

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Benedet, J.L., Bender, H., Jones, H., Ngan, H.Y., Pecorelli, S., 2000. FIGO staging classifications and clinical practice guidelines in the management of gynecologic cancers. FIGO Committee on Gynecologic Oncology. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 70, 209–262.
- Bhatla, N., Berek, J.S., Cuello Fredes, M., Denny, L.A., Grenman, S., Karunaratne, K., Kehoe, S.T., Konishi, I., Olawaiye, A.B., Prat, J., Sankaranarayanan, R., 2019. Revised FIGO staging for carcinoma of the cervix uteri. *International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics* 145, 129–135. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijgo.12749>
- Bipat, S., Glas, A.S., Velden, J. van der, Zwinderman, A.H., Bossuyt, P.M.M., Stoker, J., 2003. Computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging in staging of uterine cervical carcinoma: a systematic review. *Gynecologic Oncology* 91, 59–66. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0090-8258\(03\)00409-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0090-8258(03)00409-8)
- Bray, F., Laversanne, M., Sung, H., Ferlay, J., Siegel, R.L., Soerjomataram, I., Jemal, A., 2024. Global cancer statistics 2022: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin* 74, 229–263. <https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21834>
- Chiappa, V., Di Legge, A., Valentini, A.L., Gui, B., Miccò, M., Ludovisi, M., Giansiracusa, C., Testa, A.C., Valentin, L., 2015. Agreement of two-dimensional and three-dimensional transvaginal ultrasound with magnetic resonance imaging in assessment of parametrial infiltration in cervical

cancer. *Ultrasound in Obstetrics & Gynecology* 45, 459–469.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/uog.14637>

Choi, B.H., Baek, H.J., Ha, J.Y., Ryu, K.H., Moon, J.I., Park, S.E., Bae, K., Jeon,

K.N., Jung, E.J., 2020. Feasibility Study of Synthetic Diffusion-Weighted

MRI in Patients with Breast Cancer in Comparison with Conventional

Diffusion-Weighted MRI. *Korean J Radiol* 21, 1036.

<https://doi.org/10.3348/kjr.2019.0568>

Cohen, P.A., Jhingran, A., Oaknin, A., Denny, L., 2019. Cervical cancer. *The*

Lancet 393, 169–182. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(18\)32470-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32470-X)

Cubo, A.M., Soto, Z.M., Cruz, M.Á., Doyague, M.J., Sancho, V., Fraino, A.,

Blanco, Ó., Puig, N., Alcoceba, M., González, M., Sayagués, J.M., 2017.

Primary diffuse large B cell lymphoma of the uterine cervix successfully

treated by combined chemotherapy alone. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 96, e6846.

<https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000000006846>

Daimiel Naranjo, I., Lo Gullo, R., Saccarelli, C., Thakur, S.B., Bitencourt, A.,

Morris, E.A., Jochelson, M.S., Sevilimedu, V., Martinez, D.F., Pinker-

Domenig, K., 2021. Diagnostic value of diffusion-weighted imaging with

synthetic b-values in breast tumors: comparison with dynamic contrast-

enhanced and multiparametric MRI. *Eur Radiol* 31, 356–367.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-020-07094-z>

Dong, H., Kang, L., Cheng, S., Zhang, R., 2021. Diagnostic performance of

dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging for breast cancer

detection: An update meta-analysis. *Thorac Cancer* 12, 3201–3207.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/1759-7714.14187>

Edge, S.B., Compton, C.C., 2010. The American Joint Committee on Cancer: the 7th edition of the AJCC cancer staging manual and the future of TNM. *Ann Surg Oncol* 17, 1471–1474. <https://doi.org/10.1245/s10434-010-0985-4>

Fischerova, D., 2011. Ultrasound scanning of the pelvis and abdomen for staging of gynecological tumors: a review. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 38, 246–266. <https://doi.org/10.1002/uog.10054>

Fischerova, D., Frühauf, F., Burgetova, A., Haldorsen, I.S., Gatti, E., Cibula, D., 2024. The Role of Imaging in Cervical Cancer Staging: ESGO/ESTRO/ESP Guidelines (Update 2023). *Cancers (Basel)* 16, 775. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers16040775>

Gala, F.B., Gala, K.B., Gala, B.M., 2021. Magnetic Resonance Imaging of Uterine Cervix: A Pictorial Essay. *Indian J Radiol Imaging* 31, 454–467. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0041-1734377>

Haldorsen, I.S., Lura, N., Blaakær, J., Fischerova, D., Werner, H.M.J., 2019. What Is the Role of Imaging at Primary Diagnostic Work-Up in Uterine Cervical Cancer? *Curr Oncol Rep* 21, 77. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11912-019-0824-0>

Lim, A.W., Ramirez, A.J., Hamilton, W., Sasieni, P., Patnick, J., Forbes, L.J., 2014. Delays in diagnosis of young females with symptomatic cervical cancer in England: an interview-based study. *Br J Gen Pract* 64, e602-610. <https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp14X681757>

Liu, L., Wang, S., Yu, T., Bai, H., Liu, J., Wang, D., Luo, Y., 2022. Value of

diffusion-weighted imaging in preoperative evaluation and prediction of postoperative supplementary therapy for patients with cervical cancer. *Ann Transl Med* 10, 120. <https://doi.org/10.21037/atm-21-5319>

Mansoori, B., Khatri, G., Rivera-Colón, G., Albuquerque, K., Lea, J., Pinho, D.F., 2020. Multimodality Imaging of Uterine Cervical Malignancies. *American Journal of Roentgenology* 215, 292–304. <https://doi.org/10.2214/AJR.19.21941>

Meng, T., He, H., Liu, H., Lv, X., Huang, C., Zhong, L., Liu, K., Qian, L., Ke, L., Xie, C., 2021. Investigation of the feasibility of synthetic MRI in the differential diagnosis of non-keratinising nasopharyngeal carcinoma and benign hyperplasia using different contoured methods for delineation of the region of interest. *Clinical Radiology* 76, 238.e9-238.e15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crad.2020.10.010>

Meng, T., He, N., He, H., Liu, K., Ke, L., Liu, H., Zhong, L., Huang, C., Yang, A., Zhou, C., Qian, L., Xie, C., 2020. The diagnostic performance of quantitative mapping in breast cancer patients: a preliminary study using synthetic MRI. *Cancer Imaging* 20, 88. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40644-020-00365-4>

Merz, J., Bossart, M., Bamberg, F., Eisenblaetter, M., 2020. Revised FIGO Staging for Cervical Cancer - A New Role for MRI. *Rofo* 192, 937–944. <https://doi.org/10.1055/a-1198-5729>

Naganawa, S., Sato, C., Kumada, H., Ishigaki, T., Miura, S., Takizawa, O., 2005. Apparent diffusion coefficient in cervical cancer of the uterus: comparison

with the normal uterine cervix. *Eur Radiol* 15, 71–78.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-004-2529-4>

Okunade, K.S., 2020. Human papillomavirus and cervical cancer. *J Obstet Gynaecol* 40, 602–608. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01443615.2019.1634030>

Park, J.J., Kim, C.K., Park, S.Y., Park, B.K., 2015. Parametrial Invasion in Cervical Cancer: Fused T2-weighted Imaging and High- *b* -Value Diffusion-weighted Imaging with Background Body Signal Suppression at 3 T. *Radiology* 274, 734–741. <https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.14140920>

Qi, Y., He, Y., Lin, C., Wang, X., Zhou, H., Yuan, L., Li, Y., Xiang, Y., Xue, H., Jin, Z., 2020. Diffusion-weighted imaging of cervical cancer: Feasibility of ultra-high b-value at 3T. *European Journal of Radiology* 124, 108779. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrad.2019.108779>

Qu, J.-R., Qin, L., Li, X., Luo, J.-P., Li, J., Zhang, H.-K., Wang, L., Shao, N.-N., Zhang, S.-N., Li, Y.-L., Liu, C.-C., Li, H.-L., 2018. Predicting Parametrial Invasion in Cervical Carcinoma (Stages IB1, IB2, and IIA): Diagnostic Accuracy of T2-Weighted Imaging Combined With DWI at 3 T. *American Journal of Roentgenology* 210, 677–684. <https://doi.org/10.2214/AJR.17.18104>

Rahmadwati, Naghdy, G., Ross, M., Todd, C., Norachmawati, E., 2010. Classification Cervical Cancer Using Histology Images. 2010 Second International Conference on Computer Engineering and Applications 515–519. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCEA.2010.105>

Ronco, G., Dillner, J., Elfström, K.M., Tunesi, S., Snijders, P.J.F., Arbyn, M.,

- Kitchener, H., Segnan, N., Gilham, C., Giorgi-Rossi, P., Berkhof, J., Peto, J., Meijer, C.J.L.M., International HPV screening working group, 2014. Efficacy of HPV-based screening for prevention of invasive cervical cancer: follow-up of four European randomised controlled trials. *Lancet* 383, 524–532. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(13\)62218-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(13)62218-7)
- Tanenbaum, L.N., Tsiouris, A.J., Johnson, A.N., Naidich, T.P., DeLano, M.C., Melhem, E.R., Quarterman, P., Parameswaran, S.X., Shankaranarayanan, A., Goyen, M., Field, A.S., 2017. Synthetic MRI for Clinical Neuroimaging: Results of the Magnetic Resonance Image Compilation (MAGiC) Prospective, Multicenter, Multireader Trial. *American Journal of Neuroradiology* 38, 1103–1110. <https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A5227>
- Tendler, B.C., Foxley, S., Cottaar, M., Jbabdi, S., Miller, K.L., 2020. Modeling an equivalent b-value in diffusion-weighted steady-state free precession. *Magn Reson Med* 84, 873–884. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.28169>
- Testa, A.C., Ludovisi, M., Manfredi, R., Zannoni, G., Gui, B., Basso, D., Di Legge, A., Licameli, A., Di Bidino, R., Scambia, G., Ferrandina, G., 2009. Transvaginal ultrasonography and magnetic resonance imaging for assessment of presence, size and extent of invasive cervical cancer. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 34, 335–344. <https://doi.org/10.1002/uog.7325>
- Tsalafoutas, I.A., Koukourakis, G.V., 2010. Patient dose considerations in computed tomography examinations. *World Journal of Radiology* 2, 262–268. <https://doi.org/10.4329/wjr.v2.i7.262>
- Zhang, W., Lu, N., He, H., Liu, H., Zhu, F., Ma, L., Luo, Y., Qian, L., Meng, T.,

Xie, C., 2023. Application of synthetic magnetic resonance imaging and DWI for evaluation of prognostic factors in cervical carcinoma: a prospective preliminary study. Br J Radiol 96, 20220596. <https://doi.org/10.1259/bjr.20220596>