

## LIST OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b>COVER</b> .....	<b>i</b>
<b>RATIFICATION</b> .....	<b>ii</b>
<b>PLAGIARISM-FREE STATEMENT</b> .....	<b>iii</b>
<b>PREFACE</b> .....	<b>iv</b>
<b>LIST OF CONTENTS</b> .....	<b>v</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	<b>vii</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b> .....	<b>viii</b>
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b> .....	<b>ix</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	<b>x</b>
<b>I. INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background.....	1
1.2 Research Questions.....	3
1.3 Research Objectives.....	4
1.4 Research Authenticity.....	4
1.5 Research Benefit.....	4
<b>II. LITERATURE REVIEW</b> .....	<b>5</b>
2.1 Eucalyptus.....	5
2.2 Wind damage .....	5
2.3 The factor of wind damage .....	6
2.4 Tree-pulling .....	7
2.5 ForestGALES model .....	7
2.6 Critical wind speed (CWS).....	8
2.7 Modulus of elasticity (MOE) and modulus of rupture (MOR).....	8
2.8 Use of Near-Infrared Spectroscopy (NIRS) to predict modulus of elasticity (MOE) and modulus of rupture (MOR).....	9
<b>III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b> .....	<b>10</b>
3.1 Research location and schedule .....	10
3.2 Materials .....	11

3.3 Tools .....	12
3.4 ForestGALES parameterization and input data .....	14
3.4.1 Tree characteristics measurement.....	15
3.4.2 Tree-pulling experiments.....	15
3.4.3 Near-Infrared Spectroscopy (NIRS) to predict modulus of elasticity (MOE) and modulus of rupture (MOR) .....	17
3.5 Data analysis.....	18
3.5.1 Analysis of tree characteristics affecting the maximum resistive moment for uprooting.....	18
3.5.2 ForestGALES model parameterization of different <i>Eucalyptus</i> clones	19
3.5.3 ForestGALES model to calculate critical wind speed (CWS) for stem breakage and uprooting .....	20
3.5.4 Sensitivity analysis of input parameters .....	21
3.5.5 Validation of ForestGALES critical wind speed (CWS) predictions...	21
3.5.6 Use of ForestGALES critical wind speed (CWS) for tree improvement selection.....	23
<b>IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>24</b>
4.1 Analysis of tree characteristics affecting the maximum resistive moment .	24
4.2 ForestGALES model parameterization of different <i>Eucalyptus</i> clones .....	27
4.3 Critical wind speed (CWS) prediction in <i>Eucalyptus</i> plantation.....	30
4.4 Sensitivity analysis of input parameters .....	32
4.5 ForestGALES model validation .....	35
4.6 Use of ForestGALES critical wind speed (CWS) for tree improvement selection .....	36
<b>V. CONCLUSIONS .....</b>	<b>39</b>
5.1 Conclusions .....	39
5.2 Suggestions.....	40
<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>APPENDICES .....</b>	<b>48</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 1. Average diameter at 1.3 m above the ground (dbh) from 50 trees/plot of 2 <i>Eucalyptus</i> clones from different sites. sd: standard deviation.....	12
Table 2. Summary of wind damage (%) in stands of Clone A and B in RAPP <i>Eucalyptus</i> plantations based on forest inventory data .....	12
Table 3. Research design.....	12
Table 4. ForestGALES model parameters of two <i>Eucalyptus</i> clones.....	19
Table 5. Number of stands at different ages for both clones used for calculation of CWS. sd: standard deviation.....	23
Table 6. Summary of linear regressions between tree characteristics by clone and maximum resistive moment for uprooting .....	24
Table 7. Summary of tree characteristics, wood strength, and maximum resistive moment of two different <i>Eucalyptus</i> clones used in the parameterization of ForestGALES model.....	28
Table 8. Parameterization values for the ForestGALES model for <i>Eucalyptus</i> clones and the sources of the parameterization .....	29
Table 9. Maximum resistance to breakage and uprooting for Clone A and B at 1.5 and 2.5 years old computed by ForestGALES.....	32
Table 10. Sensitivity of ForestGALES model for input parameter using forest stands of Clone A at 2.5 years old .....	33
Table 11. Sensitivity of ForestGALES model for input parameter using forest stands of Clone B at 2.5 years old.....	34
Table 12. Damage Threshold, AUC, and Accuracy of the ForestGALES model parameterized for two <i>Eucalyptus</i> clones .....	36
Table 13. Calculated CWS for stem breakage and uprooting for use in <i>Eucalyptus</i> tree improvement. Actual tree damage by wind (%) was collected from forest inventory data. t-test analysis at $p=0.05$ . sd: standard deviation.....	37

## LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1. The research location at Kampar Regency, Riau province, Indonesia..	10
Figure 2. A powered winch.....	13
Figure 3. Polyester webbing round-sling, ropes, and pulley blocks .....	14
Figure 4. Crown DAC dynamometer .....	14
Figure 5. Tree-pulling experiments schematic: (A) the pull tree; (B) the anchor tree; (C) the powered winch tree; (D) the polyester webbing round-sling; (E) ropes; (F1, F2, F3) pulleys; (G) powered winch; (H) load-cell; (I) DAC crown dynamometer; (J) datalogger (laptop).....	16
Figure 6. Calibration results for load cell reading and pulley system.....	17
Figure 7. Process of MOE and MOR prediction by NIRS.....	18
Figure 8. Linear regressions forced through zero between the stem weight of the whole tree and maximum resistive moment to uprooting.....	26
Figure 9. CWS for stem breakage and uprooting for different Eucalyptus clones tested in different ages (forest stands of 3.5 years for Clone B is not available). n/a: not available. ....	31

## **LIST OF APPENDICES**

	Page
Appendix 1. Measurement of tree characteristics, modulus of elasticity and rupture, and maximum resistive moment for Clone A.....	48
Appendix 2. Measurement of tree characteristics, modulus of elasticity and rupture, and maximum resistive moment for Clone B.....	50
Appendix 3. Documentation of tree characteristics measurement, frass collection, and tree-pulling experiments.....	53