas relasi pertemanan di tingkat individu dan diadik, memberikan pemahaman mendalam mengenai hubungan interpersonal dalam konteks pertemanan. Dengan demikian, penelitian ini menawarkan pendekatan yang lebih komprehensif untuk mengeksplorasi dinamika relasi pertemanan melalui metode mutakhir

### **Acknowledgement**

Kami berterima kasih kepada Muhammad Dwirifqi Kharisma Putra atas dukungannya yang sangat berharga sejak tahap awal pengembangan ide penelitian ini. Kami juga memberikan apresiasi kepada David Jendryczko dan Steffen Nestler atas saran dan masukan teknis mereka dalam analisis data. Selain itu, kami sangat menghargai bantuan dan kerja sama dari semua pihak yang telah berkontribusi dalam mendukung keberhasilan pengumpulan data penelitian ini.

### **Referensi**

- AlQuraan, M. (2019). The effect of insufficient effort responding on the validity of student evaluation of teaching. *Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education*, 11(3), 604–615. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JARHE-03-2018-0034>
- Alsarve, J. (2020). Friendship, reciprocity and similarity: lone mothers and their relationships with friends. *Community, Work & Family*, 23(4), 401–418. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13668803.2019.1649636>
- Altmann, T. (2020). Distinctions in friendship research : Variations in the relations between friendship and the Big Five. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 154(July 2019), 109727. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2019.109727>
- Arendt, J. F. W., Kugler, K. G., & Brodbeck, F. C. (2021). Conflicting relational models as a predictor of (in)justice perceptions and (un)cooperative behavior at work.

- Arendt, J. F. W., Kugler, K. G., & Brodbeck, F. C. (2023). Being on the same page about social rules and norms: Effects of shared relational models on cooperation in work teams. *Group Processes and Intergroup Relations*, 26(5), 1119–1139. <https://doi.org/10.1177/13684302221088506>
- Ayre, C., & Scally, A. J. (2014). Critical values for lawshe's content validity ratio. *Measurement and Evaluation in Counseling and Development*, 47(1), 79–86. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0748175613513808>
- Bagwell, C. L., Bowker, J. C., & Asher, S. R. (2021). Back to the dyad: future directions for friendship research. *Merrill-Palmer Quarterly*, 67(4), 457–484. <https://doi.org/10.1353/mpq.2021.0022>
- Banagou, M., Batistič, S., Do, H., & Poell, R. F. (2021). Relational climates moderate the effect of openness to experience on knowledge hiding: a two-country multi-level study. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 25(11), 60–87. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JKM-11-2019-0613>
- Bauer, D. J. (2003). Estimating multilevel linear models as structural equation models. *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics*, 28(2), 135–167. <https://doi.org/10.3102/10769986028002135>
- Blumenberg, C., Menezes, A. M. B., Gonçalves, H., Assunção, M. C. F., Wehrmeister, F. C., Barros, F. C., & Barros, A. J. D. (2019). The role of questionnaire length and reminders frequency on response rates to a web-based epidemiologic study: a randomised trial. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 22(6), 625–635. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13645579.2019.1629755>
- Boda, Z., Elmer, T., Vörös, A., & Stadtfeld, C. (2020). Short-term and long-term effects of a social network intervention on friendships among university students. *Scientific Reports*, 10(1), 2889. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-59594-z>
- Brito, R., Waldzus, S., Sekerdej, M., & Schubert, T. (2011). The contexts and structures of relating to others: how memberships in different types of groups shape the construction of interpersonal relationships. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, 28(3), 406–431. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0265407510384420>
- Browne, M. W., & Cudeck, R. (1992). Alternative ways of assessing model fit. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 21(2), 230–258. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0049124192021002005>
- Bukowski, W. M., Hoza, B., & Boivin, M. (1994). Measuring friendship quality during pre- and early adolescence: the development and psychometric properties of the friendship qualities scale. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, 11(3), 471–484. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0265407594113011>
- Chen, J. K. C., & Sriphon, T. (2021). Perspective on covid-19 pandemic factors impacting organizational leadership. *Sustainability*, 13(6), 3230. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13063230>
- Chen, W. H., & Thissen, D. (1997). Local dependence indexes for item pairs using item

response theory. *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics*, 22(3), 265–289.  
<https://doi.org/10.3102/10769986022003265>

- Chongming Yang, Nay, S., & Hoyle, R. H. (2010). Three approaches to using lengthy ordinal scales in structural equation models. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 34(2), 122–142. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146621609338592>
- Chung, S., Lount, R. B., Park, H. M., & Park, E. S. (2018). Friends with performance benefits: a meta-analysis on the relationship between friendship and group performance. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 44(1), 63–79.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167217733069>
- Clark, M. S., & Mills, J. (1979). Interpersonal attraction in exchange and communal relationships. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 37(1), 12–24.  
<https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.37.1.12>
- Clark, M. S., & Mills, J. R. (2012). A theory of communal (and exchange) relationships. *Handbook of Theories of Social Psychology*, 2, 232–250.
- Cook, W. L. (1994). A structural equation model of dyadic relationships within the family system. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 62(3), 500–509.  
<https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-006X.62.3.500>
- Cook, W. L. (2014). The round-robin family assessment with social relations model analysis. In S. R. Smith & L. Handler (Eds.), *The clinical assessment of children and adolescents: a practitioner's handbook* (pp. 99–114). Routledge.
- Cook, W. L., & Kenny, D. A. (2005). The Actor–Partner Interdependence Model: A model of bidirectional effects in developmental studies. *International Journal of Behavioral Development*, 29(2), 101–109.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/01650250444000405>
- Crano, W., Brewae, M., & Lac, A. (2015). *Principles and methods of social research* (3rd Editio). Routledge. [https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9884.00369\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9884.00369_4)
- Davis, S. M., & High, A. C. (2019). Widening the gap: Support gaps in same race versus different race female friendship dyads. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, 36(1), 187–213. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0265407517722245>
- De ayala, R. J. (2022). *The theory and practice of item response* (2nd ed.). Guilford Press.
- Desai, A., & Killick, E. (2010). The ways of friendship: Anthropological perspectives. In *The Ways of Friendship: Anthropological Perspectives*. Berghahn Books.  
<https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-84917674900&partnerID=40&md5=f183a4a2803f98cd04ed171648bc7d9e>
- Eastwick, P. W., & Hunt, L. L. (2014). Relational mate value: Consensus and uniqueness in romantic evaluations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 106(5), 728–751. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0035884>
- Edelen, M. O., & Reeve, B. B. (2007). Applying item response theory (IRT) modeling to questionnaire development, evaluation, and refinement. *Quality of Life Research*, 16(SUPPL. 1), 5–18. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-007-9198-0>

- Edwards, P., Roberts, I., Clarke, M., DiGuseppi, C., Pratap, S., Wentz, R., & Kwan, I. (2002). Increasing response rates to postal questionnaires: Systematic review. *British Medical Journal*, 324(7347), 1183–1185. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.324.7347.1183>
- Embretson, S. E., & Reise, S. P. (2000). *Item response theory*. Psychology Press. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781410605269>
- Ervin, J., & Bonito, J. A. (2014). A review and critique of partner effect research in small groups. *Small Group Research*, 45(6), 603–632. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1046496414551027>
- Fatehi, K., Priestley, J. L., & Taasobshirazi, G. (2020). The expanded view of individualism and collectivism: One, two, or four dimensions? *International Journal of Cross Cultural Management*, 20(1), 7–24. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1470595820913077>
- Faturachman. (2023). *Kepercayaan interpersonal*. Pustaka Pelajar.
- Faturachman & Minza, W. M. (2014). Exploring personal and relational trustworthiness. *Unpublished Manuscript. Faculty of Psychology Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta*.
- Faturachman, & Nurjaman, T. A. (2018). *Psikologi relasi sosial*. Pustaka Pelajar.
- Firmansyah, M. R., Faturachman, F., & Minza, W. M. (2021). Do closeness, support, and reciprocity influence trust in friendship? *Jurnal Psikologi Sosial*, 19(1), 59–68.
- Fisher, G. G., Matthews, R. A., & Gibbons, A. M. (2016). Developing and investigating the use of single-item measures in organizational research. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 21(1), 3–23. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0039139>
- Fiske, A. P. (1991). *Structures of social life: the four elementary forms of human relations: Communal sharing, authority ranking, equality matching, market pricing*. Free Press. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0147-1767\(94\)90011-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0147-1767(94)90011-6)
- Fiske, A. P. (1992). The four elementary forms of sociality: Framework for a unified theory of social relations. *Psychological Review*, 99(4), 689–723. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.99.4.689>
- Fiske, A. P. (2004). Relational models theory 2.0. In N. Haslam (Ed.), *Relational models theory: a contemporary overview* (pp. 3–26). Lawrence Erlbaum. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781410611413>
- Fiske, A. P. (2012). Metarelational models: Configurations of social relationships. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 42(1), 2–18. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.847>
- Fiske, A. P., Haslam, N., & Fiske, S. T. (1991). Confusing one person with another: what errors reveal about the elementary forms of social relations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 60(5), 656–674.
- Flannery, K. M., & Smith, R. L. (2017). Are peer status, friendship quality, and friendship stability equivalent markers of social competence? *Adolescent Research Review*, 2(4), 331–340. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40894-016-0042-z>

- Foa, E. B., & Foa, U. G. (1974). *Societal structures of the mind*. Charles C Thomas.
- Foa, E. B., & Foa, U. G. (1980). Resource theory: interpersonal behavior as exchange. In *Social exchange: advances in theory and research* (pp. 77–94). Springer.
- Ford, M. E. (1982). Social cognition and social competence in adolescence. *Developmental Psychology*, 18(3), 323–340. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.18.3.323>
- Frey, L. M., Fulginiti, A., Lezine, D. Q., & Cerel, J. (2018). The decision-making process for disclosing suicidal ideation and behavior to family and friends. *Family Relations*, 67(3), 414–427. <https://doi.org/10.1111/fare.12315>
- Gogol, K., Brunner, M., Goetz, T., Martin, R., Ugen, S., Keller, U., Fischbach, A., & Preckel, F. (2014). “My Questionnaire is Too Long!” The assessments of motivational-affective constructs with three-item and single-item measures. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 39(3), 188–205. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2014.04.002>
- Haslam, N., & Fiske, A. P. (1992). Implicit relationship prototypes: Investigating five theories of the cognitive organization of social relationships. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 28(5), 441–474. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1031\(92\)90041-H](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1031(92)90041-H)
- Haslam, N., & Fiske, A. P. (1999). Relational models theory: A confirmatory factor analysis. *Personal Relationships*, 6(2), 241–250. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6811.1999.tb00190.x>
- Heron, E. (2020). Friendship as method: reflections on a new approach to understanding student experiences in higher education. *Journal of Further and Higher Education*, 44(3), 393–407. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0309877X.2018.1541977>
- Heynicke, M., Rau, R., Leising, D., Wessels, N., & Wiedenroth, A. (2022). Perceiver effects in person perception reflect acquiescence, positivity, and trait-specific content: evidence from a large-scale replication study. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, 13(4), 839–848. <https://doi.org/10.1177/19485506211039101>
- Hodge, D. R., & Gillespie, D. F. (2003). Phrase completions: an alternative to likert scales. *Social Work Research*, 27(1), 45–55. <https://doi.org/10.1093/swr/27.1.45>
- Hodge, D. R., & Gillespie, D. F. (2005). Phrase completion scales. In *Encyclopedia of Social Measurement* (Issue June 2007, pp. 53–62). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B0-12-369398-5/00124-9>
- Hodge, D. R., & Gillespie, D. F. (2007). Phrase completion scales: a better measurement approach than likert scales? *Journal of Social Service Research*, 33(4), 1–12. [https://doi.org/10.1300/J079v33n04\\_01](https://doi.org/10.1300/J079v33n04_01)
- Hu, B., Templin, J., & Hoffman, L. (2022). Modeling psychometric relational data in social networks: latent interdependence models. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13(April), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.860837>
- Hu, L., & Bentler, P. M. (1999). Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural Equation*



- Huang, J. L., Curran, P. G., Keeney, J., Poposki, E. M., & DeShon, R. P. (2012). Detecting and deterring insufficient effort responding to surveys. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 27(1), 99–114. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10869-011-9231-8>
- Huang, J. L., Liu, M., & Bowling, N. A. (2015). Insufficient effort responding: Examining an insidious confound in survey data. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 100(3), 828–845. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0038510>
- Huelsnitz, C. O., Neel, R., & Human, L. J. (2020). Accuracy in perceptions of fundamental social motives : comparisons to perceptions of big five traits and associations with friendship quality. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 46(1), 3–19. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167219838546>
- Jebb, A. T., Ng, V., & Tay, L. (2021). A review of key likert scale development Advances: 1995–2019. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12(May), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.637547>
- Jendryczko, D. (2022). Multiple group structural equation modeling of the social relations model. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 29(5), 791–799. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2022.2032715>
- Jendryczko, D., & Nussbeck, F. W. (2024a). A correlated traits correlated (methods – 1) multitrait-multimethod model for augmented round-robin data. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, 77(1), 1–30. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bmsp.12324>
- Jendryczko, D., & Nussbeck, F. W. (2024b). Latent reciprocal engagement and accuracy variables in social relations structural equation modeling. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 0(0), 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2024.2386060>
- Kenny, D. A. (1994). *Interpersonal perception*. Guilford Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B0-12-657410-3/00059-3>
- Kenny, D. A. (1996). Prospects for applying the social relations model to person perception. *Psychological Inquiry*, 7(3), 288–294. [https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327965pli0703\\_17](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327965pli0703_17)
- Kenny, D. A., Goldring, M. R., & Jung, T. (2023). The extended social relations model: understanding dissimulation and dissensus in the judgment of others. *European Journal of Personality*, 37(1), 57–71.
- Kenny, D. A., Kashy, D. A., & Cook, W. L. (2006). *Dyadic data analysis*. Guilford Press.
- Kenny, D. A., & West, T. V. (2010). Similarity and agreement in self-and other perception: A meta-analysis. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 14(2), 196–213. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1088868309353414>
- Kenny, D., & La Voie, L. (1984). The social relations model. In *Advances in experimental social psychology* (Vol. 18, Issue C). <https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065->

- Kim, J., Park, D., & Shin, Y. J. (2023). Friendship dynamics of career decision-making self-efficacy: a longitudinal social network approach. *Current Psychology*, 42(32), 28771–28782. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-022-03887-1>
- Kline, R. B. (2023). *Principles and practice of structural equation modelling* (5th Ed). Guilford Press.
- Kluger, A. N., Malloy, T. E., Pery, S., Itzhakov, G., Castro, D. R., Lipetz, L., Sela, Y., Turjeman-Levi, Y., Lehmann, M., New, M., & Borut, L. (2021). Dyadic listening in teams: social relations model. *Applied Psychology*, 70(3), 1045–1099. <https://doi.org/10.1111/apps.12263>
- Koerner, A. F. (2006). Models of relating - not relationship models: cognitive representations of relating across interpersonal relationship domains. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, 23(4), 629–653. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0265407506065989>
- Kohan, N., Safari, A., & Teimouri, H. (2018). Friendship, transformational leadership and organizational climate. *Human Systems Management*, 37, 319–331. <https://doi.org/10.3233/HSM-17129>
- Kreitchmann, R. S., Abad, F. J., Ponsoda, V., Nieto, M. D., & Morillo, D. (2019). Controlling for Response Biases in Self-Report Scales: Forced-Choice vs. Psychometric Modeling of Likert Items. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10(October), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02309>
- Laakasuo, M., Rotkirch, A., Berg, V., & Jokela, M. (2017). The company you keep: personality and friendship characteristics. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, 8(1), 66–73. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1948550616662126>
- Laursen, B., & Hartup, W. W. (2002). The origins of reciprocity and social exchange in friendships. *New Directions for Child and Adolescent Development*, 95, 27–40. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cd.35>
- Lawshe, C. H. (1975). A quantitative approach to content validity. *Personnel Psychology*, 28(4), 563–575. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.1975.tb01393.x>
- LeDoux, J. A., Gorman, C. A., & Woehr, D. J. (2012). The impact of interpersonal perceptions on team processes: a social relations analysis. *Small Group Research*, 43(3), 356–382. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1046496411425190>
- Leibowitz, U. D. (2018). What is friendship? *Disputatio*, 10(49), 97–117. <https://doi.org/10.2478/disp-2018-0008>
- Lenz, S., & Paulus, M. (2021). Friendship is more than strategic reciprocity: Preschoolers' selective sharing with friends cannot be reduced to strategic concerns. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 206, 105101. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jecp.2021.105101>
- Livi, S., Kenny, D. A., Albright, L., & Pierro, A. (2008). A social relations analysis of leadership. *Leadership Quarterly*, 19(2), 235–248. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2008.01.003>

- Locke, K. D. (2023). Group identification and perceived self-group similarity: differentiating projection from introjection. *Self and Identity*, 22(6), 1027–1051. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15298868.2023.2244723>
- Loeys, T., De Clerck, T., & Haerens, L. (2025). Unraveling relationship dynamics in sports teams: A primer on the social relations model. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 76, 102752.
- Loeys, T., Fonteyn, M., & Loncke, J. (2021). A practical tool for family assessment based on the social relations model. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12(July). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.699831>
- Luijten, C. C., Bongardt, D. van de, & Nieboer, A. P. (2023). Adolescents' friendship quality and over - time development of well - being : The explanatory role of self - esteem. *Journal of Adolescence*, March, 1057–1069. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jad.12175>
- Lukowitsky, M. R., & Pincus, A. L. (2013). Interpersonal perception of pathological narcissism: A social relations analysis. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 95(3), 261–273. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00223891.2013.765881>
- Luotonen, A. (2023). Temporalities of Friendship: Adults' Friends in Everyday Family Life and Beyond. *Sociology*, 57(1), 20–35. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00380385221090863>
- Maccrimmon, K. R., & Messick, D. M. (1976). A framework for social motives. *Behavioral Science*, 21(2), 86–100. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bs.3830210203>
- Mackinnon, S. P., Sherry, S. B., Pratt, M. W., & Smith, M. M. (2014). Perfectionism , friendship intimacy , and depressive affect in transitioning university students : a longitudinal study using mixed methods. *Canadian Journal of Behavioural Science*, 46(1), 49–59. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0033764>
- Malloy, T. E. (2018). Social relations modeling of behavior in dyads and groups. In *Social relations modeling of behavior in dyads and groups*. Elsevier Academic Press.
- Marsh, H. W., Hau, K. T., & Wen, Z. (2004). In search of golden rules: Comment on hypothesis-testing approaches to setting cutoff values for fit indexes and dangers in overgeneralizing Hu and Bentler's (1999) findings. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 11(3), 320–341. [https://doi.org/10.1207/s15328007sem1103\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15328007sem1103_2)
- Martin, C. C., & Locke, K. D. (2022). What do peer evaluations represent? a study of rater consensus and target personality. *Frontiers in Education*, 7(March), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2022.746457>
- Maydeu-Olivares, A., Cai, L., & Hernández, A. (2011). Comparing the fit of item response theory and factor analysis models. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 18(3), 333–356. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2011.581993>
- Mayfield, C. O., & Tombaugh, J. R. (2019). Why peer evaluations in student teams don't tell us what we think they do. *Journal of Education for Business*, 94(2), 125–138. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08832323.2018.1503584>



McDonald, R. P. (1999). *Test theory: a unified treatment*. Psychology Press.  
<https://doi.org/10.4324/9781410601087>

Moggia, D., Schwartz, B., Rubel, J. A., Zimmermann, D., Kästel, B., & Lutz, W. (2023). Is it me, is it you or is it both of us? applying the social relations model to disentangle the components of the therapeutic bond. *Psychotherapy Research*, 33(1), 30–44. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10503307.2022.2126334>

Monazam Tabrizi, N. (2023). Relational dimensions, motivation and knowledge-sharing in healthcare: a perspective from relational models theory. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 89(1), 221–238.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/00208523211029128>

Moore, K. A., Halle, T. G., Vandivere, S., & Mariner, C. L. (2002). Scaling back survey scales. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 30(4), 530–567.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0049124102030004003>

Moreno, J. L. (1941). Foundations of sociometry: An introduction. *Sociometry*, 15–35.

Muthén, B. (1978). Contributions to factor analysis of dichotomous variables. *Psychometrika*, 43(4), 551–560. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02293813>

Muthén, B., & Kaplan, D. (1985). A comparison of some methodologies for the factor analysis of non-normal Likert variables. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, 38(2), 171–189. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8317.1985.tb00832.x>

Nestler, Robitzsch, A., & Lüdtke, O. (2019). SRM: structural equation modeling for the social relations model. In *R package version 0.3-6*.

Nestler, S. (2018). Likelihood Estimation of the multivariate social relations model. *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics*, 43(4), 387–406.  
<https://doi.org/10.3102/1076998617741106>

Nestler, S., & Back, M. D. (2017). Using cross-classified structural equation models to examine the accuracy of personality judgments. *Psychometrika*, 82(2), 475–497.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-015-9485-6>

Nestler, S., Geukes, K., Hutteman, R., & Back, M. D. (2017). Tackling longitudinal round-robin data: a social relations growth model. *Psychometrika*, 82(4), 1162–1181. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-016-9546-5>

Nestler, S., Grimm, K. J., & Schönbrodt, F. D. (2015). The Social consequences and mechanisms of personality: How to analyse longitudinal data from individual, dyadic, round-robin and network designs. *European Journal of Personality*, 29(2), 272–295. <https://doi.org/10.1002/per.1997>

Nestler, S., Lüdtke, O., & Robitzsch, A. (2020). Maximum likelihood estimation of a social relations structural equation model. *Psychometrika*, 85(4), 870–889.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-020-09728-z>

Nestler, S., Lüdtke, O., & Robitzsch, A. (2022). Analyzing longitudinal social relations model data using the social relations structural equation model. *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics*, 47(2), 231–260.

<https://doi.org/10.3102/10769986211056541>

- Olsen, J. A., & Kenny, D. A. (2006). Structural equation modeling with interchangeable dyads. *Psychological Methods*, 11(2), 127–141. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989X.11.2.127>
- Øverup, C. S., Brunson, J. A., & Mehta, P. D. (2021). A social relations model of need supportiveness. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 94(July). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2021.104142>
- Ozbek, M. F. (2018). Do we need friendship in the workplace ? The theory of workplace friendship and employee outcomes : the role of work ethics. *Journal of Economy Culture and Society*, 58, 153–176. <https://doi.org/10.26650/jecs417777>
- Parker, J. G., & Asher, S. R. (1993). Friendship and friendship quality in middle childhood: links with peer group acceptance and feelings of loneliness and social dissatisfaction. *Developmental Psychology*, 29(4), 611–621. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.29.4.611>
- Parsons, T., & Shils, E. A. (1951). *Toward a general theory of action*. Harvard University Press.
- Paunonen, S. V., & Kam, C. (2014). The accuracy of roommate ratings of behaviors versus beliefs. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 52, 55–67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2014.07.006>
- Pezirkianidis, C., & Christopoulou, M. (2023). Exploring friendship quality and the practice of savoring in relation to the wellbeing of Greek adults. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14(October), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1253352>
- Pillemer, J., & Rothbard, N. P. (2018). Friends without benefits : understanding the dark sides of workplace friendship. *Academy of Management Review*, 43(4), 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2016.0309>
- Pimentel, J. L. (2019). Some biases in likert scaling usage and its correction. *International Journal of Sciences: Basic and Applied Research*, 45(1), 183–191. <http://gssrr.org/index.php?journal=JournalOfBasicAndApplied>
- Premo, J., Wyatt, B. N., Horn, M., & Wilson-Ashworth, H. (2022). Which group dynamics matter: social predictors of student achievement in team-based undergraduate science classrooms. *CBE Life Sciences Education*, 21(3). <https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.21-06-0164>
- Puusepp, V. (2024). Becoming closer to one another: shared emotions and social relationships. *Philosophical Psychology*, 37(8), 2533–2559. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09515089.2023.2171858>
- Rau, R., Zimmermann, J., & Back, M. D. (2023). Applying multimodal social relations analyses in personality pathology research. *Personality Disorders: Theory, Research, and Treatment*, 14(1), 73–82. <https://doi.org/10.1037/per0000589>
- Raykov, T. (1997). Estimation of composite reliability for congeneric measures. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 21(2), 173–184. <https://doi.org/10.1177/01466216970212006>

- Read, B., Burke, P. J., & Crozier, G. (2020). 'It is like school sometimes': friendship and sociality on university campuses and patterns of social inequality. *Discourse*, 41(1), 70–82. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01596306.2018.1457626>
- Reckase, M. D. (2009). Multidimensional item response theory. In *Multidimensional Item Response Theory*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-89976-3>
- Reitz, A. K., Zimmermann, J., Hutteman, R., Specht, J., & Neyer, F. J. (2014). How peers make a difference : the role of peer groups and peer relationships in personality development. *European Journal of Personality*, 28(September 2013), 279–288. <https://doi.org/10.1002/per.1965>
- Rubin, K. H., Bukowski, W. M., & Laursen, B. (2011). *Handbook of peer interactions, relationships, and groups*. Guilford Press.
- Rubio, V. J., Aguado, D., Hontangas, P. M., & Hernández, J. M. (2007). Psychometric properties of an emotional adjustment measure: An application of the graded response model. *European Journal of Psychological Assessment*, 23(1), 39–46. <https://doi.org/10.1027/1015-5759.23.1.39>
- Sahlqvist, S., Song, Y., Bull, F., Adams, E., Preston, J., & Ogilvie, D. (2011). Effect of questionnaire length, personalisation and reminder type on response rate to a complex postal survey: randomised controlled trial. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 11(1), 62. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-11-62>
- Samejima, F. (1969). Estimation of latent ability using a response pattern of graded scores. *Psychometrika Monograph Supplement*.
- Satorra, A., & Bentler, P. M. (1990). Model conditions for asymptotic robustness in the analysis of linear relations. *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis*, 10(3), 235–249. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-9473\(90\)90004-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-9473(90)90004-2)
- Sauls, D., & Zeigler-Hill, V. (2020). The narcissistic experience of friendship: The roles of agentic and communal orientations toward friendship. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, 37(10–11), 2693–2713. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0265407520933685>
- Scharf, F., Pförtner, J., & Nestler, S. (2021). Can ridge and elastic net structural equation modeling be used to stabilize parameter estimates when latent factors are correlated? *Structural Equation Modeling*, 28(6), 928–940. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2021.1927736>
- Schauf, T., Dufner, M., Nestler, S., & Rau, R. (2023). Do agency and communion explain the relationship between perceiver and target effects in interpersonal perception? a meta-analysis on generalized reciprocity. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 49(10), 1479–1494. <https://doi.org/10.1177/01461672221107205>
- Schmidt, J. A., O'Neill, T. A., & Dunlop, P. D. (2021). The effects of team context on peer ratings of task and citizenship performance. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 36(4), 573–588. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10869-020-09701-8>
- Schmidt, M. E., Pellicciotti, H., & Long, R. M. (2023). An exploration of friendship and well-being in established adulthood and midlife. *Journal of Adult Development*,

30(1), 53–63. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10804-022-09421-8>

Schönbrodt, F. D., Back, M. D., & Schmukle, S. C. (2012). TripleR: An R package for social relations analyses based on round-robin designs. *Behavior Research Methods*, 44(2), 455–470. <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-011-0150-4>

Schwind, L., & Albert, I. (2022). University students' friendship networks : ambivalence and the role of attachment and personality. *Trends in Psychology*, 0123456789. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43076-022-00200-8>

Shamon, H., & Berning, C. (2020). Attention check items and instructions in online surveys with incentivized and non-incentivized samples: boon or bane for data quality? *Survey Research Methods*, 14(1), 55–77. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.18148/srm/2020.v14i1.7374>

Sharma, H. (2022). How short or long should be a questionnaire for any research? Researchers dilemma in deciding the appropriate questionnaire length. *Saudi Journal of Anaesthesia*, 16(1), 65–68. [https://doi.org/10.4103/sja.sja\\_163\\_21](https://doi.org/10.4103/sja.sja_163_21)

Sijtsma, K. (2009). On the use, the misuse, and the very limited usefulness of cronbach's alpha. *Psychometrika*, 74(1), 107–120. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-008-9101-0>

Sivo, S. A., Xitao, F. A. N., Witta, E. L., & Willse, J. T. (2006). The search for “optimal” cutoff properties: Fit index criteria in structural equation modeling. *Journal of Experimental Education*, 74(3), 267–288. <https://doi.org/10.3200/JEXE.74.3.267-288>

Srivastava, S., Guglielmo, S., & Beer, J. S. (2010). Perceiving others' personalities: examining the dimensionality, assumed similarity to the self, and stability of perceiver effects. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 98(3), 520–534. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0017057>

Tang, Y., Xu, E., Huang, X., & Pu, X. (2023). When can display of authenticity at work facilitate coworker interactions? The moderating effect of perception of organizational politics. *Human Relations*, 76(1), 27–52. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00187267211031834>

Thielmann, I., Hilbig, B. E., & Zettler, I. (2020). Seeing me, seeing you: Testing competing accounts of assumed similarity in personality judgments. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 118(1), 172–198. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pspp0000222>

Thielmann, I., Rau, R., & Locke, K. D. (2023). Trait-specificity versus global positivity: a critical test of alternative sources of assumed similarity in personality judgments. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 124(4), 828–847. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pspp0000420>

Toland, M. D. (2014). Practical guide to conducting an item response theory analysis. In *Journal of Early Adolescence* (Vol. 34, Issue 1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0272431613511332>

Turner, R. N., & Feddes, A. R. (2011). How intergroup friendship works : A longitudinal study of friendship effects on outgroup attitudes. *European Journal of*

- Viladrich, C., Angulo-Brunet, A., & Doval, E. (2017). A journey around alpha and omega to estimate internal consistency reliability. *Anales de Psicología*, 33(3), 755–782.
- Vodosek, M. (2009). The relationship between relational models and individualism and collectivism: Evidence from culturally diverse work groups. *International Journal of Psychology*, 44(2), 120–128. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207590701545684>
- Wagner, L. (2019). Good character is what we look for in a friend : character strengths are positively related to peer acceptance and friendship quality in early adolescents. *Journal of Early Adolescence*, 39(6), 864–903. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0272431618791286>
- Wang, J., & Wang, X. (2020). *Structural equation modeling: applications using mplus*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Wasserman, S., & Faust, K. (1994). *Social Network Analysis: Methods and Applications*. Cambridge University Press.
- Wehner, C., & Ziegler, M. (2023). Narcissism and friendship quality: A longitudinal approach to long-term friendships. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, 40(2), 670–692. <https://doi.org/10.1177/02654075221122023>
- Weng, L.-J., & Cheng, C.-P. (2000). Effects of response order on likert-type scales. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 60(6), 908–924. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00131640021970989>
- Wirth, R. J., & Edwards, M. C. (2007). Item factor analysis : current approaches and future directions. *Psychological Methods*, 12(1), 58–79. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989X.12.1.58>
- Wong, M., Kenny, D. A., & Knight, A. P. (2024). SRM \_ R : A Web-Based Shiny App for Social Relations Analyses. *Organizational Research Methods*, 27(135), 114–139. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10944281221134104>
- Wood, A., Templeton, E., Morrel, J., Schubert, F., & Wheatley, T. (2022). Tendency to laugh is a stable trait: Findings from a round-robin conversation study. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 377(1863). <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2021.0187>
- Wu, H., & Leung, S. O. (2017). Can likert scales be treated as interval scales?—a simulation study. *Journal of Social Service Research*, 43(4), 527–532. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01488376.2017.1329775>
- Zakharin, M., & Bates, T. C. (2023). Relational models theory: Validation and replication for four fundamental relationships. *PLoS ONE*, 18(6 JUNE), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0287391>
- Zanon, C., Hutz, C. S., Yoo, H., & Hambleton, R. K. (2016). An application of item response theory to psychological test development. *Psicologia: Reflexao e Critica*, 29(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41155-016-0040-x>