

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ENDORSEMENT PAGE	ii
APPROVAL	iii
STATEMENT OF AUTHENTICITY.....	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	v
ABSTRACT.....	vi
<i>INTISARI</i>	vii
CHAPTER I.....	1
A. Background	1
B. Research Questions.....	10
C. Research Goals	10
1. Subjective Goals.....	10
2. Objective Goals.....	10
D. Originality of Research.....	11
E. Benefit	15
CHAPTER II.....	17
THEORETICAL REVIEW	17
A. Indonesia's Constitutional Court	17

1. Background of Constitutional Court Establishment	17
2. Position of Constitutional Court.....	18
3. Authorities and Functions of the Constitutional Court	19
B. Judicial Review.....	20
C. Development of Constitutional Court Rulings in Judicial Review...	23
D. Constitutional Interpretation.....	27
1. Constitutional Court Authority in Interpreting Constitution.....	27
2. Constitutional Interpretation Methodologies	28
E. Judicial Activism and Judicial Restraint.....	33
1. Judicial Activism.....	33
2. Judicial Restraint.....	37
RESEARCH METHOD	44
A. Types of Research.....	44
B. Data Collection.....	45
C. Data Analysis	46
RESEARCH RESULT AND ANALYSIS.....	48
A. Constitutional Court Justices' Consideration for Rejecting and/or not accepting 5 (five) petitions related to Article 169 letter q of Act Number 7 of 2017 concerning the Minimum Age Requirements for Presidential and Vice-Presidential Candidacy on October 16, 2023	48

1. Constitutional Court Justices' Considerations on Decision Number 29, 51, 55, 91, and 92	48
2. Analysis of Constitutional Court Justices' Consideration on Decision Number 29, 51, 55, 91, and 92.....	71
3. Constitutional Court Justices' Consideration on Decision Number 29, 51, 55, 91, and 92 Reviewed from Constitutional Interpretation.....	79
B. Legal Factors Causing the Decision of the Constitutional Court to Change from Rejecting or Not Accepting 5 (five) Petitions Related to Article 169 letter q of Act Number 7 of 2017 on October 16, 2023 to Partially Granting Case No. 90/PUU-XXI/2023.....	86
1. The Legal Factors Causing the Change of Decision from Rejecting to Partially Granting	86
2. The Inconsistency of Justices in Change of Decision from Rejecting to Partially Granting	105
CLOSURE	122
A. Conclusion	122
B. Recommendation.....	123
BIBLIOGRAPHY	125