

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Afrizal, Munaf, Y., Yogia, M. A., Suri, D. M., Prayuda, R., & Amri, P. (2023). Government-Owned Digital Services to Overcome the Spread of COVID-19, Case in Indonesia. *International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning*, 18(5), 1553–1563. <https://doi.org/10.18280/ijstdp.180526>
- Agustiansyah, I. (2022). *Pemanfaatan Aplikasi Jendela Pontianak Integrasi (Jepin) Dalam Peningkatan Pelayanan Informasi Pada Masa Covid-19 di Kota Pontianak Provinsi Kalimantan Barat*.
- Alam, S. L. (2020). Many hands make light work: towards a framework of digital co-production to co-creation on social platforms. *Information Technology and People*, 34(3), 1087–1118. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ITP-05-2019-0231>
- Alford, J. (2016). Co-Production, Interdependence and Publicness: Extending public service-dominant logic. *Public Management Review*, 18(5), 673–691. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2015.1111659>
- Alford, J., & Yates, S. (2016). Co-Production of Public Services in Australia: The Roles of Government Organisations and Co-Producers. *Australian Journal of Public Administration*, 75(2), 159–175. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8500.12157>
- Anggraini, D., Mutiah, N., & Sari, R. P. (2023). Analisis Kesuksesan Aplikasi Jepin Menggunakan Delone and Mclean Is Success Model Dan Metode Importance Performance Analysis. *Cyberspace: Jurnal Pendidikan Teknologi Informasi*, 7(1), 59. <https://doi.org/10.22373/cj.v7i1.17088>
- Ansell, C., & Miura, S. (2020). Can the power of platforms be harnessed for governance? *Public Administration*, 98(1), 261–276. <https://doi.org/10.1111/padm.12636>
- Awaluddin, M., Sule, E. T., Sucherly, & Kaltum, U. (2016). The Influence Of Competitive Forces And Value Creation On Company Reputation And Competitive Strategy: A Case Of Digital Creative Industry In Indonesia With The Implication On Sustainable Business. *International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management*, IV(2), 201–234. <http://ijecm.co.uk/>
- Barnes, S. J. (2020). Information management research and practice in the post-COVID-19 world. *International Journal of Information Management*, 55(June), 102175. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2020.102175>
- Bartenberger, M., & SzeŚciŁo, D. (2016). the Benefits and Risks of Experimental Co-Production: the Case of Urban Redesign in Vienna. *Public Administration*, 94(2), 509–525. <https://doi.org/10.1111/padm.12233>
- Bennett, S., Maton, K., & Kervin, L. (2008). The “digital natives” debate: A critical review of the evidence. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 39(5), 775–786. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8535.2007.00793.x>
- Bertot, J. C., Estevez, E., & Janowski, T. (2016). Digital Public Service Innovation: Framework proposal. *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series, 01-03-Marc*, 113–122. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2910019.2910108>
- Bovaird, T. (2007). Beyond engagement and participation: User and community coproduction of public services. *Public Administration Review*, 67(5), 846–860. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6210.2007.00773.x>
- Bovaird, T., Flemig, S., Loeffler, E., & Osborne, S. P. (2019). How far have we come with co-production—and what’s next? *Public Money and*

- Management*, 39(4), 229–232.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09540962.2019.1592903>
- Bovaird, T., & Loeffler, E. (2012). From Engagement to Co-production: The Contribution of Users and Communities to Outcomes and Public Value. *Voluntas*, 23(4), 1119–1138. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11266-012-9309-6>
- Bovaird, T., & Loeffler, E. (2013). We're all in this together: harnessing user and community co-production of public outcomes. *Governance International*, June.
- BPS Kota Pontianak. (2024). *Kota Pontianak Dalam Angka; Pontianak Municipality in Figures* (Volume 23,).
- Brandsen, T., & Honingh, M. (2016). Distinguishing Different Types of Coproduction: A Conceptual Analysis Based on the Classical Definitions. *Public Administration Review*, 76(3), 427–435. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.12465>
- Brandsen, T., Steen, T., & Verschuere, B. (2018). *Co-Production and Co-Creation Engaging Citizens in Public Service* (first Edit). Routledge Taylor & Francis Group.
- Breit, E., & Salomon, R. (2015). Making the technological transition - citizens' encounters with digital pension services. *Social Policy and Administration*, 49(3), 299–315. <https://doi.org/10.1111/spol.12093>
- Buntaine, M. T., Hunnicutt, P., & Komakech, P. (2021). The Challenges of Using Citizen Reporting to Improve Public Services: A Field Experiment on Solid Waste Services in Uganda. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 31(1), 108–127. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/muaa026>
- Callens, C. (2023). User Involvement as a Catalyst for Collaborative Public Service Innovation. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 33(2), 329–341. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/muac030>
- City, S., Christopher, N., Tiglao, C., Clarice, A., Ng, L., Angelo, M., Tacderas, Y., Jon, N., & Tolentino, Y. (2023). Research in Transportation Economics Crowdsourcing , digital co-production and collaborative governance for modernizing local public transport services: The exemplar of General. *Research in Transportation Economics*, 100(July), 101328. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.retrec.2023.101328>
- Cordella, A., Paletti, A., & Shaikh, M. (2018). 181Renegotiating Public Value with Co-Production. In C. L. Tucci, A. Afuah, & G. Viscusi (Eds.), *Creating and Capturing Value through Crowdsourcing* (p. 0). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198816225.003.0008>
- Cornips, L., Voorberg, W., van Meerkerk, I., & Kramer, R. (2023). Co-production as a strategy for enhanced equal representation in public service delivery: The case of Rotterdam. *Cities*, 141(July), 104480. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2023.104480>
- Correia, Z. P. (2005). Towards a stakeholder model for the co-production of the public-sector information system. *Information Research*, 10(3).
- Creswell, J.W., & Creswell, J. . (2018). *Research Design* (Fifth Edit). SAGE Publication India Pvt. Ltd.
- Creswell, J. W. (2012). *Planning, Conducting, and Evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative Research* (Fourth Edi). Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data.

- Creswell, J. W. (2013). *Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design, Choosing Among Five Approaches* (Third Edit).
- Crompton, A. (2019). Inside co-production: Stakeholder meaning and situated practice. *Social Policy and Administration*, 53(2), 219–232. <https://doi.org/10.1111/spol.12466>
- de Jong, M. D. T., Neulen, S., & Jansma, S. R. (2019). Citizens' intentions to participate in governmental co-creation initiatives: Comparing three co-creation configurations. *Government Information Quarterly*, 36(3), 490–500. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2019.04.003>
- Diskominfo Kota Pontianak. (2023). *Dokumen Survey Kepuasan Masyarakat (SKM) Diskominfo Kota Pontianak*. <https://diskominfo.pontianak.go.id/dokumen/skm#>
- Dudau, A., Glennon, R., & Verschuere, B. (2019). Following the yellow brick road? (Dis)enchantment with co-design, co-production and value co-creation in public services. *Public Management Review*, 21(11), 1577–1594. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2019.1653604>
- Economy, D., & Denmark, C. R. (2018). *Digital Economy and Society Index (DESI) 1 2018 Country Report Denmark*. 1–11. <https://ec.europa.eu/digital-single->
- Edelmann, N., & Mergel, I. (2021). Co-production of digital public services in Austrian public administrations. *Administrative Sciences*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci11010022>
- Endah, P. T., Wilujeng, S. A., Rifka, F., Achmad, S., & Imbalan, Z. (2020). NVIVO | i. *Pemanfaatan NVIVO Dalam Penelitian Kualitatif*, 1–125. <https://fip.um.ac.id/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/b5-Pemanfaatan-NVIVO-dalam-Penelitian-Kualitatif.pdf>
- Escobar, O. (2020). Transforming lives, communities and systems? Co-production through participatory budgeting. *The Palgrave Handbook of Co-Production of Public Services and Outcomes*, 285–309. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-53705-0_15
- Etgar, M. (2008). A descriptive model of the consumer co-production process. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 36(1), 97–108. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-007-0061-1>
- Fadiyah. (2023). *DISERTASI CO-PRODUCTION PELAYANAN PUBLIK (Studi: Shelter Warga Kota Makassar) Co-production of Public Service*.
- Garlatti, A., Fedele, P., Iacuzzi, S., & Garlatti Costa, G. (2020). Coproduction and cost efficiency: a structured literature review. *Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting and Financial Management*, 32(1), 114–135. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JPBAFM-12-2018-0142>
- Goma, E. I., Sandy, A. T., & Zakaria, M. (2021). Analisis Distribusi dan Interpretasi Data Penduduk Usia Produktif Indonesia Tahun 2020. *Jurnal Georafflesia: Artikel Ilmiah Pendidikan Geografi*, 6(1), 20. <https://doi.org/10.32663/georaf.v6i1.1781>
- Grossman, G., Platas, M. R., & Rodden, J. (2018). Crowdsourcing accountability: ICT for service delivery. *World Development*, 112(December 2018), 74–87. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2018.07.001>
- Henstra, D., & McGowan, R. A. (2016). Millennials and Public Service: An Exploratory Analysis of Graduate Student Career Motivations and

- Expectations. *Public Administration Quarterly*, 40(3), 490–516. <https://doi.org/10.1177/073491491604000304>
- Heryana, A. (2015). Informan dan Pemilihan Informan dalam Penelitian Kualitatif. *Universitas Esa Unggul, December*, 1–14.
- Himura, E. K., & Setiawan, T. (2022). Co-creation as Public Service Innovation in Bandung City. *Junal Ilmu Administrasi STIA LAN Bandung*, 19(2), 190–200.
- Hoffmann, C. P., & Lutz, C. (2021). Digital Divides in Political Participation: The Mediating Role of Social Media Self-Efficacy and Privacy Concerns. *Policy and Internet*, 13(1), 6–29. <https://doi.org/10.1002/poi3.225>
- Hollanders, H. (2020). *EIS 2021 Methodology report*. <https://ec.europa.eu/docsroom/documents/41887>
- Ismail, I., & Azizah, N. L. (2021). Kinerja Dinas Komunikasi Dan Informatika Kota Pontianak Dalam Pelayanan Informasi Berbasis Elektronik Jepin (Jendela Pontianak Integrasi). *Jurnal Media Birokrasi*, 5(1), 67–81. <https://doi.org/10.33701/jmb.v3i1.2473>
- Ismayanti, M., & Wahyuddin. (2021). Preferensi Dan Pengalaman Mahasiswa Dalam Menggunakan Media Pembelajaran Online Di Masa Pandemi. *Jurnal Pendidikan*, 22(2), 77–90. <https://doi.org/10.33830/jp.v22i2.1823.2021>
- Jakobsen, M. (2013). Can government initiatives increase citiz. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 23(1), 27–54. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/mus036>
- Janowski, T. (2015). Digital government evolution: From transformation to contextualization. *Government Information Quarterly*, 32(3), 221–236. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2015.07.001>
- Kemkes. (2024). *Kelompok usia dewasa 19-59 Tahun*. <https://ayosehat.kemkes.go.id/kategori-usia/dewasa>
- Kemkominfo, J. (2003). *JDIH Kemkominfo - Instruksi Presiden Nomor 3 Tahun 2003*. https://jdih.kominfo.go.id/produk_hukum/view/id/326/t/instruksi+presiden+nomor+3+tahun+2003
- Khan, A., & Krishnan, S. (2021). Citizen engagement in co-creation of e-government services: a process theory view from a meta-synthesis approach. In *Internet Research* (Vol. 31, Issue 4). <https://doi.org/10.1108/INTR-03-2020-0116>
- Kirklies, P. C., Neumann, O., & Hohensinn, L. (2024). Promoting digital equality in co-production: The role of platform design. *Government Information Quarterly*, 41(1), 101903. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2023.101903>
- Lee, S., & Na, C. (2024). Why Citizens Engage in Co-Production: A Theoretical Framework and Experimental Evidence. *Public Performance and Management Review*, 47(2), 419–448. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15309576.2023.2288054>
- Leimona, B., Lusiana, B., Van Noordwijk, M., Mulyoutami, E., Ekadinata, A., & Amaruzaman, S. (2015). Boundary work: Knowledge co-production for negotiating payment for watershed services in Indonesia. *Ecosystem Services*, 15, 45–62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoser.2015.07.002>
- Lember, V. (2018). The Increasing Role of Digital Technologies in Co-Production and Co-Creation. *Co-Production and Co-Creation*, May, 115–127.

- <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315204956-16>
- Linders, D. (2011). We-government: An anatomy of citizen coproduction in the information age. *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*, 167–176. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2037556.2037581>
- Linders, D. (2012). From e-government to we-government: Defining a typology for citizen coproduction in the age of social media. *Government Information Quarterly*, 29(4), 446–454. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2012.06.003>
- Lindgren, I., Madsen, C. Ø., Hofmann, S., & Melin, U. (2019). Close encounters of the digital kind: A research agenda for the digitalization of public services. *Government Information Quarterly*, 36(3), 427–436. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2019.03.002>
- Loeffler, E. (2016). *Public Management and Governance* (Third Edit). Routledge.
- Loeffler, E. (2020). *Co-production of Public Service and Outcomes*. Cham, Springer.
- Loeffler, E. (2021). *Co-Production of Public Services and Outcomes*. Springer Nature Switzerland AG.
- Loeffler, E. (2023). Co-production of public services and outcomes. In *Public Management and Governance: Fourth Edition*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003282839-26>
- Loeffler, E., & Bovaird, T. (2016). User and Community Co-Production of Public Services: What Does the Evidence Tell Us? *International Journal of Public Administration*, 39(13), 1006–1019. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01900692.2016.1250559>
- Lusch, R. F., & Nambisan, S. (2015). Service innovation: A service-dominant logic perspective. *MIS Quarterly: Management Information Systems*, 39(1), 155–175. <https://doi.org/10.25300/MISQ/2015/39.1.07>
- Mani, V., Pomer, A., Madsen, C., Coles, C. L., Schoenfeld, A. J., Weissman, J. S., & Koehlmoos, T. P. (2024). Filling the Gaps in the Pandemic Response: Impact of COVID-19 on Telehealth in the Military Health System. *Telemedicine and E-Health*, 30(5), 1443–1449. <https://doi.org/10.1089/tmj.2023.0478>
- Meijer, A. J. (2011). Networked coproduction of public services in virtual communities: From a government-centric to a community approach to public service support. *Public Administration Review*, 71(4), 598–607. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6210.2011.02391.x>
- Mergel, I. (2015). Opening Government: Designing Open Innovation Processes to Collaborate With External Problem Solvers. *Social Science Computer Review*, 33(5), 599–612. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0894439314560851>
- Mergel, I., & Desouza, K. C. (2013). Implementing open innovation in the public sector: The case of Challenge.gov. *Public Administration Review*, 73(6), 882–890. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.12141>
- Mergel, I., Edelmann, N., & Haug, N. (2019). Defining digital transformation: Results from expert interviews. *Government Information Quarterly*, 36(4), 101385. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2019.06.002>
- Miles et al. (2014). *Qualitative Data Analysis, A Methods Sourcesbook* (Third Edit). Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data.
- Miller, J. (2017). *How Millennial Are Changing the Landscape of Nonprofit Giving* (October 11). Forbes.

- Mu, R., Wang, Y., & Song, H. (2022). How does technological system design affect value creation? A systematic literature review of digital co-production. *Global Public Policy and Governance*, 2(4), 400–426. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43508-022-00051-0>
- Nabatchi, T., Sancino, A., & Sicilia, M. (2017). Varieties of Participation in Public Services: The Who, When, and What of Coproduction. *Public Administration Review*, 77(5), 766–776. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.12765>
- Nasifuhan, D., Yang, R., Wang, Y., Guo, Y., Chen, R., Yeerjiang, Y., Wang, Y., & Yao, B. (2024). Research on the Current Situation, Influencing Factors and Governance Countermeasures of Public Participation in Citizen Post Station Co-Production under the Background of “Internet + IoT”: an Example of Urban Post Station of Environmental Governance. *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies*, 33(1), 303–311. <https://doi.org/10.15244/pjoes/171653>
- Nastiti, A., Meijerink, S. V., Oelmann, M., Smits, A. J. M., Muntalif, B. S., Sudradjat, A., & Roosmini, D. (2017). Cultivating innovation and equity in co-production of commercialized spring water in peri-urban Bandung, Indonesia. *Water Alternatives*, 10(1), 134–159.
- Needham, C. (2008). Realising the Potential of Co-production: Negotiating Improvements in Public Services. *Social Policy and Society*, 7(2), 221–231. <https://doi.org/DOI:10.1017/S1474746407004174>
- Novirianto, D. (2022). *Penerapan Teknologi Infomasi Jendela Pontianak Intergrasi (JEPIN) di Dinas Komunikasi dan Informatika Kota Pontianak*.
- Nugrahani, F. (2014). *Metode Penelitian Kualitatif dalam Penelitian Pendidikan Bahasa*.
- Oktriastra, K. (2020). *74-Article Text-5955-4-10-20220811. 1(5)*.
- Osborne, S. P., Nasi, G., & Powell, M. (2021). Beyond co-production: Value creation and public services. *Public Administration*, 99(4), 641–657. <https://doi.org/10.1111/padm.12718>
- Osborne, S. P., Radnor, Z., & Strokosch, K. (2016). Co-Production and the Co-Creation of Value in Public Services: A suitable case for treatment? *Public Management Review*, 18(5), 639–653. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2015.1111927>
- Osborne, S., Powell, M., Cucciniello, M., & Macfarlane, J. (2022). It is a relay not a sprint! Evolving co-design in a digital and virtual environment: neighbourhood services for elders. In *Global Public Policy and Governance* (Vol. 2, Issue 4, pp. 518–538). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43508-022-00053-y>
- Ostrom, E. (1972). Metropolitan Reform: Propostions Derived from Two Traditons. *Social Science Quartely*, 53, 474–493.
- Pak, B., Chua, A., & Vande Moere, A. (2017). FixMyStreet Brussels: Socio-Demographic Inequality in Crowdsourced Civic Participation. *Journal of Urban Technology*, 24(2), 65–87. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10630732.2016.1270047>
- Parks, R. B., Baker, P. C., Kiser, L., Oakerson, R., Ostrom, E., Ostrom, V., Percy, S. L., Vandivort, M. B., Whitaker, G. P., & Wilson, R. (1981). Consumers As Coproducers of Public Services: Some Economic and Institutional Considerations. *Policy Studies Journal*, 9(7), 1001–1011. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1541-0072.1981.tb01208.x>

- Parrado, S., van Ryzin, G. G., Bovaird, T., & Löffler, E. (2013). Correlates of Co-production: Evidence From a Five-Nation Survey of Citizens. *International Public Management Journal*, 16(1), 85–112. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10967494.2013.796260>
- Paskaleva, K., & Cooper, I. (2018). Open innovation and the evaluation of internet-enabled public services in smart cities. *Technovation*, 78(December 2018), 4–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2018.07.003>
- Pauluzzo, R., Fedele, P., Dokalskaya, I., & Garlatti, A. (2024). The role of digital technologies in public sector coproduction and co-creation: A structured literature review. *Financial Accountability and Management*, March, 1–28. <https://doi.org/10.1111/faam.12391>
- Persentase Penduduk Berumur 5 Tahun ke Atas yang Mengakses Internet (Termasuk Facebook, Twitter, Whatsapp) dalam 3 Bulan Terakhir - Tabel Statistik - Badan Pusat Statistik Provinsi Kalimantan Barat.* (n.d.). Retrieved October 20, 2024, from <https://kalbar.bps.go.id/id/statistics-table/2/MzYyIzI=/persentase-penduduk-berumur-5-tahun-ke-atas-yang-mengakses-internet--termasuk-facebook--twitter--whatsapp--dalam-3-bulan-terakhir.html>
- Polzer, T., & Goncharenko, G. (2022). The UK COVID-19 app: The failed co-production of a digital public service. *Financial Accountability and Management*, 38(2), 281–298. <https://doi.org/10.1111/faam.12307>
- Randa, A. (2020). *Sinergitas antar Instansi Pemerintah Daerah dalam Penanganan Stunting di Kabupaten Sintang Kalimantan Barat.* Universitas Gadjah Mada.
- Robinson, L., Cotten, S. R., Ono, H., Quan-Haase, A., Mesch, G., Chen, W., Schulz, J., Hale, T. M., & Stern, M. J. (2015). Digital inequalities and why they matter. *Information Communication and Society*, 18(5), 569–582. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2015.1012532>
- Robinson, O. C. (2023). Probing in qualitative research interviews: Theory and practice. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 20(3), 382–397. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14780887.2023.2238625>
- Safitri, Y. (2015). Pengembangan Co-Production: Sebagai Upaya Meningkatkan Partisipasi Masyarakat dalam Peningkatan Pelayanan Publik. *Jurnal Wacana Kinerja*, 18.
- Scupola, A., & Mergel, I. (2022). Co-production in digital transformation of public administration and public value creation: The case of Denmark. *Government Information Quarterly*, 39(1), 101650. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2021.101650>
- Sicilia, M., Guarini, E., Sancino, A., Andreani, M., & Ruffini, R. (2016). Public services management and co-production in multi-level governance settings. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 82(1), 8–27. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020852314566008>
- Silvestre, H. C., Catarino, J. R., & Araújo, J. F. F. E. de. (2016). Evidence of co-production in public service provision: the case of the administrative arbitration centre in Portugal. *Revista de Administração*, 51(4), 355–365. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rausp.2016.07.007>
- Simmons, R., & Brennan, C. (2017). User voice and complaints as drivers of innovation in public services. *Public Management Review*, 19(8), 1085–

1104. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2016.1257061>
- Stephen, D., Caspar, G., Susan, A., & Joan, L. (2020). Delft University of Technology Choice Sets Green Open Access added to TU Delft Institutional Repository ‘ You share , we take care !’ – Taverne project Otherwise as indicated in the copyright section : the publisher is the copyright holder of this work an. In *Travel Behaviour and Society* (Vol. 20). Springer International Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-57599-1>
- Sugiono., & L. P. (2021). *Metode Penelitian Komunikasi (Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan Cara Mudah Menulis Artikel pada Jurnal Internasional)*. ALFABETA.
- Surva, L. (2023). Maintaining the Ideals of Co-production During Rapid Digitalisation: A Comparative Case Study of Digital Restorative Services in Estonia, Finland, Ireland and Portugal. *Voluntas*, 34(4), 693–707. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11266-022-00502-6>
- Thomsen, M. K., Baekgaard, M., & Jensen, U. T. (2020). The Psychological Costs of Citizen Coproduction. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 30(4), 656–673. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/muaa001>
- Tim Teknis Pontianak Smart City. (2019). *Buku 2; Masterpaln Pontianak Smart City Tahun 2019-2029*.
- Torring, J., Sørensen, E., & Røiseland, A. (2019). Transforming the Public Sector Into an Arena for Co-Creation: Barriers, Drivers, Benefits, and Ways Forward. *Administration and Society*, 51(5), 795–825. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0095399716680057>
- Tuurnas, S. (2015). Learning to co-produce? The perspective of public service professionals. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 28(7), 583–598. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPSM-04-2015-0073>
- van den Berg, A. C., Giest, S. N., Groeneveld, S. M., & Kraaij, W. (2020). Inclusivity in Online Platforms: Recruitment Strategies for Improving Participation of Diverse Sociodemographic Groups. *Public Administration Review*, 80(6), 989–1000. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13215>
- van Eijk, C. J. A., & Steen, T. (Trui P. S. . (2017). *Engagement of citizens and public professionals in the co-production of public services*.
- Van Eijk, C., & Steen, T. (2016). Why engage in co-production of public services? Mixing theory and empirical evidence. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 82(1), 28–46. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020852314566007>
- van Eijk, C., Steen, T., & Torenvlied, R. (2019). Public Professionals’ Engagement in Coproduction: The Impact of the Work Environment on Elderly Care Managers’ Perceptions on Collaboration With Client Councils. *American Review of Public Administration*, 49(6), 733–748. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0275074019840759>
- van Eijk, C., Steen, T., & Verschuere, B. (2017). Co-producing safety in the local community: A Q-methodology study on the incentives of Belgian and Dutch members of neighbourhood watch schemes. *Local Government Studies*, 43(3), 323–343. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03003930.2017.1281803>
- Verschuere, B., Brandsen, T., & Pestoff, V. (2012). Co-production: The State of the Art in Research and the Future Agenda. *Voluntas*, 23(4), 1083–1101. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11266-012-9307-8>
- Vroom, V & Yetton, Y. (1973). *Leadership and Decision-making*. University of

Pittsburgh Press.

- Widiastuti, D. A. (2020). *Efektivitas Sosialisasi Dinas Komunikasi dan Informatika Terhadap Tingkat Kesadaran Penggunaan Aplikasi JePin Smart City (Studi pada Kecamatan Pontianak Tenggara Kota Pontianak)*. Skripsi Universitas Muhammadiyah Malang.
- Wybron, I. and A. P. (2016). *Pupil Power*. Demos.
- Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case Study Research and Application: Design and Methods* (COSMOS Corporation (Ed.); Sixth Edit). SAGE Publications Inc.
- Young, M. M. (2022). The impact of technological innovation on service delivery: social media and smartphone integration in a 311 system. *Public Management Review*, 24(6), 926–950. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2021.1877794>
- Zaen, M. A. (2022). *Implementasi Aplikasi Jendela Pontianak Intergrasi (Jepin) Dalam Rangka Pelayanan Informasi Publik di Kota Pontianak*.
- Zhao, T., & Wu, Z. (2020). Citizen–State Collaboration in Combating COVID-19 in China: Experiences and Lessons From the Perspective of Co-Production. *American Review of Public Administration*, 50(6–7), 777–783. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0275074020942455>