

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE PAGE .....	ii
LEMBAR PENGESAHAN .....	iii
APPROVAL PAGE .....	iv
STATEMENT OF NON-PLAGIARISM .....	v
PREFACE .....	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	viii
LIST OF TABLES .....	x
LIST OF FIGURES .....	xi
LIST OF APPENDICES .....	xii
ABSTRACT .....	xiv
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
1. Background.....	1
2. Research Questions .....	3
3. Objectives.....	3
4. Research Benefits.....	3
5. Originality of Research.....	4
II. LITERATURE REVIEW AND THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK .....	7
1. Literature Review .....	7
1.1. Perception of food irradiation using Q-methodology .....	7
1.2. Q-methodology for study in Indonesia .....	8
1.3. Perception of food irradiation using other methods .....	10
1.4. Q-methodology compared to other methods.....	11
1.5. Q-methodology in aquaculture.....	12
2. Theoretical Framework .....	13
2.1. Seafood irradiation .....	13
2.2. Fisheries Stakeholders .....	20
2.3. Adoption of New Technology .....	21
2.4. Q-methodology.....	24
III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY .....	32
1. Research Scope .....	32
2. Research Materials and Equipment .....	33
3. Time and Location .....	33
4. Research Procedures .....	33
4.1. Step one: defining and building the concourse .....	34
4.2. Step two: developing the Q-set.....	34
4.3. Step three: selection of P-Set .....	40
4.4. Step four: conducting the Q-sorting .....	41
4.5. Step five: post Q interview.....	42
4.6. Step six: analysis.....	43
4.7. Step seven: interpretation.....	44
IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....	45
1. Results.....	45
1.1. Demographic of participants .....	45
1.2. Statistical analysis .....	46
1.3. Interpretation .....	49
1.4. Consensus .....	52
1.5. Disagreement.....	54
1.6. Post-Q interview .....	56
2. Discussion .....	66
2.1. Key areas of disagreement.....	66

2.2. Stakeholders' similarity .....	71
2.3. Elements influencing Q-sort based on UTAUT & Roger's IDT .....	74
2.4. Recommendations for stakeholders .....	77
2.5. Study limitations .....	84
V. CONCLUSIONS .....	85
REFERENCES .....	87
APPENDICES .....	94

## LIST OF TABLES

Table II.1	Comparison of various non-thermal methods .....	19
Table II.2	Microflora, quality, and shelf life of irradiated shrimp.....	20
Table II.3	Key determinants and moderators in UTAUT model .....	24
Table III.1	Statements of Q-set used in this study (in English).....	37
Table III.2	Comparison of statements of Q-set in English and Bahasa Indonesia ..	39
Table IV.1	Participants and their demographics data .....	45
Table IV.2	Unrotated factor matrix .....	46
Table IV.3	Rotated factor matrix with defining sorts flagged.....	48
Table IV.4	Factor Q-sort values for statements.....	49
Table IV.5	Consensus statements .....	53
Table IV.6	Distinguishing statements sorted for Factor 1 .....	55
Table IV.7	Summary of differing factor perspective on irradiated seafood.....	70
Table IV.8	Summary of post-Q interview quotes on different perspectives.....	71
Table IV.9	Statements with extreme Q-sort value .....	75
Table IV.10	Summary of recommendations on irradiated seafood.....	78

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure I.1	Bibliometric networks comprising Scopus' database.....	5
Figure I.2	Overlay visualization using VOSviewer .....	5
Figure I.3	Density visualization using VOSviewer.....	5
Figure II.1	Q-grid with standard distribution used by Choi and Cho (2019).....	8
Figure II.2	Q-grid with flattened distribution used by Astari and Lovett (2019) .....	9
Figure II.3	Mechanism of microbial decontamination using irradiation .....	14
Figure II.4	Production of an irradiation facility in Indonesia.....	17
Figure II.5	Advantages and disadvantages of food irradiation .....	18
Figure II.6	Export farmed-shrimp value chain in Indonesia .....	21
Figure II.7	Adoption-diffusion curve (Straub, 2009) .....	22
Figure II.8	Q-methodology procedure (Damio, 2016) .....	25
Figure II.9	Example statements for Q-set by Damio (2016).....	27
Figure II.10	Example of a Q-grid with 37 statements by Rieber (2020).....	27
Figure II.11	Example of Condition of Instructions used in Q-sorting process .....	28
Figure III.1	Q-grid with 30 statements for offline method in Bahasa Indonesia .....	35
Figure III.2	Screenshot of pre-sorting interface on this study's EQ Web Sort.....	35
Figure III.3	Screenshot of Q-sorting interface on this study's EQ Web Sort.....	36
Figure III.4	The screenshot of the input screen of KADE .....	44
Figure IV.1	Scree plot showing "elbow" at factor number 2.....	47
Figure IV.2	Configuration of participants Q-sort in the graph .....	47

## LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1. Documentation of conducting Q-sort .....	94
Appendix 2. Selected data processing and analysis result from KADE .....	96