

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE	i
ENDORSEMENT	ii
APPROVAL	iii
STATEMENT OF ANTI-PLAGIARISM	iv
DEDICATION PAGE	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	viii
ABSTRACT.....	x
INTISARI.....	xi
CHAPTER I	1
INTRODUCTION.....	1
A. Background	1
B. Research Questions	8
C. Objectives of Research	8
C. Guarantee of Authenticity	9
D. Benefit of the Research	12
CHAPTER II	13
LITERATURE REVIEW	13
1. Overview of Bankruptcy Law	13
1.1 Overview of Indonesian Bankruptcy Law.	14
1.2 Overview of United States Bankruptcy Law.	19
2. Overview of Indonesian and American Automatic Stay Regulation	22
2.1 Overview of Indonesian Automatic Stay Regulation	23
2.2 Overview of United States Automatic Stay Regulation	25
3. Actio Pauliana	28
CHAPTER III	31
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	31
a. Type of Research	31
b. Source of Data.....	31
1. Primary Legal Sources	31
2. Secondary Legal Sources	32
3. Tertiary legal Sources	32
c. Data Collection Method	32
d. Data Analysis	33
CHAPTER IV	34
RESEARCH RESULT AND ANALYSIS	34
1. The Difference of automatic stay between Indonesian Bankruptcy Law and	

1.1 Comparison of the Indonesia Bankruptcy Laws and US Bankruptcy Code in relation to the Trigger, Scope, and Duration of Automatic Stay..	35
1.2 Comparison of the Indonesia Bankruptcy Laws and US Bankruptcy Code in relation to the Role of Curator/Trustee and Distribution of Assets of Automatic Stay	52
1.3 Comparison of the Indonesia Bankruptcy Laws and US Bankruptcy Code in relation to Set-off Provisions (Perjumpaan Utang) and Time of Termination	69
2. Analysis of Lessons Learned from United States Bankruptcy Code Regarding Automatic Stay Regulation	78
2.1 Normative Aspect	80
2.2 Empirical Aspect	87
CHAPTER V	91
CLOSURE	91
1. Conclusion	91
2. Recommendation	93
BIBLIOGRAPHY	95