UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
PERAN BIROKRAT LEVEL BAWAH DALAM MENDUKUNG AGENDA REFORMASI BIROKRASI: STUDI KASUS PADA BIROKRAT
LEVEL BAWAH PENGGERAK KOMUNITAS BIROKRAT
Gunawan Wicaksono, Ario Wicaksono, Dr
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2024 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

Afriyana, A. (2022). Analisis Persepsi Aparatur Sipil Negara Terhadap Pemahaman Reformasi Birokrasi Untuk Mendukung Optimalisasi Kinerja. *Jurnal Agregasi : Aksi Reformasi Government Dalam Demokrasi*, *10*(2), 98–107. https://ojs.unikom.ac.id/index.php/agregasi/article/view/6303

Ahmad, J., Jabbar, A., Iqbal, M., Lukman, & Haeruddin. (2022). COVID-19 Vaccination Policy: A Study on Street Level Bureaucracy and Local Government. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, *1105*(1). https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1105/1/012018

Akbar, G. G., Rulandari, N., & Widaningsih, W. (2021). Reformasi Birokrasi Di Indonesia, Sebuah Tinjauan Literatur. *Transparansi : Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Administrasi*, *4*(2), 187–199. https://doi.org/10.31334/transparansi.v4i2.1981

Alang, T., Stanton, P., & Rose, M. (2022). Enhancing Employee Voice and Inclusion Through Inclusive Leadership in Public Sector Organizations. *Public Personnel Management*, *51*(3), 309–329. https://doi.org/10.1177/00910260221085583

Alwi, Iskandar, D., & Akbar, M. F. (2021). Local Bureaucratic Governance and Discretion of Street Level Bureaucracy in Implementation of Food Diversification Policy in Indonesia. *Proceedings of the 1st Annual International Conference on Natural and Social Science Education (ICNSSE 2020)*, *547*(Icnsse), 328–332. https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.210430.049

Antwi, K. B., Analoui, F., & Nana-Agyekum, D. (2008). Public sector reform in Sub-Saharan Africa: What can be learnt from the civil service performance improvement programme in Ghana? *Public Administration and Development*, *28*(4), 253–264. https://doi.org/10.1002/pad.503

Ardiansyah, F., & Susanti, G. (2023). Policy Entrepreneurship Analysis In Public Policy Implementation (Case Study: The Role Of Street Level Bureaucracy In Food Policy Implementation For The Poor In Bone District). *Baltic Journal of Law & Politics*, *16*(3), 3726–3734. https://doi.org/10.2478/bjlp-2023-00000284

Badan Kepegawaian Negara. (2023). *Buku Statistik Aparatur Sipil Negara Semester I Tahun 2023*. Badan Kepegawaian Negara.

Battilana, J., & Casciaro, T. (2012). Change Agents, Networks, and Institutions: A Contingency Theory of Organizational Change. *Academy of Management Journal*, *55*(2), 381–398. files/4460/Battilana and Casciaro 2012 - Change Agents, Networks, and Institutions.pdf

Berenschot, W. (2018). Incumbent bureaucrats: Why elections undermine civil service reform in Indonesia. *Public Administration and Development*, *38*(4), 135–143. https://doi.org/10.1002/pad.1838

Berenschot, W. (2019). Informal democratization: brokers, access to public services and

PERAN BIROKRAT LEVEL BAWAH DALAM MENDUKUNG AGENDA REFORMASI BIROKRASI: STUDI KASUS PADA BIROKRAT
LEVEL BAWAH PENGGERAK KOMUNITAS BIROKRAT
Gunawan Wicaksono, Ario Wicaksono, Dr
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2024 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

democratic accountability in Indonesia and India. *Democratization*, *26*(2), 208–224. https://doi.org/10.1080/13510347.2018.1512590

Berthanila, R., Myrna, R., Irawati, I., & Saefulah, D. (2023). PUBLIC SERVICE MOTIVATION OF STREET BUREAUCRATS : ANALYSIS OF PUBLIC SERVICE MOTIVATION OF STREET BUREAUCRATS : ANALYSIS OF EXPERIENCES IN IMPLEMENTING LEARNING. *The Seybold Report*, *October*. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8413629

Box, R. C. (2015). The public service practitioner as agent of social change. *Democracy and Public Administration*, *December*, 194–211. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315705224-16

Brewer, G. A. (2005). In the eye of the storm: Frontline supervisors and federal agency performance. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, *15*(4), 505–527. https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/mui031

Brinkerhoff, D. W., & Brinkerhoff, J. M. (2015). Public Sector Management Reform in Developing Countries: Perspectives Beyond NPM Orthodoxy. *Public Administration and Development*, *35*(4), 222–237. https://doi.org/10.1002/pad.1739

Brodkin, E. Z. (2011). Policy work: Street-level organizations under new managerialism. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, *21*(SUPPL. 2), 253–277. https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/muq093

Buhr, M., Harms, D., & Schaltegger, S. (2023). Individual change agents for corporate sustainability transformation: a systematic literature review. *Benchmarking*. https://doi.org/10.1108/BIJ-09-2021-0551

Caiden, G. E. (1969). *Administrative Reform.pdf*.

Caiden, G. E. (1991). *Administrative Reform Comes of Age*. https://books.google.de/books?hl=de&lr=&id=nV8iAAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA57&dq=Forstmann+(1998)+&ots=OIkHMD4kCA&sig=5DCyU-I9IVWV5e2N30g6oyyd8mg#v=onepage&q=Forstmann (1998)&f=false

Caillier, J. G. (2020). Bureaucratic Bashing and Praising: What Effect Does it Have on the Performance Citizens Assign Agencies? *Public Organization Review*, *20*(4), 685–701. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11115-020-00464-7

Chang, A., & Brewer, G. A. (2022). Street-Level bureaucracy in public administration: A systematic literature review. *Public Management Review*, *00*(00), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2022.2065517

Cheng, T. J., Haggard, S., & Kang, D. (1998). Institutions and growth in Korea and Taiwan: the bureaucracy. *Journal of Development Studies*, *34*(6), 87–111. https://doi.org/10.1080/00220389808422547

Creswell, J. W. (2013). *Qualitative Inquiry And Research Design_ Choosing Among Five Approaches*. SAGE.

PERAN BIROKRAT LEVEL BAWAH DALAM MENDUKUNG AGENDA REFORMASI BIROKRASI: STUDI KASUS PADA BIROKRAT
LEVEL BAWAH PENGGERAK KOMUNITAS BIROKRAT
Gunawan Wicaksono, Ario Wicaksono, Dr
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2024 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2023). *Research Design_ Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches 6th Edition*. SAGE.

Davidovitz, M., & Cohen, N. (2022). Playing defence: the impact of trust on the coping mechanisms of street-level bureaucrats. *Public Management Review*, *24*(2), 279–300. https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2020.1817532

Davidovitz, M., Cohen, N., & Gofen, A. (2021). Governmental Response to Crises and Its Implications for Street-Level Implementation: Policy Ambiguity, Risk, and Discretion during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis: Research and Practice*, *23*(1), 120–130. https://doi.org/10.1080/13876988.2020.1841561

de Vries, M., & Nemec, J. (2013). Public sector reform: an overview of recent literature and research on NPM and alternative paths. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, *26*(1), 4–16. https://doi.org/10.1108/09513551311293408

Dwiyanto, A. (2015). *Reformasi Birokrasi Kontekstual*. Gadjah Mada University Press.

Ellis, K. (2011). "Street-level Bureaucracy" Revisited: The Changing Face of Frontline Discretion in Adult Social Care in England. *Social Policy and Administration*, *45*(3), 221–244. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9515.2011.00766.x

Evans, T. (2011). Professionals, managers and discretion: Critiquing street-level bureaucracy. *British Journal of Social Work*, *41*(2), 368–386. https://doi.org/10.1093/bjsw/bcq074

Evans, T., & Harris, J. (2004). Street-level bureaucracy, social work and the (exaggerated) death of discretion. *British Journal of Social Work*, *34*(6), 871–895. https://doi.org/10.1093/bjsw/bch106

Ferdian, K. J., Faedlulloh, D., & Ibrahim, I. (2021). Birokrasi, Disrupsi, dan Anak Muda: Mendorong Birokrat Muda menciptakan Dynamic Governance. *Jurnal Transformative*, *7*(1), 112–127. https://doi.org/10.21776/ub.transformative.2021.007.01.5

Fleming, C. J. (2020). Prosocial rule breaking at the street level: the roles of leaders, peers, and bureaucracy. *Public Management Review*, *22*(8), 1191–1216. https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2019.1619817

Gambarotto, F., & Cammozzo, A. (2010). Dreams of silence: Employee voice and innovation in a public sector community of practice. *Innovation: Management, Policy and Practice*, *12*(2), 166–179. https://doi.org/10.5172/impp.12.2.166

Garrett, R. S., Thurber, J. A., Fritschler, A. L., David, H., Public, S., Review, A., Apr, M., Apr, N. M., & Garrett, R. S. (2006). Assessing the Impact of Bureaucracy Bashing by Electoral Campaigns. *Public Administration Review*, *66*(2), 228–240.

Gaus, N., & Hall, D. (2015). Weapon of the weak: The hidden transcripts of academics' resistance to policy imperatives in Indonesian universities. *International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy*, *35*(9–10), 683–698. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSSP-11-2014-0095

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
PERAN BIROKRAT LEVEL BAWAH DALAM MENDUKUNG AGENDA REFORMASI BIROKRASI: STUDI KASUS PADA BIROKRAT
LEVEL BAWAH PENGGERAK KOMUNITAS BIROKRAT
Gunawan Wicaksono, Ario Wicaksono, Dr
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2024 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Geertz, H. (1961). *The Javanese Family A Study of Kinship and Socialization-Waveland Press*.

Ghobadian, A., Viney, H., & Redwood, J. (2009). Explaining the unintended consequences of public sector reform. *Management Decision*, *47*(10), 1514–1535. https://doi.org/10.1108/00251740911004664

Gofen, A. (2014). Mind the Gap: Dimensions and influence of street-level divergence. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, *24*(2), 473–493. https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/mut037

Gutmann, M. C. (1993). Rituals of Resistance : A Critique of the Theory of Everyday Forms of Resistance. *Latin American Perspectives*, *20*(2), 74–92.

Habibi, F. (2020). Pemetaan Riset Reformasi Birokrasi di Indonesia. *Jurnal Borneo Administrator*, *16*(2), 199–230. https://doi.org/10.24258/jba.v16i2.695

Hadna, A. H., Listyaningsih, U., & Ihwanudin, I. (2022). To what extent have street-level bureaucrats used their discretionary powers during the COVID-19 pandemic in Indonesia? *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, *35*(7), 806–820. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPSM-12-2021-0272

Halbesleben, J. R. B. (2006). Sources of social support and burnout: A meta-analytic test of the conservation of resources model. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *91*(5), 1134–1145. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.91.5.1134

Hapsari, Mantrini Indri; Nurhaeni, I. D. A. (2018). Quo Vadis Bureaucracy Reform of Indonesia: Overview of Bureaucratic Reforma Phase I vs Phase II. *Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research*, *191*(Aapa), 197–209.

Henderson, A., Țiclău, T., & Balica, D. (2018). Perceptions of Discretion in Street-Level Public Service: Examining Administrative Governance in Romania. *Public Performance and Management Review*, *41*(3), 620–647. https://doi.org/10.1080/15309576.2017.1400987

Hermawan, R., Oktaviani, D., Purnomo, R. S., Saragi, F. K., Syafiq, M., Larasati, I. K., & Suryana, Y. (2020). *Kajian Reformasi Birokrasi Berbasis Outcome*. https://ppid.lan.go.id/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/6.-Laporan-RB-Outcome-Gabungan-25-Jan-2021-Dami-2.pdf

Hibben, M. (2015). Coalitions of change: Explaining IMF low-income country reform in the post-Washington Consensus. *Journal of International Relations and Development*, *18*(2), 202–226. https://doi.org/10.1057/jird.2013.20

Hicklin, A., & Godwin, E. (2009). Agents of Change: The Role of Public Managers in Public Policy. *The Policy Studies Journal*, *37*(1), 556. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2012.05.050

Howlett, M., Ramesh, M., & Perl, A. (2020). *Studying Public Policy Principles and Processes 4th edition*. Oxford University Press.

Hupe, P., & Hill, M. (2007). Street-level bureaucracy and public accountability. *Public*

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
PERAN BIROKRAT LEVEL BAWAH DALAM MENDUKUNG AGENDA REFORMASI BIROKRASI: STUDI KASUS PADA BIROKRAT
LEVEL BAWAH PENGGERAK KOMUNITAS BIROKRAT
Gunawan Wicaksono, Ario Wicaksono, Dr
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2024 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Administration, *85*(2), 279–299. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9299.2007.00650.x

Hupe, P., Tummers, L. ., & Bekkers, V. J. J. M. (2012). Discretion and its effects : Analyzing the experiences of street-level bureaucrats during policy implementation. *EGPA Conference*, *September*, 22. http://repub.eur.nl/pub/34726/

ICW. (2023). *Laporan Hasil Pemantauan Tren Penindakan Kasus Korupsi Tahun 2022*.

Im, T. (2014). Bureaucracy in Three Different Worlds: The Assumptions of Failed Public Sector Reforms in Korea. *Public Organization Review*, *14*(4), 577–596. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11115-013-0246-7

Inkina, S. (2019). Bureaucratic reform and Russian transition: the puzzles of policy-making process. *Palgrave Communications*, *5*(1), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-019-0238-5

Iskandar, D., & Alwi. (2021). Bureaucratic Red Tape and Discretion of Street Level Bureaucracy: *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Administration Science 2020 (ICAS 2020)*, *564*(Icas 2020), 269–274. https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.210629.051

Ismail, N. (2022). *Penerapan Kebijakan Sistem Merit Dalam Manajemen Aparatur Sipil Negara*. http://repository.unhas.ac.id/id/eprint/16099/%0Ahttp://repository.unhas.ac.id/id/eprint/16099/2/B013191028_disertasi_bab 1-2.pdf

Keiser, L. R. (2010). Understanding Street-Level Bureaucrats ' Decision Making: Determining Eligibility in the Social Security Disability Program Published by : Wiley on behalf of the American Society for Public Administration Stable URL : https://www.jstor.org/stable/4060637. *Public Administration Review*, *70*(2), 247–257.

Kencana, N. (2017). Street-Level Bureaucracy: Bureaucratic Reform Strategies Initiated From Bottom Level. *Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research*, *163*(Icodag), 207–211. https://doi.org/10.2991/icodag-17.2017.38

Khan, I. U., & Hussain, S. (2020). Bureaucracy and public management Reforms: Evidence from Pakistan. *Croatian and Comparative Public Administration*, 10–32. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429331046-2

Kotter, J. P. (1995). Leading Change: Why Transformation Efforts Fail. *Harvard Business Review*. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-894X(00)80004-9

Kotter, J. P. (1996). *Leading Change*. Harvard Business School Press.

LaForge, G. (2016). Changing a Civil Service Culture : Reforming Indonesia ' S Ministry of Finance , 2006 – 2010. *Innovation for Successful Societies*.

Lambert, L. (2022). Changing the administration from within: criticism and compliance by junior bureaucrats in Niger's Refugee Directorate. *International Journal of Law in Context*, *18*(3), 333–346. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1744552322000271

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
PERAN BIROKRAT LEVEL BAWAH DALAM MENDUKUNG AGENDA REFORMASI BIROKRASI: STUDI KASUS PADA BIROKRAT
LEVEL BAWAH PENGGERAK KOMUNITAS BIROKRAT
Gunawan Wicaksono, Ario Wicaksono, Dr
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2024 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Lane, J.-E. (2000). *The Public Sector Concept, Models and Approaches*.

Lee, D. S., & Park, S. (2020). What Motivates Street-level Bureaucrats to Implement the Reforms of Elected Politicians? *Policy & Politics*, 89. http://www.nber.org/papers/w16019

Lee, D. S., & Park, S. (2021). Bureaucratic responsiveness in times of political crisis: The case of presidential impeachment. *Public Administration*, *99*(3), 563–580. https://doi.org/10.1111/padm.12701

Lengauer, D. (2021). A genealogy of komunitas: Varieties of social formation and their signification in Bandung, Indonesia. *Australian Journal of Anthropology*, *32*(3), 309–323. https://doi.org/10.1111/taja.12411

Letor, R. P. (2020). *Difusi Rezim Administrasi Publik Kontemporer dalam Kebijakan Reformasi Birokrasi di Era Otonomi Daerah (Studi Kasus Road Map Reformasi Birokrasi Provinsi Nusa Tenggara Timur*. Universitas Gadjah Mada.

Lipsky, M. (1971). Street-Level Bureaucracy and the Analysis of Urban Reform. *Urban Affairs Review*, *6*(4), 391–409. https://doi.org/10.1177/107808747100600401

Lipsky, M. (2010). Street-level bureaucracy: Dilemmas of the individual in public services. In *Street-Level Bureaucracy: Dilemmas of the Individual in Public Services*. https://doi.org/10.2307/2392554

Lorenz, C. (2012). If you're so smart, why are you under surveillance? Universities, neoliberalism, and new public management. *Critical Inquiry*, *38*(3), 599–629. https://doi.org/10.1086/664553

Lotta, G., Pires, R., Hill, M., & Møller, M. O. (2022). Recontextualizing street-level bureaucracy in the developing world. *Public Administration and Development*, *42*(1), 3–10. https://doi.org/10.1002/pad.1968

Lunenburg, F. C. (2010). Managing change: The role of the change agent. *International Journal of Management, Business and Administration*, *13*(1), 1–6.

Mandario, R. R. R., & Pratono, A. H. (2023). Street-Level Bureaucracy and Government Transformation. *Indonesian Journal of Multidisciplinary Science*, *2*(8), 2999–3005. https://doi.org/10.55324/ijoms.v2i8.505

May, P. J., & Winter, S. C. (2009). Politicians, managers, and street-level bureaucrats: Influences on policy implementation. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, *19*(3), 453–476. https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/mum030

Maynard-Moody, S., & Musheno, M. (2000). State Agent or Citizen Agent: Two Narratives of Discretion. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, *10*(2), 329–358. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.jpart.a024272

Maynard-Moody, S., & Musheno, M. (2012). Social Equities and Inequities in Practice : Street-Level Workers as Agents and Pragmatists. *Public Administration Review*, *72*(December

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
PERAN BIROKRAT LEVEL BAWAH DALAM MENDUKUNG AGENDA REFORMASI BIROKRASI: STUDI KASUS PADA BIROKRAT
LEVEL BAWAH PENGGERAK KOMUNITAS BIROKRAT
Gunawan Wicaksono, Ario Wicaksono, Dr
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2024 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

2012).

McEldowney, R., & Murray, W. L. (2000). Not just for bureaucrats anymore: Bureaucrat bashing, overhead democracy, and managed care. *Administration and Society*, *32*(1), 93–110. https://doi.org/10.1177/00953990022019362

McKimmie, B. M., Butler, T., Chan, E., Rogers, A., & Jimmieson, N. L. (2020). Reducing stress: Social support and group identification. *Group Processes and Intergroup Relations*, *23*(2), 241–261. https://doi.org/10.1177/1368430218818733

Mike, R. (2012). Going Back to the Street: Revisiting Lipsky's Street-Level Bureaucracy. *Teaching Public Administration*, *30*(1), 10–18. https://doi.org/10.1177/0144739411435439

Moon, M. J., & Ingraham, P. (1998). Shaping administrative reform and governance: An examination of the Political Nexus Triads in three Asian countries. *Governance*, *11*(1), 77–100. https://doi.org/10.1111/0952-1895.581998058

Nemec, J. (2018). Public Administration Reforms in Slovakia: Limited Outcomes (Why ?). *NISPAcee Journal of Public Administration and Policy*, *11*(1), 115–134. https://doi.org/10.2478/nispa-2018-0005

Neuman, W. L. (2014). *Social Research Methods: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches 7th Edition*. Pearson.

Nuraini, S., Kasman, & Hamid, H. (2022). Street Level Bureaucracy for Online Learning During Covid-19. *Advances in Economics, Business and Management Research*, *209*(Iconpo 2021), 281–285. https://www.atlantis-press.com/proceedings/iconpo-21/125970922%0Ahttps://www.atlantis-press.com/article/125970922.pdf

Ohemeng, F. (2010). The dangers of internationalization and "one-size-fits-all" in public sector management: Lessons from performance management policies in Ontario and Ghana. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, *23*(5), 456–478. https://doi.org/10.1108/09513551011058484

Ombudsman Republik Indonesia. (2022). Ringkasan Eksekutif Penilaian Kepatuhan Penyelenggaraan Pelayanan Publik Tahun 2022. In *Ringkasan Eksekutif Penilaian Kepatuhan Penyelenggaraan Pelayanan Publik Tahun 2022* (Vol. 1, Issue 1).

Page, D. (2011). From principled dissent to cognitive escape: Managerial resistance in the english further education sector. *Journal of Vocational Education and Training*, *63*(1), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1080/13636820.2011.552733

Paskarina, C. (2016). *BIROKRASI MELAWAN: POLITIK TUBUH DAN PERTARUNGAN NALAR DALAM REFORMASI BIROKRASI*.

Paskarina, C. (2017). The making of competitive bureaucracy: A case of bureaucratic reform in West Java province. *Cogent Social Sciences*, *3*(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2016.1273748

PERAN BIROKRAT LEVEL BAWAH DALAM MENDUKUNG AGENDA REFORMASI BIROKRASI: STUDI KASUS PADA BIROKRAT
LEVEL BAWAH PENGGERAK KOMUNITAS BIROKRAT
Gunawan Wicaksono, Ario Wicaksono, Dr
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2024 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Pertiwi, K. (2023). *Reformasi birokrasi: pemerintah perlu benahi penghasilan ASN, bukan mendorong mereka berwirausaha*. The Conversation. https://theconversation.com/reformasi-birokrasi-pemerintah-perlu-benahi-penghasilan-asn-bukan-mendorong-mereka-berwirausaha-204344

Peters, B. G., & Savoie, D. J. (1994). Civil Service Reform : Misdiagnosing the Patient. *Public Administration Review*, *54*(5), 418–425.

Prasetyawati, A. (2021). Critical Discourse Analysis Norman Fairclough on ASN Social Movements in the Instagram Community @abdimuda_id. *Hortatori Jurnal Pendidikan Bahasa Dan Sastra Indonesia*, *5*(2), 97–106. http://www.globalbuddhism.org/jgb/index.php/jgb/article/view/88/100

Prasojo, E. (2020). *Memimpin Reformasi Birokrasi: Kompleksitas dan Dinamika Perubahan Birokrasi Indonesia (Edisi Revisi)*. Kencana.

Prottas, J. M. (1978). The power of the street-level bureaucrat in public service bureaucracies. *Urban Affairs Review*, *13*(3), 285–312. https://doi.org/10.1177/107808747801300302

Raaphorst, N., & Groeneveld, S. (2018). Double Standards in Frontline Decision Making: A Theoretical and Empirical Exploration. *Administration and Society*, *50*(8), 1175–1201. https://doi.org/10.1177/0095399718760587

Rahadian, F., & Rahman, H. I. (2023). Abdimuda Instagram Message Strategy in Building Asn Brand Engagement. *International Journal of Social and Management Studies*, *4*(2), 74–85.

Rapport, N. (2010). Community. In *Encyclopedia of Social and Cultural Anthropology Second Edition* (pp. 142–145).

Riccucci, N. M. (2002). Implementing welfare reform in michigan: The role of street-level bureaucrats[1]. *International Journal of Public Administration*, *25*(7), 901–921. https://doi.org/10.1081/PAD-120004110

Riccucci, N. M. (2005). Street-level bureaucrats and intrastate variation in the implementation of temporary assistance for needy families policies. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, *15*(1), 89–111. https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/mui005

Riccucci, N. M., Meyers, M. K., Lurie, I., & Han, J. S. (2004). The implementation of welfare reform policy: The role of public managers in front-line practices. *Public Administration Review*, *64*(4), 438–448. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6210.2004.00390.x

Rizal, M. (2020). Komunitas ASN Muda Kian Marak: Being or Becoming? In *Komunitas ASN Muda Kian Marak: Being or Becoming?* https://kumparan.com/mutiarizal/komunitas-asn-muda-kian-marak-being-or-becoming-1uIwQWw0NbU

Rizal, M. (2021). *KEHENDAK UNTUK MENGENDALIKAN: MUNCULNYA REZIM PRAKTIK PENGENDALIAN BERBASIS KESADARAN DI BIROKRASI MODERN (STUDI KASUS DI BADAN PENGAWASAN KEUANGAN DAN PEMBANGUNAN)*. Universitas Gadjah Mada.

PERAN BIROKRAT LEVEL BAWAH DALAM MENDUKUNG AGENDA REFORMASI BIROKRASI: STUDI KASUS PADA BIROKRAT
LEVEL BAWAH PENGGERAK KOMUNITAS BIROKRAT
Gunawan Wicaksono, Ario Wicaksono, Dr
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2024 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Rizal, M., & Nurhidayat, I. (2018). Birokrat Melawan: Mempertahankan Integritas di Tengah Budaya Paternalistik (Studi Kasus di Pemerintah Kota Tegal). *Jurnal Integritas*, *4*(1), 171–198. https://jurnal.kpk.go.id/index.php/integritas/article/view/153

Rölle, D. (2017). Mass media and bureaucracy-bashing: Does the media influence public attitudes towards public administration? *Public Policy and Administration*, *32*(3), 232–258. https://doi.org/10.1177/0952076716658798

Roman, A. V. (2017). The Determinants of Public Administrators' Participation in Policy Formulation. *American Review of Public Administration*, *47*(1), 102–129. https://doi.org/10.1177/0275074015577799

Sager, F., Thomann, E., Zollinger, C., van der Heiden, N., & Mavrot, C. (2014). Street-level Bureaucrats and New Modes of Governance: How conflicting roles affect the implementation of the Swiss Ordinance on Veterinary Medicinal Products. *Public Management Review*, *16*(4), 481–502. https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2013.841979

Saleh, D. D. (2020). *Peran Birokrat Level Bawah Kebijakan Reforma Agraria: Studi tentang dominasi negara dalam implementasi program redistribusi tanah di Kecamatan Cipari Kabupaten Cilacap*. http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/penelitian/detail/191965

Scott, J. (1986). Everyday forms of peasant resistance. *The Journal of Peasant Studies*, *13*(2), 5–35.

Scott, J. C. (1985). Weapons of The Weak: Everyday Forms of Peasant Resistance. In *Weapons of The Weak: Everyday Forms of Peasant Resistance*. Yale University Press. http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-319-59379-1%0Ahttp://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-420070-8.00002-7%0Ahttp://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2015.03.024%0Ahttps://doi.org/10.1080/07352689.2018.1441103%0Ahttp://www.chile.bmw-motorrad.cl/sync/showroom/lam/es/

Scott, J. C. (1992). Domination and the Arts of Resistance: Hidden Transcripts. In *Domination and the Arts of Resistance: Hidden Transcripts*. Yale University Press. http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-319-59379-1%0Ahttp://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-420070-8.00002-7%0Ahttp://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2015.03.024%0Ahttps://doi.org/10.1080/07352689.2018.1441103%0Ahttp://www.chile.bmw-motorrad.cl/sync/showroom/lam/es/

Sedarmayanti. (2013). *Reformasi Administrasi Publik, Reformasi Birokrasi, dan Kepemimpinan Masa Depan (Mewujudkan Pelayanan Prima dan Kepemerintahan yang Baik)*.

Shaw, R. (2004). Shaping bureaucratic reform down-under. *Commonwealth and Comparative Politics*, *42*(2), 169–183. https://doi.org/10.1080/1466204042000299245

Silva, P., & Jalali, C. (2020). Explaining perceptions of autonomy in the last chain of delegation. *Public Policy and Administration*, *35*(4), 424–444. https://doi.org/10.1177/0952076718814896

PERAN BIROKRAT LEVEL BAWAH DALAM MENDUKUNG AGENDA REFORMASI BIROKRASI: STUDI KASUS PADA BIROKRAT
LEVEL BAWAH PENGGERAK KOMUNITAS BIROKRAT
Gunawan Wicaksono, Ario Wicaksono, Dr
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2024 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Sivaramakrishnan K. (2005). Some Intellectual Genealogies for the Concept of Everyday Resistance. *American Anthropologist*, *107*(3), 346–355.

Soss, J., Fording, R., & Schram, S. F. (2011). The organization of discipline: From performance management to perversity and punishment. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, *21*(SUPPL. 2). https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/muq095

Sotiropoulos, D. a. (2004). *Democratization, administrative reform and the state in Greece, Italy, Portugal and Spain: is there a 'model' of South European bureaucracy* (Issue 17).

Subanda, I. N., & Prabawati, N. P. A. (2017). Organizational Restructuring Of Regional Apparatuses Of Denpasar Municipal Government To Achieve Bureaucratic Reform. *Bisnis & Birokrasi Journal*, *23*(2). https://doi.org/10.20476/jbb.v23i2.9000

Suprapto, J. (2023). Behavior of Street Level Bureaucrats in Education Programs in North Kalimantan Province. *KnE Social Sciences*, *2023*, 464–473. https://doi.org/10.18502/kss.v8i17.14141

Szydlowski, G., de Boer, N., & Tummers, L. (2022). Compassion, Bureaucrat Bashing, and Public Administration. *Public Administration Review*, *82*(4), 619–633. https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13485

Taslim, N. L., Abbas, B., & Kalsum, U. (2022). Urgensi Peran Agen Perubahan Birokrasi Dalam Meningkatkan Kinerja Aparatur Sipil Negara Kantor Imigrasi Kelas I Tpi …. *Eqien-Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Bisnis*, *11*(3).

Taylor, I., & Kelly, J. (2006). Professionals, discretion and public sector reform in the UK: Re-visiting Lipsky. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, *19*(7), 629–642. https://doi.org/10.1108/09513550610704662

Tummers, L., & Bekkers, V. (2014). Policy Implementation, Street-level Bureaucracy, and the Importance of Discretion. *Public Management Review*, *16*(4), 527–547. https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2013.841978

Tummers, L. L. G., Bekkers, V., Vink, E., & Musheno, M. (2015). Coping during Public Service Delivery: A Conceptualization and Systematic Review of the Literature. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, *25*(4), 1099–1126. https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/muu056

Tummers, L., Steijn, B., & Bekkers, V. (2012). Explaining the willingness of public professionals to implement public policies: Content, context, and personality characteristics. *Public Administration*, *90*(3), 716–736. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9299.2011.02016.x

Turner, M., Prasojo, E., & Sumarwono, R. (2022). The challenge of reforming big bureaucracy in Indonesia. *Policy Studies*, *43*(2), 333–351. https://doi.org/10.1080/01442872.2019.1708301

van Dijk, T. (2011). Agents of Change and Obstruction: Municipal Councilors and Urban D/Development in the Mumbai Metropolitan. *Human Geography(United Kingdom)*, *4*(2),

PERAN BIROKRAT LEVEL BAWAH DALAM MENDUKUNG AGENDA REFORMASI BIROKRASI: STUDI KASUS PADA BIROKRAT
LEVEL BAWAH PENGGERAK KOMUNITAS BIROKRAT
Gunawan Wicaksono, Ario Wicaksono, Dr
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2024 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

31–47. https://doi.org/10.1177/194277861100400203

Vedung, E. (2015). Autonomy and street-level bureaucrats' coping strategies. *Nordic Journal of Studies in Educational Policy*, *2015*(2). https://doi.org/10.3402/nstep.v1.28643

Vinthagen, S., & Johansson, A. (2013). "Everyday Resistance": Exploration of a Concept and its Theories. *Resistance Studies Magazine*, *1*, 1–46. http://rsmag.nfshost.com/wp-content/uploads/Vinthagen-Johansson-2013-Everyday-resistance-Concept-Theory.pdf

Wang, H. (2018). The Effects of Social Support and Coping Strategies on Job Stress and Burnout. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3161858

Wettenhall, R. (2013). A critique of the "administrative reform industry": Reform is important, but so is stability. *Teaching Public Administration*, *31*(2), 149–164. https://doi.org/10.1177/0144739413488904

Winter, S. C. (2002). Explaining street-level bureaucratic behavior in social and regulatory policies. *Nordic Political Science Association, XIII Research Conference*, *0928*.

Winter, S. C., Mikkelsen, M. F., & Skov, P. R. (2022). Individual Agency in Street-Level Bureaucrats' Implementation of Policy Reforms: The Role of Their Policy Evaluation and Self-efficacy. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, *32*(4), 781–794. https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/muac003

Wise, L. R. (2002). Public management reform: Competing drivers of change. *Public Administration Review*, *62*(5), 556–567. https://doi.org/10.1111/1540-6210.00237

Xiaolong, T., & Christensen, T. (2023). Leading groups: public sector reform with Chinese characteristics in a post-NPM era. *International Public Management Journal*, *26*(1), 66–86. https://doi.org/10.1080/10967494.2022.2046665

Yusnitasari, A., & Sudewo, P. A. (2021). Kontribusi Agen Perubahan Birokrasi Dalam Pelaksanaan Reformasi Birokrasi: Studi Kasus Di Badan Pengawas Obat Dan Makanan Tahun 2020. *Jurnal Kewidyaiswaraan*, *6*(1), 81–91. https://doi.org/10.56971/jwi.v6i1.120

Yusriadi, Y. (2018). Reformasi Birokrasi Indonesia: Peluang dan Hambatan. *Jurnal Administrasi Publik : Public Administration Journal*, *8*(2), 178. https://doi.org/10.31289/jap.v8i2.1824

Železnik, A., & Fink-Hafner, D. (2023). Theoretical Perspectives on Bureaucrats: A Quest for Democratic Agents. *Administration and Society*. https://doi.org/10.1177/00953997231165998